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## Executive Summary



## DID STATE PRE-K GET BACK ON TRACK IN 2015?

Nationally, the 2014-2015 school year showed continued improvement in state funded pre-K as states recovered from the Great Recession. Enrollment increased. More states met the benchmarks for minimum quality standards. State funding for pre-K increased: for the third year in a row, spending per child exceeded the previous year.
Does this mean that state funded pre-K is back on track after being derailed by the recession? In some states, the answer seems to be a clear "yes." New York is the most obvious example, but other states made noteworthy progress with enrollment, quality standards, and funding. However, not all states moved forward. Some even moved backwards, including two of the nation's most populous states, Texas and Florida. For the nation as a whole, this means that access to a high-quality preschool program remained highly unequal, and this situation is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future unless many more states follow the leaders.
Table 1 summarizes the findings from this year's report for enrollment, quality standards, and funding of state pre-K. We review findings for each of these three key aspects of state pre-K policy briefly below before considering "what's new" in further detail.

Enrollment rose very modestly, growing by 31,863 children overall with most of the growth among 3 -year-olds, and just 7,091 4-year-olds added. New York, Michigan, South Carolina, and Alabama added large numbers of children at age four, while Connecticut had a program newly qualify as state pre-K, and Mississippi and Hawaii joined the states funding pre-K. Other states—Texas, Florida, Kentucky, Tennessee and Wisconsin—decreased enrollment significantly.
Quality standards hit a new high. Six programs gained a quality standards benchmark and no programs lost benchmarks. Two Louisiana programs now meet the requirement for site visits as part of their program monitoring. Nebraska now requires that programs provide at least one meal per day and Missouri began requiring all teachers to receive at least 15 hours per year of professional development. South Carolina's 4 K program now meets the quality standard benchmark for lead teacher degree. West Virginia now meets all 10 benchmarks, and with Mississippi in the rankings for the first time, this raises the number of state programs that meet all 10 of NIEER's benchmarks for acceptable quality standards to 7 .

State funding for pre-K rose by more than $\$ 573$ million in 2014-2015, adjusted for inflation, with almost two-thirds of this increase accounted for by New York. Total funding has now surpassed the peak pre-recession level, adjusting for inflation. States' investments per child also continued an upward trend, with the largest single year increase since the NIEER Yearkbook began.

## PERCENT OF NATIONAL POPULATION ENROLLED



## AVERAGE STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED (2015 DOLLARS)



## WHAT'S NEW?

## Resources:

- Total state funding for pre-K programs increased to $\$ 6.2$ billion, an increase of more than $\$ 573$ million across the 42 states plus D.C. ${ }^{1}$ that offered pre-K in the 2014-2015 year, a 10 percent increase in real dollars. Two thirds of this increase comes from New York, up $\$ 358$ million (inflation-adjusted) from the previous year, due largely to new investments in quality fullday preschool in New York City.
- State pre-K funding per child increased by $\$ 319$ (inflation-adjusted) from the previous year to $\$ 4,521$. New York again had a noticeable impact on the national average from its large increase in funding per child to raise quality and provide a full day program.
- In addition to New York, Michigan increased funding by $\$ 62$ million, and eight other states reported increases of more than $\$ 10$ million. On the other side, three states reported reductions in spending of more than $\$ 10$ million each.


## Enrollment:

- Nearly 1.4 million children attended state-funded pre-K, nearly 1.2 million at age 4. Almost five percent of 3 -year-olds and 29 percent of 4 -year-olds were served in state-funded pre-K.
- Across all public programs-Pre-K general and special education enrollments plus federally funded Head Start-41 percent of 4 -year-olds and 16 percent of 3 -year-olds were served. Since 2010, total enrollment in these programs at age 4 has risen by just one percentage point and enrollment at age 3 by one percentage point as well.
- Enrollment has grown little in recent years because unstable funding in many states does not support growth year after year. Instead, each year some states increased enrollment, while others made cuts. In 2014-15, 13 states (15 looking at just 3 - and 4 -year-olds) reduced enrollment with Florida, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin cutting enrollment by more than 2,000 children each.

[^0]
## Quality:

- Six programs improved against NIEER's Quality Standards Benchmarks checklist and six states plus one program in Louisiana now meet all 10 benchmarks for minimum state pre-K quality standards. West Virginia and Mississippi are the newest states to earn all 10 benchmarks.
- Also on the plus side, the states meeting all 10 minimums for quality standards include some with the highest percentages of children in poverty (e.g., AL, MS, LA, NC, and WV).
- On the downside, California, Texas, and Florida have the highest numbers of children in poverty, serve the largest numbers of children, and have some of the lowest quality standards in the nation.


## Major Developments:

- Hawaii and Mississippi joined the vast majority of states in offering state-funded pre-K. Indiana began serving students in state-funded pre-K through two pilot initiatives in 2014. Although Indiana is not included in the rankings because these are small pilot programs, it is a noteworthy addition.
- New York's accelerated policy development sets an example for other states. In one year they added over 13,000 new spaces, greatly expanded access to full-day services, and put in place new policies and practices to support high quality. To do this, the state invested an additional $\$ 358$ million dollars and raised funding per child by 70 percent.
- The District of Columbia served more 3- and 4-year-olds than ever in 2014-2015, but the percentage of the population served nevertheless fell. Census data reveal that the number of preschool-age children (but not older children) in the District has increased since the District introduced new policies to support high-quality pre-K for all. It appears families are voting with their feet (and housing choices) for high-quality, full-day, universal pre-K in the District of Columbia.
- Also good news is the continued expansion of California's Transitional Kindergarten, though not counted as state pre-K it serves children who are too young to enter kindergarten. By itself this program would add 77,274 children to the pre-K rolls, raising the national percentage served to 31 percent of 4 -year-olds and adding $\$ 604$ million to funding for a grand total of $\$ 6.8$ billion nationally.



## A CALL TO ACTION

State pre-K programs continued moving in the right direction during the 2014-2015 school year with larger increases in spending, spending per child, and enrollment than the previous year and additional states meeting more quality standards. States also moved off of the No Program list. However, state pre-K is still far from where it needs to be to ensure that all children receive a high-quality education during the year (or two) before kindergarten.

Expansion of public pre-K is only a worthwhile public investment if children receive a high-quality education. Unfortunately, even many of the states that have chosen to fund pre-K have not committed sufficient resources to fund a high-quality program. Three of the four states with the largest populations of 3 - and 4 -year-olds rank toward the bottom on quality standards and spending per child. Florida stands out for offering universal pre-K funded at just $\$ 2,300$ per child.

If young children are to receive the high-quality education that leaves a sustained impact, state policies will have to change. Standards must be raised. Funding should be increased and stabilized. This will happen only if policy makers recognize that high quality pre-K is a necessity, not a luxury that can be passed over when the budget gets tight. Local and federal governments also can play a role in supporting improvements. Pre-K, like public education, generally depends on local and, to a lesser extent, federal government policy and funding, as well.
Where states have not led the way, cities often have taken up the challenge. From Boston to San Antonio, San Francisco, and Seattle, cities have led the way on expanded access to higher-quality pre-K. Hopefully, their states will follow with broader support. New York City provides an example of a city that successfully worked with its state to move an entire state forward, though it remains to be seen how much and how fast progress is extended to the rest of New York State.
Traditionally, the federal government has helped to lead by offering financial incentives for states to improve educational equity and excellence. The federal government also funds early childhood services for children in poverty directly through Head Start. Next year (2015-2016) we expect to see the first impacts on states of the new federal Preschool Development Grant program that supports increased access to pre-K and which was subsequently included in the Every Student Succeeds Act.
Looking back across the years, it is evident that even this year's rate of progress is not enough to bring high-quality pre-K to every child any time soon. Government at every level will need to redouble their efforts, and states, in particular, will have to move forward. Indeed, just one modest step taken by every state could ensure a much greater rate of progress: never take a step backward. If every state kept a pledge to not cut funding, relax standards, or reduce enrollment, this alone could double annual progress.

## BUILDING QUALITY PRE-K THE NEW YORK WAY

Pre-K for All is New York City's historic initiative to provide free, full-day, high-quality pre-kindergarten to every 4-year-old in the city. Prior to expansion, 58,000 children attended pre-kindergarten in New York City, with only 19,287 children enrolled in full-day programs. The 2014-2015 school year marked the first year of the Mayor's Pre-K for All program with the goal of creating access to full-day pre-K for all 4 -year-olds. In just two years the City added 53,120 full-day seats. Enrollment is high across every community, with the highest participation among low-income families. In 2015-2016 the City enrolled 68,647 children in full-day pre-K-a number more than triple the children who attended full-day programs before expansion and larger than the entire school population of major cities like Boston-about 70 percent of all 4-year-olds in the City.
Pre-K for All is delivered in public and privately operated settings including district schools, charters, and independent organizations that contract with the City. Pre-K students receive a full day of education-6 hours and 20 minutesfor 180 days grounded in the Prekindergarten Foundation for the Common Core, the New York State pre-K learning standards covering all aspects of a child's development and learning. The NYCDOE supports all pre-K programs with differentiated support at both the classroom and program level. This includes: targeted professional learning for lead teachers, assistant teachers, and paraprofessionals; on-site support for leaders and teachers; resources; and guidance from 100 Instructional Coordinators and 125 Social Workers.

The expansion required significant investments in new educators, spaces, programming and oversight across more than a dozen City agencies. In the first year of expansion, New York City facilitated the opening of new sites, recruitment and development of new teachers, rigorous multi-agency inspections to ensure quality and safety, and extensive outreach to families to encourage enrollment in new programs.
The city's model is designed to ensure a sustainable high-quality workforce by recruiting, training, and retaining qualified teachers and administrators. All lead teachers have at least a BA, and are either certified or on a study plan for full certification. In order to retain highly qualified teachers, the NYCDOE encourages all providers to pay competitive salaries and took extra steps to increase pay for pre-K teachers across the system with a goal of moving toward parity wherever possible.

## TABLE 1: STATE RANKINGS AND QUALITY CHECKLIST SUMS

| STATE | Access for 4-Year-Olds Rank | Access for 3-Year-Olds Rank | Resource Rank Based on State Spending | Resource Rank Based on All Reported Spending | Quality Standards Checklist Sum (Maximum of 10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 29 | None served | 17 | 15 | 10 |
| Alaska | 39 | None served | 14 | 19 | 10 |
| Arizona | 35 | 20 | 32 | 38 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 3 | 22 | 27 | 9 |
| California | 28 | 9 | 20 | 24 | 4 |
| Colorado | 22 | 11 | 38 | 31 | 6 |
| Connecticut | 23* | 8* | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| Delaware | 33 | None served | 9 | 13 | 8 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Florida | 3 | None served | 40 | 41 | $3{ }^{+}$ |
| Georgia | 8 | None served | 24 | 29 | 8 |
| Hawaii | 42 | None served | 7 | 12 | 9 |
| Illinois | 20 | 4 | 34 | 33 | 8 |
| Iowa | 7 | 18 | 35 | 35 | 6.9 |
| Kansas | 25 | None served | 41 | 42 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 21 | 10 | 25 | 11 | 9 |
| Louisiana | 16 | None served | 21 | 26 | 9.1 |
| Maine | 13 | None served | 37 | 40 | 5 |
| Maryland | 14 | 16 | 31 | 36 | 8 |
| Massachusetts | 34 | 13 | 29 | 30 | 6 |
| Michigan | 15 | None served | 12 | 18 | 8 |
| Minnesota | 43* | 25* | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 37 | 27 | 43 | 32 | 10 |
| Missouri | 38 | 22 | 33 | 39 | 8 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 6 | 36 | 22 | 7 |
| Nevada | 40 | 23 | 39 | 37 | 7 |
| New Jersey | 19 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 8.8 |
| New Mexico | 18 | None served | 19 | 25 | 8 |
| New York | 9 | 28 | 10 | 16 | 7 |
| North Carolina | 24 | None served | 16 | 9 | 10 |
| Ohio | 36 | 21 | 23 | 28 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 4 | None served | 28 | 10 | 8 |
| Oregon | 31 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 30* | 15* | 15 | 23 | 6.7 |
| Rhode Island | 41 | None served | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| South Carolina | 11* | 17* | 42 | 43 | 6.4 |
| Tennessee | 26* | 26* | 18 | 14 | 9 |
| Texas | 10 | 12 | 30 | 34 | 2 |
| Vermont | 2 | 2 | 11 | 17 | 4 |
| Virginia | 27 | None served | 27 | 21 | 6 |
| Washington | 32 | 19 | 8 | 7 | 9 |
| West Virginia | 5 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 6* | 24* | 26 | 20 | 5.1 |
| Idaho | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
| Indiana** | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
| Montana | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
| New Hampshire | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
| North Dakota | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
| South Dakota | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
| Utah | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
| Wyoming | No program | No program | No program | No program | No program |
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## NATIONAL ACCESS

Total state preschool enrollment, all ages ................ 1, 378, 146
State-funded preschool programs .................... 57 programs in
42 states and D.C. ${ }^{1}$


OF THE 57 STATE-FUNDED PRE-K INITIATIVES, NUMBER MEETING BENCHMARKS
57
Early learning standards Comprehensive 33
Teacher specialized training ..... 47BA
Assistant teacher degree CDA or equivalent .....  21
Teacher in-service. .At least 15 hours/year .....  48
Maximum class size 20 or lower ..... 48
3-year-olds4-year-olds
Staff-child ratio $1: 10$ or better ..... 49
3-year-olds
4-year-olds
Screening/referral Vision, hearing, health; and .....  38
and support services at least 1 support service
Meals At least 1/day ..... 29
Monitoring Site visits at least every five years ..... 39
NATIONAL RESOURCES
Total state preschool spending
$. \$ 6,224,478,677^{5}$
Local match required?.................................. 13 state programs require a local match
State Head Start spending $\qquad$ .\$163,890,322
State spending per child enrolled ..... \$4,521
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..... \$5,123

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED
 1 Throughout this report, the District of Columbia is included like a state. Figures indicating change over time have been adjusted to reflect 50 state plus D.C. totals. D.C. is also
included in rankings as a "state," creating a list of 43 states for rankings. In 2014-2015, Indiana began offering a state-funded pre-K program enrolling 415 children. Because it included in rankings as a "state," creating a list of 43 states for rankings. In 2014-2015, Indiana began offering a state-funded pre-K program enrolling
served less than $1 \%$ of 4 -year-olds, it does not meet NIEER's criteria for a pre-K program and these children are not included in the enrollment total.
2 NIEER's definitions of hours of operations are as follows: part-day programs serve children for fewer than 4 hours per day; school-day programs serve children at least 4 hours but fewer than 8 hours per day; and extended-day programs serve children for 8 or more hours per day. Some pre-K initiatives offer multiple hours of operation, such as a combination of part-day and school-day programs, but only the minimum one offered is listed here.
3 The enrollment figure for federal Head Start, ages 3 and 4, includes children enrolled in the program in all 50 states, D.C., and the U.S. territories, as well as enrollment in the Migrant and American Indiana/Alaskan Native programs. Past years did not include the enrollment of children in the territories. The enrollment figure for total federal Head Start, all ages, includes all children served in any location, including the U.S. territories, and migrant and American Indian programs. These numbers do not include children funded by state match.
4 This figure is based on the Head Start Enrollment supported by state match as reported by ACF. This figure includes 16,052 children who attended programs that were considered to be state-funded preschool initiatives. These children are also counted in the state-funded preschool enrollment total.
5 This figure includes federal TANF funds directed toward preschool at states' discretion. In 2014-2015 Indiana began offering a state-funded pre-K program with $\$ 1$ million in state funding. Because it served less than $1 \%$ of 4 -year-olds, these funds are not reflected in the funding total.

## National Overview

## ENROLLMENT INCREASES MODESTLY

State-funded pre-K served 1,378,146 children in 2014-2015. State pre-K continues to be largely a program for 4-year-olds, who account for almost 1.2 million, or about 84 percent, of the children enrolled. Table 2 reports the number and percentage of the population enrolled by state and in total.

Total enrollment in 2014-2015 increased by 31,863 from the prior year, including 14,577 3-year-olds and 7,091 4-year-olds. And, while some states increased enrollment others cut back. Table 3 reports enrollment changes from the first year we started tracking state pre-K (2001-2002) and from 2013-2014.
Across the nation, 29 percent of 4-year-olds and nearly 5 percent of 3 -year-olds were enrolled in state-funded pre-K in 2014-2015. Enrollment varied widely from state-to-state. The District of Columbia served the highest percentage of children at both ages 3 and 4. Vermont, Florida, and Oklahoma followed with each serving over 70 percent of the state's 4 -year-olds. Other states enrolling more than half of 4 -year-olds include West Virginia, Wisconsin, lowa, and Georgia. On the other hand, 12 states served less than 10 percent of 4 -year-olds. Seven other states had no program and Indiana began a small pilot program. Figure 1 displays a map of state pre-K enrollment at age 4 by state.

Twenty-eight of the 43 states with state pre-K programs enroll 3-year-olds. The highest percentages served are in the District of Columbia (64\%), Vermont (26\%), Arkansas (21\%), Illinois (20\%), and New Jersey (19\%).

State-funded pre-K is not the only public program serving preschoolers. Both preschool special education and Head Start serve substantial numbers of children. Table 4 adds in children served in those publicly funded programs to yield total numbers and percentage of the population served at ages 3 and 4 by state. To the extent possible, we present unduplicated counts with children served by multiple programs only counted once. Enrollment across all three public programs is at most (because some duplication may remain) 41 percent at age 4 and 16 percent at age 3 .

FIGURE 1: PERCENT OF 4-YEAR-OLDS SERVED IN STATE PRE-K


## QUALITY STANDARDS

The Yearbook compares each state program's standards against a checklist of 10 research-based quality standards benchmarks. These benchmarks are consistent with what research suggests as minimums for highly effective programs. They do not guarantee quality. Effective pre-K programs also require adequate funding and strong implementation. Funding adequacy is, of course, addressed separately in this report. Unfortunately, survey data do not provide a basis for evaluating implementation. A guide to the benchmarks and supporting research begins on page 40.

FIGURE 2: PERCENT OF STATE PRE-K PROGRAMS MEETING BENCHMARKS 2002-2015




| ■ 2001-2002 | - 2002-2003 | - 2004-2005 | - 2005-2006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}=42$ | $n=43$ | $n=47$ | $\mathrm{n}=48$ |
| - 2006-2007 | - 2007-2008 | - 2008-2009 | - 2009-2010 |
| $\mathrm{n}=49$ | $n=50$ | $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=52$ |
| - 2010-2011 | - 2011-2012 | - 2012-2013 | - 2013-2014 |
| $\mathrm{n}=51$ | $\mathrm{n}=54$ | $\mathrm{n}=53$ | $\mathrm{n}=53$ |
| - 2014-2015 |  |  |  |
| $n=57$ |  |  |  |

Figure 2 displays the percentage of programs meeting each of the quality standards from 2001-2002 through 2014-2015. Five states' policy changes (six programs) resulted in gaining an additional benchmark in 2014-2015: two of Louisiana's programs, one of South Carolina's programs, Missouri, Nebraska, and West Virginia. Two Louisiana programs, LA 8(g) and LA 4, now meet the quality standard benchmark for site visits. Missouri now requires at least 15 hours per year of professional development and Nebraska now requires programs to provide at least one meal per day. South Carolina 4K now meets the quality standards benchmark for lead teacher degree. West Virginia increased their assistant teacher credentials to require at least a CDA or equivalent and now meets all 10 quality standards.
In the 2014-2015 school year, seven state programs met all 10 benchmarks: Alabama, Alaska, Mississippi, North Carolina, Rhode Island, West Virginia, and one Louisiana program (NSECD). Eleven states have programs that met nine of 10 benchmarks - Arkansas, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Kentucky, Louisiana (LA 4 only), Minnesota, New Jersey (Abbott pre-K only), Oregon, Pennsylvania (HSSAP only), Tennessee, and Washington.

At the other end of the spectrum, eight programs met fewer than half of the 10 benchmarks. California, Ohio, and Vermont (both programs) met four; Arizona and Florida met three; and Texas met only two. Pennsylvania's K4 program met three benchmarks this year. Particularly concerning, Texas and Pennsylvania's K4 program not only miss the class size and staffchild ratio benchmarks, but set no limits on these at all statewide. California also does not limit class size, but does limit ratio. Table 5 summarizes the quality standards benchmarks met by each program.

## RESOURCES: MAKING REAL GAINS

In 2014-2015, 42 states plus the District of Columbia spent over $\$ 6.2$ billion on pre-K, not including special education funds. The 8 remaining states did not contribute to this total, as they had no pre-K initiative meeting our definition of state-funded pre-K during the 2014-2015 school year. (See page 39 for our explanation of what constitutes a state-funded pre-K program.) Indiana spent almost $\$ 1.1$ million on its new pre-K pilot but is not included in this year's report. One omission that is particularly noteworthy is the California Transitional Kindergarten program, which by itself would add almost $\$ 700$ million in state spending to the total spent serving children during the year prior to kindergarten. Table 6 reports state spending per child and in total as well as changes in spending from the previous year.
Across the 42 states with pre-K and the District of Columbia, total state spending increased by over $\$ 573$ million, a 10 percent increase in real spending from the prior year. Almost two-thirds, or over $\$ 358$ million, of this increase is attributed to New York and primarily to expansion of pre-K in New York City.
Average spending per child increased by $\$ 319$ (inflation-adjusted) to $\$ 4,521$. Spending per child had edged up slightly in recent years, but this year's increase was more substantial. This jump marks the largest single year increase in spending per child since the NIEER Yearbook began. However, about 40 percent of this increase is due to New York and per-child spending is still below where it was in 2008 (in real dollars).
Spending by state varied dramatically. The District of Columbia spends the most per-child at $\$ 16,431$, with New Jersey the highest for a state at $\$ 12,149$. South Carolina and Mississippi report the lowest spending per child, both under $\$ 2,000$ perchild. Year-to-year fluctuations in spending were dramatic, as well. Arizona, Missouri, New Mexico, New York, and Rhode Island all reported increases in per-child spending of more than $\$ 1,000$. Arkansas and Maryland reported decreases of about $\$ 1,000$ per child. Table 6 reports the state spending per child and total state spending in 2014-2015 for each state as well as changes in spending since last year.
Many state-funded pre-K programs utilize additional funds from local and federal sources to help fund pre-K programs. In some states, local education agencies share the costs through a formula just as they do for K-12 education. Funding from all sources is a better indicator of the total resources available to support pre-K (though not a better indicator of state financial commitment). However, not all states can fully, or even partially, report spending from other sources. As a result the all-sources funding per child figures reported in Table 6 understate total spending nationally, and comparisons across states can be distorted by differences in reporting.

Despite the limitations of the "all reported" spending figures, we can determine that local schools and federal funds added at least $\$ 829$ million to state pre-K funds in the 2014-2015 school year, or $\$ 603$ per child. All-source spending totals just over $\$ 7$ billion. Non-state funds reported included $\$ 366$ million in required local funds, $\$ 96$ million in non-required local funds, and $\$ 367$ in non-TANF federal funds. Reported spending per-child from all sources was $\$ 5,123$ nationwide, up from the previous year's \$4,765 (inflation-adjusted).

## TABLE 2: PRE-K ACCESS BY STATE

## ACCESS FOR 4-YEAR-OLDS

## STATE <br> PERCENT OF CHILDREN ENROLLED IN STATE PREKINDERGARTEN (2014-2015)

4 -year-olds $\quad 3$-year-olds $\quad$ Total (3s and 4s)

NUMBER OF CHILDREN ENROLLED IN STATE PREKINDERGARTEN (2014-2015) 4 -year-olds $\quad 3$-year-olds $\quad$ Total (3s and 4s)

| 1 | District of Columbia | 86.3\% | 63.6\% | 74.2\% | 6,637 | 5,541 | 12,178 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Vermont | 83.9\% | 26.1\% | 54.6\% | 5,038 | 1,609 | 6,647 |
| 3 | Florida | 76.5\% | 0.0\% | 38.2\% | 166,522 | 0 | 166,522 |
| 4 | Oklahoma | 74.8\% | 0.0\% | 37.4\% | 40,085 | 0 | 40,085 |
| 5 | West Virginia | 68.0\% | 11.4\% | 39.5\% | 13,779 | 2,338 | 16,117 |
| 6 | Wisconsin | 63.7\% | 0.8\% | 32.5\% | 44,364 | 544 | 44,907 |
| 7 | lowa | 61.1\% | 3.4\% | 32.8\% | 24,384 | 1,331 | 25,715 |
| 8 | Georgia | 58.8\% | 0.0\% | 29.7\% | 80,430 | 0 | 80,430 |
| 9 | New York | 48.7\% | 0.1\% | 23.9\% | 111,973 | 147 | 112,120 |
| 10 | Texas | 47.8\% | 7.3\% | 27.6\% | 189,796 | 28,614 | 218,410 |
| 11 | South Carolina | 46.9\% | 4.0\% | 25.8\% | 28,102 | 2,320 | 30,422 |
| 12 | Arkansas | 38.5\% | 21.4\% | 29.9\% | 14,735 | 8,216 | 22,951 |
| 13 | Maine | 36.2\% | 0.0\% | 18.3\% | 4,797 | 0 | 4,797 |
| 14 | Maryland | 36.0\% | 4.6\% | 20.2\% | 26,631 | 3,423 | 30,054 |
| 15 | Michigan | 32.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.1\% | 37,112 | 0 | 37,112 |
| 16 | Louisiana | 31.9\% | 0.0\% | 16.0\% | 19,732 | 0 | 19,732 |
| 17 | Nebraska | 30.5\% | 13.5\% | 22.1\% | 8,020 | 3,511 | 11,531 |
| 18 | New Mexico | 30.0\% | 0.0\% | 15.1\% | 8,397 | 0 | 8,397 |
| 19 | New Jersey | 28.6\% | 18.6\% | 23.6\% | 30,703 | 20,330 | 51,033 |
| 20 | Illinois | 27.0\% | 19.7\% | 23.4\% | 43,387 | 31,525 | 74,912 |
| 21 | Kentucky | 25.8\% | 8.1\% | 17.0\% | 14,229 | 4,487 | 18,716 |
| 22 | Colorado | 23.3\% | 7.9\% | 15.7\% | 15,913 | 5,375 | 21,288 |
| 23 | Connecticut | 23.1\% | 9.3\% | 16.2\% | 8,976 | 3,619 | 12,595 |
| 24 | North Carolina | 21.5\% | 0.0\% | 10.9\% | 26,851 | 0 | 26,851 |
| 25 | Kansas | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.1\% | 8,134 | 0 | 8,134 |
| 26 | Tennessee | 19.3\% | 0.7\% | 10.1\% | 15,648 | 526 | 16,173 |
| 27 | Virginia | 17.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 18,250 | 0 | 18,250 |
| 28 | California | 17.5\% | 8.3\% | 12.9\% | 87,794 | 42,568 | 130,362 |
| 29 | Alabama | 12.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.0\% | 7,243 | 0 | 7,243 |
| 30 | Pennsylvania | 12.0\% | 5.8\% | 8.9\% | 17,093 | 8,345 | 25,438 |
| 31 | Oregon | 10.0\% | 6.4\% | 8.2\% | 4,674 | 2,988 | 7,662 |
| 32 | Washington | 8.0\% | 3.3\% | 5.6\% | 7,128 | 2,963 | 10,091 |
| 33 | Delaware | 7.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.7\% | 843 | 0 | 843 |
| 34 | Massachusetts | 7.2\% | 6.8\% | 7.0\% | 5,238 | 5,036 | 10,274 |
| 35 | Arizona | 5.5\% | 2.8\% | 4.2\% | 4,850 | 2,378 | 7,228 |
| 36 | Ohio | 4.8\% | 2.2\% | 3.5\% | 6,654 | 2,995 | 9,649 |
| 37 | Mississippi | 4.1\% | 0.3\% | 2.2\% | 1,641 | 119 | 1,760 |
| 38 | Missouri | 3.9\% | 1.7\% | 2.8\% | 2,961 | 1,298 | 4,259 |
| 39 | Alaska | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 319 | 0 | 319 |
| 40 | Nevada | 3.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% | 1,085 | 313 | 1,398 |
| 41 | Rhode Island | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 306 | 0 | 306 |
| 42 | Hawaii | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 365 | 0 | 365 |
| 43 | Minnesota | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 735 | 542 | 1,277 |
| No Program | Idaho | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Program | Indiana* | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Program | Montana | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Program | New Hampshire | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Program | North Dakota | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Program | South Dakota | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Program | Utah | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Program | Wyoming | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | United States | 29.0\% | 4.8\% | 16.9\% | 1,161,554 | 193,000 | 1,354,554** |

For details about how these figures were calculated, see the Methodology section and Roadmap to the State Profile Pages.

* Though not included in this year's state comparisons because it is a pilot program serving less than $1 \%$ of the state's 4 -year-olds, Indiana served 4154 -year-olds or roughly $.5 \%$ of 4 -year-olds in its
pre-K program.
** Nationwide, an additional 23,592 children of other ages were enrolled in state prekindergarten, for a total enrollment of $1,378,146$.


## table 3: Change in preschool enrollment over time

ENROLLMENT CHANGES FROM 2001-2002 TO 2014-2015
Change in 3-year-olds
STATE $\quad$ Number $\begin{gathered}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { Point }\end{gathered}$
Change in 4 -year-olds

ENROLLMENT CHANGES FROM 2013-2014 TO 2014-2015

| Alabama | 0 | $0 \%$ | 6,487 | $11 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1,738 | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 0 | $0 \%$ | 319 | $3 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| Arizona | 2,378 | $3 \%$ | 573 | $0 \%$ | 1,294 | $2 \%$ | $-1,267$ | $-1 \%$ |
| Arkansas | 7,274 | $19 \%$ | 12,511 | $32 \%$ | 3,318 | $9 \%$ | 103 | $1 \%$ |
| California | 31,644 | $6 \%$ | 43,260 | $9 \%$ | -487 | $0 \%$ | -914 | $0 \%$ |
| Colorado | 4,645 | $7 \%$ | 7,593 | $9 \%$ | 181 | $0 \%$ | 654 | $1 \%$ |
| Connecticut ${ }^{*}$ | 2,083 | $6 \%$ | 4,560 | $14 \%$ | 1,272 | $3 \%$ | 3,595 | $9 \%$ |
| Delaware | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 208 | $2 \%$ |


| District of Columbia | 4,416 | $44 \%$ | 3,626 | $42 \%$ | 177 | $-6 \%$ | 208 | 21 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida | 0 | $0 \%$ | 166,522 | $76 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | $-3,744$ | $-12 \%$ |
| Georgia | 0 | $0 \%$ | 16,817 | $5 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | $-1,023$ | $-3 \%$ |
| Hawaii | 0 | $0 \%$ | 365 | $2 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 365 | $2 \%$ |
| Idaho | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Illinois | 17,427 | $12 \%$ | 4,485 | $6 \%$ | 300 | $0 \%$ | -391 | $0 \%$ |
| Indiana** | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| lowa | 820 | $2 \%$ | 22,828 | $57 \%$ | -204 | $0 \%$ | 520 | $1 \%$ |


| lowa | 820 | $2 \%$ | 22,828 | $57 \%$ | -204 | $0 \%$ | 520 | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kansas | 0 | $0 \%$ | 5,904 | $14 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | -134 | $0 \%$ |
| Kentucky | -385 | $-1 \%$ | 1,412 | $2 \%$ | 399 | $1 \%$ | $-2,241$ | $-4 \%$ |


| Louisiana | 0 | $0 \%$ | 12,213 | $20 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | -36 | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3,357 | $27 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 76 | $1 \%$ |
| Maryland | 2,015 | $3 \%$ | 8,257 | $11 \%$ | 250 | $0 \%$ | 273 | $0 \%$ |
| Massachusetts | $-4,396$ | $-5 \%$ | $-4,194$ | $-4 \%$ | $153^{+}$ | $0 \%{ }^{+}$ | $159 \dagger^{\dagger}$ | $0 \%{ }^{+}$ |


| Massachusetts | $-4,396$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Michigan | 0 |
| Minnesota* $^{*}$ | -273 |


| Minnesota | -273 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mississippi | 119 |
| Missouri | -1.248 |


|  | $-1,248$ | $-2 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Montana | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Nebraska | 3,387 | $13 \%$ |


| Nevada | 202 | $0 \%$ | 764 | $2 \%$ | 313 | $1 \%$ | -316 | $-1 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Hampshire | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| New Jersey | 7,545 | $7 \%$ | 6,822 | $8 \%$ | -339 | $-1 \%$ | -435 | $-1 \%$ |
| New Mexico | -470 | $-2 \%$ | 8,027 | $29 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 723 | $3 \%$ |
| New York | $-5,688$ | $-2 \%$ | 48,474 | $24 \%$ | -68 | $0 \%$ | 13,278 | $5 \%$ |
| North Carolina | 0 | $0 \%$ | 25,611 | $20 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 234 | $0 \%$ |
| North Dakota | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Ohio | $-6,719$ | $-4 \%$ | $-7,231$ | $-4 \%$ | 796 | $1 \%$ | 865 | $1 \%$ |
| Oklahoma | 0 | $0 \%$ | 14,206 | $19 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | -738 | $-2 \%$ |
| Oregon | 1,879 | $4 \%$ | 2,085 | $4 \%$ | 406 | $1 \%$ | 47 | $0 \%$ |
| Pennsylvania* | 8,345 | $6 \%$ | 14,543 | $10 \%$ | 760 | $0 \%$ | 68 | $0 \%$ |
| Rhode Island | 0 | $0 \%$ | 306 | $3 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 72 | $1 \%$ |
| South Carolina* | 1,970 | $3 \%$ | 12,452 | $17 \%$ | $-1,565$ | $-3 \%$ | 4,851 | $8 \%$ |
| South Dakota | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Tennessee | -316 | $0 \%$ | 13,890 | $17 \%$ | -75 | $0 \%$ | $-2,245$ | $-3 \%$ |
| Texas | 8,873 | $1 \%$ | 62,213 | $9 \%$ | 6,049 | $2 \%$ | $-13,852$ | $-4 \%$ |
| Utah | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Vermont ${ }^{*}$ | 1,240 | $21 \%$ | 4,418 | $75 \%$ | 257 | $4 \%$ | 222 | $0 \%$ |
| Virginia | 0 | $0 \%$ | 12,372 | $12 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 229 | $0 \%$ |
| Washington | 1,814 | $2 \%$ | 2,343 | $2 \%$ | 1,277 | $1 \%$ | 73 | $0 \%$ |
| West Virginia | 570 | $3 \%$ | 8,694 | $44 \%$ | 32 | $0 \%$ | -370 | $-1 \%$ |
| Wisconsin* | -144 | $0 \%$ | 30,860 | $45 \%$ | -189 | $0 \%$ | $-1,959$ | $-2 \%$ |
| Wyoming | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| U.S. | 89,007 | $2 \%$ | 596,423 | $15 \%$ | 14,577 | $0 \%$ | 7,091 | $0 \%$ |

[^2]TABLE 4: 2014-2015 ENROLLMENT OF 3- AND 4-YEAR-OLDS IN STATE PRE-K, PRESCHOOL SPECIAL EDUCATION, AND FEDERAL AND STATE HEAD START

| STATE | Pre-K + Pre-K Special Education |  |  |  | Pre-K + Pre-K Special Education + Head Start ${ }^{\text {+t }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3-year-olds |  | 4-year-olds |  | 3-year-olds |  | 4-year-olds |  |
|  | Number Enrolled | Percent of State Population | Number Enrolled | Percent of State Population | Number Enrolled | Percent of State Population | Number Enrolled | Percent of State Population |
| Alabama ${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ | 841 | 1.4\% | 8,651 | 14.3\% | 8,099 | 13.6\% | 17,373 | 28.8\% |
| Alaska* | 320 | 2.8\% | 887 | 8.5\% | 1,441 | 12.6\% | 2,442 | 23.5\% |
| Arizona | 4,939 | 5.8\% | 9,171 | 10.3\% | 10,846 | 12.7\% | 19,121 | 21.5\% |
| Arkansas | 9,656 | 25.1\% | 17,250 | 45.1\% | 14,001 | 36.4\% | 21,289 | 55.7\% |
| California* | 56,342 | 11.0\% | 108,602 | 21.6\% | 98,139 | 19.2\% | 153,660 | 30.6\% |
| Colorado | 7,875 | 11.6\% | 19,617 | 28.7\% | 12,142 | 17.9\% | 25,005 | 36.6\% |
| Connecticut ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 5,477 | 14.1\% | 10,928 | 28.1\% | 7,708 | 19.9\% | 13,164 | 33.8\% |
| Delaware ${ }^{\text {¢, +t }}$ | 356 | 3.1\% | 1,428 | 12.7\% | 1,115 | 9.7\% | 1,474 | 13.1\% |
| District of Columbia+ ${ }^{+}$ | 5,541 | 63.6\% | 6,637 | 86.3\% | 5,541 | 63.6\% | 6,637 | 86.3\% |
| Florida | 6,421 | 2.9\% | 166,522 | 76.5\% | 20,791 | 9.5\% | 185,748 | 85.3\% |
| Georgia ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 2,476 | 1.9\% | 81,976 | 59.9\% | 14,467 | 10.8\% | 86,438 | 63.2\% |
| Hawaii | 561 | 3.0\% | 989 | 5.7\% | 1,499 | 8.1\% | 2,675 | 15.3\% |
| Idaho | 584 | 2.6\% | 903 | 3.9\% | 1,568 | 6.9\% | 3,114 | 13.3\% |
| Illinois ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 33,130 | 20.7\% | 48,500 | 30.2\% | 48,754 | 30.5\% | 65,784 | 41.0\% |
| Indiana** | 3,684 | 4.4\% | 4,996 | 5.9\% | 9,209 | 10.9\% | 12,469 | 14.7\% |
| lowa ${ }^{\text {+t }}$ | 2,006 | 5.2\% | 25,060 | 62.8\% | 4,609 | 11.9\% | 27,216 | 68.2\% |
| Kansas | 2,204 | 5.5\% | 11,116 | 27.4\% | 5,287 | 13.2\% | 14,349 | 35.4\% |
| Kentucky | 4,487 | 8.1\% | 14,229 | 25.8\% | 11,233 | 20.4\% | 22,112 | 40.0\% |
| Louisiana* | 682 | 1.1\% | 20,560 | 33.2\% | 12,343 | 20.0\% | 27,951 | 45.2\% |
| Maine* | 475 | 3.7\% | 5,705 | 43.1\% | 1,581 | 12.3\% | 7,263 | 54.9\% |
| Maryland | 5,935 | 7.9\% | 28,651 | 38.7\% | 11,988 | 16.0\% | 35,693 | 48.2\% |
| Massachusetts ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 7,696 | 10.4\% | 9,495 | 13.1\% | 12,164 | 16.4\% | 13,071 | 18.0\% |
| Michigan ${ }^{+1}$ | 3,540 | 3.1\% | 37,112 | 32.0\% | 16,444 | 14.3\% | 45,944 | 39.6\% |
| Minnesotat. ${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ | 3,359 | 4.8\% | 5,116 | 7.3\% | 7,188 | 10.3\% | 9,996 | 14.2\% |
| Mississippit+ | 598 | 1.5\% | 3,051 | 7.7\% | 11,825 | 30.2\% | 15,206 | 38.2\% |
| Missouri | 3,813 | 5.1\% | 7,942 | 10.5\% | 10,599 | 14.1\% | 14,889 | 19.6\% |
| Montana | 126 | 1.0\% | 356 | 2.9\% | 1,845 | 15.1\% | 2,737 | 22.2\% |
| Nebraska | 3,511 | 13.5\% | 8,020 | 30.5\% | 5,401 | 20.8\% | 10,322 | 39.2\% |
| Nevada ${ }^{+\dagger}$ | 2,196 | 6.2\% | 3,738 | 10.2\% | 3,512 | 9.9\% | 5,118 | 14.0\% |
| New Hampshire | 868 | 6.5\% | 1,016 | 7.7\% | 1,449 | 10.8\% | 1,736 | 13.2\% |
| New Jersey | 25,013 | 22.9\% | 36,733 | 34.2\% | 31,564 | 29.0\% | 43,439 | 40.5\% |
| New Mexico | 1,184 | 4.3\% | 10,216 | 36.5\% | 4,797 | 17.3\% | 14,362 | 51.3\% |
| New York* | 15,700 | 6.6\% | 125,172 | 54.4\% | 35,435 | 14.8\% | 148,122 | 64.4\% |
| North Carolina ${ }^{+\dagger}$ | 3,417 | 2.8\% | 30,772 | 24.7\% | 10,675 | 8.8\% | 37,843 | 30.3\% |
| North Dakota | 295 | 3.0\% | 455 | 4.7\% | 1,564 | 15.8\% | 2,010 | 20.8\% |
| Ohio | 6,690 | 4.8\% | 12,804 | 9.2\% | 20,862 | 15.1\% | 30,406 | 21.8\% |
| Oklahoma | 940 | 1.8\% | 40,564 | 75.7\% | 8,801 | 16.5\% | 47,363 | 88.4\% |
| Oregon ${ }^{+\dagger}$ | 4,762 | 10.3\% | 6,775 | 14.5\% | 4,762 | 10.3\% | 6,775 | 14.5\% |
| Pennsylvania* ${ }^{\text {t. } \dagger \dagger}$ | 14,271 | 9.9\% | 25,366 | 17.8\% | 23,435 | 16.3\% | 37,395 | 26.2\% |
| Rhode Island | 596 | 5.4\% | 1,072 | 9.8\% | 1,597 | 14.5\% | 2,335 | 21.4\% |
| South Carolina* | 3,140 | 5.4\% | 28,501 | 47.5\% | 9,567 | 16.5\% | 33,628 | 56.1\% |
| South Dakota | 415 | 3.4\% | 709 | 6.0\% | 2,140 | 17.6\% | 2,755 | 23.3\% |
| Tennessee | 2,045 | 2.6\% | 17,343 | 21.4\% | 8,413 | 10.6\% | 26,394 | 32.6\% |
| Texas | 33,295 | 8.4\% | 189,796 | 47.8\% | 63,108 | 16.0\% | 225,501 | 56.8\% |
| Utah | 2,234 | 4.4\% | 3,022 | 5.9\% | 4,364 | 8.7\% | 6,547 | 12.8\% |
| Vermont ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 1,609 | 26.1\% | 5,038 | 83.9\% | 2,088 | 33.9\% | 5,629 | 93.7\% |
| Virginia* | 3,175 | 3.1\% | 21,775 | 21.4\% | 8,156 | 7.9\% | 28,826 | 28.3\% |
| Washington | 5,761 | 6.4\% | 10,771 | 12.1\% | 10,523 | 11.7\% | 17,636 | 19.7\% |
| West Virginia ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | 2,338 | 11.4\% | 13,779 | 68.0\% | 2,953 | 14.4\% | 14,597 | 72.0\% |
| Wisconsin ${ }^{\text {t, }{ }^{\text {t }} \text { + }}$ | 2,844 | 4.1\% | 44,929 | 64.5\% | 9,821 | 14.3\% | 49,915 | 71.7\% |
| Wyoming | 569 | 7.4\% | 961 | 12.3\% | 1,207 | 15.8\% | 1,869 | 24.0\% |
| 50 States | 309,992 | 7.7\% | 1,294,777 | 32.3\% | 638,619 | 15.9\% | 1,653,342 | 41.3\% |

[^3]TABLE 5: 2014-2015 STATE PRE-K QUALITY STANDARDS

| STATE/ <br> PROGRAM | Comprehensive early learning standards | Teacher has BA | Specialized training in pre-K | Assistant teacher has CDA or equiv. | At least $15 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ in-service | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Class } \\ & \text { size } 20 \end{aligned}$ or lower | Staffchild ratio 1:10 or better | Vision, hearing, health, and one support service | At least one meal | Site visits | Quality Standards Checklist Sum 2014-2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 |
| Alaska | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 |
| Arizona | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 3 |
| Arkansas | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| California | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| Colorado | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 6 |
| Connecticut CDCC | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 6 |
| Connecticut SRP | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 6 |
| Delaware | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| District of Columbia | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| Florida ${ }^{+}$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 3 |
| Georgia | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| Hawaii | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| Illinois | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| Iowa Shared Visions | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 6 |
| lowa SVPP | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |
| Kansas Preschool | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 6 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 6 |
| Kentucky | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| LA 8(g) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| LA 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| LA NSECD | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 |
| Maine | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Maryland | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| Massachusetts 391 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 6 |
| Massachusetts UPK | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 6 |
| Michigan | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| Minnesota | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| Mississippi | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 |
| Missouri | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 8 |
| Nebraska | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 7 |
| Nevada | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |
| New Jersey Abbott | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| New Jersey ECPA | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| New Jersey ELLI | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| New Mexico | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| New York | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 7 |
| North Carolina | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 |
| Ohio | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 4 |
| Oklahoma | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 |
| Oregon | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 5 |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| Pennsylvania K4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Pennsylvania PKC | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 7 |
| Rhode Island | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 |
| South Carolina 4K | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 6 |
| South Carolina CDEP | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 7 |
| Tennessee | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| Texas | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Vermont Act 62 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| Vermont EEI | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| Virginia | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 6 |
| Washington | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 9 |
| West Virginia | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 10 |
| WI 4K | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 5 |
| WI HdSt | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 8 |
| TOTAL | 57 | 33 | 47 | 21 | 48 | 48 | 49 | 38 | 29 | 39 |  |

[^4]
## TABLE 6: PRE-K RESOURCES PER CHILD ENROLLED BY STATE

| STATE | Resources rank based on state spending | State \$ per child enrolled in pre-K | Change in state \$ per child spending from 2013-2014 Adjusted dollars | Total state spending in 2014-2015 | Change in total state spending from 2013-2014 Adjusted dollars | State Reported non-state funds | All reported \$ per child |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District of Columbia | 1 | \$16,431 | \$828 | \$207,226,639 | \$13,344,950 | Yes | \$17,509 |
| New Jersey | 2 | \$12,149 | -\$190 | \$619,992,300 | -\$19,253,069 | No | \$12,149 |
| Rhode Island | 3 | \$9,641 | \$1,180 | \$2,950,000 | \$970,268 | No | \$9,641 |
| Oregon | 4 | \$8,648 | \$50 | \$66,263,178 | \$4,277,239 | No | \$8,648 |
| Connecticut | 5 | \$8,106 | -\$933 | \$119,151,878 | \$35,168,021 | Yes | \$10,184 |
| Minnesota | 6 | \$7,824 | -\$371 | \$10,804,944 | -\$3,454,090 | No | \$7,824 |
| Hawaii | 7 | \$7,671 | NA | \$2,800,000 | \$2,800,000 | No | \$7,671 |
| Washington | 8 | \$7,599 | \$841 | \$76,676,973 | \$17,605,915 | Yes | \$8,232 |
| Delaware | 9 | \$7,100 | -\$304 | \$5,985,300 | -\$256,239 | No | \$7,100 |
| New York | 10 | \$6,617 | \$2,739 | \$741,851,094 | \$358,312,500 | No | \$6,617 |
| Vermont* | 11 | \$6,589 | -\$199 | \$44,995,493 | \$2,461,849 | Yes | \$6,589 |
| Michigan | 12 | \$6,447 | \$658 | \$239,275,000 | \$62,385,875 | No | \$6,447 |
| West Virginia** | 13 | \$6,427 | \$54 | \$98,051,203 | -\$474,569 | Yes | \$9,898 |
| Alaska | 14 | \$6,270 | \$40 | \$2,000,000 | \$187,210 | No | \$6,270 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | \$5,630 | -\$245 | \$146,590,191 | -\$1,146,634 | No | \$5,630 |
| North Carolina | 16 | \$5,340 | \$90 | \$143,385,799 | \$3,657,473 | Yes | \$7,793 |
| Alabama | 17 | \$5,333 | \$981 | \$38,624,146 | \$14,665,969 | Yes | \$6,666 |
| Tennessee | 18 | \$5,219 | \$539 | \$84,941,414 | -\$2,152,962 | Yes | \$6,687 |
| New Mexico | 19 | \$4,722 | \$1,114 | \$39,654,300 | \$11,964,288 | Yes | \$4,722 |
| California | 20 | \$4,694 | \$332 | \$622,930,873 | \$45,409,161 | Yes | \$5,441 |
| Louisiana | 21 | \$4,570 | -\$63 | \$90,184,905 | -\$1,417,281 | Partly | \$4,658 |
| Arkansas | 22 | \$4,372 | -\$1,255 | \$111,000,000 | -\$1,665,000 | Yes | \$4,372 |
| Ohio | 23 | \$4,000 | -\$60 | \$44,360,000 | \$11,267,981 | No | \$4,000 |
| Georgia | 24 | \$3,880 | \$78 | \$312,053,998 | \$2,393,283 | No | \$3,880 |
| Kentucky | 25 | \$3,835 | \$314 | \$71,767,687 | -\$617,343 | Yes | \$7,679 |
| Wisconsin | 26 | \$3,802 | \$221 | \$185,264,100 | \$7,371,039 | Partly | \$6,018 |
| Virginia | 27 | \$3,742 | -\$55 | \$68,300,254 | -\$135,405 | Yes | \$5,887 |
| Oklahoma | 28 | \$3,709 | -\$17 | \$148,690,138 | -\$3,417,434 | Yes | \$7,782 |
| Massachusetts | 29 | \$3,626 | -\$122 | \$48,580,099 | -\$118,283 | Yes | \$3,847 |
| Texas | 30 | \$3,584 | \$52 | \$786,601,324 | -\$12,352,960 | Yes | \$3,639 |
| Maryland | 31 | \$3,572 | -\$996 | \$108,517,116 | -\$26,365,319 | No | \$3,572 |
| Arizona | 32 | \$3,413 | \$1,847 | \$35,829,787 | \$23,338,395 | No | \$3,413 |
| Missouri | 33 | \$3,211 | \$1,172 | \$13,677,763 | \$5,778,156 | No | \$3,211 |
| Illinois | 34 | \$3,161 | -\$50 | \$237,573,973 | -\$4,034,054 | No | \$3,735 |
| lowa | 35 | \$2,987 | \$92 | \$77,506,937 | \$2,583,477 | Partly | \$3,595 |
| Nebraska | 36 | \$2,759 | \$583 | \$33,313,438 | \$7,515,693 | Yes | \$5,711 |
| Maine | 37 | \$2,732 | -\$11 | \$13,877,541 | \$350,785 | No | \$2,732 |
| Colorado | 38 | \$2,506 | \$182 | \$54,408,293 | \$5,948,904 | Partly | \$3,827 |
| Nevada | 39 | \$2,388 | -\$31 | \$3,338,875 | -\$50,083 | Partly | \$3,424 |
| Florida | 40 | \$2,304 | \$32 | \$383,703,444 | -\$3,121,701 | No | \$2,304 |
| Kansas | 41 | \$2,262 | \$121 | \$18,397,372 | \$693,759 | No | \$2,262 |
| South Carolina | 42 | \$1,981 | \$136 | \$60,252,483 | \$9,666,636 | Partly | \$1,984 |
| Mississippi | 43 | \$1,778 | NA | \$3,128,426 | \$3,128,426 | Partly | \$3,762 |
| Idaho | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| Indiana*** | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| Montana | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| New Hampshire | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| North Dakota | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| South Dakota | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| Utah | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| Wyoming | No program | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | NA | \$0 |
| United States |  | \$4,521 | \$319 | \$6,224,478,677 | \$573,215,826 |  | \$5,123 |

[^5]* Vermont could not break out the state, local, and federal spending from the total amount reported. Vermont also provided updated spending information for the 2013 -2014 school year, which is reflected in the calculations of change in spending
** 1366 3-year-old children were served in WV's Universal Pre-K program but were funded by sources not reported by the state. They were removed from the per-child spending calculations. A similar adjustment was made for 2013-2014
*** Indiana funded a pilot state pre-K program during the 2014-2015 year. It spent about $\$ 1.1$ million to enroll 4154 -year-olds. It is not included in the rankings because the program served fewer than $1 \%$ of 4 -year-olds.


## Special Report: Dual Language Learners and Preschool Workforce




#### Abstract

For the first time, the 2014-2015 NIEER Yearbook survey included two sets of supplemental questions intended to shed light on key policy areas. The first set of questions focuses on states' policies to support dual language learners in pre-K; the second focuses on policies to support the pre-K workforce.


## STATE PRE-K PROGRAM POLICIES FOR SUPPORTS TO DUAL LANGUAGE LEARNERS

Responding to the pressure from states for more detailed information about state pre-K policies on supports for children who are dual language learners (DLLs), NIEER supplemented the 2015 survey with a special set of questions on policies to support DLLs in pre-K. States were asked to report the number of children from homes where English is not the primary language spoken and on policies regarding services and supports for DLL children and their families, including requirements for staff qualifications and professional development related to serving DLLs and their families, assessing children in their home language, providing families with materials in their home language, and early learning and development standards covering topics of particular relevance for DLLs. States were also asked to report methods they use to identify children as DLLs and if coming from a home in which a language other than English is spoken was used as an eligibility criterion.

States' responses to this new set of questions are summarized in Figures 1-5 and Tables 1-4. In addition, each state's response to every survey question is presented in the Supplemental Appendix. To identify states that have developed policies to support DLLs, we note on each individual profile page whether a state has few or none of the DLL policies about which we inquired (-); at least 3 of 6 policies, for which they receive a "checkmark;" or requirement that teachers have specialized preparation, as well as policies requiring additional supports, which merits a (+). Only 4 states received a plus.
Nationally, $23 \%$ of young children are estimated to be DLLs. This varies dramatically by state, however, from $2 \%$ in West Virginia to $45 \%$ in California. Only 23 states could report the number of DLL children who were served in their states, and for these we calculated the percentage served in state pre-K. As the definition in each state likely differs from our definition, these numbers could underestimate the percentages served, but the estimated percentages served ranged from low-from 2 or $3 \%$ in some states-up to a high of $62 \%$ in Michigan, a state that includes a home language other than English as one eligibility criterion.

Particularly alarming is that only 5 states require any special qualifications for teachers of young DLL children, much less that they be bilingual. Indeed, only one state (Rhode Island) could report the percentages of lead and assistant teachers fluent in a language other than English.

## DATA ON STATE PRE-K PROGRAM POLICIES FOR WORKFORCE COMPENSATION PARITY

In collaboration with the Center on the Study of Child Care Employment, the NIEER Yearbook survey included a second set of questions on state policies regarding compensation and other supports for the pre-K workforce. One particular interest is whether state pre-K policies ensure compensation parity with K-3 teachers. We inquired about parity with respect to not just salary, but also fringe benefits, professional development supports, and paid planning time for professional development for both lead and assistant teachers. Other questions focused on supports for teachers, such as loan forgiveness and scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses, and wage incentives. States were also asked to report the average salary for lead and assistant teachers in public and nonpublic schools, as well as if the pre-K workforce is covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

States' responses to this second new set of questions are summarized in Figures 1 and 2 and Tables 1-4. Furthermore, responses to each workforce question are presented in the Supplemental Appendix. In an effort to identify states that have developed policies for workforce compensation parity and other supports for teachers and assistant teachers, we have also noted on individual profile pages those states that have these policies and those that do not: States that do not have policies for salary parity and have few other supports received a (-); states with salary parity or at least 3 of 5 other workforce supports received a "checkmark;" and states that have salary parity and at least 2 other workforce supports received a (+). Only 4 states received a (+).

It is evident from the data that the majority of states do not have policies supporting compensation parity for the pre-K workforce. In the states that do have these policies, they largely only apply to lead teachers working in public school settings. Only 24 of 57 programs were able to report information on the average lead teacher salary. On average, pre-K teachers in public settings earned $\$ 44,651$ in 2014-2015. Pre-K teachers in nonpublic settings earned less, $\$ 32,897$ in 2014-2015. These salaries are consistently lower than the average salary for public school elementary teachers, typically by $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 30,000$. This difference is larger for teachers in nonpublic pre-K settings, around $\$ 27,000$, than for teachers in public settings, approximately $\$ 12,000$.

## Dual Language Learners Supplement

FIGURE 1: REPORTS ENROLLMENT OF DUAL LANGUAGE LEARNERS (DLLs) IN STATE PRE-K
23 state pre-K programs track enrollment of DLLs


| TOTAL ENROLLMENT OF DLLs <br> IN STATE PRE-K PROGRAM | NUMBER OF 3- AND 4-YEAR-OLD DLLs IN STATE PRE-K PROGRAM |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alabama: 689 | 4-year-olds: 689 |
| Arkansas: 3,690 | 3-year-olds: 1,925; 4-year-olds: 1,765 |
| California: 62,691 | 3-year-olds: 20,128; 4-year-olds: 42,563 |
| District of Columbia: 1,302 | 3-year-olds: 551; 4-year-olds: 738 |
| Georgia: 8,087 | 4-year-olds: 8,087 |
| Illinois: 24,476 | 3-year-olds: 9,756; 4-year-olds: 14,720 |
| Iowa SVPP: 10 | 4-year-olds: 10 |
| Kentucky: 1,295 | 3-year-olds: 310; 4-year-olds: 985* |
| Maine: 169 | 4-year-olds: 169 |
| Massachusetts Grant 391: 884 | 3-year-olds: 256; 4-year-olds: 437* |
| Massachusetts UPK: 1,795 | 3-year-olds: 798; 4-year-olds: 558* |
| Michigan: 7,554 | 4-year-olds: 7,554 |
| Missouri: 220 | 3-year-olds: 80; 4-year-olds: 140 |
| Nevada: 459 | 3-year-olds: 103; 4-year-olds: 356* |
| New Mexico: 2,005 | 4-year-olds: 2,005 |
| North Carolina: 6,294 | 4-year-olds: 6,294 |
| Oklahoma: 2,955 | 4-year-olds: 2,955 |
| Oregon: 2,441 | 3-year-olds: 952; 4-year-olds: 1,489 |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts: 1,528 | 3-year-olds: 514; 4-year-olds: 982* |
| Texas: 94,013 | 3-year-olds: 12,256; 4-year-olds: $81,295 *$ |
| Washington: 3,392 | 3-year-olds: 906; 4-year-olds: 2,486 |
| West Virginia: 19517 | 3-year-olds: 13; 4-year-olds: 172 |
| Wisconsin 4K: 1,210 | 4-year-olds: 1,210 |

[^6]FIGURE 2: IDENTIFIES HOME LANGUAGES OF DLLs IN STATE PRE-K
14 state pre-K programs can report enrollment by home language


| STATE PRE-K PROGRAM | ENGLISH | NON-ENGLISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SPANSH | CHINESE | TAGALOG | VIETINAMESE | FRENCH | GERMAN | OTHER |
| Alabama | 6,544 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| Arkansas | 16,624 | 2,045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,645 |
| California | 67,671 | 55,294 | 1,853 | 267 | 1,164 | 42 | 7 | 4,064 |
| District of Columbia* | 9,486 | 1,126 | 29 | 5 | 8 | 41 | 5 | 88 |
| Illinois | 50,436 | 18,927 | 129 | 170 | 138 | 176 | 16 | 4,920 |
| Kentucky | 17,421 | 1,164 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Maine* | 4,911 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 135 |
| Nevada | 939 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Mexico | 6,829 | 1,694 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 281 |
| Oklahoma | 38,748 | 2,855 | 26 | 1 | 68 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Oregon* | 5,221 | 2,137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| Washington* | 6,699 | 2,899 | 28 | 12 | 82 | 11 | 2 | 358 |
| West Virginia | 14,566 | 94 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 60 |
| Wisconsin 4K | 46,635 | 930 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 236 |

* For these state pre-K programs, the number of DLLs with each home language was estimated based on the total number of DLLs and the percentage of children with each home language.

FIGURE 3: POLICIES TO SUPPORT DLLs
13 programs use home language as eligibility criteria for state pre-K


20 state pre-K programs provide recruitment and enrollment materials in the family's home language


Only six state pre-K programs have policies requiring DLLs to be assessed in their home language


Eight state pre-K programs require teachers to have qualifications specifically related to DLLs


FIGURE 4: FINANCING FOR DLL SUPPORTS
Ten state pre-K programs allocate extra resources to serve DLLs


| District of Columbia | Nebraska |
| :---: | :---: |
| Illinois | Nevada |
| Kansas Preschool Program | New Jersey Abbott |
| Kansas State Pre-K Program | Oklahoma |

FIGURE 5: EARLY LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS FOR DLLs
States early learning and development standards that support DLLs

| WORLD LANGUAGES | FOREIGN LANGUAGE ACOUISITION | INTEGRATION OF STUDENT'S HOME LANGUAGE | CULTURAL <br> AWARENESS | OTHER | NONE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | Connecticut SRP | Alabama | Alabama | Arkansas | Alaska |
| Massachusetts UPK | Massachusetts Grant 391 | Colorado | Arkansas | California | Arizona |
| Michigan | Massachusetts UPK | Connecticut SRP | Colorado | Connecticut CDCC | District of Columbia |
| New Jersey Abbott | West Virginia | Illinois | Delaware | Connecticut SRP | Georgia |
| New Jersey ECPA |  | Maine | lowa SVPP | Delaware | Hawaii |
| New Jersey ELLI |  | Massachusetts Grant 391 | Kentucky | Illinois | lowa Shared Visions |
| Oklahoma |  | Massachusetts UPK | Maine | Kansas State Pre-K | Kansas Preschool |
| West Virginia |  | Mississippi | Maryland | Kentucky | Louisiana 8(g) |
|  |  | New Jersey Abbott | Massachusetts Grant 391 | Maine | Louisiana LA4 |
|  |  | New Jersey ECPA | Massachusetts UPK | Neveda | Louisiana NSECD |
|  |  | New Jersey ELLI | Michigan | North Carolina | Missouri |
|  |  | New Mexico | Minnesota | Rhode Island | Nebraska |
|  |  | North Carolina | Mississippi | Wisconsin HdSt | New York |
|  |  | Pennsylvania HSSAP | New Jersey Abbott |  | Oregon |
|  |  | Washington | New Jersey ECPA |  | Pennsylvania RTL |
|  |  | West Virginia | New Jersey ELLI |  | Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK |
|  |  | Wisconsin 4K | New Mexico |  | Pennsylvania PKC |
|  |  |  | North Carolina |  | South Carolina 4K |
|  |  |  | Ohio |  | South Carolina CDEP |
|  |  |  | Tennessee |  | Vermont Act 62 |
|  |  |  | Washington |  | Vermont EEI |
|  |  |  | West Virginia |  | Virginia |
|  |  |  | Wisconsin 4K |  |  |
|  |  |  | Wisconsin HdSt |  |  |

## TABLE 1: PERCENT OF CHILDREN IN EACH STATE WHO ARE DUAL LANGUAGE LEARNERS (DLLs)

| STATE | Percent of 3and 4 -year-olds in the state who are DLLs | Number of 3-year-old DLLs in each state | Number of 4-year-old DLLs in each state | Number of 3-yearold DLLs served in state pre-K | Percent of 3-yearold DLLs served in state pre-K | Number of 4-yearold DLLs served in state pre-K | Percent of 4-yearold DLLs served in state pre-K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 7\% | 4,343 | 4,414 | 0 | 0\% | 689 | 16\% |
| Alaska | 16\% | 1,858 | 1,689 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Arizona | 29\% | 24,662 | 25,634 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Arkansas | 11\% | 4,091 | 4,064 | 1,925 | 47\% | 1,765 | 43\% |
| California | 45\% | 230,346 | 226,520 | 20,128 | 9\% | 42,563 | 19\% |
| Colorado | 22\% | 14,593 | 14,737 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Connecticut | 21\% | 8,101 | 8,134 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Delaware | 16\% | 1,856 | 1,815 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| District of Columbia | 23\% | 2,033 | 1,795 | 551 | 27\% | 738 | 41\% |
| Florida | 29\% | 62,845 | 62,580 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Georgia | 17\% | 22,756 | 23,273 | 0 | 0\% | 8,087 | 35\% |
| Hawaii | 19\% | 3,463 | 3,250 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Idaho | 17\% | 3,830 | 3,932 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| Illinois | 26\% | 41,701 | 41,897 | 9,756 | 23\% | 14,720 | 35\% |
| Indiana | 11\% | 9,573 | 9,665 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| lowa ${ }^{+}$ | 12\% | 4,675 | 4,829 | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 0\% |
| Kansas | 15\% | 6,146 | 6,238 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Kentucky* | 7\% | 4,058 | 4,069 | 310 | 8\% | 985 | 24\% |
| Louisiana | 7\% | 4,286 | 4,292 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Maine | 4\% | 530 | 543 | 0 | 0\% | 169 | 31\% |
| Maryland | 18\% | 13,323 | 13,156 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Massachusetts* | 25\% | 18,771 | 18,302 | 1,051 | 6\% | 995 | 5\% |
| Michigan | 11\% | 12,089 | 12,178 | 0 | 0\% | 7,554 | 62\% |
| Minnesota | 18\% | 12,853 | 12,896 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Mississippi | 4\% | 1,662 | 1,688 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Missouri | 8\% | 5,746 | 5,800 | 80 | 1\% | 140 | 2\% |
| Montana | 5\% | 570 | 575 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| Nebraska | 16\% | 4,112 | 4,167 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Nevada* | 33\% | 11,731 | 12,065 | 103 | 1\% | 356 | 3\% |
| New Hampshire | 8\% | 1,061 | 1,040 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| New Jersey | 30\% | 32,799 | 32,309 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| New Mexico | 28\% | 7,716 | 7,771 | 0 | 0\% | 2,005 | 26\% |
| New York | 30\% | 71,518 | 68,647 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| North Carolina | 17\% | 20,596 | 21,077 | 0 | 0\% | 6,294 | 30\% |
| North Dakota | 5\% | 505 | 492 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| Ohio | 8\% | 11,648 | 11,768 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Oklahoma | 13\% | 6,965 | 6,977 | 0 | 0\% | 2,955 | 42\% |
| Oregon | 23\% | 10,458 | 10,518 | 952 | 9\% | 1,489 | 14\% |
| Pennsylvania* ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 12\% | 17,790 | 17,634 | 514 | 3\% | 982 | 6\% |
| Rhode Island | 20\% | 2,220 | 2,197 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| South Carolina | 9\% | 4,943 | 5,108 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| South Dakota | 11\% | 1,295 | 1,265 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| Tennessee | 10\% | 7,830 | 8,007 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Texas* | 36\% | 140,334 | 141,354 | 12,256 | 9\% | 81,295 | 58\% |
| Utah | 14\% | 7,083 | 7,188 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| Vermont | 6\% | 361 | 351 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Virginia | 16\% | 16,351 | 16,142 | Not Reported |  | Not Reported |  |
| Washington | 23\% | 21,009 | 20,882 | 906 | 4\% | 2,486 | 12\% |
| West Virginia | 2\% | 443 | 437 | 13 | 3\% | 172 | 39\% |
| Wisconsin ${ }^{+}$ | 12\% | 8,070 | 8,174 | 0 | 0\% | 1,210 | 15\% |
| Wyoming | 9\% | 655 | 669 | No Program |  | No Program |  |
| U.S. | 23\% | 928,252 | 924,206 | 48,545 |  | 177,659 |  |

[^7]
## TABLE 2: ENROLLMENT IN STATE PRE-K BY HOME LANGUAGE

| STATE | State can report enrollment by home language | $\begin{gathered} \text { ENGLISH } \\ \text { ONLY } \end{gathered}$ | Spanish | Chinese | Tagalog | NON-ENGLISH <br> Vietnamese | French | German | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Yes | 6,544 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| Alaska | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arkansas | Yes | 16,624 | 2,045 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,645 |
| California | Yes | 67,671 | 55,294 | 1,853 | 267 | 1,164 | 42 | 7 | 4,064 |
| Colorado | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connecticut SRP | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia* | Yes | 9,486 | 1,126 | 29 | 5 | 8 | 41 | 5 | 88 |
| Florida | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Georgia | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hawaii | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Idaho | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois | Yes | 50,436 | 18,927 | 129 | 170 | 138 | 176 | 16 | 4,920 |
| Indiana | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa SVPP | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lowa Shared Visions | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas Preschool | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky | Yes | 17,421 | 1,164 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana LA 4 | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine* | Yes | 4,911 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 135 |
| Maryland | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mississippi | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nevada | Yes | 939 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Hampshire | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey Abbott | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey ELLI | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Mexico | Yes | 6,829 | 1,694 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 281 |
| New York | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Dakota | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oklahoma | Yes | 38,748 | 2,855 | 26 | 1 | 68 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| Oregon* | Yes | 5,221 | 2,137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 304 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pennsylvania PKC | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhode Island | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina 4K | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Dakota | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tennessee | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utah | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vermont EEI | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Virginia | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington* | Yes | 6,699 | 2,899 | 28 | 12 | 82 | 11 | 2 | 358 |
| West Virginia | Yes | 14,566 | 94 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 60 |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Yes | 46,635 | 930 | 23 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 236 |
| Wyoming | No program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* For these state pre-K programs, the number of DLLs with each home language was estimated based on the total number of DLLs and the percentage of children with each home language.


## TABLE 3: POLICIES TO SUPPORT DLLs

| STATE | Home language used as eligibility criteria for state pre-K | Recruitment and enrollment materials in the family's home language | DLLs are assessed in their home language | Teachers are required to have qualifications specifically related to DLLs | Program allocates extra resources to serve DLLs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | No | No | No | No | No |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No | No |
| Arizona | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| Arkansas | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| California | No | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| Colorado | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No | No | No | No | No |
| Delaware | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| District of Columbia | No | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Florida |  |  | Not reported |  |  |
| Georgia | No | No | No | No | No |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | No | No |
| Idaho |  |  | No program |  |  |
| Illinois | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Indiana |  |  | No program |  |  |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| lowa SVPP | No | No | No | No | No |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| Kentucky | No | No | No | No | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No | No | No | No | No |
| Louisiana LA 4 | No | No | No | No | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maine | No | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| Maryland | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Michigan | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |
| Minnesota | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| Mississippi | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| Missouri | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| Montana |  |  | No program |  |  |
| Nebraska | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| Nevada | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| New Hampshire |  |  | No program |  |  |
| New Jersey Abbott | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| New Jersey ECPA | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| New Mexico | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| New York | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| North Carolina | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| North Dakota |  |  | No program |  |  |
| Ohio | No | No | No | No | No |
| Oklahoma | No | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Oregon | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No | No | No | Not reported | No |
| Pennsylvania PKC | No | No | No | No | No |
| Rhode Island | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No | No | No | No | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No | No | No | No | No |
| South Dakota |  |  | No program |  |  |
| Tennessee | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Texas | Yes | No | No | Not reported | Not reported |
| Utah |  |  | No program |  |  |
| Vermont Act 62 | No | No | No | No | No |
| Vermont EEI | No | No | No | No | No |
| Virginia | No | No | No | No | No |
| Washington | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| West Virginia | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | No | No | No | No |
| Wyoming |  |  | No program |  |  |

## TABLE 4: HOW ARE CHILDREN IDENTIFIED AS DLL/ELL BY STATE PRE-K PROGRAMS

| STATE | Teacher observation | Developmental screening or child assessment tool | Referral from another agency | Parent/family member report | Locally determined | No policy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Alaska |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Arizona |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Arkansas |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| California | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Colorado |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Connecticut CDCC |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Connecticut SRP |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Delaware |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| District of Columbia |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Florida |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Georgia |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Hawaii |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Idaho |  |  |  | ram |  |  |
| Illinois |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Indiana |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iowa Shared Visions |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Iowa SVPP |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Kansas Preschool |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas State Pre-K |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Kentucky |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Louisiana 8(g) |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Louisiana LA4 |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Louisiana NSECD |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Maine |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Massachusetts UPK | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Michigan |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Minnesota |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Mississippi |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Missouri |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Montana |  |  | No | ram |  |  |
| Nebraska |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Nevada |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire |  |  |  | ram |  |  |
| New Jersey Abbott |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| New Jersey ECPA |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| New Jersey ELLI |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| New Mexico |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| New York |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| North Carolina |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| North Dakota |  |  | No | ram |  |  |
| Ohio |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Oklahoma |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Pennsylvania RTL |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Pennsylvania PKC |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Rhode Island | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina 4K | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Carolina CDEP |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| South Dakota |  |  | No | ram |  |  |
| Tennessee |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Texas |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| Utah |  |  | No | ram |  |  |
| Vermont Act 62 |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Vermont EEI |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Virginia |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Washington |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| West Virginia |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Wisconsin 4K |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Wisconsin HdSt |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Wyoming |  |  | No | ram |  |  |

## Workforce <br> Supplement

FIGURE 1: SALARY PARITY FOR LEAD TEACHERS
16 state pre-K programs report policies requiring salary parity for lead pre-K teachers


[^8]FIGURE 2: TEACHERS COVERED BY COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
In 13 state pre-K programs teachers are covered by collective bargaining


## TABLE 1: PRESCHOOL TEACHER SALARY POLICIES

| STATE | TEACHER PARITY WITH K-3 TEACHERS |  |  |  | WAGE INCENTIVES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Same starting salary | Same salary schedule | Same fringe benefits | Same paid planning time and/or PD | Tied to program quality standards | Tied to performance |
| Alabama | No | No | No | Not reported | No | No |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Arizona | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Arkansas | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| California | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Colorado | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Delaware | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| District of Columbia | No | No | No | Not reported | No | No |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | All settings | No | No | Not reported | Yes | No |
| Hawaii | Public* | Public* | Public* | Not reported | No | No |
| Illinois | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No | No | No | Not reported | No | No |
| lowa SVPP | Public | Public | Public | Yes | No | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Kentucky | Public | Public | Public | Public | No | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No | No | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA 4 | No | No | No | No | No | Yes |
| Louisiana NSECD | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maine | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maryland | Public | Public | No | No | Yes | No |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | No | No | No | Not reported | No | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No | No | No | Not reported | No | No |
| Michigan | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Minnesota | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Mississippi | Public | Public | No | Not reported | No | No |
| Missouri | All settings | All settings | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Nebraska | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Nevada | Public | Public | No | No | No | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | All settings | All settings | Public | Yes | No | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public | Public | Public | Yes | No | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | All settings | All settings | Public | Yes | No | No |
| New Mexico | Public | Public | No | Public | No | No |
| New York | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| North Carolina | Public | Public | Public | Yes | No | No |
| Ohio | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Oklahoma | All settings | All settings | Public | Not reported | No | No |
| Oregon | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvanian PKC | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Rhode Island | No | No | No | Not reported | No | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No | No | Public | No | No | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Tennessee | All settings | All settings | No | Yes | No | No |
| Texas | Public | Public | No | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Vermont EEI | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Virginia | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Washington | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| West Virginia | No | No | No | Public | No | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | No | No | No | No | No |

* Hawaii serves all children in public schools


## TABLE 2: PRESCHOOL ASSISTANT TEACHER SALARY POLICIES

| STATE | ASSISTANT TEACHER PARITY WITH K-3 ASSISTANT TEACHERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Same starting salary | Same salary schedule | Same fringe benefits | Same paid planning time and/or PD |
| Alabama | No | No | No | Not reported |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No |
| Arizona | No | No | No | No |
| Arkansas | No | No | No | No |
| California | No | No | No | No |
| Colorado | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No | No | No | No |
| Delaware | No | No | No | No |
| District of Columbia | No | No | No | Not reported |
| Florida | Not Reported | Not Reported | Not Reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | All settings | No | No | Not reported |
| Hawaii | No | No | Public | Not reported |
| Illinois | No | No | No | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No | No | No | Not reported |
| Iowa SVPP | No | No | Public | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No | No | No | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No | No | No | No |
| Kentucky | No | No | No | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not Reported | Not Reported | Not Reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA 4 | No | No | No | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No | No | No | No |
| Maine | No | No | No | Not reported |
| Maryland | No | No | No | No |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | No | No | No | Not reported |
| Massachusetts UPK | No | No | No | Not reported |
| Michigan | No | No | No | No |
| Minnesota | No | No | No | No |
| Mississippi | Public | Public | No | No |
| Missouri | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Nebraska | No | No | No | No |
| Nevada | Public | Public | No | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | All settings | All settings | Public | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public | Public | Public | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | All settings | All settings | Public | No |
| New Mexico | Public | Public | No | Public |
| New York | No | No | No | No |
| North Carolina | Public | Public | Public | Yes |
| Ohio | No | No | No | No |
| Oklahoma | No | No | No | No |
| Oregon | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvanian PKC | No | No | No | No |
| Rhode Island | No | No | No | Not reported |
| South Carolina 4K | No | No | Public | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No | No | No | No |
| Tennessee | All settings | All settings | No | Yes |
| Texas | No | No | No | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | No | No | No | No |
| Vermont EEI | No | No | No | No |
| Virginia | No | No | No | No |
| Washington | No | No | No | No |
| West Virginia | No | No | No | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No | No | No | No |
| Wisconsin HdST | No | No | No | No |

## TABLE 3: PRESCHOOL TEACHER QUALIFICATIONS AND SALARY

| STATE | QUALIFICATIONS |  | 2014-2015 LEAD TEACHER AVERAGE ANNUAL SALARY |  | Public | DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PRE-K AND PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER SALARIES |  | Covered by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BA required | Certification required | In public schools | In nonpublic schools | school teacher average salary | Public pre-K teachers | Nonpublic pre-K teachers | bargaining agreement |
| Alabama | Yes | Yes | \$38,000 | \$32,000 | \$49,497 | -\$11,497 | -\$17,497 | NR |
| Alaska | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$66,755 |  |  | DL |
| Arizona | No | No | NR | NR | \$45,406 |  |  | No |
| Arkansas | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$48,017 |  |  | Public |
| California | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$72,535 |  |  | DL |
| Colorado | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$49,828 |  |  | DL |
| Connecticut CDCC | No | Yes | NR | \$32,795 | \$71,709 |  | -\$38,914 | DL |
| Connecticut SRP | No | Yes | \$53,045 | \$33,939 | \$71,709 | -\$18,664 | -\$37,770 | DL |
| Delaware | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$59,195 |  |  | DL |
| District of Columbia | Yes | Yes | \$50,000 | NA | \$75,490 | -\$25,490 |  | DL |
| Florida | No | No | NR | NR | \$48,992 |  |  | NR |
| Georgia | Yes | Yes | \$38,500 | \$28,000 | \$52,906 | -\$14,406 | -\$24,906 | No |
| Hawaii | Yes | No | \$58,573 | NA | \$57,189 | \$1,384 |  | Public |
| Illinois | Yes | Yes | \$47,386 | \$35,638 | \$61,083 | -\$12,519 | -\$24,983 | DL |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No | Yes | \$40,343 | \$27,879 | \$52,862 | -\$12,519 | -\$24,983 | DL |
| lowa SVPP | Yes | Yes | \$46,400 | NA | \$52,862 | -\$6,462 |  | Public |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes | No | NR | NR | \$48,990 |  |  | NR |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes | No | NR | NA | \$48,990 |  |  | DL |
| Kentucky | Yes | Yes | \$55,117 | NR | \$50,926 | \$4,191 |  | DL |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$47,886 |  |  | NR |
| Louisiana LA 4 | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$47,886 |  |  | DL |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes | Yes | NR | \$25,000.00 | \$47,886 |  | -\$22,886 | No |
| Maine | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$50,017 |  |  | Public |
| Maryland | Yes | Yes | \$44,000 | \$44,000 | \$64,845 | -\$20,845 | -\$20,845 | Yes |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | No | No | \$58,046 | \$33,999 | \$74,805 | -\$16,759 | -\$40,806 | Public |
| Massachusetts UPK | No | No | NR | NR | \$74,805 |  |  | Public |
| Michigan | Yes | Yes | \$34,740 | \$31,364 | \$62,778 | -\$28,038 | -\$31,414 | DL |
| Minnesota | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$56,670 |  |  | DL |
| Mississippi | Yes | Yes | \$39,000 | \$20,259 | \$42,564 | -\$3,564 | -\$22,305 | No |
| Missouri | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$47,394 |  |  | NR |
| Nebraska | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$50,401 |  |  | Public |
| Nevada | Yes | Yes | \$43,000 | \$40,000 | \$56,703 | -\$13,703 | -\$16,703 | NR |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$69,038 |  |  | Public |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$69,038 |  |  | Public |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$69,038 |  |  | Public |
| New Mexico | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$46,003 |  |  | DL |
| New York | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$77,628 |  |  | DL |
| North Carolina | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$47,783 |  |  | No |
| Ohio | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$56,172 |  |  | NR |
| Oklahoma | Yes | Yes | \$37,278 | NR | \$44,245 | -\$6,967 |  | DL |
| Oregon | No | Yes | \$25,376 | \$25,376 | \$59,474 | -\$34,098 | -\$34,098 | DL |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$64,717 |  |  | DL |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$64,717 |  |  | DL |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Yes | No | NR | NR | \$64,717 |  |  | DL |
| Pennsylvanian Pre-K Counts | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$64,717 |  |  | DL |
| Rhode Island | Yes | Yes | \$52,000 | \$43,458 | \$65,918 | -\$13,918 | -\$22,460 | Public |
| South Carolina 4K | Yes | Yes | \$49,245 | NR | \$48,709 | \$536 |  | NR |
| South Carolina CDEP | No | Yes | \$46,337 | NR | \$48,709 | -\$2,372 |  | No |
| Tennessee | Yes | Yes | NR | NR | \$48,503 |  |  | DL |
| Texas | No | No | NR | NR | \$50,046 |  |  | NR |
| Vermont Act 62 | No | No | NR | NR | \$57,642 |  |  | DL |
| Vermont EEI | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$57,642 |  |  | DL |
| Virginia | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$50,620 |  |  | NR |
| Washington | No | Yes | \$33,422 | \$31,851 | \$53,714 | -\$20,292 | -\$21,863 | Public |
| West Virginia | Yes | Yes | \$42,502 | NR | \$45,647 | -\$3,145 |  | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes | Yes | \$50,020 | NR | \$54,535 | -\$4,515 |  | DL |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | Yes | NR | NR | \$54,535 |  |  | NR |
| United States |  |  | \$44,651 | \$32,897 | \$56,938 | -\$12,038 | -\$26,860 |  |

NR = Not reported; DL = Determined locally

## TABLE 4: TEACHER DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT POLICIES

## STATE

| Star | Scholarships/ loan forgiveness | Mentoring | Job-embedded professional development | Paid planning time | Bonuses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Alaska | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Arizona | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| Arkansas | No | No | No | No | No |
| California | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |
| Colorado | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Delaware | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Hawaii | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Illinois | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | No | No | No | No | No |
| lowa SVPP | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No | No | No | No | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No | No | No | No | No |
| Kentucky | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA 4 | No | No | No | No | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Maine | No | No | No | No | No |
| Maryland | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Massachusetts Grant 391 | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Michigan | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Minnesota | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| Misssissippi | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Missouri | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Nebraska | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Nevada | No | No | No | No | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | No | No | No | No | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | No | No | No | No | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | No | No | No | No | No |
| New Mexico | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| New York | No | No | No | No | No |
| North Carolina | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Ohio | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Oklahoma | No | No | No | No | No |
| Oregon | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvanian PKC | No | No | No | No | No |
| Rhode Island | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No | No | No | No | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Tennessee | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Texas | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | No | No | No | No | No |
| Vermont EEI | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Virginia | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Washington | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| West Virginia | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | No | No | No | No |

## WHAT QUALIFIES AS A STATE PRESCHOOL PROGRAM?

NIEER's State Preschool Yearbook series focuses on state-funded preschool education initiatives meeting the following criteria:

- The initiative is funded, controlled, and directed by the state.
- The initiative serves children of preschool age, usually 3 and/or 4 . Although initiatives in some states serve broader age ranges, programs that serve only infants and toddlers are excluded. The program must reach at least one percent of the 3- or 4-year-old population in the state to be included.
- Early childhood education is the primary focus of the initiative. This does not exclude programs that offer parent education but does exclude programs that mainly focus on parent education. Programs that focus on parent work status or programs where child eligibility is tied to work status are also excluded.
- The initiative offers a group learning experience to children at least two days per week.
- State-funded preschool education initiatives must be distinct from the state's system for subsidized child care. However, preschool initiatives may be coordinated and integrated with the subsidy system for child care.
- The initiative is not primarily designed to serve children with disabilities, but services may be offered to children with disabilities.
- State supplements to the federal Head Start program are considered to constitute de facto state preschool programs if they substantially expand the number of children served, and if the state assumes some administrative responsibility for the program. State supplements to fund quality improvements, extended days, or other program enhancements or to fund expanded enrollment only minimally are not considered equivalent to a state preschool program.

While ideally this report would identify all preschool education funding streams at the federal, state, and local levels, there are a number of limitations on the data that make this extremely difficult to do. For example, preschool is only one of several types of education programs toward which local districts can target their Title I funds. Many states do not track how Title I funds are used at the local level, and therefore do not know the extent to which they are spent on preschool education. Another challenge involves tracking total state spending for child care, using a variety of available sources, such as CCDF dollars, TANF funds, and any state funding above and beyond the required matches for federal funds. Although some of these child care funds may be used for highquality, educational, center-based programs for 3- and 4-year-olds that closely resemble programs supported by state-funded preschool education initiatives, it is nearly impossible to determine what proportion of the child care funds are spent this way.

## AGE GROUPINGS USED IN THIS REPORT

Children considered to be 3 years old during the 2014-2015 school year are those who were eligible to enter kindergarten two years later, during the 2016-2017 school year. Children considered to be 4 years old during the 2014-2015 school year were eligible to enter kindergarten one year later, during the 2015-2016 school year. Children considered to be 5 years old during the 2014-2015 school year were already eligible for kindergarten at the beginning of the 2014-2015 school year.

# Roadmap to the State Profile Pages 

## How to interpret data on the individual state profiles

For each state with a preschool education initiative, we include one page with a description of the state's program, followed by a page with data on the program's key features, focusing on access, quality, and resources.

The first page for each state begins with two sets of bar graphs. The first set shows percentages of the state's 3-year-olds and 4 -year-olds enrolled in the state prekindergarten program. The second set shows the state's spending per child enrolled in the state pre-K initiative. Both sets of bar graphs depict changes in state preschool over time, from fiscal year 2002 (which corresponds to the 2001-2002 school year) through fiscal year 2015 (which corresponds to the 2014-2015 school year). Due to space constraints, not all years can be included. Instead, data is included for the years ending in 2002, 2004, 2006, 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, and 2015. Most of the data used for comparison purposes come from NIEER's previous Yearbooks, although spending figures are adjusted for inflation and represent 2015 dollars. In addition, there are some exceptions in cases where states revised data or reported data differently. The percent of children enrolled is calculated using Census estimates of 3 - and 4 -year-old children in each state.
Following the bar graphs is a brief narrative providing information on the main features of the state's initiative(s). This includes details such as the initiative's history, the types of settings in which state-funded preschool can be offered, enrollment eligibility criteria, and evaluations (if conducted). In many cases, the narrative also describes unique or particularly interesting aspects of the state's initiatives that may not be highlighted elsewhere in the report, as well as relevant new developments in the 2014-2015 school year and expected changes for the 2015-2016 school year. New for the 2014-2015 Yearbook, information from the Dual Language Learner and Workforce supplemental surveys is also included. Some descriptive information in the narratives was originally based on information found in the reports Seeds of Success from the Children's Defense Fund and Quality Counts 2002 from Education Week.

For the 42 states with preschool programs and the District of Columbia, the bottom of the first page of each state profile presents four numbers showing the state's ranking on the following measures:

- The percentage of the state's 4-year-old population enrolled in the state's preschool program (Access Rankings - 4-Year-Olds);
- The percentage of the state's 3-year-old population enrolled in the state's preschool program (Access Rankings - 3-Year-Olds);
- State expenditures per child enrolled in the program (Resources Rankings - State Spending);
- All reported expenditures per child enrolled in the program, including local and federal spending as well as state spending (Resources Rankings - All Reported Spending).

The All Reported Spending ranking provides a more complete picture of pre-K spending in states using local and federal funding sources than the State Spending ranking alone. Because states vary in their ability to report spending from these other sources, however, this ranking is imperfect and sometimes underestimates total spending.
New for the 2014-2015 Yearbook, the bottom of the first page of each state profile also presents two boxes indicating whether or not the state has policies in place to support:

- Dual Language Learners
- Workforce (Teachers)

Connecticut, lowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Vermont, and Wisconsin each have more than one distinct preschool education initiative, therefore information is presented slightly differently for these states and is explained on their individual profiles.
State profile pages are also given for the 8 states that did not fund preschool education initiatives in the 2014-2015 school year. For these states, the table of quality standards is omitted. These profiles do report enrollment data for special education and federally funded Head Start, however. In addition, data on per-child spending for K-12 education and federal Head Start are included. When applicable, state-funded Head Start spending and enrollment are also provided for no-program states. Profile pages are also included for 6 US territories (American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Palau, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands). For the territories, a narrative is provided but none fund pre-K programs for which we could report on access, quality standards, and resources.


The following sections provide an overview of information contained in the data tables on the state profile pages and explain why these elements are important. Data in the tables are for the 2014-2015 school year except where noted.

## ACCESS

The Access data table begins with the total state program enrollment, which is the number of children of all ages enrolled at a specific point in time. Following that is the percentage of school districts (or other local education authorities, such as counties or parishes) providing state-funded prekindergarten programs. This information shows the extent of the initiative's geographic coverage. Next, the table shows what, if any, income requirement is used in determining eligibility for the program.

Data on the hours of operation (hours per day and days per week) and operating schedule (academic or calendar year) are shown as additional measures of access because working parents may find it difficult to get their children to and from programs that operate only a few hours a day. The amount of time children participate in a preschool program also matters for other reasons, such as influencing the program's effects on children's development and learning.

The Access data table also shows enrollment of 3- and 4-year-old children in two federally funded programs besides the state prekindergarten initiative: preschool special education and Head Start. The Head Start enrollment total includes children in the American Indian/Alaskan Native and Migrant Seasonal programs. The final item in the table reports how many children ages 3 and 4 are participating in Head Start through state supplemental funds.

Two Access pie charts illustrate the percentages of the state's 3- and 4-year-olds enrolled in the state-funded preschool initiative(s), special education, and Head Start. The remaining children are categorized as enrolled in "Other/None." These children may be enrolled in another type of private or publicly funded program (e.g., state-subsidized child care) or may not be attending a center-based program at all. For the 2015 Yearbook, we calculated an unduplicated count for special education enrollment in order to more accurately represent the number of children served in the state. The special education percentage in the pie chart represents children who are in special education but not enrolled in Head Start or state preschool programs. For the first time in the 2015 report, we also calculated an unduplicated count for Head Start enrollment in order to avoid double counting Head Start children enrolled in state prekindergarten. For the states that were able to report this information, the Head Start percentage does not include children also enrolled in state prekindergarten.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

State policies in 10 critical areas related to quality are shown in the Quality Standards Checklist table. For each area, states receive a checkmark when their policy meets or exceeds the related benchmark standard. On the right side of the page, a box displays the total number of benchmarks met by the state.
The Quality Standards Checklist represents a set of minimum criteria, established by state policy, needed to ensure the effectiveness of preschool education programs, especially when serving children who are at risk for school failure. While the checklist is not intended to be an exhaustive inventory of all the features of a high-quality program, each of these researchbased standards is essential. Meeting all 10 standards does not necessarily guarantee that a program is of high quality, but no state's prekindergarten policies should be considered satisfactory unless all 10 benchmarks are met. Although programs may routinely engage in practices meeting criteria for quality standards, credit is given only when the practices are explicitly required in state policy.

The limitations of the research are such that judgment inevitably plays a role in setting specific benchmarks based on evidence. Studies find that the potential benefits from strong preschool education programs are such that the monetary investment in pre-K is returned 7 to 17 times. ${ }^{1}$ Therefore, we gave more weight to the risk of losing substantial benefits by setting benchmarks too low than to the risk of raising costs by setting benchmarks too high. Costs of many preschool programs are currently quite low; thus, benchmarks steer closer to the characteristics of programs demonstrated to produce reasonably large education benefits for children in randomized trials and the strongest quasi-experimental studies (e.g., HighScope Perry Preschool and Chicago Child-Parent Centers) and farther from the characteristics of programs found in rigorous studies to have weak effects. ${ }^{2}$

Of the 10 standards we use to gauge the quality of state-funded preschool programs, four involve teacher credentials and training. State preschool policies are evaluated based on whether programs require teachers to have at least a bachelor's degree; ${ }^{3}$ whether they require teachers to have specialization in preschool education; ${ }^{3}$ whether they require assistant teachers to have at least a Child Development Associate (CDA) or equivalent credential based on coursework; ${ }^{4}$ and whether they require teachers to have at least 15 hours of annual in-service training. ${ }^{5}$ Teacher qualifications receive this emphasis in our checklist because research shows this area to be crucial in determining program quality. Better education and training for teachers can improve the interaction between children and teachers, which in turn affects children's learning.

Class size and staff-child ratios are also emphasized in the Quality Standards Checklist, with the expectation that states will limit class sizes to 20 children at the most ${ }^{6}$ and have no more than 10 children per staff member. ${ }^{7}$ With smaller classes and fewer children per teacher, children have greater opportunities for interaction with adults and can receive more individualized attention, resulting in a higher quality program.

[^9]Early learning standards are also critical to quality, as they offer programs guidance and ensure that they cover the full range of areas essential to children's learning and development. ${ }^{8}$ States should have comprehensive early learning standards covering all areas identified as fundamental by the National Education Goals Panel ${ }^{9}$-children's physical well-being and motor development, social/emotional development, approaches toward learning, language development, and cognition and general knowledge. These standards should be specifically tailored to the learning of preschool-age children so that it is appropriate for their level of development.
The Quality Standards Checklist also addresses the comprehensive services that preschool education programs should be expected to offer. Programs should provide at least one meal; ${ }^{10}$ vision, hearing, and health screenings and referrals; ${ }^{11}$ and other support services, such as parent education, parent conferences and/or home visits, or referrals for such services. ${ }^{12}$ These items are included because children's overall well-being and success in school involves not only their cognitive development but also their physical and social/emotional health.
It is important to note that the Quality Standards Checklist focuses on state preschool policy requirements rather than actual practice. A state with good policies may have some programs that fail to comply with these policies; conversely, a state with weak policies may have many programs that exceed state minimum standards. While evaluating implementation of standards is outside the scope of this report, the checklist does include an indicator of whether states are taking steps to monitor programs' implementation of the quality standards. Policies requiring strong state quality standards are essential, but it is also necessary to have a means of ascertaining that individual pre-K programs meet those standards. ${ }^{13}$ Therefore, programs should require at a minimum that all sites are visited for program quality at least once every five years to enforce standards and to ensure high-quality education in state-funded preschool programs.

## RESOURCES

The table in the Resources section provides the following information: total state spending for the state prekindergarten initiative; whether a local match, monetary or in-kind, is required; amount of state Head Start spending (if applicable); state spending per child enrolled in the program; and all reported (local, state, and federal) spending per child enrolled in the program. These measures show various views of the resources dedicated to pre-K, which allows for a more complete picture of a state's commitment to preschool education. For example, a state's total spending may appear low, but may prove to be high relative to the number of children enrolled. On the other hand, a state with a high total funding level may have a low per-pupil spending level if it enrolls a large number of children. In some states, local communities contribute substantial additional funds to state preschool education by using local funding sources or by leveraging federal funding sources. In such cases, the figure that includes all reported spending is the best gauge of the level of available resources, to the extent that information about local and locally allocated federal spending is available.
The bar chart in the Resources section compares per-child spending in state-funded programs to federal Head Start and K-12 per-child spending. Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3 - and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start, which made per-child amounts seem artificially higher. Different colors indicate the different funding sources (local, state, and federal). Separate colors are used to indicate any TANF funds that a state directs toward its preschool initiative. While TANF funds are federal dollars, it is the state's decision to devote these funds to preschool education, as opposed to other purposes. Data on the amounts of local and federal preschool funds are included in the bar chart when available.

[^10]
## Guide to State Profiles

## ACCESS

| state program | Number of all-age children in state pre-K program |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program | ....Percentage of school districts in state where program is offered |
| Income requirement | ....Maximum family income for participants |
| Hours of operation | ...Hours per day and days per week program operates ${ }^{14}$ |
| Operating schedule | .Annual schedule of operation (academic year or calendar year) |
| Special education enrollment. | .Number of 3 - and 4 -year-olds served by the Preschool Grants Program of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment | Number of slots for 3- and 4-year-olds in Head Start funded with federal money |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment | ..............Number of slots for 3- and 4-year-olds in Head Start funded with state money |

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## POLICY

STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT

| y learning standards | ..National Education Goals Panel content areas covered by state learning standards for preschool-age children must be comprehensive |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teacher degree | . Lead teacher must have a $B A$, at minimum |
| Teacher specialized training. | Lead teacher must have specialized training in a pre-K area |
| Assistant teacher degree | ...Assistant teacher must have a CDA or equivalent, at minimum |
| Teacher in-service | .........Teacher must receive at least 15 hours/year of in-service professional development and training |
| Maximum class size <br> 3 -year-olds <br> 4 -year-olds | .....Maximum number of children per classroom must be 20 or fewer |


| Staff-child | Lowest acceptable ratio of staff to children in classroom |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 -year-olds | (e.g., maximum number of students per teacher) must be 1:10 or better |
| 4 -year-olds |  |

Screening/referral and support services ..............................................Screenings and referrals for vision, hearing, and health must be required; at least one additional support service must be provided to families

Meals $\qquad$ At least one meal must be required daily
Monitoring $\qquad$ Site visits must be used to demonstrate ongoing adherence to state program standards

## RESOURCES



State Head Start spending (when applicable) $\qquad$ Total state funds spent to supplement Head Start program

State spending per child enrolled $\qquad$ Amount of state funds spent per child participating in pre-K program All reported spending per child enrolled $\qquad$ .Amount of all reported funds (local, state, and federal) spent per child participating in pre-K program

[^11]
## GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AA | Associate of Arts | EPSDT | Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACF | Administration for Children and Families | ESI-R | Early Screening Inventory-Revised |
| AEPS(i) | Assessment, Evaluation, and Programming System for Infants and Children (interactive) | ESL | English as a Second Language |
|  |  | EVT | Expressive Vocabulary Test |
| ARRA | American Recovery and Reinvestment Act | FCCERS | Family Child Care Environment Rating Scale |
| ASQ(SE) | Ages and Stages Questionnaires (Social-Emotional) | FPL | Federal Poverty Level |
| AYP | Adequate Yearly Progress (No Child Left Behind) | FTE | Full-time Equivalent |
| B- | Denotes that the age range covered by a teaching license begins at birth (e.g., B-3 = birth-grade 3) | FY | Fiscal Year |
| BA | Bachelor of Arts | GED | General Equivalency Diploma |
|  |  | GGG | Get It, Got lt, Go |
| BS | Bachelor of Science | HdSt | Head Start |
| BMI | Body Mass Index | HSD | High School Diploma |
| BRI | Basic Reading Inventory | IDEA | Individuals with Disabilities Education Act |
| BS | Bachelor of Science | IEP | Individualized Education Plan |
| CACFP | Child and Adult Care Food Program | IFSP | Individualized Family Service Plan |
| CBO | Community-Based Organization | K | Kindergarten |
| CC | Child Care | KRAL | Kindergarten Readiness Assessment Literacy |
| CCDF | Child Care and Development Fund | LEA | Local Education Agency |
| CCSS | Common Core State Standards | LELA | Language and Emerging Literacy Assessment |
| CD | Child Development | MA | Master of Arts |
| CDA | Child Development Associate credential | N- | Denotes that the age range covered by a teaching |
| CEU | Continuing Education Unit |  | license begins at nursery (e.g., N-3 = nursery-grade 3) |
| CLASS | Classroom Assessment Scoring System | NA | Not Applicable |
| COR | HighScope Child Observation Record | NAEYC | National Association for the Education of |
| DIAL | Developmental Indicators for the Assessment of Learning |  | Young Children |
|  |  | NCLB | No Child Left Behind |
| DIBELS | Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills | NEGP | National Education Goals Panel |
| DLL | Dual Language Learner | NSBP | National School Breakfast Program |
| DOE | Department of Education | NSLP | National School Lunch Program |
| DRA | Developmental Reading Assessment | PALS | Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening |
| DSC | Developing Skills Checklist | P- | Denotes that the age range covered by a teaching |
| EC | Early Childhood |  | license begins at preschool (e.g., P-4 = preschoolgrade 4) |
| ECE | Early Childhood Education | PIR | Program Information Report (Head Start) |
| ECERS-R | Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale-Revised | PPVT | Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test |
| ECSE | Early Childhood Special Education | Pre-K | Prekindergarten |
| ECHOS | Early Childhood Observation System | QRIS | Quality Rating and Improvement System |
| Ed.D | Doctor of Education Degree | RTT | Race to the Top |
| Ed.S | Educational Specialist Degree | SMI | State Median Income |
| EE | Elementary Education | SpEd | Special Education |
| ELAS | Early Learning Assessment System | TANF | Temporary Assistance to Needy Families |
| ELL | English Language Learner | T.E.A.C.H. | Teacher Education and Compensation Helps (T.E.A.C.H. Early Childhood ${ }^{\circledR}$ Project) |
| ELLCO | Early Language and Literacy Classroom Observation |  |  |
| ELS | Early Learning Standards | USDA | United States Department of Agriculture |
| EOWPVT | Expressive One-Word Picture Vocabulary Test | WSS | Work Sampling System |

State Profiles

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


Since 2000, the state of Alabama has funded preschool education programs for 4-year-olds through First Class: the Alabama Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten program. All state residents who meet the age criteria are automatically eligible for the program. Enrollment has stayed relatively low due to limited resources. Increased funding in 2007-2008 led to increased access, along with technical support and scholarships for teachers working toward finishing their degrees. During the 2011-2012 school year, enrollment and appropriations grew slightly, following flat funding and no growth in access for several years before that. In 20132014, Alabama met its goal of having at least one pre-K classroom in each of its 67 counties.
First Class classrooms are housed in many different locations, including Head Start programs, private child-care centers, community organizations, faith-based centers, colleges and universities, military agencies and public schools. A competitive grant process determines the program locales, with grant amounts varying by location. Grantees must locally match the award, and sliding-scale fees can be included in the matching funds.
Teacher certification standards were revised in 2005-2006 to ensure that newly employed pre-K educators have specialized training in early childhood education. All 10 NIEER quality benchmarks were met for the first time with these revisions, and the program has maintained all benchmarks. In 2008-2009, the First Class program was assessed for program impact, child outcomes, and process quality. An aligned set of standards for pre-K children, the Alabama Developmental Standards for Preschool Children, was instituted in 2012 by the Office of School Readiness and the state Department of Education's Special Education services.
Although there is currently no kindergarten entry assessment required by the Alabama Department of Education, the Alabama Department of Children's Affairs is working with local school systems to implement Teaching Strategies GOLD as a kindergarten entry assessment. This is voluntary and in the pilot phase.
Alabama's business community has extended significant support to preschool since 2009-2010, when business leaders recommended the state legislature increase funding for preschool education. The Business Council of Alabama collaborated with the Alabama School Readiness Alliance to create a task force, which set out to develop policy recommendations for increasing access to highquality prekindergarten throughout the state. In 2013-2014, the budget was increased by $\$ 10 M$, adding 120 new state-funded pre-K classrooms. A new coaching and mentoring system was put into place to ensure quality standards were met. Each grantee signs assurances prior to receiving grant funding to acknowledge their understanding of the quality requirements.
The Office of School Readiness in the Alabama Department of Children's Affairs has partnered with a team of researchers to document the effects of the state's voluntary, public pre-K program. In 2014, Alabama was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 17.5$ million to expand pre-K access across the state in high-risk areas. Another request for an increase in state funds by Governor Bentley was made this year, with the anticipated support of the state legislature.


## FIRST CLASS PRE-K: ALABAMA'S VOLUNTARY PRE-KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM ACCESS



${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................................. $38,624,146$
Local match required?.
State Head Start spending ................................................... \$4,824,900
State spending per child enrolled ................................................ $\$ 5,333$
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................... \$6,666

[^12]SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


Four-year-olds in Alaska have had the Alaska Pilot Pre-Kindergarten Project (AP3) available in six school districts through competitive grants since the 2009-2010 school year. A majority of programs operate in combined public school and Head Start programs. Grantees offer half-day programs as well as outreach to families who prefer in-home care for preschoolers.
Funding is granted to public schools that may subcontract with faith-based settings, family child-care centers, Head Start programs, and private child-care centers. The federal Head Start guidelines share similarities with Alaska's pre-K program eligibility requirements, with eligibility based on family income at, or below, $100 \%$ of the federal poverty level. If space is available, however, programs can take a maximum of $35 \%$ of children from families between 100 and $130 \%$ FPL.

Renamed the Alaska Pre-Kindergarten Program, the pre-K program shifted from pilot status in the 2011-2012 school year, to a scheduled endowment change and re-competition for state grants in fiscal year 2013. The state pre-K program had a total budget of $\$ 2.5$ million and served children in eight districts for the 2012-2013 school year. There was an $\$ 800,000$ drop in funding in 2013-2014, so fewer children were served that year. Although pre-K funding was slated for closure in 2014-2015, supporters were successful in providing grant extensions for two full years.
The Teaching Strategies GOLD assessment was piloted by the state three times per year in two-thirds of the programs during the 2011-2012 school year. The state required its use for all grantees in the new competition. An evaluation of the AP3 program was completed in the 2010-2011 school year, determining process quality as well as program impact and child results based on preand post-assessments using the DIAL II, PPVT, and ECERS instruments. Alaska has offered a supplement to federally recognized Head Start programs since the 1980s. The financial support is part of an effort to develop program quality through school readiness activities and professional improvement. The funds also offer admission to additional children and families whenever possible.


## ALASKA PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM <br> ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..... 319
School districts that offer state program 15\% (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$130\% FPL
Hours of operation 3.5 hours/day; 4 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 1,091
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... $.2,512$
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 164

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

|  |
| :---: |
| Local match required? |
| State Head Start spending .............................................\$9,185,100 |
| State spending per child enrolled ..........................................\$6,270 |
| All reported spending per child enrolled*................................\$6,270 |
| * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure. |
| ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start. |
| *** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the ' $14-115$ school year, unless otherwise noted. |



## Arizona

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


Arizona began funding preschool education programs in 1991. Supplemental services for preschool, first-through-third-grade education, and full-day kindergarten, were supported by The Early Childhood Block Grant (ECBG) from 1996 to 2010. Children from families with an income less than or at $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level were qualified for ECBG-funded programs. With the requirement that some of the funding was passed through to either private child-care centers or to faith-based programs, the ECBG pre-kindergarten funds were distributed to school districts. Preschool programs that received ECBG funding had to be approved by an organization permitted by the state, such as the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). ECBG funds were frozen in fiscal year 2010, due to budget restraints, and then revoked.
The Early Childhood Development and Health Board, known as First Things First (FTF), was established by Arizona voters in 2006. FTF oversees two scholarship programs, which were merged together in the 2012-2013 school year. Initially, QF Scholarships were "Emergency" Scholarships, due to the elimination of funding from the state for subsidy. Pre-Kindergarten Scholarships had specific quality indicators, but were not required to participate in Quality First. Eventually, both the Emergency Scholarships and the Pre-K Scholarships were aligned with Quality First (although not at the same time). Quality First Scholarships are part of the incentive package for programs participating in the QRIS, Quality First. Pre-Kindergarten Scholarship participants were required to participate in Quality First during the school year. As a result, the two scholarship programs merged, and more pre-kindergarten children are being funded in programs that meet the quality tier levels-the current standard is a minimum of a three star quality rating, as assessed by the statewide QRIS, Quality First. In some regions, however, there are not enough programs at the quality levels, so some two-star programs receive QF Scholarships.

The local United Way provides administrative oversight and services related to scholarship reporting, monitoring, and payment through an agreement with FTF. Private child care, public schools, Head Start settings, tribally regulated programs, family child-care homes, and faith-based programs are eligible to participate in the Quality First Scholarships program.
Reimbursement rates were determined by a cost of quality study conducted by First Things First in 2010. Rates are based on the quality level of the program (higher quality receives higher reimbursement) and program type (center-based programs receive higher reimbursement than family child-care homes).
FTF is administered through Regional Partnership Councils, including 18 county-based Councils and 10 tribal Councils that span the entire state. Local Regional Partnership Councils determine the level of funding for Quality First Scholarships based on data and information specific to the region.


## QUALITY FIRST SCHOLARSHIPS

## ACCESS

|  |
| :---: |
| School districts that offer state program .......................86\% (Regional |
| Income requirement .......................................................200\% FPL |
| Hours of operation .............................................Determined locally |
| Operating schedule ...........................................Determined locally |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..............................8,885 |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .............15,857 |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ........................... 0 |



+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree ............................... 12 credit hours in ECE or CDA ${ }^{2}$ | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training................. 12 credit hours in ECE or CDA ${ }^{2}$ | Specializing in pre-K | $\square$ |
| Assistant teacher degree........................................................HSD | .CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ............................................. 18 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | $\square$ BENCHMARKS |
| 3-year-olds ............................................................................. 26 |  | MET |
| 4-year-olds ............................................................................ 30 |  | $\cdots$ |
| Staff-child ratio | ..1:10 or better | $\square$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Immunizations; and support services and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals ......................................Depends on length of program day | At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | ..Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .......................................................... $\$ 35,829,787^{3}$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,413^{3}$
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................. $\$ 3,413^{3}$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^13]
## Arkansas

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


The Arkansas Better Chance (ABC) and Arkansas Better Chance for School Success programs were founded as part of a statewide education reform initiative in 1991. ABC was initially funded only through dedicated sales tax and, between 2001 and 2007, an excise tax on packaged beer provided additional funding. Forty percent of the program's overall financial support must be local contributions (either in-kind or monetary); however the program does receive some federal funding as well.
As additional funds became available in the 2004-2005 program year, the Arkansas Better Chance for School Success (ABCSS) was launched. Three- and 4 -year-olds in families whose income falls below 200 percent of the federal poverty level are eligible for the ABCSS program. Children from low-income families from birth to age 5 are eligible for early childhood education services offered by $A B C$. Children and families with other risk issues may also be eligible, including: developmental delay, foster care, family aggression, low parental education level, having a parent in active overseas military duty, non-English speaking family members, having a teen parent, or low birth weight.

Pre-K access through the program is offered in diverse settings, including through Head Start, service cooperatives, public schools, private business, and nonprofit organizations. For the program's pre-K teachers, the Arkansas Better Chance program offers funding for professional development, such as pursuing college degrees.

Act 187 of 2009 became effective in January 2011 and established the Birth through Prekindergarten Teaching Credential. Five institutions have been permitted to offer the credential, allowing an additional professional path for preschool teachers in the $A B C$ program.
ABC also assesses both quality outcomes and impact on child outcomes, through an ongoing study that began in the 2005-2006 year. ECERS and CLASS are used to evaluate classroom quality. Head Start programs use CLASS. The QRIS will allow either.
The Arkansas Early Childhood Education Framework for Three and Four Year Old Children outlines a framework for 3-to 5-year-old services, describes development of the framework, and was revised in 2013. Standards are aligned with the Common Core State Standards, and the Kellogg Foundation has provided funding to help align the early learning standards and the Public Education Learning Standards.
In December, 2014, Arkansas was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 14.9$ million. They will also have an additional $\$ 3$ million funding for a one-time COLA cost.

Arkansas does collect data about children in their preschool programs who are English language learners. The state requires that recruitment and information materials be provided in languages other than English, primarily Spanish. Learning standards and professional development requirements related to cultural awareness and literacy standards are part of state policy, but most practice related to DLL is locally determined.

The state Quality Model addresses salaries for preschool teachers. Pay parity with public school teachers is not in policy, although there is a requirement for $30 \%$ fringe benefits. The state provides guidelines around paid planning and PD time, but most other benefits for teachers are locally determined.

This report outlines the $A B C$ and $A B C S S$ programs together as they have related requirements and standards.


## ARKANSAS BETTER CHANCE/ARKANSAS BETTER CHANCE FOR SCHOOL SUCCESS <br> ACCESS

Total state program enrollment25,389School districts that offer state program

$\qquad$
98\% (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$.200\% FPL
Hours of operation .7 hours/day; 5 days/weekOperating schedule.School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 8,314
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 8,383
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

3-YEAR-OLDS


4-YEAR-OLDS

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .............Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree .............AR Teacher Licensure (public); BA (nonpublic) ${ }^{1}$ | ...........BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training........AR Teacher Licensure, Pre-K-4th grade (public); BA in ECE (nonpublic) | ............ Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ......................................................CDA | .............CDA or equivalent | V |
| Teacher in-service ............................................ 30 clock hours/year | .............At least 15 hours/year | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Maximum class size | ......... 20 or lower | $\checkmark$ MET |
| 3-year-olds .................................................................................... 20 4-year-olds............................................................................................ 20 |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ 1:10 <br> 4-year-olds 1:10 | ...........1:10 or better | $\square$ - |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services immunizations; blood pressure; psychological/behavioral; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | $\qquad$ Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |
| Meals .........................................................Breakfast; lunch; snack | .............At least 1/day | $\checkmark$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | ............Site visits | , |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .............................................. $\$ 111,000,000$
Local match required? .Yes
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 4,372$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ \$4,372

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^14]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


In 2008, the California State Preschool Program Act consolidated several early childhood initiatives into one funding stream, resulting in the California State Preschool Program (CSPP). CSPP encompasses (i) the State Preschool Program (SPP) that began in 1965 and provided preschool services to children at risk for neglect, abuse, family violence, or who were receiving protective services; (ii) the Prekindergarten and Family Literacy Program (PKFLP), which began in 2007 and added a literacy component to SPP, and serves children from families at or below $70 \%$ of the state median income (SMI); and (iii) General Child Care programs. CSPP began serving children during the 2009-2010 school year, providing preschool to 3- and 4-year-olds with a family income at or below $70 \%$ SMI. Children who are at risk of abuse, neglect, or exploitation, who are receiving protective services, who are homeless, or who are receiving CalWORKS cash aid are also eligible to attend.
State spending on CSPP increased by about $\$ 54$ million from the 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 school year. CSPP awards funding through a competitive application process to school districts, private and faith-based agencies, and Head Start programs. Children are served in either full-day or part-day programs, depending on family eligibility and need. Children must remain eligible throughout the year to receive full-day services but can remain in part-day programs after a change in eligibility.
Teachers are required to have a California Child Development Associate Teacher Permit and can receive scholarships to improve their credentials. The California Preschool Learning Foundations are aligned with the Common Core standards and with the state's college and career ready standards. CSPP classrooms receive structured quality observations using the Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale at least once each year.
California requires some supports for dual language learners (DLL), including providing translators or bilingual staff when children do not speak English, providing recruitment and outreach as well as information about the program and child's performance in the family's home language, writing an individualized plan for working with each DLL, and teacher professional development for working with DLLs. CSPP allows bilingual instruction, monolingual non-English classes, and dual language immersion, but DLLs are not permitted to be served in English-only classes. Children are required to be screened and assessed in their home language. The California Preschool Learning Foundations contain standards related to English Language Development.

California's Kindergarten Readiness Act of 2010 moved the kindergarten eligibility age from December to September, resulting in all children being 5 years old when entering kindergarten. This act also authorized the Transitional Kindergarten (TK) program, which offered two years of kindergarten to children born between September and December who were no longer age-eligible for kindergarten. TK began during the 2012-2013 school year and enrolled 77,274 children in the fall of 2014. These children are considered by the state to be in the first year of a two-year kindergarten program. TK programs follow kindergarten regulations for class size, standards, funding, and serving English language learners.
California also receives funding through the Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge (RTT-ELC) in order to implement a Quality Rating and Improvement System (QRIS) and to sustain quality improvement efforts for teachers and teaching, child development and school readiness, and program and environment quality.


## CALIFORNIA STATE PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

## ACCESS

| ogram |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program ......... $97 \%$ (counties/parishes) |  |
| Income requirement ................................................................................. 3 hours 5 SMIHours of operation........... 59 minutes/day; 5 days/week |  |
|  |  |
| Operating schedule $\qquad$ Determined locally |  |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ............................45,398 |  |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..............86,855 |  |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .......................... 0 |  |

Total state program enrollment $.97 \%$ (counties/parishes) Income requirement
$\qquad$ 3 hours and 59 minutes/day; 5 days/week Operating schedule ..Determined locallySpecial education enrollment, ages 3 and 486,855
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................ $\$ 622,930,873$
Local match required? .No
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 4,694$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ . $\$ 5,441$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


[^15]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


The Colorado Preschool Program (CPP) was launched in 1988 in an effort to target 3- and 4-year-olds in need of language development with the goal of lowering dropout rates and reducing dependence on public assistance. In 1992, CPP became a permanent program, but expanded its target population to all children who lack overall school readiness due to family risk factors. Risk factors for eligibility are defined in statute, including eligibility for free or reduced-price meals, being a dual language learner (DLL), being in foster care, a family history of abuse or neglect, homelessness, low parental education levels, parental substance abuse, or having a teen parent, as well as locally determined risk factors. Four-year-olds must meet at least one risk factor to enroll in CPP, while 3-year-olds must have a minimum of three risk factors.
During the 2013 legislative session, the Colorado legislature created a new type of CPP slot known as the Early Childhood At-Risk Enhancement or ECARE slot. The intention of this new approach was to allow school districts more flexibility. ECARE slots may be used to fund half-day pre-K, full-day pre-K, or full-day kindergarten. School districts may change the usage of their allotted ECARE slots from year to year, based on the needs of their early childhood population. In 2014-2015, 21,713 pre-K children attended CPP/ECARE.

CPP funding is determined through the Colorado school finance formula, with preschoolers receiving half the amount of students in grades 1 through 12. These funds are distributed directly to public schools that are given a predetermined number of half-day slots to serve eligible children. In 2014-2015, $96 \%$ of the 178 school districts in Colorado offered CPP. Two types of slots can be allocated to districts: CPP slots which can be used to serve eligible pre-K students and ECARE slots which can be used to serve pre-K students or to provide full-day opportunities for eligible kindergarteners. Two half-day slots can be combined to create full-day opportunities for pre-K children with very high needs. From 2010-2012, the state enacted consecutive budget cuts, including a reduction in the funding available for K-12 students. State funding for CPP, tied to Colorado's funding for K-12, increased in 2013-2014 to \$47.7 million to a current level over $\$ 54$ million (FY15). These figures do not include contributions from local sources, which are required by the school finance formula.
Schools may subcontract with private child care centers, Head Start, or other community-based or public agencies. The Charter School Institute also participates in the Colorado Preschool Program as a direct recipient of funds. Funding is awarded competitively with priority given to districts not currently participating in CPP. CPP may use additional funding sources, such as federal Head Start money, to extend the program day, supplement services, or provide wraparound care.
Colorado was one of 5 states funded in the second round of the federal Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge in 2012. The $\$ 17.9$ million grant is being used to fund activities designed to improve the quality of preschool with its QRIS system, Colorado Shines. All programs serving children funded through CPP use formative assessments to monitor progress and to illustrate growth between fall and spring in key areas of learning and development.


## COLORADO PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment$.21,713$School districts that offer state program

$\qquad$
$.96 \%$ (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$.185\% FPL
Hours of operation 2.5 hours/day; Determined locally Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 7,363
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 9,654
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .............Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree ......................................................................CDA | ...........BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training .............................Early Childhood Course ${ }^{1}$ | .............Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree .....................No minimum degree required | .............CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ............................................ 15 clock hours/year | .............At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $.16$ <br> 4-year-olds <br> 16 | 20 or lower | BENCHMARKS MET |
| Staff-child ratio | 1:10 or better |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Immunizations; developmental; and support services full physical exam; and support services | ..............Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals ......................................Depends on length of program day ${ }^{2}$ | .............At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .................................... Site visits and other monitoring | ............ Site visits | $\nabla$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................. $54,408,293$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 2,506$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 3,827$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.
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# Connecticut 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*

state spending Per CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)



Connecticut currently serves pre-kindergarten children in two state-funded programs: School Readiness Program (SRP) and Child Day Care Contracts (CDCC). As of July 2013, the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood (OEC) provides oversight for both of these programs and has been working to better align them. In previous years, parent fees for participation in CDCC were re-determined every six months, which did not meet the NIEER definition of a state-funded preschool program, therefore excluding it from the Yearbook. Due to a policy change of re-calculating fees annually, and the provision that allows families that are over income to remain enrolled, CDCC is included in Connecticut's entry this year.

SRP was founded in 1997 in an effort to increase access to early childhood programs for 3 - and 4-year-olds. Financial support is available to 19 Priority School Readiness districts, as defined by legislation, and 49 are Competitive School Readiness municipalities that have one or more schools in which $40 \%$ or more of the children are eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or are one of the communities identified within the 50 lowest-wealth-ranked towns in the state, as defined by legislation. All families, regardless of income levels, can apply for School Readiness spaces in Competitive and Priority municipalities; however, 60\% of children enrolled in each municipality must meet the income guideline of being at or below 75\% SMI. In 2014-2015, SRP enrollment increased 23\% from the previous year and enrolled 12,098 3- and 4-year-olds in full-day, school-day, or part-day programs. FY2015 state funding increased to $\$ 101.8$ million from $\$ 82.7$ million the previous year.

CDCC are jointly funded through state funding and the Social Service Block Grant and must operate for at least 10 hours per day. In 2014-2015, CDCC enrolled 2,601 preschool-age children, targeting children with household incomes below 75\% of the state median income. CDCC programs do not operate in public schools; and are reimbursed through a purchase-of-service contracting system administered by OEC.

Both SRP and CDCC non-Head Start programs must be NAEYC accredited. Pre-K programs operating within public schools, private child care centers, and faith-based settings have until the third anniversary of the first day children attend the program to achieve it. In order to help prepare programs that are not accredited, annual ECERS self-assessments are required. The scores themselves are not turned in to OEC but the name of the consultant who conducted the assessment and the date completed are submitted annually.

In 2014, Connecticut was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 12.5$ million.
Currently, pre-K lead teachers in public and nonpublic schools must have at least a CDA and 12 early childhood credits and are not required to be paid on parity with public school district salary schedules for K-12 teachers. Staff-qualifications for all state-funded early childhood programs were increased in July 2011, with a phase-in schedule over the next few years. By 2017, 50\% of lead teachers must hold a BA and 50\% must hold an AA specific to Early Childhood. By 2020, 100\% of lead teachers must hold a BA. To support professional development, SRP grantees through their local School Readiness Council can apply to OEC for Quality Enhancement funds. Funds are allotted based on student enrollment within the municipality and, in 2014-2015, ranged from $\$ 3,900$ to $\$ 135,000$ per year to individual grantees.

State policy does not regulate services for DLL/ELL students. It is strongly suggested that programs provide a staff member or volunteer who speaks the child's home language. A supplement framework to the Connecticut Early Learning and Development Standards (CT ELDS) was developed to increase awareness of the stages of acquiring a second language and to identify strategies to support their language and development. In addition, the CT ELDS and training guides have been translated into Spanish. Only SRP is required to use a curriculum that is aligned with CT ELDS and to implement an assessment such as the CT Preschool Assessment Framework that addresses learning standards and outcomes for the children. The current Kindergarten Entrance Inventory (KEI) requires kindergarten teachers to provide a global rating of children across 4 areas of development. Connecticut is a charter state in the MD Enhanced Grant Consortium and will have a revised KEl in 2017.

Connecticut's overall support for pre-K is profiled on the following page. The third page focuses exclusively on the Child Day Care Contracts initiative and the final page presents details on the School Readiness Program.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ...................................................14,699
Total state spending \$119,151,878
State spending per child enrolled ...............................................\$8,106
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................. \$10,184

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## CONNECTICUT CHILD DAY CARE CONTRACTS

## ACCESS

| Total state program enrollment..............................................2,601 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program ........ $28 \%$ (towns/communities) |  |
| Income requirement..........................................................75\% SMI |  |
| Hours of operation ................................. 10 hours/day; 5 days/week |  |
| Operating schedule | tate-determined |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 | ,242 |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and | 4,986 |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 | . 267 |


${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K <br> REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree..........................CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits (Pre-K 3- and 4-year-olds) | .Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree........................................................Other ${ }^{1}$ | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ............................................. 20 clock hours/year | .At least 15 hours/year | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | $\nabla$ MET |
| 3-year-olds ....................................................................................................................................................................................... 4-year-olds...... |  | - |
| Staff-child ratio <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ | .1:10 or better | V |
| 4-year-olds .........................................................................1:10 |  |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Immunizations; full physical exam; and support services and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals ........................................................Breakfast; lunch; snack | At least 1/day | $\nabla$ |
| Monitoring ................................... Site visits and other monitoring ${ }^{2}$ | Site visits | $\square$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................ $\$ 17,304,300$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State Head Start spending ................................................... $5,744,093$
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 6,653$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................. $\$ 12,652$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.
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## CONNECTICUT SCHOOL READINESS

## ACCESS

 AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K <br> REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree..........................CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits (Pre-K 3- and 4-year-olds) | .Specializing in pre-K | V |
| Assistant teacher degree......................No minimum degree required ${ }^{2}$ | .CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ............................................... 9 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year |  |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | $\checkmark$ MET |
| 3-year-olds......................................................................................... 20 |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 1:10 <br> 4-year-olds <br> 1:10 | 1:10 or better | $\checkmark$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/ behavioral; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | V |
| Meals .......................................Depends on length of program day ${ }^{3}$ | At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | .Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................... \$101,847,578
Local match required? .No
State Head Start spending ....................................................\$5,744,093
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 8,419$
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................... \$9,654

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^18]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


Since the program began in 1994, the Delaware Early Childhood Assistance Program (ECAP) has provided comprehensive early learning services for Head Start-eligible 4-year-olds. Modeled after the federal Head Start program, ECAP requires participating programs to follow Head Start Performance Standards. Ten percent of enrollment slots are reserved for children with disabilities; the remainder of children must live in families whose income is at or below 100\% FPL. In 2007, the Head Start Reauthorization Act was enabled, allowing children living in households with incomes at 100 to $130 \%$ FPL to make up, at most, $35 \%$ of the programs' funded enrollment.

Community Needs Assessment Data determine who receive ECAP grants, which are available to for-profit child care, Head Start agencies, public schools, and private and faith-based centers.

Delaware Stars is the quality rating improvement system for early childhood programs, which aligns with the Head Start Performance Standards. Since December 2012, all ECAP programs must participate in the Delaware Stars QRIS. ECAP-only programs that do not receive mixed funding, if successfully monitored, can participate in an alternate pathway to QRIS and enter with a Star 4 rating. ECAP programs that are funded through other sources start with a rating of 1. A Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge grant was awarded to Delaware, with the goal of all ECAP programs attaining 5 stars.
Although there is no state-level policy regulating services for dual language learner students, the state does consider home language other than English for children and/or families as an eligibility criterion for enrollment in the state preschool program.

| ACCESS RANKINGS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4-YEAR-OLDS | 3-YEAR-OLDS |
|  | None Served |



## DELAWARE EARLY CHILDHOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (ECAP)

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment843School districts that offer state program 100\% (counties/parishes)
Income requirement
$\qquad$.100\% FPL
Hours of operation 3.5 hours/day, 5 days/week ${ }^{1}$
Operating schedule

$\qquad$
..Determined locally ${ }^{1}$
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 1,188
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 1,648
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 843

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ....................................................\$5,985,300
Local match required? .No
State Head Start spending $\$ 5,985,300$
State spending per child enrolled ................................................ \$7,100
All reported spending per child enrolled*.
.\$7,100

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4-year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


# District of Columbia 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


Among the first in the nation to invest in pre-kindergarten (pre-K), the District of Columbia has offered pre-K since the 1960s. Currently, the DC public pre-K program serves children in a variety of settings, including DC Public Schools, DC Public Charter Schools, and community-based organizations. DC began to bolster its investment in pre-K significantly in 2008 through the Pre-K Enhancement and Expansion Amendment Act of 2008 (Pre-K Act). The Pre-K Act articulated the mission of the DC public pre-K program as providing for high-quality, universally accessible pre-K education services. Following the passage of this legislation, the percent of children enrolling in the DC public pre-K program increased from $68 \%$ of 4 -year-olds to over $90 \%$ of 4 -year-olds residing in the District. In 2013-2014, $99 \%$ of all 4 -year-olds and close to $70 \%$ of all 3 -year-olds residing in the district were enrolled in the DC public pre-K program. The number of 3- and 4-year-olds increased from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 but the percent of children residing in the district enrolled fell to $86 \%$ of 4 -year-olds and $64 \%$ of 4 -year-olds as the number of 3 - and 4 -yearolds residing in the District increased. In 2013-2014, enrollment comprised $99 \%$ of all 4 -year-olds in the District and close to $70 \%$ of all 3 -year-olds residing in the District. As such, the provision of pre-kindergarten services to 4 -year-olds in the District can be said to be universal, and the District of Columbia has the highest percentages of 3- and 4 -year-olds enrolled of all U.S. states and Territories.

The Office of the State Superintendent (OSSE), the entity with authority over the majority of programs and services to young children and their families in the District, also administers the DC public pre-K program. Additionally, the DC Public Schools and the DC Public Charter School Board have authority to oversee implementation of programs offered within these school-based settings. In an effort to provide high-quality pre-K services across multiple delivery settings, pre-K services are also offered within high-quality community-based organizations.
Reflecting its commitment to quality, DC Public Charter Schools use its Early Childhood Performance Management Framework to hold programs accountable to quality standards. The Framework dictates that all programs are scored annually on student outcomes, and that teacher-child interactions are measured using the CLASS assessment. The Framework also measures attendance. Information collected using the Framework is shared with families and community-members. Public schools and community-based organizations are also collecting CLASS data to inform program and classroom quality.

It should also be noted that OSSE has developed a variety of supports to children who are dual language learners (DLL). For instance, recruitment and enrollment materials must be offered in a variety of languages, including Amharic, Chinese, French, Korean, Spanish, and Vietnamese. Policies also specify that information is collected on the home language, ethnicity, and migrant status of children enrolled in the program. In public school settings, DC also uses a variety of developmental screenings and assessments to identify children as DLL and to develop individualized supports.


## D.C. PUBLIC PRE-K

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..... 12,612
School districts that offer state program 32\% (LEAs)
Income requirement

$\qquad$
No income requirement
Hours of operation .6.5 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 748
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 2,342
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................\$207,226,639
Local match required? .No
State spending per child enrolled ............................................. \$16,431
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ .\$17,509

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Head Start enrollment is included in pre-K.
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.
3-YEAR-OLDS
${ }^{\dagger}$ Head Start enrollment is included in pre-K.
ite visits

## Florida

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


Florida's Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) Education Program was created as a result of the 2002 state constitutional amendment requiring pre-kindergarten access for all of Florida's 4 -year-olds. The program began operating in 2005, serving approximately 100,000 children. Since that time, enrollment has increased steadily to more than 175,000 children in the 2011-2012 program year, decreasing in 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 in response to a declining preschool population. VPK was jointly managed in 20132014 by Florida's Office of Early Learning (OEL) and the Department of Children and Families. Florida's Office of Early Learning (OEL) was established as an independent agency administratively linked to the DOE, separated from Florida's Agency for Workforce Innovation in 2011.

Age-eligible children are enrolled in either a 300-hour summer program, which every school district is mandated to offer, or a school-year program totaling 540 instructional hours. Teachers in the school-year program are required to have at minimum a Child Development Associate (CDA) degree or equivalent credential. Teachers in the summer program are required to have a bachelor's degree. More than $65 \%$ of the lead teachers hold a Child Development Associate or Associate degree. In 2011, the Florida Early Learning and Developmental Standards for Four-Year-Olds was approved by the State Board of Education, which all programs were required to meet in the 2012-2013 school year. A variety of settings provide VPK, such as public schools, accredited nonpublic schools, licensed child care centers, accredited faith-based centers, and licensed family child care homes. More than $80 \%$ of the children are provided services in nonpublic school settings. Regional early learning coalitions are formed to monitor programs for compliance and to administer VPK. The coalitions also distribute funds to VPK programs based on a fixed hourly rate.

The VPK program is reviewed annually as part of the legislative appropriation process and funding is determined by the legislative body. VPK is assessed annually based on the Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS), composed of FAIR and ECHOS, administered within the first 30 days of kindergarten, comparing children and programs that participated in VPK to those that did not. Legislation adopted in 2011 requires the State Board of Education to periodically adopt a minimum kindergarten readiness rate to assess satisfactory delivery of the VPK Education Program by providers and schools. Beginning with the 2011-2012 program year, the state changed the requirements for children to be ready for kindergarten to require that children be rated as proficient on both the ECHOS and FAIR components of FLKRS. Beginning with the 2012-2013 school year, all providers were required to administer the Florida VPK assessment. Results from the first and third assessment periods will be collected and used as a pre- and post-assessment to demonstrate learning gains.

Florida's School Readiness Program, a separate initiative begun in 1999, expanded in 2001 by incorporating two other state programs-the Prekindergarten Early Intervention Program, which focused on young children's health, and the State Migrant Prekindergarten Program, which served 3- and 4-year-olds whose parents are migratory agricultural or fishing industry laborersoffers financial assistance for child care to qualified parents. This report focuses solely on the VPK program.
Please note that funding and enrollment data are current; all other information was provided and confirmed for the 2014 Yearbook.


## FLORIDA VOLUNTARY PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

ACCESSTotal state program enrollment
$\qquad$School districts that offer state program.
$\qquad$ 100\% (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$ .No income requirement
Hours of operation

$\qquad$
Determined locallyOperating scheduleDetermined locally
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 20,816
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 33,596
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4

$\qquad$
.. 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST¹

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { STATE PRE-K } \\ \text { POLICY } \\ \text { REQUIREMENT } \end{array}$ | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher degree $\qquad$ BA (summer); CDA or equivalent ${ }^{2}$ plus specified training (school year) | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized $\qquad$ BA or higher in ECE or related field (summer); ${ }^{2}$ training CDA and emergent literacy training (school year) | .Specializing in pre-K | $\square$ |
| Assistant teacher degree .........................................................None | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ TOTAL |
| Teacher in-service ............................................ 10 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | BENCHMARKS MET |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ NA <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ 12 (summer); 20 (school year) | .20 or lower | $\checkmark$ |
| Staff-child ratio | .1:10 or better | $\square$ |
| 3-year-olds $\qquad$ NA <br> 4-year-olds. .1:12 (summer); 1:11 or 2:12-20 (school year) |  |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Determined locally and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals ...................................... Depends on length of program day ${ }^{3}$ | .At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................ $383,703,444$
Local match required? .No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 2,304$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ \$2,304

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^19]${ }^{2}$ Teacher qualification requirements are different for the summer and school-year programs. Teachers in the summer programs must have a BA in early childhood, primary, or preschool education, prekindergarten disabilities, family and consumer science, or elementary education. Teachers in the school-year programs are required to have a CDA or the Florida Child Care Professional Credential (FCCPC) equivalent and must have completed a Department of Education course on emergent literacy.
${ }^{3}$ Meals and snacks are required for extended-day programs.

# Georgia 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


I1995, Georgia's Preschool Program became the nation's first state-funded universal preschool program for 4-year-olds. State lottery revenues generate funding for the program in a variety of settings such as public schools, private child-care centers, faith-based organizations, Head Start agencies, state colleges and universities, and military facilities.
Total spending for the 2014-2015 school year was $\$ 312.5$ million and was an increase of $\$ 6.9$ million over the $\$ 305.6$ million spent for the 2013-2014 school year. The larger budget included a $1 \%$ increase in salary for both lead and assistant teachers, as well as a one-time payment to Georgia's preschool providers for investment in new curriculum, classroom technology and refurbishment, and professional development opportunities. The review was conducted to update the list of approved comprehensive curricula to be used in Georgia's preschool classrooms.
In 2011, the Georgia General Assembly began a multi-year evaluation led by the FPG Child Development Institute at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Data on the first two phases of the study have been released, with another longitudinal study ongoing into 2018.

Budgetary constraints in the preschool program during the 2011-2012 school year resulted in several changes. The program was cut by 20 days, though 10 days were added back for the following year, and another 10 during the 2013-2014 year. This returned the program to its 180-day schedule. Other changes included a teacher-student ratio of 1:11 being allowed, and the maximum class size became 22 students. An increase in teacher turnover occurred when the state also implemented a cap of Training and Experience (T\&E) supplemental pay for certified teachers in public schools.
There are 8,087 children enrolled in the Georgia Preschool Program who live in a home in which English is not the primary language. The state sponsors professional development on best practices for dual language learners, contracting with WIDA to conduct Train the Trainer sessions to support DLL in early childhood settings.
Georgia requires that both lead and assistant teachers in the Preschool Program receive salary parity, and earn the same starting salary as teachers in K-3 settings. Georgia also provides supports for lead and assistant teachers, to help them attain credentials and to enhance their skills, such as scholarships, professional development, and paid planning time.
The pre-K budget for the 2015-2016 school year includes approximately an additional $\$ 7 \mathrm{M}$. This increase includes a $1 \%$ raise for both lead and assistant teachers and two additional planning/ professional development days. The two additional days brings the calendar for teachers and assistant teachers back to 190 days. The budget increase will also fund an additional 60 Summer Transition Program classes.


## GEORGIA'S PRE-K PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment$\qquad$80,430
School districts that offer state program100\% (counties)
ncome requirement

$\qquad$
No income requirement
Hours of operation 6.5 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 9,063
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 21,315
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



| POLICY | REOUIREMENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | .Comprehensive |
| Teacher degree | BA |
| Teacher specialized.... training | General Curriculum E SpEd Preschool, |

Early learning standards ................................................Comprehensive
$\qquad$Teacher specialized....Elementary, SpEd General Curriculum Birth to Five

Maximum class size
15 clock hours/academic year

3-year-olds
4-year-olds
Staff-child ratio

## NA

3-year-oldsNA
4-year-olds ..... 1:11
Screening/referral. ..Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; healthand support services immunizations; dental; and support servicesMeals.
$\qquad$ .LunchMonitoringSite visits and other monitoring .Site visits and other monitoring


## BENCHMARK

Comprehensive
BA
Specializing in pre-K

CDA or equivalent
.At least 15 hours/year
20 or lower

1:10 or better
DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK?
$\nabla$  $\checkmark$ V

Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service
At least 1/day
Site visits

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .............................................. $\$ 312,053,998$
Local match required?
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,880$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 3,880$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



# Hawaii 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


The Executive Office of Early Learning (EOEL) launched Hawaii's first publicly funded pre-K program in 2014-2015 with an initial $\$ 3$ million investment. Notably, Hawaii's Department of Education (DOE) is the only statewide public education system in the US, comprising only one school district. In July of 2015, the EOEL became attached to the DOE for administrative purposes only; at this time, the EOEL is not considered a part of the DOE. Currently, all pre-K classrooms are located within public schools, as is mandated by a provision in the Hawaii state constitution that bars the distribution of public funds to privately run programs.

In the 2014-2015 school year, the EOEL's Public Prekindergarten Program supported 20 classrooms in 18 schools across the state, serving 365 students. These classrooms serve 4-year-old students in the year before they are eligible to attend K, with priority given to those students who are considered to be "at-risk." These 20 classrooms were supported by 20 teachers, 20 educational assistants (i.e., assistant teachers), 5 resource teachers, and an educational specialist.

Teachers in the EOEL Public Prekindergarten Program are part of the Hawaii State Teachers Association and, as such, are granted parity with teachers in the K-12 grades. Reflecting a commitment to quality standards, lead teachers in the Public Pre-K Program are required to have a BA with licensure, while assistant teachers are required to have an associate degree. The union contract requires all teachers to participate in 21 hours of in-service each year. On average, teachers in the Pre-K Program participated in 24 clock hours of professional development during the 2014-2015 school year.
Classrooms in the EOEL Public Pre-K Program participate in CLASS observations twice a year, and formative assessments are conducted on the children three times a year, using Teaching Strategies GOLD. In addition, all programs undergo fiscal monitoring annually.

The state of Hawaii has maintained a long-standing commitment to supporting dual language learners. Hawaii has two official state languages, Hawaiian and English. Hawaii is also a WIDA Consortium member state. This membership means that Hawaii participates in ACCESS ELLs, which is a secure, large-scale English language proficiency assessment given to kindergarten through 12th graders who have been identified as English language learners (ELLs). It is given annually in WIDA Consortium member states to monitor students' progress in acquiring academic English.
In addition to its new investment in publicly funded pre-K via the EOEL Public Pre-K Program, in 2014, Hawaii was awarded a federal Preschool Development Grant (PDG). The PDG is administered by the Hawaii State Public Charter School Commission who began implementation in Year One with four charter school classrooms beginning in school year 2015-2016. The schools chosen for Year One implementation were intentionally selected, due to limited access to high-quality preschool programs, so that three of the four schools apply a varying degree of Hawaiian culture instruction. One has Hawaiian cultural elements infused into the instruction, another is a Hawaiian immersion school with the majority of students enrolling with English as their first language then learning the Hawaiian language. The third school is a Hawaiian-medium school with culture and language embedded throughout instruction and family engagement activities.
In Year Two, two additional classes will be added for a total of six PDG-implemented classrooms. In Years Three and Four, a total of 18 classrooms will be implemented statewide in public charter schools on four islands, of which nine will have varying degrees of Hawaiian cultural and language learning focus.


# HAWAII'S EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF EARLY LEARNING PUBLIC PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM ACCESS 

Total state program enrollment365School districts that offer state program .100\% (school districts)
Income requirement
$\qquad$.200\% FPL
Hours of operation 6 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 1,462
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 2,624
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards .........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher degree ......................................................................BA | .BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ Hawaii Teaching Standards Board License (K-6) | ..Specializing in pre-K | $\square$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ...........................................................AA | CDA or equivalent | V |
| Teacher in-service .................................................... 21 hours/year ${ }^{1}$ | .At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ TOTAL $\quad$ BENCHMARKS |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | , MET |
|  |  | - |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ NA <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ 1:10 | 1:10 or better | $\square$ - |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Full physical exam; psychosocial/behavioral; and support services developmental; and support services ${ }^{2}$ | ..Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |
| Meals.............................................................................Lunch | . At least 1/day | $\checkmark$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | . Site visits | $\nabla$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................. $2,800,000$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. \$7,671
All reported spending per child enrolled*...................................\$7,671

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^20]
## NO PROGRAM

$w$hile Idaho does not provide state-funded pre-K, it does invest in early education through other means. To provide additional slots in Head Start and Early Head Start, the state channels $\$ 1.5$ million in TANF funds into a Head Start supplement. The funds typically average an addition of 200 Head Start slots, though the number varies based on how many slots are in the more expensive Early Head Start program. In 2015, the Idaho Falls EICAP Head Start and Early Head Start Program received $\$ 1.2$ million startup funds and $\$ 1.8$ million operational funds to serve 72 Early Head Start children, birth to age 3, in a center-based model. In 2015, legislation authorizing Pay for Success contracts was passed in Idaho. Pay for Success allows for private funders to invest in scaling up social programs so that their impact can be far greater. The Lee Pesky Learning Center is seeking support from the State Department of Education to move forward with this authority to provide early literacy programs for students in a pre-K setting.

Idaho's Early Learning eGuidelines were revised and updated in 2013. The Guidelines cover many different aspects of early learning, including: approaches to learning and cognitive development; motor development, physical well-being, and health; social-emotional development; general knowledge; and communication, language, and literacy. The document provides guidance through third grade for approaches to learning, cognition, and social and emotional development; however, the rest provide guidance from birth through kindergarten. The eGuidelines have been cross-walked with the Idaho Core Standards, National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) standards, and the Head Start Child Outcome Framework.
The Idaho STARS quality rating and improvement system (QRIS) for child-care providers is a multi-agency collaboration. The system also assists child-care providers in increasing their knowledge and skills in early care and education through a Professional Development System (PDS). Idaho STARS meets the goals set in the Early Learning Guidelines, and participation in the QRIS is voluntary.
To plan for the future, in 2015 Idaho convened a group of statewide early childhood leaders to organize an Early Childhood Steering Committee. The group was intentionally kept small to increase efficacy and efficiency. The committee met monthly to work on a mission and purpose statement and to answer some bigger questions. The questions were: (1) What would ideal early (learning) education look like? (2) What is the school readiness culture we aspire to create? (3) What pieces need to be in place and in which order? The Committee has drafted a legislative bill to give Idaho parents choices regarding how to get their children ready to learn by kindergarten and to provide more children access to high-quality, inclusive, early learning environments, which will be presented in the 2016 legislative session.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment ......................................................1,808
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................3,080
State-funded Head Start enrollment ............................................... 115

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Illinois Prekindergarten Program for At-Risk Children was founded in 1985. The state Early Childhood Block Grant (ECBG) has provided funding for the program since 1998. The grant requires that at least $14 \%$ of its funding serves children age 3 or younger. The Preschool for All initiative was created in 2006, with the goal of fully funding the program by 2012. The program began with the intention of offering preschool education to every 3 - and 4 -year-old in the state. Family child care homes, public schools, Head Start programs, and private child care and faith-based centers are all eligible to apply for competitive grants. The program is available in every county, though funding limitations do not allow every child whose families seek early learning to enroll.

The ECBG, which provides state funding for both Preschool for All 3-5 and the Prevention Initiative 0-3 programs, received level funding in 2013-2014 - an 8\% cut from what programs had received in the 2011-2012 school year. Throughout the expansion phase of Preschool for All, at-risk children have been the first funding priority. At-risk status is determined locally, based on requirements identified by districts and agencies in their funding proposals. Low income, low parent education levels, exposure to drug or alcohol abuse in the family, developmental delays, and a history of family neglect, violence, or abuse all are considered risk factors. Families with an income at $400 \%$ of the federal poverty level are given second priority to Preschool for All funding; however, currently none of those programs serving the second priority have been funded, since there are still inadequate funds to serve all children in the first priority.

Preschool for All programs were mandated to meet bilingual education requirements, as of the 2009-2010 school year: all pre-K teachers have to meet the appropriate requirements by July 1, 2016. Pre-K programs must provide instruction in the primary home language and English, if 20 or more English Language Learners (ELL) who speak the same language are enrolled. Program of instruction is locally determined for centers with 19 or fewer ELLs speaking the same language; however, this may include ESL and home language supports as needed.
Illinois collects data on the number of English language learners attending preschool; assessments to identify ELL students is determined at the district level. Recruiting and information materials are provided in a family's home language. Illinois does have an exit assessment (locally determined) for English from preschool, and a statewide language screening tool at kindergarten entry. Funding for bilingual services is available to preschools. Teachers do need specific qualifications in DLL to work with bilingual students.

There are no specific state salary requirements for preschool teachers, although paid time for planning and professional development are required in public school settings.

During the 2010-2011 school year, a Kindergarten Readiness Assessment Stakeholder Committee convened to provide recommendations to the Illinois State Board of Education on developing a comprehensive assessment procedure. The Illinois State Board of Education is implementing a comprehensive kindergarten assessment process that was piloted in the 2012-2013 school year. The second phase pilot implementation in 2013-2014 doubled the number of school districts participating. Statewide implementation is planned. The DRDP-School Readiness (DRDP-SR) is being adapted, piloted, field tested, and calibrated for the Illinois Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS). Illinois earned grants from federal Race to the Top and Race to the TopEarly Learning Challenge competitions. This helped with implementing a Quality Rating and Improvement System, beginning in the 2013-2014 year, including all Preschool for All programs; revising the Illinois Early Learning and Development Standards to align them with Common Core State Standards and the Head Start Framework for the 2013-2014 school year; and implementing the KIDS assessment. In 2014, Illinois was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant - Expansion Grant for $\$ 20$ million.


## ILLINOIS PRESCHOOL FOR ALL

## ACCESS

| 5,154 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program ......................100\% (counties) |  |
| Income requirement....................Low income is one of the risk factors |  |
| Hours of operation........................At least 2.5 hours/day, 5 days/week |  |
| Operating schedule ...................................School or academic year |  |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and $4 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . .21,972 ~$ |  |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .............34,490 |  |
| Sta |  |

Total state program enrollment 100\% (counties)

Income requirement
$\qquad$ .At least 2.5 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 34,490

State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .............................. 0 .0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

POLICY

| POLICY | REQUIREMENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | .................Comprehensive |
| Teacher degree | BA |
| Teacher specialized training | .Professional Educator License with ECE endorsement |
| Assistant teacher degree. | ...Other |
| Teacher in-service | ....... 120 clock hours/5 years |
| Maximum class size |  |
| 3-year-olds. | 20 |
| 4-year-olds. | 20 |
| Staff-child ratio |  |
| 3-year-olds | 1:10 |
| 4-year-olds | ..1:10 |

## 1:10

-10

Screening/referral ..........Vision, hearing, height/weight; immunizations; and support services blood pressure; psychosocial/behavioral; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services
Meals $\qquad$ Lunch and snack (school day); Snack (part day)
Monitoring $\qquad$ Site visits and other monitoring

DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK?
$\nabla$ -

Vision, hearing, health; and

at least 1 support service

At least $1 / \mathrm{d}$
Site visits
BA
Specializing in pre-K

CDA or equivalent
At least 15 hours/year
. 20 or lower

1:10 or better
BENCHMARK
Comprehensive

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................ $237,573,973$
Local match required? .No
State spending per child enrolled .\$3,161
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$3,735

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


## Indiana

## IN PROGRESS

Indiana successfully launched two state-funded preschool pilot programs, with the goal of expanding the enrollment of lowincome 4-year-old children in high-quality early childhood education. The On My Way Pre-K program was signed into law in 2014 to provide grants to eligible low-income 4-year-old children for qualified early education services. The pilot was run in 13 school districts across four counties in the state. During the 2013 legislative session, the Indiana General Assembly enacted the Early Education Matching Grant (EEMG) through 2018. Both of these grant programs require services to be delivered via public schools and licensed or registered child care providers who have achieved Level 3 or Level 4 in Paths to QUALITYTM and for On My Way Pre-K or accredited private schools. The EEMG program currently serves less than $1 \%$ of the population, which does not meet the definition of a state-funded preschool program. The EEMG program is consequently not profiled in this publication
These pilot programs have expanded the number of Level 3 and Level 4 high-quality programs in Indiana, affecting not only the 4 -year-old children enrolled, but also all children enrolled in these programs. These programs have also increased the number of quality slots in Indiana for these low-income children, who will now have an opportunity to both attend pre-K and to be better prepared for kindergarten.
August 2015 will begin the first full year of Indiana's state-funded preschool program On My Way Pre-K. Current levels of funding have been extended through 2018, and a longitudinal study of the preschool children enrolled with these grants and a control group will be completed by Purdue University, following these children to third grade.
There is no state-level policy in Indiana that regulates services for dual language learners. Data about the home language of children is collected in the state pre-K database and includes information on home language as well as ethnicity. Indiana supports and sponsors professional development regarding best practices for dual language learners.


## ON MY WAY PRE-K

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment415School districts that offer state program 3\% (school districts)Income requirement.127\% FPL
Hours of operation

$\qquad$
Operating schedule Determined locally
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 10,387
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 12,998
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ....................................................\$1,073,852
Local match required?......................................................................Yes
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... 2,588
All reported spending per child enrolled*..................................... $\$ 2,875$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED
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## Iowa

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


The state of lowa funds two pre-K programs: Shared Visions and the Statewide Voluntary Preschool Program (SVPP). Shared Visions began in 1989 and provides services to 3 - through 5 -year-olds in school-, part-, and extended-day programs through competitive grants to public schools, Head Start, licensed nonprofit child care centers, and other public nonprofit agencies.
State funding for Shared Visions remained stable from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 but the non-required local funding increased by $\$ 5.7$ million. Shared Visions programs are required to meet NAEYC standards. A state-mandated evaluation of Shared Visions was completed in 2008.

SVPP began in 2007 in order to increase the available pre-K slots for 4 -year-olds. All 4 -year-olds in the state are eligible to attend SVPP and funding is based on a school funding formula which is tied to the child count. The American Institute of Research completed a formal evaluation of the first cohort of SVPP participants in 2012-2013 and the lowa Department of Education completed a special report on the second SVPP cohort in 2013-2014.

A key difference between the two state-funded programs is that eligibility for SVPP is based on age, whereas Shared Visions is a targeted program—at least $80 \%$ of children must qualify for free lunch (family income of 130 FPL). SVPP is offered by almost $95 \%$ of school districts in lowa, whereas Shared Visions is only available in $9 \%$ of school districts in the state. Shared Visions is also available in 28 other locations, such as Head Starts and licensed child-care centers. Teachers in the SVPP program are required to have at least a BA degree with an lowa teaching license and the early childhood endorsement. Teachers in Shared Visions are required to have at least a BA degree if they work in a public school. In nonpublic settings, $75 \%$ of teachers are required to have a BA and the remainder are required to have at least an AA degree. All teachers in both programs are required to have specialized training in ECE. Lead and assistant teachers in the SVPP program who work in public school settings are required to be paid on the same salary schedule and to receive the same fringe benefits as K-3 teachers.
All children enrolled in SVPP and Shared Visions are given a unique state identification number as part of the state longitudinal data system. Both Shared Visions and SVPP require the identification of potential English Language Learners through home language surveys. Additional supports to DLLs are provided by both Shared Visions and SVPP, as guided by selected program standards. Some of these services may include making translators or bilingual staff available if children do not speak English, requiring a written plan on how to work with individual DLLs, screening and assessing children in their home language, providing information to families in their home languages, allowing bilingual instruction in the child's home language, and providing recruitment and outreach information to families in their home languages. The State Early Learning Standards cover cultural awareness, and teacher professional development is supported through the lowa Culture and Language Conference.
lowa's overall support for state prekindergarten, including enrollment and funding for both Shared Visions and the Statewide Voluntary Preschool Program are depicted in the first two pages of this state profile. The third page focuses solely on the Shared Visions program whereas the fourth page provides information about SVPP.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment...................................................25,952
Total state spending .\$77,506,937
State spending per child enrolled ................................................ 2 2,987
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................... $\$ 3,595$

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## IOWA SHARED VISIONS

## ACCESS



${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES
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## IOWA STATEWIDE VOLUNTARY PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..... 24,256
School districts that offer state program $.95 \%$ (school districts)
Income requirement

$\qquad$
No income requirement
Hours of operation 10 hours/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 3,395
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 6,207
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .......................................................... $\$ 69,790,458$
Local match required? .....................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 2,877$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... 2,877

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


[^23]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


There are two state-funded pre-K programs supported by Kansas. The first program, established in 1998, is the Kansas State Pre-Kindergarten Program, formerly known as the Four-Year-Old At-Risk Program. The second program is the Kansas Preschool Program, formerly known as the Pre-K Pilot Program, which was first offered in the 2006-2007 program year and is funded with tobacco dollars from the Master Settlement Agreement.

The Kansas State Pre-K Program provides funding to public schools directly, although schools may subcontract with communitybased organizations to provide services. School districts receive $50 \%$ of the Base Student Aid per pupil, per the state's K-12 funding formula. If applicable, they also receive weighting for transportation, serving at-risk population, and/or local budget options. Eligibility for the program requires that children must meet, at minimum, one of the eight risk factors: eligibility for free lunch (130\% FPL), academic or developmental delay based upon validated assessments, English Language Learner status, migrant status, a parent lacking a high school diploma or GED, having a single parent, having a teen parent, or having a referral from the Department for Children and Families agency. Child inventories on randomly selected children in each classroom, as well as classroom and parent surveys, are among data collected via a web application.

The Kansas Preschool Program is operated by the Kansas State Department of Education and is presently accessible in 14 out of 105 counties. Grants are awarded to school districts and nonprofit community partnerships or community agencies on behalf of a coalition of service providers. At least $50 \%$ of the children enrolled must meet either one of the program risk factors listed above or one of the alternate risk factors; alternate factors include being referred by an early childhood organization, qualifying for reduced price lunch ( $185 \% \mathrm{FPL}$ ), or having a parent on active military duty. The other half must be eligible according to standards set by local programs.
In 2013-2014, sites participating in both pre-K programs began receiving technical assistance and support from KSDE. The initial support focused on increased collaboration at the community level and data-driven decision-making. As of the 2013-2014 school year, both Kansas programs were required to use the Kansas Early Learning Standards, which are aligned with Kansas College and Career Ready Standards, K-12.

An evidence-based home visiting program is currently being developed for Kansas Preschool Program sites. The program selection process was planned in the 2013-2014 school year, and was implemented in the 2014-2015 school year. This program requires collaboration among parent educators, parents, and classroom teachers; data collection by a trained parent educator; group visits; and home visits.

Kansas also provides funding for the Parents as Teacher Program, which serves children from prenatal to 3 years old and their families. Managed by the Kansas State Department of Education, the program offers services through local school districts and coordination with other communities.

The first two pages of this profile address the general assistance and commitment to prekindergarten in Kansas, including enrollment and spending for both the State Pre-Kindergarten Program and the Kansas Preschool Program. The third page centers solely on the Kansas Preschool Program, while the last page discusses the Kansas State Pre-K Program.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ....................................................8,134
Total state spending
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 2,262$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 2,262$

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4-year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## KANSAS PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

## ACCESS

| 1,074 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program .........13\% (counties/parishes) ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Income requirement | 185\% FPL |
| Hours of operation | . 3 hours/day |
| Operating schedule | Determined locally |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and | .6,901 |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, | d 4 ...............6,316 |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages |  |

# STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION <br> 3-YEAR-OLDS <br> - KS Pre-K <br> KS Pre-K Special Ed <br>  <br> 4-YEAR-OLDS <br> KSPP <br> Other/None 

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{+\dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher degree .......................................................................BA | BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized $\qquad$ EC Unified (Birth-3rd grade); training EC Unified (Birth-K); EC; ECE Handicapped ${ }^{1}$ | Specializing in pre-K | $\square$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ........................................................CDA | CDA or equivalent | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher in-service ...................................................... 15 hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | $\square$ MET |
|  |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4-year-olds | .. 1:10 or better | $\checkmark$ - |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Determined locally; and support services and support services ${ }^{2}$ | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals................... Snack (part-day); Lunch and one snack (school day) | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .........................................................Other monitoring | .. Site visits | $\square$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .................................................. \$4,799,812
Local match required? No
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... \$4,469
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ . 4,469

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the ' $14-15$ school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^24]
## KANSAS STATE PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

ACCESS


STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT as Percentage of total population

3-YEAR-OLDS<br><br>4-YEAR-OLDS<br><br>KSPP<br>■ Other/None

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................. \$13,597,560
Local match required? ........................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... \$1,926
All reported spending per child enrolled*..................................... \$1,926

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^25]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Kentucky Preschool Program (KPP) was launched in 1990, in response to the Kentucky Education Reform Act. The program is available to 4-year-olds from low-income families, as well as to 3- and 4-year-olds with disabilities. In 2014-2015, 18,716 eligible children were enrolled, representing a slight decline in the past three years. This reduction in enrollment is attributed to a more precise child count data system and a change in age eligibility. As a result of HB235 (2014), children must now be 4 years old as of August 1 of that school year to be eligible; previously, they had to be 4 years old by October 1. Children who do not meet state eligibility requirements may participate based on space availability, though they are funded by district money or tuition rather than by state dollars. In 2014-2015, $\$ 71.7$ million in state funds were used to support KPP; that will be increasing by $\$ 18$ million in 2015-2016.

KPP is administered by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE), Office of Next Generation Learners, Division of Program Standards, School Readiness Branch. Funds are distributed to school districts through a funding formula. Each of Kentucky's 173 school districts offered KPP services in 2014-2015. School districts may subcontract with private child-care centers, Head Start programs, and special education providers to offer preschool services.

Kentucky was one of five states funded in the third round of the federal Race to the Top - Early Learning Challenge in 2013. The $\$ 44.3$ million federal grant is being used to expand and enhance the quality rating system (STARS). All early childhood programs that receive public funds, including KPP, must participate in STARS in 2015-2016. Since 2011-2012, programs have been monitored through the Preschool Program Review (P2R) process that includes a self-evaluation of the preschool standards through an online survey, completion of an ECERS-R for every classroom, and site visits at least once every 5 years to observe classrooms at every site. Additionally, the KDE, Division of Consolidated Plans and Audits, randomly selects 14 districts to receive a site visit.
The most recent third-party program evaluation that included Kentucky programs in its sample was completed by the University of Kentucky in 2014. The study found that CLASS scores in KPP were higher when teachers reported having a master's degree and that all children who attended preschool demonstrated higher gains in language and literacy by the end of kindergarten than children who did not attend preschool.
Kentucky requires each classroom to have a lead teacher with a BA plus an interdisciplinary Early Childhood Education certification. There is an exception for lead teachers hired prior to 2004-2005 who hold a CDA or AA in Child Development. These teachers are allowed to remain in their current positions, but may not transfer to other districts. Lead and assistant teachers in public schools are paid on par, and have the same fringe benefits, with district salary schedules and benefits, but there is no requirement for teachers in nonpublic schools. KPP teachers participate in Kentucky's Professional Growth and Effectiveness System (PGES), a system based on the Danielson Framework, designed to measure teacher and leader effectiveness. The process was piloted with preschool teachers in 2014-2015 and fully implemented in 2015-2016.
Thirty-eight different home languages have been identified as being spoken by the 1,551 3- and 4-year-olds enrolled in KPP in 20142015. While Kentucky does not regulate ELL services for KPP, translators or bilingual staff are available in districts and materials for parents may be translated into Spanish. ELL funding starts at kindergarten. As a member of the WIDA Consortium since 2006, Kentucky encourages districts to use the Early English Language (E-ELD) standards to develop meaningful teaching and learning experiences for preschool students.


## KENTUCKY PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment18,716School districts that offer state program

$\qquad$
$100 \%$ (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$150\% FPL
Hours of operation

$\qquad$
.2.5 hours/day
Operating schedule .School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 9,560
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 14,629
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

## STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher degree ........................................................................BA | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training ................Interdisciplinary ECE certification | Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree..........................................................HSD | ..CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ............................................ 24 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size <br> 3 -year-olds | 20 or lower | $\square$ BENCHMARKS MET |
| 4-year-olds ............................................................................ 20 |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ $.1: 10$ <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ .1:10 | ..1:10 or better | $V$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services <br> blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/behavioral; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\nabla$ |
| Meals .............................................................Breakfast or lunch | At least 1/day | $\checkmark$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | ..Site visits | V |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................ $\$ 71,767,687$
Local match required? .....................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,835$
All reported spending per child enrolled*...................................\$7,679

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4-year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## Louisiana

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The 8(g) Student Enhancement Block Grant Program was created in order to make up for the loss of the Model Early Childhood Program when matching annual appropriations for the program ended. Local school districts began using the $8(\mathrm{~g})$ Block Grant Program to offer preschool programs to at-risk 4-year-olds. In the 2014-2015 school year, 66 of 70 districts were using the $8(\mathrm{~g})$ block grants, providing prekindergarten programs to 2,261 children. Enrollment priority is given to children from low-income families; children are qualified for the program if they are at risk of being "insufficiently ready for the regular school program." To meet the initial goal, Louisiana operates two other state-funded preschool programs alongside the 8(g).

Louisiana's largest pre-K program, The Cecil J. Picard LA4 Early Childhood Program (formerly LA4 and Starting Points) was established in 2001. In the 2014-2015 school year, 16,171 4-year-olds were enrolled. The LA4 program serves children in public schools, charter schools, and one tribal school.

Also established in 2001, the Non-Public Schools Early Childhood Development program (NSECD) implemented a goal of reimbursing preschool tuition for enrolled children from families with incomes below $200 \%$ of the federal poverty level. With the implementation of Act 3 and the unification of Louisiana's preschool programs, preschool tuition is now reimbursed for families at $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level. Programs operate in 16 parishes throughout the state, though all parishes have the opportunity to participate.
An assessment of process quality and program impact/child outcomes for Louisiana's three pre-K programs was conducted by the Cecil J. Picard Center for Child Development and Learning at the University of Louisiana-Lafayette in 2012-2013. A 2014-2015 evaluation is currently in process for the NSECD program.

During the 2012 session, the Louisiana Legislature passed Act 3, unifying multiple early childhood initiatives under the direction of the Department of Education in collaboration with other state agencies. This system will contain aligned program standards; a quality rating and improvement accountability system, in which all programs receiving state and/or federal funds will be required to participate; a unified professional development system; birth-through-five early learning and development standards; and a cross-agency integrated data system. In July 2013, the Department launched 13 Community Network Pilots to test this new unified system. In January 2015, the state expanded the number of Community Network Pilots to include an additional 33 communities in order to move towards full implementation of Act 3, scheduled for the 2015-2016 school year, which is considered to be a learning year. Pre-K teachers in public schools are required to be paid on the same salary schedules and to receive the same fringe benefits as K-3 teachers.

In 2014, Louisiana was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 2.4$ million. In the 2015-2016 school year, pursuant to Bulletin 140, network members statewide will participate in the early childhood care and education accountability system, which includes both classroom observations and student assessment, and in the coordinated enrollment process.

To document the contributions Louisiana makes to prekindergarten, we first present summary information reflecting the state's overall performance through enrollment and state spending for all three programs. We then present additional details about the Cecil J. Picard LA4, 8(g), and NSECD programs.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment...................................................19,732
Total state spending
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 4,570$
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................... \$4,658

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\dagger+}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


POLICIES TO SUPPORT

## LOUISIANA 8(g) STUDENT ENHANCEMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment2,261School districts that offer state program 93\% (school districts)
Income requirement
$\qquad$.185\% FPL
Hours of operation

$\qquad$
6 hours/day, 5 days/weekOperating scheduleSchool or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 4,725
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 19,051
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

# STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION 


${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K
${ }^{\dagger+}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................. \$8,427,096
Local match required?
State spending per child enrolled ..... \$3,727
All reported spending per child enrolled*. ..... \$3,727

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT
.Comprehensive
BA
Early Interventionist, Nursery School, PK-3, Noncategorical Preschool Handicapped; Kindergarten
Assistant teacher degree...............................................................HSD
$\qquad$
Teacher in-service
18 clock hours/year
Maximum class size.
NA
4-year-olds .................................................................................... 20
Staff-child ratio

## NA

3-year-olds
4-year-olds ..................................................................................1:10
Screening/referral ..................................Vision; hearing; immunizations;
and support services $\quad$ and support services ${ }^{1}$
Meals ............................................................................. Lunch; snack

## ${ }^{1}$

 at least 1 support service ...At least $1 /$ day $\checkmark$Monitoring ...............................................Site visits and other monitoring ..Site visits

CDA or equivalent
At least 15 hours/year
DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? V
V
$\square$
Specializing in pre-K
. 20 or lower

1:10 or better

$$
\nabla
$$

school year, unless otherwise noted.

## CECIL J. PICARD LA 4 EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment$\qquad$School districts that offer state program
$\qquad$ $90 \%$ (school districts) ${ }^{2}$Income requirement
$\qquad$.185\% FPL
Hours of operation 6 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 4,725
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 19,051
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive |
| Teacher degree .......................................................................BA | . BA |
| Teacher specialized $\qquad$ K; Nursery School; Early Interventionist, PK-3, training <br> Noncategorical Preschool Handicapped ED endorsement with or without SpEd | Specializing in pre-K |
| Assistant teacher degree..........................................................HSD | CDA or equivalent |
| Teacher in-service ............................................. 18 clock hours/year | .At least 15 hours/year |
| Maximum class size <br> 3-year-olds <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | . 20 or lower |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds <br> 4-year-olds | .1:10 or better |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; immunizations; and support services and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service |
| Meals .........................................................Breakfast; lunch; snack | .At least 1/day |
| Monitoring ......................................... Site visits and other monitoring | Site visits |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................ $744,576,369$
Local match required? No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 4,612$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ . $\$ 4,719$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.
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## LOUISIANA NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM (NSECD) ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..... 1,300
School districts that offer state program .25\% (counties/parishes)
Income requirement185\% FPL
Hours of operation

$\qquad$
6 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 4,725
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 19,051
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

# STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION 


${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K
${ }^{\dagger+}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY | STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | Comprehensive |
| Teacher degree | BA |
| Teacher specialized training | .Early Interventionist; Nursery School; Kindergarten; PK-3 <br> oncategorical Preschool Handicapped |

Teacher in-service
18 clock hours/year
Maximum class size
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ 3-year-oldsNA
4 -year-olds ..... 20
Staff-child ratio ..... NA
3-year-olds
1:10
4-year-olds

CDA or equivalent
BENCHMARK
Comprehensive
BA
Specializing in pre-K

At least 15 hours/year
20 or lower

1:10 or better

Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service

DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK?

V
$\checkmark$
V


V
$\checkmark$ V

At least 1/day .Site visits

$\qquad$

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .............................................................. 7 ,181,440
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 5,524$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 5,524$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 5,524$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early
Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


Maine established its Two-Year Kindergarten initiative in 1983 by allocating resources to local districts through the school funding formula. State-funded programs for 4 -year-olds have been separately defined as the Public Preschool Program (PPP) since 2007, and remain funded through Maine's school funding formula, with distributions going directly to one of the 242 school administrative units (SAUs) or to the Unorganized Territory. Legislation enacted in 2014 encouraged voluntary preschool throughout the state, increasing the number of public preschool programs serving children at risk, and in 2014-2015, $88 \%$ of SAUs had a PPP. The 2014 legislation requires that all SAUs have at least one preschool classroom by 2018-2019.
Although many SAUs have partnered with community-based child-care programs or Head Start agencies to offer early childhood services, the majority of PPP classrooms are located in public schools. During the 2014-2015 school year, 32.2\% of Maine's public schools had a PPP.

In 2014-2015, Maine's preschool programs served 5,080 children, approximately $35 \%$ of the state's 4 -year-olds. In 2014-2015, the state spent $\$ 13.8$ million on PPP. This does not include additional weighted state funds, federal funds, and local funds that were blended by SAUs. Schools are required to provide a local match to draw down their per-pupil state subsidy. The required local match is part of the school funding formula based on property wealth. In 2014, Maine was awarded a federal Preschool Expansion Grant that will create additional PPP classrooms with an estimated $\$ 14.7$ million over four years.
Regardless of setting, all lead teachers are required to hold a BA degree and an Early Childhood-endorsed teaching certificate from the Department of Education. New PPP classrooms are allocated money for instructional coaches to support teachers in aligning preschool to the K-3 curriculum. In addition, the state funding formula provides funds to SAUs for professional development on a yearly basis. PPP teachers are evaluated through the K-12 Educator Effectiveness system, which ties wage or salary increases to teacher performance.
Maine's Early Learning and Development Standards were revised in 2015 and aligned with the Maine College and Career Standards and WIDA. Three percent of PPP children come from homes in which English is not the primary language. Maine has a state policy for supporting DLL/ELL students and adds additional weight to the state formula to support these students. Teachers are supported through webinars and conferences and families are provided interpreters.
Maine's Chapter 124, Public Preschool Program Standards (Standards) were promulgated as a regulation in December 2014. The Standards implement programmatic changes in 2015-2016, including child-staff ratio, group size, the use of evidence-based curricula, and child screening and assessments. First-year programs will receive a monitoring visit from the Maine Department of Education. During the 2015-2016, the monitoring system will be piloted, evaluated, and amended as needed.

MAINE PUBLIC PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

ACCESS
Total state program enrollment ....................................................5,080
School districts that offer state program ....88\% (school administrative units)
Income requirement $\qquad$ .No income requirement
Hours of operation $\qquad$ .2 hours/day
Operating schedule $\qquad$ Determined locally
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .1,934
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 2,478
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 186

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................ $13,877,541$
Local match required?.....................................................................Yes
State Head Start spending .................................................. $\$ 2,512,730$
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 2,732$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 2,732$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


In 1980, Maryland created the Extended Elementary Education Program (EEEP), a pilot preschool program in Baltimore City and Prince George's County. The program eventually expanded throughout the state and by 2002, served $25 \%$ of the state's 4-year-olds. In 2002, finance reform legislation passed, requiring all local boards of education to increase pre-kindergarten enrollment in public schools to all economically underprivileged 4-year-olds by the 2007-2008 school year and the program became know as the Prekindergarten Program. Since 2012-2013, enrolment in pre-K has been steadily increasing and in 2015, 30,381 children participated in pre-K. In 2014, the Prekindergarten Expansion Act added an additional $\$ 4.3$ million to expand the access to half-day and full-day public Pre-K for 4-year-olds from families with household incomes at or below 300\% of FPL.

Maryland has 25 early learning centers of distinction, in addition to public prekindergarten. These centers are known as Judy Centers, and are located in Title 1 school districts. The Judy Centers work collaboratively with select elementary schools to offer comprehensive, year-round services for children from birth to age 6. Judy Center Partnerships during the 2014-2015 school year provided enhanced, continuous learning opportunities to 15,205 young children and their families, including 6,070 children younger than 3 years old. Some of these children may be counted in the pre-K enrollment final count.
Head Start programs also receive supplemental funds from Maryland, in order to expand enrollment and to support extended-day and summer services. The state provided $\$ 1.8$ million statewide in order to support extended-day/week services for 11,356 Head Start attendees during the 2014-2015 school year.
All of Maryland's school districts offer pre-K. All state-funded pre-K programs must participate and achieve Level 5 in Maryland EXCEL (the state's QRIS system). Maryland's Division of Early Childhood Development, Early Learning Branch, monitors pre-K programs annually using a self-developed checklist.
Maryland was one of nine states financed in the initial round of the federal Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge in 2012. As part of this grant, Maryland's early learning standards were revised in 2015 and aligned with Common Core and the state's college and career ready standards. In 2013, the state received additional federal funding to coordinate a consortium to develop and implement a statewide kindergarten entrance assessment and voluntary pre-K formative tool that was piloted in 2014-2015. In 2014, Maryland was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 15$ million.
Revised pre-kindergarten regulations were implemented in 2014-2015 to help districts meet the kindergarten readiness needs of low-income, special education, and English Language Learner (ELL) students. Although state-level policy exists for ELLs for K-12, for pre-K ELL policies are determined at the local level. In kindergarten, the WIDA assessment tool is used to determine if children should exit from ELL status. Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in the appropriate home language and a home language information survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year. Professional development modules are being created to support PD regarding best practices for DLLs
Maryland requires salary parity as reflected in school district contracts for teachers working in public and nonpublic pre-K settings. Collective bargaining agreements also require the same pay scale for teachers with equivalent education in pre-K and K-3. Credentialing and QRIS bonuses tie salary incentives to pre-K teacher performance.


## MARYLAND PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment30,381School districts that offer state program

$\qquad$
100\% (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$ 185\% FPL
Hours of operation .2.5 hours/day, 5 days/weekOperating schedule.School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 7,941
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 8,861
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 . ..... 4,234

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT
AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................ $\$ 108,517,116$
Local match required? .No
State Head Start spending \$1,800,000
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,572$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 3,572$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.
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# Massachusetts 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*
STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)



The Massachusetts Department of Early Education and Care (EEC) was established in 2005 to improve quality, affordability, and access to preschool education services. The same year EEC was created, the state started its Universal Pre-Kindergarten (UPK) initiative, which serves children from age 2 years, 9 months, until they arrive at the locally determined kindergarten eligibility age. Public schools, private child-care centers, Head Start programs, family child care, and faith-based centers are eligible for UPK grants if they offer full-day, full-year services. UPK is usually a competitive grant program, though renewal grants have been used in recent years during funding constraints. During the 2014-2015 program year, the state issued $\$ 6.3$ million in renewal grants for the existing 224 UPK grantees. Individual child eligibility is not based on income level, though programs must be willing to serve children from families with income levels at or below $85 \%$ of the state's median income (SMI). UPK was assessed for process quality during the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 school years.
For the 2014-2015 program year, UPK programs were required to be, at a minimum, self-assessed at level 3 in EEC's Quality Rating and Improvement System (QRIS); to have an income-eligible contract and/or voucher agreement in place; and be willing to accept EEC-subsidized or low-income children. UPK programs were also required to be accredited by one of several eligible agencies (including NAEYC); to collect observational formative assessment data on all children in the UPK classroom(s); and to demonstrate preschool-to-third-grade alignment with the local school district. As part of the grant requirements, UPK programs must provide the state with: (1) child-level data using either a State Assigned Student Identification or program-specific child ID number and (2) staff information from EEC's Professional Qualifications (PQ) Registry. Massachusetts is performing a study to validate this QRIS as part of its Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge award.
Massachusetts also offers the Inclusive Preschool Learning Environments (IPLE) Grant, or the Grant 391 program. The IPLE Grant is designed to support inclusive preschool learning environments serving preschool-age children with and without disabilities in highquality, inclusive early education and care settings. This program has funded typically developing preschool-age children, since 1985. There is no income qualification for enrollment in this program. During the 2014-2015 program year, the state issued $\$ 9.1$ million in renewal grants for the existing 92 IPLE grantees. The FY 2015 grant priorities were to support program capacity to offer inclusive preschool learning environments in center-based programs and public school preschool programs, and to enhance program quality by continuing to require IPLE-funded programs to participate in QRIS.

The Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education adopted new regulations in June 2012, which include a requirement that all incumbent core academic teachers of ELLs earn a Sheltered English Immersion Teacher Endorsement by July 1, 2016. Early childhood education teachers in all Massachusetts public schools, charter schools, and collaboratives are covered by these policies. In the 2014-2015 school year, a \$750,000 state appropriation for K1 classrooms will allow cities/towns, regional school districts and educational collaboratives to provide educational opportunities on a voluntary basis to 4-year-olds in the district by creating pre-kindergarten classrooms. Two grants will be funded: $\$ 500,000$ will be used for Preschool Access and Quality Grants and another $\$ 250,000$ will be used for Preschool Quality Enhancement Grants. In 2014, Massachusetts was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 15$ million. Massachusetts also provides $\$ 8$ million dollars for an additional 235 slots for eligible children in the federal Head Start program, as well as funding for before- and after-care services. State supplemental funding is also used for quality-improvement purposes within Head Start, including professional development opportunities for staff.
The UPK program does track the enrollment of DLL preschoolers, and requires materials for recruitment and enrollment be available in languages other than English. There is an endorsement for preschool teachers related to DLL.
To document Massachusetts' contributions to pre-K, we first present a summary of the state's overall performance through enrollment and spending for both the UPK and Grant 391 programs. We then present additional details about the Grant 391 and UPK programs separately.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ...................................................13,397
Total state spending
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,626$
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................... \$3,847

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4-year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## MASSACHUSETTS INCLUSIVE PRESCHOOL LEARNING ENVIRONMENT GRANT (IPLE) FUND CODE 391 ACCESS

 AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................... \$8,978,875
Local match required? .No
State Head Start spending 9,100,000
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... \$1,533
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................... 2 2,038

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
* Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.
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## MASSACHUSETTS UNIVERSAL PRE-KINDERGARTEN (UPK)

## ACCESS



STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\text {t† }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................... $39,601,224$
Local match required? .No
State Head Start spending 19,100,000
State spending per child enrolled .\$5,252
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$5,252

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED

 Education and Care. Teachers must either be 21 years old or have a high school diploma, and must complete a 3 -credit college course in child growth and development.
 schools, assistant teachers must have a high school diploma and either an AA degree, 48 credit hours of higher education, or pass a paraprofessional exam.
 than 9 hours per day must schedule two meals and two snacks. Parents or providers may provide snacks.

# Michigan 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


Established in 1985, the Michigan School Readiness Program (MSRP) was developed to ensure preschool education for at-risk 4-year-olds, and is associated with the state's early childhood initiative, Project Great Start. MSRP is now called the Great Start Readiness Program (GSRP). The program has moved from part-day programs toward school-day programs to better suit the needs of families.

Intermediate School Districts (ISD) receive direct financial support, but they may distribute funds to local school districts and to providers in community-based settings to offer GSRP. The level of poverty in each ISD and a funding formula determine overall ISD funding. At least $30 \%$ of total slots awarded by ISDs must go to community-based organizations (CBOs). These include child-care centers and Head Start.

All GSRP providers must attain a 3-star or higher rating in Michigan's Great Start to Quality (tiered rating and improvement system). Prior legislative language to allow GSRP to fund parent education programs was removed. In previous years, $75 \%$ of families in the program had to be at or below 300\% FPL. Starting in 2013-2014, $90 \%$ of families had to be at or below $250 \%$ FPL. Any family over income for the remaining $10 \%$ must pay a fee on a locally determined sliding scale. A new legislated prioritization process was put in place for ranking each family's household income into quintiles. The 2013-2014 year was also the first in a three-year transition to roll back the age-eligibility date, from December 1 to September 1, for GSRP, aligning it with a change in the kindergarten entry date.
In FY 2013-2014, Michigan invested an additional \$65M in GSRP, increasing the number of slots available and increasing the per slot funding by $\$ 225$. In FY 2014-2015, Michigan again invested another $\$ 65 \mathrm{M}$ in GSRP, though per-slot funding was kept level. Of the total funding allocated for GSRP, a $\$ 10$ million special transportation fund for GSRP has been set aside. In both budget increases, $2 \%$ of funding has been specifically earmarked for recruiting and increasing public awareness of GSRP. Cross-ISD boundary enrollment has always been permitted via GSRP policy, but this was legislatively allowed beginning in the 2014-2015 school year, with slot funding following the child.

Michigan does count dual language learners attending preschool, and DLL status is one factor in eligibility for the program. Materials are provided to parents in the family's home language. Professional development on best practices related to DLL is available to teachers.

For the workforce, there is no state salary parity requirement for teachers. There is paid time required for planning and professional development.


## MICHIGAN GREAT START READINESS PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment $\qquad$ 37,112School districts that offer state program
$\qquad$ $73 \%$ (school districts)
Income requirement $\qquad$ .250\% FPL
Hours of operation

$\qquad$
. 6.5 hours/day, 4 days/week Operating schedule $\qquad$ ..Determined locallySpecial education enrollment, ages 3 and 411,433
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 27,334
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

|  | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | V |
| Teacher degree .......................................................................BA | . BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ Elementary Teaching Certificate with EC endorsement; BA in CD with focus on preschool teaching | .Specializing in pre-K | $\nabla$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ........................................................CDA | .CDA or equivalent | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Teacher in-service ............................................. 16 clock hours/year ${ }^{1}$. | .At least 15 hours/year | $\square{ }^{\square}$ |
| Maximum class size <br> 3-year-olds <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ |  | $\square$ |
| Staff-child ratio <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ | . $1: 10$ or better | V |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; height/weight; blood and support services pressure; immunizations; developmental; full physical exam; and support services full physical exam; and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | V |
| Meals ........................................Depends on length of program day | .At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .......................................Site visits and other monitoring | Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending
\$239,275,000
Local match required?
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 6,447$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$6,447

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.
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# Minnesota 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The state of Minnesota financially supplements both Head Start and Early Head Start (EHS) as part of the state's efforts to improve access for children from birth to age 5. Any organization that receives federal Head Start funding can receive supplemental aid from the state. Although only Head Start settings receive funding directly from the state, they may choose to subcontract with public schools, family child care homes, and private agencies, all of which are required to follow the federal Head Start Performance Standards. All programs must also follow Minnesota's early learning standards, the Early Childhood Indicators of Progress (ECIPS), which guide technical assistance and support and training for all program staff. The ECIPS are aligned both with the English Language Arts Common Core standards for the early grades, and the state's college and career ready standards are currently under revision. Programs are required to participate in the state's QRIS. Minnesota was the recipient of a Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge grant for $\$ 44.8$ million during the 2009-2010 school year.

In 2014-2015, Minnesota spent $\$ 20.1$ million to supplement the federal Head Start program in the state in order to increase enrollment and to serve an additional 1,381 3- and 4-year-olds in Head Start, as well as additional children in Early Head Start. Although this appears to be a decrease in Head Start enrollment and spending from the previous year, the overall allocation did not change. Rather, there was a shift in local grantees' decisions to use state supplemental funding to support children from birth to 3 years, rather than 3- and 4 -year-olds, in line with national trends for Head Start. The state supplement for Head Start and Early Head Start will increase by $\$ 5$ million for the 2015-2016 school year.
In addition to supplementing Head Start and Early Head Start, Minnesota has two other state-supported early childhood initiatives that are not included in the State of Preschool Yearbook. The School Readiness Program provides early childhood services by subcontracting with school districts, charter schools, and community-based organizations. The goal of the program is to improve children's kindergarten readiness through preschool education programs, home visits, and services for children with disabilities. Individual districts can exercise local control over the services provided, but all programs must meet the following requirements: provision of appropriate screenings and referrals for children as needed, support for parent involvement, use of research-based program content, and collaboration with other local programs.

Minnesota also funded the Early Learning Scholarships Program, which aims to increase children's access to high-quality early childhood programs by providing scholarships to eligible families. The 2015-2016 appropriation is $\$ 44.13$ million, which will be used to award approximately 5,700 scholarships for up to $\$ 7,500$ per scholarship. Scholarships are available to families with children between the ages of 3 and 4 as of September 1. Funds are paid directly to the early childhood program that a family chooses, as long as the program receives a Three- or Four-Star Parent Aware Rating.

MINNESOTA HEAD START
ACCESS

Total state program enrollment....................................................1,381
School districts that offer state program ........ $100 \%$ (counties/parishes)
Income requirement No income requirement ${ }^{1}$
Hours of operation

$\qquad$
Determined locally
Operating schedule Determined locally
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 8,662
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 9,986
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 1,381

## STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY | STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards. | ...Comprehensive | ...Comprehensive | $\square$ |  |
| Teacher degree | .......................BA (public); AA (nonpublic) | BA | $\square$ |  |
| Teacher specialized ...... training | ...........EC endorsement with or without SpEd; Birth-3rd grade license (public); Pre-K-K; AA in ECE or CD (nonpublic) | Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Assistant teacher degree | ......CDA | CDA or equivalent | $\nabla$ |  |
| Teacher in-service ....... | ................................. 15 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ | benchmarks <br> MET |
| Maximum class size 3 -year-olds $\qquad$ 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | $\text { . } 15$ | 20 or lower | V |  |
| Staff-child ratio 3-year-olds 4 -year-olds $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2: 15 \\ & .1: 10 \end{aligned}$ | ...1:10 or better | V |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ and support services | $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/ behavioral; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Meals ......................... | .......................................Breakfast; lunch | ...At least 1/day | V |  |
| Monitoring ................... | .....................Site visits and other monitoring | ...Site visits | $\checkmark$ |  |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .......................................................... $10,804,944$
Local match required?.
State Head Start spending \$10,804,944
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 7,824$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 7,824$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^30]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*

| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2008 | 2010 | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
|  |  |  | 2014 | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |

STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)

| $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 1,778$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2015 |

The Early Learning Collaborative Act of 2013 became law in April 2013, establishing Mississippi's first state-funded, voluntary pre-K program. Implementation began in January of 2014, with capacity to serve 1,774 children. The purpose of the Early Learning Collaborative Act is to provide funding to local communities to establish, expand, support, and facilitate the successful implementation of quality early childhood education and development services. Pre-K programs in Head Start centers, licensed child-care facilities, public, parochial, or private schools, formed and maintained a stakeholder council called an Early Learning Collaborative, involving a minimum of two of those program auspices. The Early Learning Collaborative designated a Lead Partner of either a public school or other nonprofit entity with the instructional expertise and operational capacity to manage a Collaborative's Pre-Kindergarten (Pre-K) program.

The 2014-2015 school year and 2015-2016 school year saw steady funding in preschool for the state, in the amount of $\$ 3$ million per year. 2015-2016 is the third year Mississippi will operate under the current RFP. As long as the Early Learning Collaborative Act of 2013 is funded, the current collaboratives that meet program requirements will continue to be funded.

The voluntary pre-K program intends to improve quality, increase access to high-quality pre-K programs for 4-year-olds, and prepare more children to enter kindergarten ready to succeed in school. The program also will provide supports to local programs to improve quality, and families will have access to information about the pre-K program. Pre-K programs will increase their collaboration with other early childhood education programs and related services. Pre-K programs will implement an integrated, effective system of early childhood curriculum, instruction, assessment, and program evaluation, including curricula aligned with the MDE's Early Learning Standards for Classrooms Serving Four-Year-Old Children.
Mississippi sponsors several opportunities for professional development around dual language learners enrolled in the program, including scaffolding/lesson planning for elementary and secondary teachers, differentiated learning, AMAO Title III improvement planning, and English Learners boot camp.
The state requires salary parity for lead teachers with equivalent education in preschool and $K-3$. The state also requires lead teachers in preschool classrooms to be paid on the same salary schedule as K-3 teachers in public schools. Additionally, Mississippi requires the same fringe benefits for both lead and assistant preschool teachers in public schools.

MISSISSIPPI EARLY LEARNING COLLABORATIVEACCESS
Total state program enrollment ..... 1,760
School districts that offer state program .11\% (school districts)
Income requirement

$\qquad$
No income requirement
Hours of operation .7 hours/day; 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 4,493
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 24,388
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



| POLICY | STATE PRE-K <br> REQUIREMENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | Comprehensive |
| Teacher degree | BA/BS |
| Teacher specialized training | valent field; $B A / B S$ roved coursework; training program roved coursework |

Assistant teacher degree $\qquad$ ..AA (public); Other (nonpublic) $\qquad$ Teacher in-service $\qquad$.15 clock hours/yearMaximum class size
$\qquad$3 -year-olds
$\qquad$
$\qquad$NA
4 -year-olds ..... 20
Staff-child ratio
NA
NA
3 -year-olds
3 -year-olds ..... 1:10
Screening/referral ..... al ...
.Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI;immunizations; developmental;and support services
Breakfast or lunchMonitoringSite visits and other monitoring

CDA or equivalent
BENCHMARKComprehensiveBA

Specializing in pre-K at least 1 support service

At least 15 hours/year
20 or lower
$.1: 10$ or better

Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service
.At least $1 /$ day
.Site visits

DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK?
$\nabla$

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .................................................. $\$ 3,128,426$
Local match required? Yes
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 1,778$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


# Missouri 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Missouri Preschool Program (MPP) began serving 3- and 4-year-olds in early childhood settings in 1998. The MPP is operated in public schools, private child-care centers, and nonprofit agencies. In 2014-2015, MPP was offered in 29.6\% of districts in the state, and $95 \%$ of children were enrolled in programs that were operated by a public school. All preschool programs are required to work with their local Parents as Teachers (PAT) program, which provides parent education, including family visits and group connections, and health and development screenings. In addition, PAT also provides referrals to services that may be beneficial to the family, based on need or request.
State-funded MPP contracts for all providers are eligible for renewal for a limit of five years; after this period, contracts are made available to other grantees. A competitive grant process determines where MPP funds are awarded, though programs serving children with special needs and those from low-income families are given priority. Programs use sliding payment scales, based on criteria including eligibility for free or reduced-price lunch.
For the second year in a row, enrollment in and funding of MPP has increased. The total budget for 2014-2015 was $\$ 13.6$ million, $82.8 \%$ of which came from the State's Tobacco Settlement Fund and the remaining dollars from the state's general revenue. In 20142015, 4,259 children attended MPP, an increase of $9.9 \%$ from the previous year.
In 2012-2013, the minimum number of required hours for professional development was reduced from 22 hours to 12 hours annually, no longer meeting NIEER's quality standard benchmark of at least 15 hours. It was returned to 22 hours in 2014-2015, regaining the benchmark. Additional required professional development hours for first-year teachers and teacher assistants beyond the state's minimum include at least 30 hours of training on curriculum and the Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP), the child assessment instrument required to be used by new and renewal programs. Effective 2014-2015, site visits are conducted for all MPP programs on a regular basis throughout the year, as well as on-site consultation hours. The number of hours ranged from 14 to 20 annually, based on a program's need. In 2015-2016, ECERS-3 is going to be piloted for professional development and planning.

For a program to receive state funding, regardless of setting, all teachers hired after July 1, 2005, are required to have a bachelor's degree with specialization in Early Childhood, which has been met by all teachers and programs since the 2010-2011 school year. The MPP teachers in a public school setting must receive a teaching contract that places them on the same salary schedule as the district's K-12 teachers. MPP teachers' salaries in nonpublic school settings must be commensurate with those of other professionals in similar positions.
State policy does not regulate DLL/ELL services for MPP children; however, a home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the year, translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English, summer language programs are available, and PD or coaching is provided for teachers. DLL children are assessed in their home language and evaluated at the end of pre-K or beginning of kindergarten and may be de/reclassified as DLL.


## MISSOURI PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

## ACCESS



## STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT as Percentage of total population


${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................ $13,677,763$
Local match required? .....................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,211$
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................. $\$ 3,211$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^31]
## NO PROGRAM

while Montana does not provide state-funded pre-K as defined in this report, the state has taken several steps to address early learning. Governor Steve Bullock has made early childhood education one of his top priorities, proposing the Montana Early Edge Initiative to fund voluntary public preschool. The governor was unsuccessful in his budget request for a 2 -year \$37 million state investment in preschool.
The State of Montana has taken action recently to improve early education. The Board of Public Education recently adopted a new rule that includes Early Childhood Education Program and Content Standards for school districts that choose to provide public preschool to children ages 3-5 years, as well as changes to educator licensure requirements for an Early Grades endorsement (age 3 to grade 3), and changes to the educator preparation program requirements for the early grades endorsement. Recommendations were approved by the Montana Board of Public Education in November 2014 and went into effect July 1, 2015.

The Montana Early Learning Standards, included within Chapter 63, cover multiple domains including: physical wellbeing and motor development, social-emotional development, approaches toward learning, language development, and cognitive and general knowledge. Two previous documents, Montana's Early Learning Guidelines for children ages 3-5, which had been in existence since 2004, and the Montana Guidelines for infants and toddlers, since 2009, were combined and revised in 2014.

The Best Beginnings Advisory Council (BBAC), established in 2011, serves as the state early childhood advisory council and collaborating entity for the early childhood system. A core principal for BBAC is that children will have access to high-quality early childhood programs. The Early Childhood Services Bureau within the Department of Public Health and Human Services serves as the home for BBAC. Additionally, Best Beginnings local coalitions work to increase coordination across early childhood systems.

Best Beginnings STARS to Quality Program is Montana's voluntary quality rating improvement system, aligning quality indicators with support and incentives for early childhood programs and early childhood professionals. Participating licensed preschool facilities are awarded up to 5 stars, indicating the level of quality.

In 2013, Montana submitted an application in the third round for competitive Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge funding. Though the collaborative application was not funded, the Governor's Office, Office of Public Instruction, Board of Public Education, Department of Public Health and Human Services, Montana University System, and the State Library identified the goal to make all Montana children school-ready in its comprehensive early learning and development vision.

In 2014, Montana was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 10$ million per year for up to four years to develop preschools for low- and moderate-income families in 16 communities.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment.......................................................... 856
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................4,100
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## Nebraska

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Nebraska Early Childhood Education Grant Program began as a pilot in 1992 and expanded in 2001. The program provides preschool education for children ages 3 to 5 . At least $70 \%$ of awarded grant funding to participating programs must be used to provide services to children with one of the following risk factors: disability or developmental delay, living in a home in which English is not the primary language, eligibility for free or reduced-price lunch ( $185 \% \mathrm{FPL}$ ), having teen parents or parents who have not completed high school, and having been born prematurely or with a low birth weight. The program seeks to serve children of diverse social and economic characteristics.

Direct financial support is available for public schools and education service units that partner with child-care centers, as well as Head Start agencies and/or human services agencies. Grantees are obligated to match $100 \%$ of the funding using local and/or federal sources. The program monitors child, program, and family outcomes annually.
There is no state-level policy in Nebraska regulating services for dual language learners, though home language other than English could be considered to be an eligibility criterion for enrollment in the state program. The state also allocates extra funds to accommodate additional resources for children who are considered DLL.

NEBRASKA EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION PROGRAM
ACCESS
Total state program enrollment ..... 12,073
School districts that offer state program

$\qquad$
84\% (school districts)
Income requirement
$\qquad$.185\% FPL
Hours of operation .. .Determined locallyOperating scheduleSchool or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 3,185
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 4,192
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .................................................\$33,313,438
Local match required? Yes
State spending per child enrolled ................................................ \$2,759
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$5,711

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


# Nerada 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*

| $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2002 | $1 \%$ |
| 2004 | 20 |

STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


NNevada's state-funded Pre-K program, initially called the Early Childhood Education Comprehensive Plan when it began in 2001, is now referred to as the Nevada State Pre-Kindergarten Program (State PreK). State PreK programs operate in both community-based organizations and school districts. Currently, 59\% of the school districts (10 out of 17) provide State PreKfunded programs/classrooms. Funding is made available through competitive grant applications and awards are determined based on community needs as stated in individual grant applications, but preference is given to 4 - and 5 -year-olds who will be eligible to attend kindergarten the following year. Children are also prioritized if they are from low-income families, homeless, English Language Learners, or receive special education services. There is not an income requirement. In 2014-2015, 97\% of State PreK children were in public school programs operated by their local school districts.
Formed in 2013, the Office of Early Learning and Development (ELD) within the Nevada Department of Education (NDE) is responsible for administering multiple early childhood state and federal funding sources including State PreK and the Preschool Development Grant. In 2014, Nevada was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 6.4$ million (FY 2014) for the first year, with potential allotments over the next year totaling $\$ 43$ million. Plans for the funds include expanding some of the current State PreK's daily hours to full-day and increasing State PreK enrollment by 58\% over the next four years, therefore serving approximately $15 \%$ of the state's 4 -year-old population living under 200\% of FPL.
State PreK was initially funded at $\$ 3.5$ million serving 694 children, and in 2014-2015 was funded at $\$ 3.3$ million and served 1,398 children. In order to meet the increased enrollment numbers, local districts either modify services or supplement state funds. In addition to State PreK funding, $\$ 8.5$ million was used for Title I Pre-K in Clark County, and $\$ 4$ million was allocated by the state legislature to support additional PreK programs for DLL/ELL Students in 7 school districts, known as "Zoom Schools." Neither of these pre-K efforts are required to meet State PreK program and evaluation requirements. In 2014-2015, the home language of onethird of State PreK children was Spanish. Nevada is a WIDA Consortium State and is funded to link all standards for culturally and linguistically diverse students across each measure of early learning and beyond. ELD is collaborating with the NDE Title III Office and is working with WIDA to develop a pre-K developmental screener that is appropriate for DLL State PreK students.
Lead teachers in State PreK, whether in public or nonpublic settings, must adhere to the same education and licensing requirements, which includes a BA. All State PreK teachers and assistant teachers in public schools and nonpublic schools are paid on par with district salary schedules.
ELD staff or contracted specialists perform site observations using ECERS and ELLCO every two years and report by district as a part of the required legislative report. The spring 2013 results show that State PreK sites in 2012-2013 had a fairly wide range of average ECERS scores, ranging from 2.7 to 5.5 (on a 7.0 scale), with average scores for $76.9 \%$ of the programs between 4.0 and 4.9 . Average ELLCO scores were 3.57 (out of a 7.0 scale) for 2012-2013. State PreK has been collecting longitudinal data since 2002. Studentlevel data are collected twice a year and program quality data every two years. The results from the most recent evaluation indicate that both Cohort 1 (now in grade 6) and Cohort 3 (now in grade 4) scored higher and were more likely to be proficient on the Nevada CRT reading and math tests than the matched comparison groups of children who did not participate in the program.


## NEVADA STATE PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

ACCESS
Total state program enrollment ..... 1,398
School districts that offer state program $.59 \%$ (school districts)
Income requirement
$\qquad$ .No income requirement
Hours of operation 2.5 hours/day; 4 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 5,050
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 2,696
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .............................................................. $3,338,875$
Local match required?No
State spending per child enrolled .....  2,388
All reported spending per child enrolled*. ..... \$3,424

[^32]STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## New Hampshire

## NO PROGRAM

The state of New Hampshire supports early childhood education through several measures, although it does not have a statefunded pre-K program that meets the criteria of this report. The Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) oversees early education and care services for children from birth through age 5 years. The department licenses early childhood programs and administers preschool scholarships through its child-care assistance program for families with low incomes.
The Department of Education's (DOE) oversight does not begin until kindergarten, but it does oversee the provision of early childhood special education programming and progress monitoring of IDEA Part B funded preschool programs. In addition, the NH DOE oversees voluntary preschool programs in public schools which were supported in 2014-2015 with $\$ 1.7$ million dollars of Title I funds. Jointly, Title I and IDEA Part B supported $27 \%$ of all 4 -year-old children in New Hampshire. The DOE also oversees early childhood teacher licensure endorsement for birth through grade three. The New Hampshire Early Childhood and After School Professional Development System at the New Hampshire DHHS Child Development Bureau offers voluntary credentials and endorsements for professionals serving young children and their families. A variety of stakeholders are collaborating to align birth-through-age-8 efforts, including DHHS, DOE, and the Spark New Hampshire Early Childhood Advisory Council. These groups, along with private partners, collaborated to submit the state's Preschool Development Grant Application, which, although unsuccessful, will help guide future early childhood systems-building efforts in New Hampshire.

In 2005, the New Hampshire Early Learning Guidelines were introduced. The guidelines address development and learning for children birth through age 5 years covering: physical development, social and emotional development, approaches to learning, creative expression and aesthetic development, communication and literacy development, and health and safety. The guidelines are aligned with both New Hampshire's K-12 Curriculum Frameworks and New Hampshire Kindergarten Readiness Indicators. The new Early Learning Standards, released in 2015, align with the New Hampshire College and Career Ready Standards, by expanding to include emergent literacy, early numeracy, and science and social studies.
The New Hampshire Quality Rating and Improvement System, administered by the Child Development Bureau within the Department of Health and Human Services, is presently under revision. Categories of standards in the proposed revision include: Curriculum, Environment, and Assessment; Engaging Families and Communities as Partners; Early Childhood Administrator and Educator Qualifications; and Measured Standards of Environmental Quality and Teacher-Child Interactions using the Environmental Rating Scale (ERS) and Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS), respectively.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment ......................................................2,072
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................1,301
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

# New Jersey 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


The state of New Jersey funds three preschool programs. The largest and most intensive of the programs, formerly known as the Abbott Preschool Program, served 43,177 children in 35 school districts during the 2014-2015 school year. The New Jersey Department of Education (DOE) provides funding to eligible districts to provide the program to all resident 3- and 4 -year-olds who choose to enroll. Private child-care centers or Head Start programs that meet state standards may contract with districts to deliver services. Abbott districts also receive supplemental child care subsidy funds from the state Department of Human Services (DHS) to provide extended-day and extended-year services to eligible families. Changes in DHS eligibility requirements, however, have reduced the number of programs offering wraparound options, resulting in fewer children receiving wraparound services. When the school funding formula went into effect in 2008-2009, a "hold harmless" article was put in place, which requires that former Abbott districts receive no less in preschool aid from the DOE than they did in the 2008-2009 school year.
The Non-Abbott Early Childhood Program Aid (ECPA) program is required only in districts where 20 to $40 \%$ of children meet the criteria for free or reduced-price lunch services. Enrollment in ECPA programs continues to decline, despite increased financial support for the third consecutive year; however, several former ECPA districts in the 2015-2016 school year will be participating in the federal Preschool Expansion Grant. Enrollment changes in these districts over the course of the next four years are expected, with additional children and/or movement from half- to full-day programs.
The third program, formerly known as the Early Launch to Learning Initiative (ELLI), was established in 2004, as part of New Jersey's efforts to offer access to high-quality prekindergarten education to all of the state's low-income 4-year-olds. Initially, all Non-Abbott districts were eligible to apply for funds; however, new districts have been unable to apply in recent years due to limited funds. During the 2014-2015 school year, 24 districts offered the ELLI program to 631 children, an increase compared to the previous year. Funding for the ELLI program has remained the same since the 2008-2009 school year.

In 2013, New Jersey was one of five states funded in the third round of the federal Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge, and was awarded $\$ 44.3$ million over four years. In 2014, New Jersey was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 17.5$ million annually for four years, subject to federal appropriations.

New Jersey's funding formula for preschool includes funding for services to children who are considered to be dual language learners. Data on home languages of children enrolled in all three programs are included in the state preschool database on home language, ethnicity, and migrant status. In order to best serve DLL children and families in the state, both teachers and program administrators are required to possess qualifications for working with DLL children and families.

New Jersey requires salary parity for teachers and teacher assistants in contracted center-based programs, and that preschool teachers are paid on the same salary schedule as K-3 teachers for both lead and assistant teachers in the preschool programs. They are also given the same amount of planning and professional development time as K-3 teachers. Additional supports for lead and assistant teachers are locally determined.

In order to present the contributions New Jersey makes to preschool education throughout its three separate programs, summary information reflecting the state's overall commitment to preschool is first presented, where enrollment and state spending for the former Abbott, ECPA, and ELLI programs are combined to demonstrate a comprehensive state profile. Next, we present specific details about each initiative in the state. The third page of this profile focuses exclusively on the former Abbott program, the fourth page on the former ECPA program, and the final page on the former ELLI program.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment...................................................51,033
Total state spending
State spending per child enrolled ............................................. \$12,149
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................. \$12,149

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## NEW JERSEY FORMER ABBOTT PRESCHOOL PROGRAM

## ACCESS

$\qquad$ No income requirement ${ }^{1}$
$\qquad$

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 43,177 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 43,177 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 43,177 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 43,177 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 43,177 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 43,177 ..... $t^{1}$




School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program     6\% (school districts)     6\% (school districts)     6\% (school districts)     6\% (school districts)     6\% (school districts)     6\% (school districts)

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation    6 hours/day, 5 days/week    6 hours/day, 5 days/week    6 hours/day, 5 days/week    6 hours/day, 5 days/week    6 hours/day, 5 days/week    6 hours/day, 5 days/week

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule   School or academic year   School or academic year   School or academic year   School or academic year   School or academic year   School or academic year

Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4

Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4

Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4

Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4

Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4

Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  ..... 11,482 .....  ..... 11,482 .....  ..... 11,482 .....  ..... 11,482 .....  ..... 11,482 .....  ..... 11,482
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 13,258 ..... 13,258 ..... 13,258 ..... 13,258 ..... 13,258 ..... 13,258
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0 ..... 0 ..... 0 ..... 0 ..... 0 ..... 0 ..... 0

# STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION 


† Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\dagger+}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | V |
| Teacher degree ........................................................................BA | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ P-3 certificate; K-5 certificate; Teacher of Students with Disabilities; Nursery school; Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing; Handicapped; or Blind/Partially Sighted | Specializing in pre-K | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Assistant teacher degree.........................................................HSD | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ MET |
| Teacher in-service ....................................... 100 clock hours/5 years | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | 20 or lower | $\nabla$ - |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ 2:15 <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ .2:15 | . $1: 10$ or better | $\square$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services immunizations; dental; developmental; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | V |
| Meals ........................................................Breakfast; lunch; snack | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | .. Site visits | $\nabla$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .............................................. $\$ 575,383,000$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled ............................................ $\$ 13,326$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................. $\$ 13,326$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^33]
## NEW JERSEY NON-ABBOTT EARLY CHILDHOOD PROGRAM AID (ECPA)

## ACCESS



STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may Other/None
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................. \$42,329,000
Local match required?
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... \$5,859
All reported spending per child enrolled*. .\$5,859

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^34]
## NEW JERSEY FORMER EARLY LAUNCH TO LEARNING INITIATIVE

## ACCESS



STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K ${ }^{\text {t† }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher degree ......................................................................BA | BA | V |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ P-3 certificate; K-5 certificate; Teacher of Students with Disabilities; Nursery school; Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing; Handicapped; or Blind/Partially Sighted | Specializing in pre-K | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Assistant teacher degree..........................................................HSD | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ......................................... 100 clock hours/5 years | At least 15 hours/year | $\nabla$ |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4 -year-olds $\qquad$ | .. 20 or lower | $\square$ |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ NA <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ 1:10 | ..1:10 or better | $\checkmark$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services immunizations; dental; developmental; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals ....................................Depends on length of program day ${ }^{1}$ | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | ..Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending
\$2,280,300
Local match required?Yes
State spending per child enrolled ..... \$3,614
All reported spending per child enrolled*. ..... \$3,614

[^35]SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


# New Mexico 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*
STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)



State-funded New Mexico PreK (NM PreK) began in the 2005-2006 school year with the enactment of the PreK Act of 2005. NM PreK is jointly administered by the New Mexico Public Education Department (PED) and the Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD). PED is responsible for funding and monitoring the NM PreK programs provided through school districts, and CYFD for the programs operating in community-based organizations and other eligible providers. In 2014-2015, 67.4\% of school districts offered NM PreK, the third consecutive year of additional school districts offering pre-K.

In prior years, NM PreK was solely funded through state funds; however, in 2014-2015, \$6.1 million in TANF funds were added to the state dollars, increasing the total operating budget to $\$ 39.6$ million, from $\$ 27.2$ million the previous year. A competitive process awards programs funds, though preference is given to programs in communities with public elementary schools designated as Title I. Two-thirds of enrolled children at each program site must live in the attendance zone of a Title I elementary school, though eligibility is not determined by a specific family income requirement. All NM PreK programs will be required to participate in the state's new QRIS, FOCUS, as part of the Race to the Top grant awarded to the state in 2012. Phased-in implementation began in spring 2015.
Hours and days per week vary by program, with the minimum number of hours being 450 per year. In 2014-2015, the legislature provided limited funding for an extended-day PreK pilot to double the instructional hours to 900 per school year. Some private or nonprofit facilities use partial-day child care subsidy for wraparound care to assist parents who qualify, or offer reduced rates for private pay. PreK programs in public schools can extend the day using operational funds or Title I funds.
Following a significant decrease in enrollment during the 2010-2011 school year, participation and funding for NM PreK has steadily increased. In 2014-2015, 8,397 children participated in NM PreK, an increase of 9.4\% from the previous year. When children enter NM PreK they are assigned a unique identifier that stays with the child throughout K-12. New Mexico has a statewide longitudinal data system (SLDS).

The New Mexico Early Learning Guidelines (NMELGs) were updated in the summer of 2014 and are currently being validated by WestEd. The NMELGs encompass all five essential domains of school readiness, are developmentally appropriate, and contain rubrics that link the ELGs to child development and skill acquisition. Once complete, they will form the basis of New Mexico's forthcoming statewide Kindergarten Entry Assessment.

In the absence of state legislation or policy regarding provisions for ELL families in PreK programs, the NM PreK Program Standards provide guidance on effective practices and program requirements to all programs receiving state PreK funding. Funding is used to support monolingual Spanish and dual-language classrooms, with information submitted in the program's application to state agencies. FOCUS Tiered Quality Rating and Improvement System includes expectations for supporting children's culture and home language.


## NEW MEXICO PREK

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment8,397School districts that offer state program $.67 \%$ (school districts)
Income requirement

$\qquad$
.No income requirement
Hours of operation 2.5 hours/day
Operating schedule Determined locally
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 3,705
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 7,759
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .................................................................. $39,654,300$
Local match required? No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 4,722$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ \$4,722

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


[^36]
## New York

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


$N$ew York's Universal Prekindergarten Program (UPK) launched in 1998 with the goal of offering prekindergarten education to all 4-year-olds in the state, regardless of family income or risk factors. During the 2007-2008 school year, the Targeted Prekindergarten Program (TPK) merged with UPK, creating the program it is today. State funding covers additional programs as well, such as New York State Priority Prekindergarten (NYSPPK), which uses funds to create full-day prekindergarten placements, convert existing half-day placements to full-day, or create a limited number of half-day placements for higher-need children in lower wealth school districts. In the 2014-2015 school year, the program used $\$ 25$ million to allow 25 districts to implement programs serving 6,054 children, an increase of more than 1,000 students from the previous year.
The 2014-2015 school year marked the first year of the state's new program, the Statewide Universal Full-Day Prekindergarten Program (SUFDPK), which uses $\$ 340$ million in 53 school districts and 26 community-based organizations to provide prekindergarten services to 36,6804 -year-olds. This new investment spurred the dramatic advancement in full-day pre-K in New York City and some expansion of full-day in the rest of the state. The Governor also pledged to create universal access to pre-K within five years. In 2014, the state was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 25$ million, which is used in five school districts and serves 1,127 4-year-olds from families at or below 200\% federal poverty level. Overall, with the addition of the Priority Prekindergarten and Statewide Universal Full-Day Pre-K grants, the state increased funding for prekindergarten programs an additional $\$ 364$ million from the previous year.
After a previously unsuccessful attempt by UPK to achieve universal access by 2013, this year saw an increase in enrollment. In the 2014-2015 school year, 13,310 more children were able to enroll in the state's preschool programs than in the previous year.

In 2012, New York implemented a quality rating and improvement system called QUALITYstarsNY. Participation is not required, but is encouraged by the New York State Education Department, especially in schools located in persistently low-performing districts. Monitoring tools are largely determined locally in the state. Structured observations of classroom quality are determined locally, though many programs choose to use CLASS and ECERS-R annually.

In September of 2011, The New York Board of Regents adopted the revised early learning standards called the New York State Prekindergarten Foundation for the Common Core. These standards retain a comprehensive, multi-domain approach, and contribute to establishing an aligned P-12 continuum.

There is no state-level policy regulating services for dual language learners enrolled in UPK; however, the state does support professional development opportunities regarding best practice through the New York State Regional Bilingual Education Resource Networks.

New York will add an additional $\$ 30$ million for the 2015-2016 school year, through a competitive grant process. These funds will support 34 districts to increase access to high-quality preschool. 2,018 slots for 3-year-olds and 1,277 slots for 4-year-olds will be available.

NEW YORK UNIVERSAL PREKINDERGARTEN
ACCESS

Total state program enrollment.................................................112,120School districts that offer state program
$\qquad$ $.67 \%$ (school districts)

Income requirement................................................... income requirement
Hours of operation ..................................... 2.5 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 44,091
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..............42,685
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 $\qquad$

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree $\qquad$ BA and NYS teaching certificate (public); <br> BA in ECE with 5 -year plan to become certified (nonpublic) | . BA | V |
| Teacher specialized $\qquad$ .ECE Certification; Certificate in Students with training <br> Disabilities; Teaching Certificate (public); <br> AA (nonpublic) | Specializing in pre-K | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Assistant teacher degree. $\qquad$ HSD plus 9 credits in EC and Level। Teaching Assistant Certification (public); HSD (nonpublic) | .CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ........................................ 175 clock hours/5 years | .At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ |
|  | . 20 or lower | $\checkmark$ |
|  | .1:10 or better | $\checkmark$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/ behavioral; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | V |
| Meals ........................................Depends on length of program day ${ }^{1}$ | .At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring ......................................Site visits and other monitoring ${ }^{2}$ | Site visits | $\square$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending \$741,851,094

Local match required? $\qquad$ No
State spending per child enrolled ..... \$6,617
All reported spending per child enrolled*. .....  66,617

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


[^37]
# North Carolina 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


Since 2001, North Carolina has provided state-funded pre-kindergarten education, originally via the More at Four PreKindergarten Program, now through the NC Pre-Kindergarten (NC Pre-K) Program. The NC Pre-K Program delivers a highquality educational experience during the year prior to kindergarten entry, enrolling at-risk 4-year-olds from low-income families who have not participated in other early childhood programs. At-risk children are identified based on a number of factors, which include having a developmental delay or identified disability, coming from a family with an income at or below $75 \%$ of the state median income, having a chronic health condition, or having limited English proficiency. Similarly, children whose parents are active duty military personnel are automatically eligible for the program.

Funding for the state pre-K program comes from two primary sources-state appropriations and North Carolina Education Lottery receipts—and federal funds, and a required (no amount specified) contribution from local sources. During the 2011-2012 school year, administrative control of the program was relocated from the Department of Public Instruction to the Department of Health and Human Services, and the program was renamed the NC Pre-Kindergarten Program. It is required to meet the same high-quality program standards that were in place for the More at Four Pre-Kindergarten Program. Approximately $\$ 18.8$ million in one-time funds that were available in SFY 2011-2012 were not available in SFY 2012-2013; however, in 2013-2014, \$12.4 million in recurring funds were added to the program, making it possible to serve more children than originally anticipated for that year.
NC Pre-K classrooms are available statewide in private licensed Head Start programs, child-care centers, and public schools. All programs must earn high-quality ratings under the state child-care licensing system to qualify for participation in pre-K and the state's subsidy system. Program standards set for NC Pre-K must be met in both public and nonpublic settings.
Lead teachers must have a bachelor's degree and a Birth-through-Kindergarten License. Teachers in private classrooms may begin with a BS/BA degree in a related field as long as they meet BK licensure requirements within a specified timeframe, defined by the NC State Board of Education teacher licensure policy, for a program to continue receiving NC Pre-K funds. NC Pre-K is evaluated annually for process quality, program impact, and child outcomes, by an independent external evaluator. The state legislature mandated an increase in teacher salaries across all grade levels ( $K-12$ ) for licensed public school employees for 2014-2015. This includes public school pre-K teachers who hold BK Licensure. Although not mandated, NC Pre-K Program Requirements encourage that NC Pre-K BK licensed teachers who work in nonpublic schools be compensated to align with the same schedule. DCDEE is currently studying the impact to counties.
In 2012, the state was awarded a Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge grant.


## NORTH CAROLINA PRE-KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

## ACCESS



Total state program enrollment 100\% (counties/parishes)

Income requirement 6.5 hours/day, 5 days/week

Operating schedule 10,532
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ...............18,542
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 . 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

|  | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards .........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree ......................................................................BA | BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ NC B-K Lateral Entry License; NC B-K Standard Professional I License; NC B-K Standard Professional II License; NC Preschool/Pre-K/K Add-on License | Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark \begin{aligned} & \text { 『 } \\ & \text { TOTAL }\end{aligned}$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ....................................CDA or AA in ECE | CDA or equivalent | BENCHMARKS <br> MET |
| Teacher in-service ......... 75 credit hours/5 year BK SPII Licensure cycle | At least 15 hours/year | $\nabla$ - M |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ NA <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ 18 | 20 or lower | $\nabla$ V |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ 1:9 | ..1:10 or better | V |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight; and support services blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/behavioral; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |
| Meals ........................................Lunch and either breakfast or snack | ..At least 1/day | $\checkmark$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | ..Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending \$143,385,799
Local match required? ..Yes
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 5,340$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. . 7,793

[^38]SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


## North Dakota

## NO PROGRAM

North Dakota does not provide state-funded pre-K as defined in this report; however, the North Dakota Department of Public Instruction addresses early childhood education in several initiatives. Two early childhood grant opportunities are provided for educators and school districts. Prekindergarten, child care, and Head Start teachers and assistant teachers can enhance their credentials at North Dakota state colleges and universities through Early Childhood Continuing Education Grants of up to $\$ 3,000$ every two years. Up to $\$ 10,000$ is available through Early Childhood Environment Grants to establish, support, or enhance new or expanded early childhood environments.

In 2013, the North Dakota Pre-Kindergarten Content Standards were published. The standards are voluntary, but cover multiple domains including: physical well-being and motor development, social-emotional development, approaches toward learning, language development, and cognitive and general knowledge. The standards were aligned with the Head Start Child Outcomes Framework, as well as with the state's standards for kindergarten. Early childhood professionals can also take advantage of stateprovided professional development opportunities aligned with these standards.

With support from a $\$ 6.1$ million Enhanced Assessment Grant from the U.S. Department of Education, North Dakota has joined nine other states and three nationally recognized research partners in a consortium to enhance a state-of-the-art system for assessing young children's learning. North Carolina, the lead state for the Consortium, is developing a K-3 formative assessment that includes a Kindergarten Entry Assessment (KEA). The K-3 assessment process will begin at kindergarten entry, generating a Child Profile of children's learning and development, and will continue through third grade, making information available to both teachers and students that will be used to inform teaching and learning. Through this project, the Consortium will provide teachers, parents, students, policymakers, and others who care about education in the critical early years a user-friendly, effective resource for generating clear information on where children are in their learning and where they need to go next.
The North Dakota Department of Public Instruction was charged with the task of conducting a legislatively mandated study on early childhood care and education in the state of North Dakota. It was delivered to the Legislative Management Council in June 2014, and is located on the department's early childhood education website.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment ......................................................1,071
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................2,825
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0


+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................... $\$ 0$
Local match required? .....................................................................................
State spending per child enrolled .................................................... $\$ 0$
All reported spending per child enrolled* ......................................... $\$ 0$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Ohio Public Preschool Program (PSP) was officially established in 1990, after four years as a pilot program. Ohio's focus is to ensure children have access to quality programs through public preschool and publicly funded child care. The state has worked to remove barriers to funding, so that all types of programs have access to both public preschool and child-care funds. This NIEER report only focuses on Ohio's ODE publicly funded preschool program, which does not include the publicly funded preschool programs in child care (where approximately 34,000 preschool-aged children are served in highly rated programs). Ohio's child outcomes data show that children participating in the public preschool program perform better on the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment than demographically similar children not participating in the preschool programs. Ohio is operating its publicly funded programs under common program and child standards, so a significant number of preschool-aged children receive services through a combination of these preschool programs, which must be highly rated. Additionally, eligible providers for this program expanded from school districts to include highly rated child-care providers, charter schools, and chartered nonpublic schools. In the 2014-2015 school year, all eligible providers rated as high quality were able to apply for grants to implement the program.

The state added $\$ 22$ million to fund preschool in the 2013-2015 state biennium. This increased the number of funded children from 5,700 in 2013 to 11,090 in 2014-2015. In 2015-2016, the state invested an additional $\$ 15$ million to the $\$ 45$ million already being invested in Early Childhood Education. This increased the number of funded children from 11,090 in 2014 to 14,765 in 2015-2016. Ohio will disseminate another $\$ 15$ million increase in funding for the Early Childhood Education program in 2016-2017, enabling the state to serve a total of 18,440 preschool-aged children.

Through this program, sites have been monitored annually for quality via desk audits, internal monitoring materials, proposal of plans and documentation, along with an annual licensing visit, classroom observations, and child assessment. Beginning in 20132014, Ohio began using its expanded tiered quality rating and improvement system as the monitoring system across all Ohio programs including public preschool and child-care programs. Through this monitoring system, programs submit annual documentation of desk audits and program plans. Programs also receive on-site visits, including classroom observations every two to three years based on the rating level of the program. The ECE program has been evaluated for process quality using the ELLCO tool, with various stages completed in 2009, 2011, and 2012. Beginning in the 2013-2014 school year, programs began participating in Ohio's tiered quality rating and improvement system.
The state provides scholarships for lead and assistant teachers to help attain credentials and to enhance skills. Several other supports such as mentoring, job-embedded professional development, and bonuses are locally determined.


## OHIO EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

## ACCESS



${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .......................................................... $\$ 44,360,000$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State Head Start spending .................................................... $\$ 384,000$
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 4,000$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 4,000$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^39]
## Oklahoma

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


0klahoma started its Early Childhood Four-Year-Old Program in 1980 with the intent of serving all 4 -year-olds in the state. In 1990, the program received statewide funding for 4 -year-olds eligible for the federal Head Start program, but local areas could choose to serve additional 4-year-olds through local funds or tuition. In 1998, Oklahoma became the second state in the nation to provide free preschool for all 4 -year-olds, with $99 \%$ of school districts providing availability for the program.
Through the state's school finance formula, public school districts receive funding for the Early Childhood Four-Year-Old Program. A per-pupil rate, calculated using the age of the child and the length of the program day, is used to repay districts. Districts can support other centers by placing public school teachers in child-care centers, Head Start settings, and community-based programs. Children in these sites receive the same services as children in public school locations, and are considered public school enrollees.

Oklahoma includes data on home language of children in the state's pre-K database on home language, ethnicity, and migrant status. The state allocates extra funds to provide additional resources for children considered to be dual language learners, including providing professional development to teachers regarding best practices. These supports include two ELL program specialists on staff at the state Department of Education who provide professional development to districts with ELL populations by request.

The state also requires salary parity for lead preschool teachers, and lead teachers in public schools must be paid on the same salary schedule as K-3 teachers. Additionally, lead teachers in public school settings receive the same fringe benefits as K-3 teachers.

Oklahoma is currently revising its pre-K-12 standards for English Language Arts and Math.


## OKLAHOMA EARLY CHILDHOOD FOUR-YEAR-OLD PROGRAM

ACCESS

| 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program...............99\% (school districts) |  |
| Income requirement.....................................No income requirement |  |
| Hours of operation..................................... 6 hours/day, 5 days/week |  |
| Operating schedule .................................School and academic year |  |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..............................4,328 |  |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .............14,660 |  |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and | 3 and |

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .......................................................... $148,690,138$
Local match required? .....................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,709$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 7,782$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


## Oregon

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*

State spending per child enrolled* (2015 DOLLARS)



$E$stablished in 1987, the Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten (OHSP) program provides comprehensive child and family development services for 3- and 4-year-old children from low-income families. In 1992, a state-federal partnership was formalized between the Region X Office of Head Start and the Oregon Department of Education to support a collaborative Head Start and state prekindergarten system, which later expanded to serve additional Head Start-eligible children.

The state-funded prekindergarten program is expanding by 196 children in 2015-2016. In addition, Oregon has new legislation and funding to develop and implement a mixed-delivery preschool program for children up to $200 \%$ FPL, beginning in fall 2016. The mixed-delivery model recognizes that high-quality learning experiences can take place in a wide variety of settings, and families should be able to choose the setting that works best for them and their children. Families will have a wide range of choice in providers in the mixed-delivery model. Providers could be, but are not limited to, a child-care provider, public school, Head Start, Oregon Prekindergarten, education service district, public charter school, Relief Nursery, private preschool, or community-based organization. In the first year of implementation, the program plans to serve over 1,200 children in four to six communities.

OHSP programs operate using federal and/or state funds. To provide state funding for the program, general fund dollars are allocated on a biennial basis by the state legislature. OHSP program funding is available to a number of organizations. Nonsectarian private and public organizations not receiving federal Head Start funding, including public schools, private agencies, community action agencies, government agencies, and colleges and universities, have also been awarded competitive state prekindergarten funds. In 2014-2015, approximately $10 \%$ of OHSP children were served in public schools and $90 \%$ of children in other Head Start settings. Programs ensure children receive services in inclusive settings, with almost $20 \%$ of enrolled students qualifying for and receiving special education services in regular classrooms. Federal Head Start Performance Standards must be followed, and all programs must meet monitoring requirements.

In 2012, Oregon adopted the Head Start Child Development Early Learning Framework (now the Head Start Early Learning Outcomes Framework), which is currently being aligned with Common Core State Standards for K-12. The Oregon Department of Education implemented Teaching Strategies GOLD as the primary developmental assessment tool, requiring its use by all State Head Start Prekindergarten programs beginning July 2012. No formal assessment has been conducted of the Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten program to date. Program quality is monitored by the Early Learning Division of the Oregon Department of Education through ongoing communication with grantees and the Regional Office of Head Start. Evaluations are conducted on-site for every grantee every three years, either through the Oregon Department of Education and/or the Office of Head Start, though additional site visits are conducted as needed. Regardless of funding source, all children enrolled in the OHSP programs receive unique identifier numbers so that children's developmental progress can be followed as they enter the K-12 system.

Assistant teacher qualifications requiring a minimum of a CDA took effect in the 2013-2014 school year. As a result, Oregon met benchmark criteria for nine NIEER quality standards in 2014, up from eight the preceding year. In 2012, Oregon was one of five states funded in the second round of federal Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge.


## OREGON HEAD START PREKINDERGARTEN

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..... 7,662
School districts that offer state program 100\% (counties)
Income requirement .....  $100 \%$ FPL
Hours of operation ..... 3.5 hours/day
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 6,323
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 7,040
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 7,662

# STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION <br>  

${ }^{\dagger}$ Head Start enrollment is included in pre-K
${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICYSTATE PRE-K <br> REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards .........................................Comprehensive | ..Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree .......................................BA (public); AA (nonpublic)1,2 |  | $\square$ |
| Teacher specializedtraining ..............................................AA in ECE | .. Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree .......................................................CDA | CDA or equivalent | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher in-service ............................................. 15 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds. <br> 4-year-olds | .. 20 or lower | Q BENCHMARKS |
|  | ..1:10 or better | $\square$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; height/weigh; blood pressure; and support services immunizations; psychosocial/behavioral; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |
| Meals .........................................Depends on length of program day ${ }^{3}$ | ...At least 1/day | $\checkmark$ |
| Monitoring ......................................Site visits and other monitoring .. | ..Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................................ $66,263,178$
Local match required? $\qquad$No
State Head Start spending
.66,263,178
State spending per child enrolled ..... \$8,648
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..... \$8,648

[^40]SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


[^41] a snack. Full-day programs serve breakfast, lunch, and a snack.

# Pennsylvania 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (PA) currently serves pre-kindergarten-age children via four different pre-kindergarten programs: The Pennsylvania Four-Year-Old and School-based Prekindergarten and Kindergarten programs SBPK/K4), the Ready to Learn (RTL) Block Grant (known prior to the 2014-2015 school year as the Accountability Block Grant Program), the Pennsylvania Head Start Supplemental Assistance Program (PAHSSAP), and the Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts Program (PAPKC). The PA Department of Education provides oversight for each of these programs. Policy for the PAPKC and PAHSSAP is developed by the Department of Education, but the Office of Child Development and Early Learning (OCDEL, an office jointly accountable to the Departments of Education and Human Services) administers and monitors these programs.

The prekindergarten programs in PA with the most longevity are the K4/SBPK programs, which are funded through the state's general fund. Funds are available to districts wishing to offer these programs, and currently just over $18 \%$ of districts offer pre-K through the K4/SBPK program. Many of the policy decisions for this program are decentralized to the local level, meaning the individual districts make most of the decisions relative to the criteria in the quality benchmarks.
During the 2004-2005 school year, Pennsylvania authorized its second prekindergarten program, the Education Accountability Block Grant, now called the Ready to Learn Block Grant. RTL is funded through a state aid formula, with 75\% of funds targeted to serving children who have not achieved proficiency on the PA System for School Assessment test. School districts can opt to offer this pre-K program or choose from a list of other approved services; currently, approximately $5.2 \%$ of districts use these funds for pre-kindergarten programs, which is a $2 \%$ increase from the 2013-2014 school year. This increase is, in part, because the legislature eliminated the Accountability Block Grant line item from the budget, creating a new line item for RTL and allocating additional funds for the program. As with the K4/SBPK programs, many of the policy decisions for the RTL programs have been devolved to the district level, rendering decisions related to the quality benchmarks a local decision.
The PAHSSAP was also created during the 2004-2005 school year, with an original investment of $\$ 15$ million. The PAHSSAP provides extended-day services for federally funded Head Start children, as well as additional slots in Head Start programs. All programs are also required to meet the Head Start Performance Standards. It is by way of these requirements that the HSSAP meets the majority of the quality benchmarks.

The PAPKC Program was created in the 2007-2008 school year, with the intention of further expanding access within the Commonwealth to high-quality pre-kindergarten programs. PAPKC serves children who are income-eligible at $300 \% \mathrm{FPL}$, though districts have the option to set lower income thresholds. PAPKC programs can also serve children up to two years before their locally determined kindergarten entry age, though individual programs have the flexibility to make decisions based on local demand. PAPKC funds are awarded on a competitive basis every five years, with the most recent re-bidding occurring in 2013, and programs from a variety of auspices (e.g., Head Start, child care, family child care, and school districts) can apply for PAPKC funding. In 2014, the legislature awarded additional funds to expand PAPKC slots. Fiscal monitoring is required of each grantee in all four programs and is conducted by the state. For the HSSAP and PAPKC programs, onsite monitoring is conducted annually by PK program specialists. Additional program- and child-level monitoring activities occur in the PA HSSAP and PAPKC programs. PA HSSAP programs are required to use either CLASS, ECERS, or another locally selected tool to annually conduct structured observations of classroom quality; PAPKC programs are required to have an ECERS assessment annually. In addition, PAPKC and PAHSSAP programs are required to regularly input program and child assessment data into the statewide data system, called PELICAN. Outcomes from ongoing child assessment are collected twice annually, using a tool approved by OCDEL.

In the 2014-2015 school year, the Commonwealth implemented a new tool, called the Kindergarten Entry Inventory (KEI). This program is only required in select school districts and programs, use by others is voluntary. The KEI is designed to provide teachers with an instructional strategy for documenting students' proficiency across cognitive and non-cognitive domains at kindergarten entry. The KEI is aligned with the PA Early Learning Standards and the PA Core.

The first two pages of this state profile provide data on the Commonwealth's commitment and contributions to serving children in state-funded pre-K programs, including state expenditures and enrollment data, aggregated across the four programs. The subsequent pages provide disaggregated data for each individual program.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment..................................................26,038
Total state spending \$146,590,191
State spending per child enrolled ...............................................\$5,630
All reported spending per child enrolled*.................................... $\$ 5,630$

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


$\qquad$
No income requirement ${ }^{1}$

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

PENNSYLVANIA READY TO LEARN BLOCK GRANT

ACCESS

ACCESS

ACCESS

ACCESS

ACCESS

ACCESS

ACCESS

ACCESS

ACCESS

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment

Total state program enrollment .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027 .....  .....  .....  .....  .....  ..... 5,027

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program

School districts that offer state program     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)     5\% (school districts)

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Income requirement

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation

Hours of operation   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week   .5 hours/day; 5 days/week

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule

Operating schedule  .....D  .....D  .....D  .....D  .....D  .....D  .....D  .....D  .....D  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Determined locally, based on local school year  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4  Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199 .....  ..... 21,199
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630 ..... 26,630
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 . ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567 ..... 5,567

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT
AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\dagger+}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY | STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | ....Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Teacher degree ................. | BA (public); AA (nonpublic) | BA | $\square$ |  |
| Teacher specialized training. | .ECE P-K-4; ECE N-3 | Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Assistant teacher degree | Meets NCLB requirements ${ }^{2}$ | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |  |
| Teacher in-service | .... 180 clock hours/5 years | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ | TOTAL |
| Maximum class size |  | 20 or lower | $\nabla$ | BENCHMARKS |
| 3-year-olds......... | . 20 |  |  | MET |
| 4-year-olds........... | .............................. 20 |  |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {........................ } \\ & \text {......................10 } 1: 10 \\ & . . . . . . . . . . ~ \end{aligned}$ | ..1:10 or better | $\square$ | 8 |
| Screening/referral ... and support services | Determined locally | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |  |
| Meals | .......No meals are required | At least 1/day | $\square$ |  |
| Monitoring | .........Other monitoring | Site visits | $\square$ |  |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................. $55,735,048$
Local match required? .....................................................................No
State Head Start spending . $\$ 38,721,977$
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 1,141$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$1,141

[^42]SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


[^43]
## PENNSYLVANIA HEAD START SUPPLEMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM ACCESS



STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree ........................................................................AA | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training.................................ECE P-K-4; ECE N-3 | Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ........................................................CDA ${ }^{1}$ | CDA or equivalent | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher in-service ...................................................... 15 hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ 3-year-olds $\qquad$ | 20 or lower | BENCHMARKS MET |
| 4-year-olds .............................................................................. 20 |  |  |
|  | ..1:10 or better | $\nabla$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/behavioral; dental; developmental; and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | V |
| Meals ....................................... Lunch and either breakfast or snack | At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring ................................................................... Site visits | Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................ $\$ 38,721,977^{6}$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State Head Start spending ................................................ $\$ 38,721,977$
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 6,956$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 6,956$

[^44][^45]
## PENNSYLVANIA KINDERGARTEN FOR FOUR-YEAR-OLDS AND SCHOOL BASED PRE-K ACCESS

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program...............18\% (school districts) |  |
| Income requirement......................................No income requirement |  |
| Hours of operation..................................... 5 hours/day, 5 days/week |  |
| Operating schedule ....................................School or academic year |  |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ............................21,199 |  |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..............26,630 |  |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4. | and 4.....................5,567 |

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY | STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | ...Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |  |
| Teacher degree | .BA | BA | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Teacher specialized training | $\qquad$ .ECE PK-4; ECE N-3 (public); <br> Elementary (nonpublic) | Specializing in pre-K | $\square$ |  |
| Assistant teacher degree | $\qquad$ No minimum degree (K4); <br> Meets NCLB requirements (SBPK) ${ }^{1}$ | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Teacher in-service | .................. 180 clock hours/5 years | At least 15 hours/year | $\nabla$ | MET |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | No limit (K4); 20 (SBPK) <br> No limit (K4); 20 (SBPK) | 20 or lower | $\square$ |  |
| Staff-child ratio |  | ..1:10 or better | $\square$ |  |
| 3-year-olds................... | ............No limit (K4); 1:10 (SBPK) |  |  |  |
| 4-year-olds.......... | ....No limit (K4); 1:10 (SBPK) |  |  |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ and support services | ..Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; blood pressure; immunizations | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |  |
| Meals | ....................No meals are required | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |  |
| Monitoring | .......................None | .. Site visits | $\square$ |  |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ....................................................\$6,908,540
Local match required? $\qquad$No
State Head Start spending
$\$ 38,721,977$

State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 3,475$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$3,475

[^46]SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


[^47]
## PENNSYLVANIA PRE-K COUNTS

## ACCESS

| 13,456 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program...............83\% (school districts) |  |
| Income requirement | 300\% FPL |
| Hours of operation.................................... 5 hour | , 5 days/week |
| Operating schedule | 180 days/year |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 | 21,199 |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and | .....26,630 |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4. | . 5,567 |

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT
AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree ......................................................................BA | .BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized training.................................ECE P-K-4; ECE N-3 | ..Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ...........................Meets NCLB requirements ${ }^{1}$ | .CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ....................................... 180 clock hours/5 years | . At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | BENCHMARKS <br> MET |
| 3-year-olds ............................................................................................................................................................................... |  | MET |
|  | .1:10 or better |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Determined locally; and support services and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals ............................... Snack (part day); Snack and meal (full day) | .At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .................................... Site visits and other monitoring | . Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................ \$95,224,626
Local match required? .....................................................................No
State Head Start spending ................................................ $\$ 38,721,977$
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 7,077$
All reported spending per child enrolled*...................................\$7,077

[^48][^49]
# Rhode Island 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| 2002 | 2004 | 2006 | 2008 | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2015 |

STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Rhode Island State Pre-Kindergarten Program was launched in the fall of 2009. The program is available to all children in each participating community who are 4 years old by September 1, though enrollment is determined by a lottery. Public schools, private child care, and Head Start programs are eligible to apply to the competitive Request for Proposal process, conducted by the Rhode Island Department of Education.

Funding for the Rhode Island State Pre-Kindergarten Program is included in the state's school funding formula. The Rhode Island Education Aid Foundation Formula, approved in 2010, phases in expanded access to high-quality pre-K, starting with communities that have a high proportion of children eligible for free and reduced-price lunch. The phased-in approach, investing $\$ 10$ million over 10 years, will ensure that pre-K expansion creates high-quality learning programs; improves access for the students who need it the most; and assures a smooth transition between early childhood and K-12 programs. In Fiscal Year 2015, The Rhode Island General Assembly increased its investment in the Rhode Island State Pre-Kindergarten Program by $\$ 1$ million. This resulted in the enrollment of an additional 162 children for the year, for a total of 306 participating children.
State Pre-K teachers must have a bachelor's degree with an early childhood teaching certificate. The Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education provides training and technical assistance on an ongoing basis. The Rhode Island PreKindergarten Program has been assessed for both process quality and program impact/child outcomes, including classroom quality and child outcomes in literacy, arithmetic, and social-emotional development.
Rhode Island was one of nine states to receive a grant in the first round of the federal Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge. Some of the funds go towards improving the quality of early childhood education programs in high-needs communities. The state is also gathering data on children's access to early learning opportunities and will link it with kindergarten entry assessment data. Future policy and funding choices will be influenced by findings from an early learning data system. RTT-ELC funds will also be used to provide comprehensive, high-quality professional development, and technical assistance. In 2014, Rhode Island was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 2.3$ million to expand access to its high-quality State Pre-K Program in the fall of 2015. Through the Department of Human Services, Rhode Island also complements the federal Head Start program with state funding to provide additional spaces for children. Funding for this program has remained static for several years.

Information about recruitment and enrollment is required to be provided to families in their home language and dual language learners (DLLs) are required to be assessed in their home language. Rhode Island provides few other supports for DLLs in the State Pre-K program.
Teachers in the State Pre-K program do not have salary parity with K-3 teachers. However, teachers in public schools are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. Teachers also can receive loan-forgiveness, job embedded professional development, and paid planning time.


## RHODE ISLAND STATE PREKINDERGARTEN PROGRAM

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..... 306
School districts that offer state program 20\% (cities/towns)
ncome requirement

$\qquad$
No income requirement
Hours of operation 6 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 1,737
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 2,134
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 130

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher degree ........................................................................BA | BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specializedtraining .......................Early Childhood certification | Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ...............HSD plus 12 college credits in ECE | CDA or equivalent | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher in-service ............................................. 20 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size 3-year-olds | 20 or lower | v BENCHMARKS |
| 4-year-olds ........................................................................... 18 |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ NA <br> 4 -year-olds $\qquad$ 1:9 | ..1:10 or better | $\square$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; heigh/weight; blood pressure; and support services immunizations; psychosocial/behavior; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |
| Meals .....................................................................Lunch; snack | At least 1/day | $\nabla$ |
| Monitoring ...................................Site visits and other monitoring | .. Site visits | $\nabla$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................. \$2,950,000
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State Head Start spending .................................................... $\$ 800,000$
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. \$9,641
All reported spending per child enrolled*...................................\$9,641

[^50]
# South Caroling 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


+2)outh Carolina has two state-funded preschool programs administered by the state's new Office of Early Learning and Literacy (OELL), housed within SC Department of Education (SCDOE) and the Office of SC First Steps to School Readiness (First Steps). School districts are required to offer at least one part-day pre-K program. South Carolina added $\$ 10.4$ million in state funds to its pre-K spending, making the total amount $\$ 60.2$ million in 2014-2015.
The EIA Child Development Program (also called 4K) was initiated in 1984 by the South Carolina Education Improvement Act. The $25.3 \%$ of the districts that provide 4 K services set their own eligibility criteria from a state-specified list of risk factors that include low parent education, history of foster care, homelessness, teen parents, and low income. State funding for the non-CDEP districts for half-day 4 K is allocated to districts by the OELL and is based on the number of kindergarteners qualified for free or reduced-price lunch in each district. All of the 19,757 children who attended EIA 4K were served in public schools. About $25 \%$ of 4 K programs have expanded the half-day program to provide school-day services using funds from other sources.

The Child Development Education Program (CDEP), the state's second early education initiative, was codified with the approval of the Read to Succeed legislation in June 2014 with passage of Act 284. CDEP is, therefore, no longer considered to be a pilot and its name reflects this change. CDEP was established in 2006, as a result of Abbeville County School District v. South Carolina. The court ruled that the state provide funding for school-day preschool in the counties named in the lawsuit if they opted to participate. Children qualified for free or reduced-price lunch, those receiving Medicaid, or those with a documented developmental delay were eligible. In 2014-2015, the program was expanded, with $68.6 \%$ of the 83 school districts providing CDEP. CDEP is administered by the OELL in public schools; for the $19.1 \%$ of children who are enrolled in private child-care centers, CDEP is administered by First Steps.

The Read to Succeed legislation requires that all pre-K students be given a readiness assessment beginning in 2014-2015. For the first year, only a language/literacy assessment is administered within 45 days of enrollment. In 2015-2016, programs will be able to choose from a list of three approved formative assessments.
OELL staff provides technical assistance to CDEP district coordinators, directors, and teachers through email, phone correspondence, and requisite onsite monitoring visits to the public school-based classrooms. Monitoring visits include an evaluation based on the ELLCO (Early Language and Literacy Classroom Observation) checklist and a fidelity verification measuring the curriculum being implemented. Feedback is provided to the district coordinators and to the teachers for quality improvements.

First Steps Regional Coordinators make both announced and unannounced monitoring and technical assistance visits to funded CDEP classrooms in private child-care centers throughout the school year. These technical assistance visits may include unannounced evaluative monitoring using an ERS Assessment appropriate to measure the curricular fidelity. Deficiencies noted during monitoring visits will be reviewed with the program administrator and shall form the basis of a Programmatic Improvement and Technical Assistance Plan.
All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only. In efforts to support teachers working with DLLs, professional development in best practices on teaching DLLs was offered statewide through a partnership with the Department of Social Services and other partners. In kindergarten, proficiency benchmarks have been established to exit children from DLL status.

South Carolina's general contribution and commitment to state-funded prekindergarten, including state expenditure and enrollment for both 4 K and CDEP, are summarized in the first two pages of their state profile. The 4 K program is the focus of the third page and the fourth page covers the Child Development Education Program.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..................................................30,422
Total state spending
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 1,981$
All reported spending per child enrolled*....................................\$1,984

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## SOUTH CAROLINA EIA CHILD DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM (4K)

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment$\qquad$19,757
School districts that offer state program $.26 \%$ (school districts)
Income requirement
$\qquad$.185\% FPL
Hours of operation .2.5 hours/day, 5 days/weekOperating scheduleSchool or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 4,515
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 11,553
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

# AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION <br>  

† Some Head Start children may also be counted in state prek. ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree ........................................................................ BA $^{1}$ | BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized training.................ECE Licensure; EC Endorsement | Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree .....................No minimum degree required | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ......................................... 6 credit hours/5 years | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds | 20 or lower | BENCHMARKS MET |
| 4 -year-olds $\qquad$ |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds | ..1:10 or better | $\nabla$ ? |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Immunizations; developmental and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals..................................................................................Snack | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .......................................................................None | .. Site visits | $\square$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................. $13,013,846$
Local match required? ......................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled ................................................ $\$ 659$
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..................................... $\$ 659$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


## SOUTH CAROLINA CHILD DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION PROGRAM (CDEP)

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment10,665School districts that offer state program

$\qquad$
74\% (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$.185\% FPL
Hours of operation . 6.5 hours/day, 5 days/week
Operating schedule State determined; 180 days
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 4,515
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 11,553
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\dagger+}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................... $\$ 47,238,637$
Local match required? No
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 4,429$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$ . 4,438

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^51]
## South Dakota

## NO PROGRAM

The state of South Dakota addresses early childhood education in several ways, although the state does not provide statefunded pre-K as defined in this report. The Department of Education houses the Head Start State Collaboration Office and has authority for the Birth to Three early intervention program and early childhood special education. Within the Department of Social Services, the Division of Child Care Services is responsible for licensing early childhood programs, and administers direct child-care assistance payments to low-income families. The South Dakota Bright Start Initiative began in 2011 to ensure Native American children get a sound start in life, focusing on infant brain development and early childhood development.

The University of South Dakota, with support from the Department of Education's Head Start-State Collaboration Office and multiple stakeholders, designed the South Dakota Early Learning Guidelines to be used in all settings that include children 3 to 5 years of age. Aligned with the South Dakota Content Standards for Kindergarten, which are part of the K-12 State Standards incorporating the Common Core State Standards for English language arts and mathematics, the Guidelines address many domains. These domains include: social-emotional development and approaches toward learning, language and literacy, mathematics, science, health and physical development, creative arts, and social studies. Currently, the Guidelines are being enhanced to encompass children birth through age five.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment ......................................................1447
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................3,770
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................................... $\$ 0$
Local match required? ....................................................................................
State spending per child enrolled .................................................... $\$ 0$
All reported spending per child enrolled* ......................................... $\$ 0$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


Building upon the 1998 Early Childhood Education Pilot Project, Tennessee Voluntary Pre-K (VPK) was launched in 2005, following enactment of the Voluntary Pre-K for Tennessee Act (2005). Since 2012, almost every district has offered at least one full-day VPK classroom where children who are from low-income families, homeless, or in foster care receive priority enrollment. The second tier includes students with an IEP and English Language Learners. VPK enrollment has leveled off since 2008, and, in 2015, approximately 18,700 at-risk children were served, or $22 \%$ of the state's 4 -year-old population.
The Tennessee State Department of Education, Division of Special Populations (DSP) has administrative authority over VPK. Only public schools are eligible to apply for state-funded VPK grants. Grants were awarded through a competitive process up through 2008, and districts have submitted a reapplication for continuation of funding in the years since then. Districts may, however, contract with private child-care agencies, Head Start agencies, institutions of higher education, public housing authorities, and any three-star QRIS rated program in a community-based or private child-serving agency. Programs contracted to provide VPK services must operate within the jurisdiction of the school district.

Since its inception, VPK has relied on numerous funding sources, including general education revenue and, in the past, lottery revenue and federal TANF funds. State funds for the VPK program have been level funded since the 2014 school year. Federal Head Start, IDEA, Title I, and other funds are used to provide the required local match which averages out to $25 \%$ across the districts. Districts have used the same funding, $\$ 117,490$ per classroom, to operate VPK for the past three years. In 2014, Tennessee was awarded a competitive federal Preschool Development Grant for $\$ 17.5$ million, to expand pre-K enrollment in Nashville and Shelby County.
During the 2014-2015 school year, the Peabody Research Institute (PRI) at Vanderbilt University was involved in the fifth year of an ongoing external evaluation on the effectiveness of the VPK program. The study reported statistically significant gains for VPK participants during the pre-K year and a significant reduction in kindergarten retention for participants. The gains, however, appeared to gradually dissipate and turned significantly negative in some subtests (favoring the controls), by third grade. PRI reports that the quality of pre-K programs across the state is inconsistent, and in some cases below expectations, based on ECERS classroom observations. ECERS data collected by PRI on a statewide sample find that the average ECERS score is about 4 with the Activities subscale at 3 (on a 7.0 scale). Only a very small fraction of classrooms scored 5 or higher overall. PRI has received additional funding to continue to follow a portion of the children through their 7th grade year (2018-2019).
As part of the required annual 18 clock hours of in-service professional development, DSP sponsors training on developmentally appropriate practice aligned with TN's early learning standards, ELLCO, and ECERS. Programs' plans of actions based on selfassessment ECERS and ELLCO observations are submitted to DSP, as well as data collected from the Education Information System, including student demographic data, monthly financial reports, and annual family surveys.
Although no policy requires services for dual language learners enrolled in VPK, there are opportunities for professional development afforded to pre-K teachers, information is presented to families in their primary language, and translators are made available when needed. Tennessee has adopted WIDA standards, which support academic language development and academic achievement for linguistically diverse students.


## TENNESSEE VOLUNTARY PRE-K

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment$.16,274$School districts that offer state program

$\qquad$
96\% (school districts)Income requirement
$\qquad$ 185\% FPL
Hours of operation .5.5 hours/day, 5 days/weekOperating schedule.School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 6,283
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 15,419
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .....  0

## STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION


${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K <br> REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree ........................................................................BA | BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ Early Childhood Ed Pre-K-3; Early Development and Learning; SpEd Early Childhood | .Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree........................................................Other ${ }^{1}$ | .CDA or equivalent | $\square$ TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Teacher in-service ...................................................... 18 hours/year | .At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ MET |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3 -year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | .20 or lower | $\nabla$ |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ 1:8 <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ 1:10 | 1:10 or better | $\checkmark$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services <br> blood pressure; immunizations; developmental; psychosocial/behavioral; full physical exam; and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |
| Meals ........................................................Breakfast; lunch; snack | At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................ $\$ 84,941,414$
Local match required?.....................................................................Yes
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 5,219$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 6,687$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^52]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


In 1985, Texas began offering half-day preschool to at-risk 4-year-olds through the Texas Public School Prekindergarten initiative. Districts that have 15 or more 4-year-olds are required to offer the program. Districts that have 15 or more eligible children who are at least 3 years old can also offer the program, but are not required to do so. The Texas Education Agency (TEA) oversees the program, which is financed by both state and local dollars which flow directly to school districts who can collaborate with Head Start programs and licensed child care centers to provide preschool services. Funding for half-day preschool services is based on Average Daily Attendance (ADA) and is provided through the Foundation School Program as part of the K-12 funding system. In 2014-2015, an additional $\$ 30$ million in supplemental funding was appropriated for eligible students.

Students are eligible to participate if they meet at least one of the following risk factors: qualifying for free or reduced-price lunch ( $185 \%$ of FPL), homelessness, participation in foster care, parent on active military duty or who was injured or killed on active duty, or an inability to speak or comprehend the English language.

The Texas Public School Prekindergarten initiative provides several supports to ELLs, including professional development for teachers around supporting ELLs, screening and assessing children in their home language, providing information to parents in their primary language, and sending a home language survey home at the beginning of the school year. State policy permits bilingual, monolingual non-English, and dual-language immersion classes in pre-K. It also requires that the quality of bilingual instructions be monitored. Transitional bilingual programs and summer language programs are also available and children are permitted to be pulled out of the classroom for English language instruction. Other supports for ELLs are determined locally.
The Early Childhood Data Systems (ECDS) is a state reporting feature that is part of the Texas Student Data System (TSDS). Using the ECDS, early childhood data is collected and used to inform school districts, early childhood programs, and communities about the effectiveness of prekindergarten programs in preparing children to be successful in kindergarten. The ECDS is being implemented using a two-phase process in which kindergarten data is submitted first, and then voluntary prekindergarten data will be submitted.
In 2015, the Texas Legislature passed House Bill 4 to increase the quality of the Texas Public School Prekindergarten programs, which included a $\$ 118$ appropriation over two years. Funds are to be implemented in the 2016-2017 school year. Districts and open-enrollment charter schools can apply for grants to implement a High-Quality Prekindergarten Grant Program in an amount not to exceed $\$ 1,500$ per student. The bill includes new data reporting requirements for school districts about pre-K class size, student to staff ratios, curriculum, and the type and results of assessments.


## TEXAS PUBLIC SCHOOL PREKINDERGARTEN

## ACCESS



STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |
| Teacher degree ...................................BA (public); None (nonpublic) | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ Generalist; Bilingual; ESL; Special Education (public); None (nonpublic) | Specializing in pre-K | $\square$ |
| Assistant teacher degree $\qquad$ HSD or equivalent (public); None (nonpublic) | .CDA or equivalent | TOTAL BENCHMARKS MET |
| Teacher in-service ......................................... 150 clock hours/5 years | At least 15 hours/year | V |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ No limit <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ No limit | .20 or lower |  |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ No limit <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ No limit | .1:10 or better | $\square$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; immunizations ${ }^{1}$ and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals .......................................Depends on length of program day ${ }^{2}$ | .At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring.........................................................................None | Site visits | $\square$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ............................................... $\$ 786,601,324$
Local match required? .No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,584$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. $\qquad$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^53]
## Utgh

## NO PROGRAM

while Utah did not have a state-funded pre-K program meeting the criteria of this report in 2014-2015, in 2014 the state approved future investment in some early learning programming through a partnership between businesses and the state. The Utah High-Quality School Readiness Initiative (HB96) was signed by Governor Herbert, allowing the newly created School Readiness Board to enter into results-based financing contracts with private entities to fund proven high-quality early childhood education programs to serve at-risk students. The bill also creates grant funding for existing public and private early education programs to increase quality, and funds independent evaluation. The bill details components of high-quality programs as well as home-based educational technology programs that may enter into a results-based contract with the Board. The initiative began serving children in the 2014-2015 school year.
In 2012, the state of Utah adopted the Early Childhood Core Standards, which cover multiple domains including: physical wellbeing and motor development, social-emotional development, approaches toward learning, language development, and cognitive and general knowledge. Revised standards were adopted in 2013 and provide strategies and activities aligned with these domains. The State Board of Education will establish a timeline for further review of core curriculum standards for early childhood education.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ........................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment ......................................................5,960
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................5,656

State-funded Head Start enrollment ..................................................... 0
State-funded Head Start enrollment ..... 0

## Vermont

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


In 1987, the Vermont Early Education Initiative (EEI) was created as an annual competitive grant program to finance early education opportunities for at-risk 3- to 5-year-olds. Eligible children must meet one of the following criteria: family income at or below $185 \%$ FPL, limited English proficiency, a history of mistreatment or neglect, a developmental delay, or social isolation. School districts and community early care and education programs must partner for an EEI grant, though either entity may serve as the grantee. Geographic distribution is not considered in awarding grants and a total of 42 grants were awarded in 2014-2015.
Act 62 was signed into law in 2007. It reaffirms a long-standing practice of state and local support for publicly funded prekindergarten education for 3 - to 5 -year-old children by schools and private programs. While not a mandatory program for towns or children, it allows interested communities to provide limited early education services in quality settings. With Act 62 , pre-K programs are supported through the state's Education Fund in the same way as K-12.
In the 2014 Legislative Session, legislators passed Act 166 which requires all Vermont school districts to provide universal, publicly funded prekindergarten education for a minimum of 10 hours per week for 35 weeks annually for all 3-, 4-, and 5-year-old children who are not enrolled in kindergarten. With the passage of Act 166, several of the provisions in the Act 62 rules will be replaced, and EEI grants will only be available to programs or Supervisory Unions not implementing Act 166 in 2015-2016. After this year, EEI funding will end. Act 166 requires an annual legislative evaluation of the state's pre-K efforts that includes TS GOLD data and STARS level and the creation of a pre-K monitoring system, which will be developed through the $\$ 36.9$ million Race to the Top - Early Learning Challenge grant awarded in 2013.
In 2014-2015, 84.8\% of Vermont's local education agencies (LEAs) provided Act 62 through an arrangement of school-based programs and partnerships with private, family-based or center-based providers, private preschools, and/or with Head Start programs. With the passage of Act 166, all districts must offer pre-K.
All pre-K programs, including those operated by public schools, are required to attain at least 4 out of 5 stars in Vermont's quality rating system, Step Ahead Recognition Systems (STARS), or to hold NAEYC accreditation. An exception is made for programs that have 3 stars. These programs are allowed to operate if the provider has developed a plan to achieve 4 or 5 stars within three years and the plan is approved by the Secretaries of Agency of Education and Agency of Human Services. STARS require structured observations of classroom quality using ECERS.
The newly revised Vermont Early Learning Standards (VELS) for birth through grade 3 were approved by the State Board of Education in August 2015. The VELS are aligned with the Head Start Early Learning Outcomes Framework, Common Core State Standards in English language arts and mathematics, as well as Next Generation Science Standards. The VELS are much more extensive, covering children from birth to 3rd grade, than the previous standards that only covered pre-K.

In December 2014, Vermont was awarded $\$ 7.3$ million of what is expected to be a $\$ 33$ million, four-year federal Preschool Expansion grant to create full-time, comprehensive, high quality preschool experiences for 4-year-olds in families with incomes under 200\% FPL.
The first two pages of Vermont's profile explain the state's overall commitment and contribution to preschool education with enrollment and state spending data for both initiatives. The next two pages offer details about each of Vermont's two preschool initiatives, with the Vermont Prekindergarten Education - Act 62 program highlighted on the third page and the Vermont Early Education Initiative profiled on the fourth page.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ....................................................6,829
Total state spending
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 6,589$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 6,589$
STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {H+ }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures, Data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## VERMONT PREKINDERGARTEN EDUCATION - ACT 62

## ACCESS

| Total state program enrollment ...............................................6,240 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program ........85\% (towns/communities) |  |
| Income requirement.....................................No income requirement |  |
| Hours of operation ...................................................... 2 hours/day |  |
| Operating schedule ...................................School or academic year |  |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and $4 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .1,108 ~$ |  |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..............1,070 |  |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 |  |

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY | STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | ....Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |  |
| Teacher degree | BA (public); AA or CDA or Child Care Certificate (nonpublic) | BA | $\square$ |  |
| Teacher specialized training | ......Teacher License with EC or EC SpEd endorsement (public); <br> CDA; Child Care Certificate (nonpublic) ${ }^{1}$ | Specializing in pre-K | $\square$ | TOTAL |
| Assistant teacher degree | AA (public); HSD +30 hours or 3-credit course in CD (nonpublic) ${ }^{2}$ | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ | BENCHMARKS MET |
| Teacher in-service ....... | ...................... 9 credit hours/7 years | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ |  |
| Maximum class size... 3-year-olds $\qquad$ 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & .20 \\ & .20 \end{aligned}$ | .20 or lower | $\checkmark$ | 耑 |
| Staff-child ratio <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1: 10 \\ & .1: 10 \end{aligned}$ | ..1:10 or better | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Screening/referral ..... and support services | .........................Determined locally; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |  |
| Meals ................. | ..................No meals required | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |  |
| Monitoring | .................Other monitoring | ..Site visits | $\square$ |  |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ................................................ \$43,994,576
Local match required? $\qquad$ No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. \$7,050
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$7,050

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED


 certificate. Registered child care homes require only brief supervision by a licensed teacher. The majority of lead teachers possess a BA and are certified.
 teaching assistants. Teaching assistants need to have a HSD and a 30 -hour course in child development. Other training is not specified in Act 62 and depends on the type of pre-K provider.

## VERMONT EARLY EDUCATION INITIATIVE

## ACCESS



STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

3-YEAR-OLDS<br><br>4-YEAR-OLDS<br><br>EEI<br>$E d^{+t}$

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY | STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards . | ............................Comprehensive | Comprehensive | $\nabla$ |  |
| Teacher degree ............ | ..........BA (public); Other (nonpublic) | BA | $\square$ |  |
| Teacher specialized $\qquad$ training | ..EC Educator; EC Educator-Limited; EC Special Educator (public); <br> AA or CDA (nonpublic) | Specializing in pre-K | V |  |
| Assistant teacher degree. | ...................................Other ${ }^{2}$ | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ | TOTAL BENCHMARKS MET |
| Teacher in-service | ......... 9 credit hours/7 years (public); 12 clock hours/year (nonpublic) | At least 15 hours/year | $\square$ |  |
| Maximum class size <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ <br> 4 -year-olds $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & .20 \\ & .20 \end{aligned}$ | .20 or lower | V |  |
| Staff-child ratio 3-year-olds $\qquad$ 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {...................1:10 } \\ & \text {..............1:10 } \end{aligned}$ | . 1:10 or better | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Screening/referral .... and support services | .....Determined locally; and support services | .Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |  |
| Meals | .....................No meals required | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |  |
| Monitoring | ...............Other monitoring | .. Site visits | $\square$ |  |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .............................................................. $1,000,917$
Local match required? .....................................................................No
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 1,699$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 1,699$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^54]PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Virginia Preschool Initiative (VPI) has served at-risk 4-year-olds who are not enrolled in existing preschool programs since 1995. The VPI program served 18,250 4-year-olds in the 2014-2015 school year, increasing for the fourth consecutive year. Eighty-eight percent of the school districts operate VPI classrooms, and the majority of children participate in public school settings. Funding allocations to local school divisions are based on the number of students eligible for free lunch, but criteria for student eligibility are based on locally determined risk factors including, but not limited to: poverty, family unemployment, limited English proficiency, homelessness, parent with limited education, and parent incarceration. VPI programs may provide services on either a part- or school-day schedule throughout the school year.
State funding for VPI rose for the third consecutive year in 2014-2015. Lottery revenues continued to account for all state funding for VPI.

In 2011, the Virginia Preschool Initiative was evaluated for both program quality and child outcomes by the Curry School of Education at the University of Virginia. Program monitoring by state education staff and consultants was conducted through site visits every two years, prior to 2011-2012, to review program facilities, on-site safety procedures, and program record checks. Site visits were eliminated due to budget constraints in 2011-2012. Desk monitoring of local plans and results of child assessments continue as methods to conduct an annual review of program-level outcomes.

In the 2015-2016 school year, new eligibility criteria will be mandated by the General Assembly. This will include children who are $200 \%$ or below poverty level.
Preschool teachers in public schools are required to be paid on the same salary schedule as K-3 teachers as mandated by state policy.


## VIRGINIA PRESCHOOL INITIATIVE

## ACCESS



8,250
School districts that offer state program................90\% (school districts)
Income requirement
$\qquad$ 5 hours/day, 5 days/week ${ }^{1}$
Operating schedule School or academic year
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 .9,335
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 0

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT
AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {t+ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start. }}$

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY | STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT | BENCHMARK | D M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards | ............Comprehensive | ..Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree .............. | .......BA (public); HSD (nonpublic) | ..BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training | .............Early Primary; Elem Ed | ..Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree. | .........HSD or equivalent (public); No minimum degree (nonpublic) | CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ......... | ................. 15 clock hours/years | ..At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ |
| Maximum class size. 3-year-olds $\qquad$ 4-year-olds $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NA } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Staff-child ratio 3 -year-olds 4-year-olds $\qquad$ |  | ..1:10 or better | V |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ and support services | ...Vision; hearing; immunizations; and support services | ..Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\checkmark$ |
| Meals | ...No meal required | ..At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring | ......Other monitoring | ..Site visits | $\square$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................... $\$ 68,300,254$
Local match required?.....................................................................Yes
State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,742$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 5,887$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



# Washington 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


The Washington State Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP) was created in 1985 with the intention of creating safe, healthy, and nurturing learning experiences for the state's 3 - and 4 -year-old citizens. Overseen by the Washington Department of Early Learning (WDEL), ECEAP is offered in 36 of the state's 39 counties. ECEAP is operated by educational service districts, school districts, nonprofit organizations, local governments, and community colleges. Funding is awarded through a competitive process. Notably, among the three counties in which ECEAP is not offered, Head Start serves preschool-aged children in two of these counties and the other is very sparsely populated.

ECEAP serves 3 - and 4 -year-olds from families with incomes at or below $110 \%$ of the federal poverty level. Approximately $26 \%$ of the 2014-2015 ECEAP children were 3-year-olds. Children with IEP are eligible to be enrolled, regardless of income. Up to $10 \%$ of all children enrolled in ECEAP may be over the income cutoff if they have at least one other identified risk factor that may affect her/his development, learning, and success in school.

In 2014-2015, Washington State invested a total of $\$ 83$ million in ECEAP, with approximately $\$ 76$ million of these funds coming from state dollars (via the state general fund and the "opportunity pathways account," which is derived from lottery revenue). This is a substantial increase from the 2013-2014 year, when total state spending on ECEAP was just over $\$ 58$ million. The increase was authorized by the legislature for the purpose of expanding services to an additional 1,350 children and increasing the rate paid per child from $\$ 6,980$ to $\$ 7,578$. Notably, continuing its commitment to young children in WA, the legislature also funded an additional 1,600 slots for the 2015-2016 school year.
Washington continues to focus on fostering high-quality early learning experiences for young children via ECEAP. As of 2014-2015, all ECEAP programs are required to participate in the state's QRIS, called Early Achievers, and rate at either a level 4 or 5 by March of 2016. As part of Early Achievers, structured observations of classroom quality are conducted every three years using the ECERS and CLASS. Children's development is assessed three times per school year using Teaching Strategies GOLDTM.

ECEAP serves children from diverse backgrounds, including children who are dual language learners. Approximately $29 \%$ of 4 -yearolds served and $24 \%$ of 3 -year-olds served come from homes in which English is not the primary language.


## WASHINGTON EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION AND ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (ECEAP)

## ACCESS

| Total state program enrollment .............................................10,091 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program .........92\% (counties/parishes) |  |
| Income requirement | 110\% FPL |
| Hours of operation ..............................................D | Determined locally |
| Operating schedule $\qquad$ School year Calendar ye | ..School year (part- and full-day); Calendar year (extended-day) |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and $4 \ldots \ldots . . . .$. |  |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and | t, ages 3 and $4 . . . . . . . . . . .11,286$ |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 | ges 3 and $4 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ 340 ~$ |

STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

${ }^{+}$Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards .........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree .....................................................................AA | .BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training ....... 30 quarter credits in ECE (Birth-age 8) | ..Specializing in pre-K | $\checkmark$ |
| Assistant teacher degree ............................CDA or 12 credits in ECE | .CDA or equivalent | V |
| Teacher in-service ...................................................... 15 hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | BENCHMARKS <br> MET |
| 3-year-olds .......................................................................................................................................................................................... |  | MET |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ | .1:10 or better |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services immunizations; full physical exam; developmental; dental; and support services | Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\nabla$ |
| Meals......................................................At least breakfast or lunch ${ }^{1}$ | .At least 1/day | $\checkmark$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | . Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .............................................................. $76,676,973$
Local match required? .No
State Head Start spending
Not reported

State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 7,599$
All reported spending per child enrolled*................................... $\$ 8,232$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^55]
# West Virginia 

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED* (2015 DOLLARS)


west Virginia began offering preschool programs to 3- and 4-year-olds through the Public School Early Childhood Education program in 1983 when the state changed school policies to allow local school boards to serve children before kindergarten. In addition to 4-year-olds, some kindergarten age-eligible children with documented need, and 3-year-olds with special needs, may attend the program.

Beginning with the 2012-2013 school year, West Virginia extended preschool education to all 4-year-olds in the state. The West Virginia Universal Pre-K System now provides prekindergarten programs in all 55 counties in the state. Public schools receive West Virginia Universal Pre-K funding directly, but half of all programs are required to partner with child-care centers, private prekindergarten, or Head Start agencies. Programs are permitted to use additional funding from IDEA and federal Head Start.

The state has implemented many changes over the past several years to improve program quality. Beginning July 1, 2013, all new lead teachers in nonpublic settings were required to have at least a BA degree in Early Childhood or a related field. Beginning July 1, 2014, all assistant teachers must apply for the Early Childhood Classroom Assistant Teacher Authorization, which requires a CDA or equivalent, as determined by the West Virginia Board of Education. As a result of these changes, West Virginia now meets all 10 of NIEER's quality benchmarks. Lead and assistant teachers in public schools are required to be paid on the same salary schedule and to receive the same fringe benefits as K-3 public school teachers.
West Virginia has also increased the duration of its preschool program, increasing instructional days per year and hours per week since 2012. Beginning in the 2016-2017 school year, all programs will operate full day ( 25 hours per week minimum).

West Virginia provides many supports for dual language learners. Monolingual non-English classes and dual immersion classes are permitted and children may be pulled out for English language instruction. Bilingual staff and translators are available when children do not speak English and information about the program, recruitment, outreach, and child progress is provided to families in their home language. Teachers receive professional development around supporting DLLs and the state monitors the quality of bilingual instruction. ELL teachers are required to hold an ESL endorsement. At the beginning of the year, a home language survey is sent home and written plans must be in place on how to work with individual DLLs. The Early Learning Standards Framework contains standards for foreign language acquisition, cultural awareness, and the integration of the child's home language into the classroom.

The West Virginia Universal Pre-K program has been assessed for both process quality (in 2009 and 2012) and program impact/ child outcomes in 2005. All classrooms receive structured quality observations once every three years using the Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale. Programs also develop monitoring systems to offer ongoing continuous quality improvement, using monitoring tools recognized by a local collaborative team. Children are assessed using the Early Learning Scale three times per year, and this information is used to track child and program outcomes over time, guide teacher professional development and coaching, make adjustments to curricula, and measure kindergarten readiness.


## WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSAL PRE-K <br> ACCESS

| Total state program enrollment............................................16,622 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| School districts that offer state program ........100\% (counties/parishes) |  |
| Income requirement.....................................No |  |
| Hours of operation | Determined locally, minim <br> 14 hours/week; 4 or 5 days/ |
| rating sch | .School or academic year |
| Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..............................2,498 |  |
| Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ................7,118 |  |
| State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ........................... 0 |  |


${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.
STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST



| Early learning standards <br> Teacher degree |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |

EC Endorsement: Preschool Specia Needs (public); Community Program Permanent Authorization (nonpublic)

STATE PRE-K REQUIREMENT
Comprehensive

Teacher specialized

Teacher in-service ................................................... 15 clock hours/year
Maximum class size 3 -year-olds 4-year-olds Staff-child ratio - $\quad-\quad .20$

3 -year-olds
4 -year-olds 1:10Screening/referral .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI;

DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK?
V V $\checkmark$

V $\nabla$
$\nabla$
$\nabla$
blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/behavioral; dental; developmental;
full physical exam; and support services psychosocial/behavioral; dental; developmental;
full physical exam; and support services
and support services

Meals
Monitoring ...........................................Site visits and other monitoring

CDA or equivalent
At least 15 hours/year 20 or lower

1:10 or better

Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service

At least 1/day
Site visits

Specializing in pre-K
BENCHMARK
Comprehensive
BA

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending
\$98,051,203
Local match required? .No
State spending per child enrolled ............................................... $\$ 6,427$
All reported spending per child enrolled*. \$9,898

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

都

PERCENT OF STATE POPULATION ENROLLED*


STATE SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED*
(2015 DOLLARS)


Wisconsin's Constitution has included a promise to provide free, voluntary education for 4-year-olds since it became a state in 1848. Districts are not required to offer the Four-Year-Old Kindergarten program (4K), but if they do, it must be open to all age-eligible children. Funds for 4 K are paid to public schools, which then may subcontract with private child-care centers, community-based programs, or Head Start agencies. Most programs operate part-day, 4-5 days per week, but some operate full-day, 2-3 days per week.

Funding for 4 K is part of the overall school funding formula, at 0.5 of funding for other grade levels. Districts receive 0.6 if they offer 87.5 hours of parent outreach.

In the 2011-2012 school year, Wisconsin implemented a statewide early literacy assessment for kindergarten students, and 4 K programs began early literacy screening with PALS PK in 2013-2014. The state does not require other assessment at 4K, so districts determine their own assessment process. The 4K program was last evaluated for both process quality and program impact/child outcomes during the 2003-2004 year, but the University of Wisconsin Madison is currently involved in a national study exploring 4 K in WI and NJ. Literacy learning standards were revised in 2013.

The Wisconsin Head Start program is a separate, state-funded program offering supplemental state finances to federal Head Start grantees to provide comprehensive early childhood education for 3 - and 4-year-olds with disabilities or from low-income families. Participating programs follow the federal Head Start Performance Standards, and children meet Head Start enrollment guidelines. Reductions in state supplemental funding have led to fewer spaces, however. Efforts have been made to bring together Head Start technical assistance and training with other professional development efforts at both the state and local levels.
The state is exploring options for developing a longitudinal data system. Wisconsin is a recipient of Race to the Top - Early Learning Challenge funds, and many of the tasks supported by the grant involve 4 K , including alignment with their QRIS and LDS. The department is revising the 4 K policy bulletin.

The first two pages of Wisconsin's profile give an overview of the state's overall promise and assistance to state-funded prekindergarten programs, including enrollment and state expenditures for both the Four-Year-Old kindergarten program and the Head Start State Supplement. The third page presents information on the 4 K program, and the fourth page focuses on the Wisconsin Head Start program.

## STATE OVERVIEW

## ACCESS




STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL POPULATION

+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.



## WISCONSIN FOUR-YEAR-OLD KINDERGARTEN PROGRAM (4K)

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment$.47,844$School districts that offer state program $.95 \%$ (school districts)
Income requirement

$\qquad$
No income requirement
Hours of operation 2.5 hours/day
Operating schedule Determined locally
Special education enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 8,727
Federally funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 12,796
State-funded Head Start enrollment, ages 3 and 4 ..... 888

# STATE PRE-K AND HEAD START ENROLLMENT as Percentage of total population <br>  <br> ■ WI HdSt <br> Other/None 

${ }^{\dagger}$ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K.
${ }^{\text {tt }}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .............Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree .....................................BA and EC teacher license | ............BA | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher specialized training $\qquad$ EC endorsement, with or without SpEd; EC endorsement | .............Specializing in pre-K | V |
| Assistant teacher degree .....................................Determined locally ${ }^{1}$ | .............CDA or equivalent | $\square$ |
| Teacher in-service ........................................ 6 credit hours/5 years | .............At least 15 hours/year | TOTAL BENCHMARKS |
| Maximum class size | . 20 or lower | $\square$ MET |
|  |  | 10 |
| Staff-child ratio <br> 3-year-olds $\qquad$ | ...........1:10 or better | $\square$ 家 |
| 4-year-olds......................................................Determined locally |  |  |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ Immunizations; developmental; and support services full physical exam; and support services | ..............Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | $\square$ |
| Meals ...................................... Depends on length of program day ${ }^{2}$ | .............At least 1/day | $\square$ |
| Monitoring .....................................Site visits and other monitoring | ............. Site visits | $\checkmark$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ........................................................ \$179,000,000
Local match required?
State Head Start spending ..............Ye

State spending per child enrolled .............................................. $\$ 3,741$
All reported spending per child enrolled* $\qquad$

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^56]
## WISCONSIN HEAD START STATE SUPPLEMENT

## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment ..................................................... 888
School districts that offer state program ............................100\% (Federal

Head Start Grantees) | Income requirement ................Programs follow Federal HdSt eligibility |
| ---: |
| requirements and local selection criteria |



+ Some Head Start children may also be counted in state pre-K. ${ }^{\dagger+}$ Estimates children in special education not also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start.


## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

| POLICY <br> STATE PRE-K | BENCHMARK | DOES REQUIREMENT MEET BENCHMARK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early learning standards ..........................................Comprehensive | .Comprehensive | $\checkmark$ |
| Teacher degree .............................Per Federal Head Start regulations ${ }^{1}$ | BA | $\square$ |
| Teacher specialized training.....................Per Federal HdSt regulations | . Specializing in pre-K | V |
| Assistant teacher degree .....................................Determined locally ${ }^{2}$ | .CDA or equivalent | V |
| Teacher in-service ............................................. 15 clock hours/year | At least 15 hours/year | $\checkmark$ TOTAL |
| Maximum class size $\qquad$ 3-year-olds | . 20 or lower | BENCHMARKS MET |
| 4-year-olds ....................................................................................... 20 |  |  |
| Staff-child ratio $\qquad$ <br> 3-year-olds | .1:10 or better | $V$ |
| Screening/referral $\qquad$ .Vision; hearing; height/weight/BMI; and support services blood pressure; immunizations; psychosocial/ behavioral; dental; developmental; full physical exam; and support services | ..Vision, hearing, health; and at least 1 support service | V |
| Meals ......................................Depends on length of program day ${ }^{4}$ | .At least 1/day | $\checkmark$ |
| Monitoring .......................................................Other monitoring | . Site visits | $\square$ |

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .................................................. $\$ 6,264,100$
Local match required? $\qquad$No
State Head Start spending ..... \$6,264,100
State spending per child enrolled ..... \$7,054
All reported spending per child enrolled*, ..... \$7,054

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


[^57]
## Wyoming

## NO PROGRAM

wyoming is one of the states that does not offer a state-funded pre-K program, but the state does have a number of programs aimed at supporting early childhood education, though collaboration is limited. Several programs through the University of Wyoming, community colleges, and school districts are licensed by the Department of Family Services (DFS), alongside numerous private preschool and child care facilities providing academic instruction. Accreditation through the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) can be pursued and many programs, both licensed and non-licensed by the state, do so. A portion of Title I funds can be used for early childhood education by school districts, though this information would not be reported to the state. A number of developmental preschools are administered and funded through the Department of Health. TANF dollars through DFS are used to fund 13 schools in Wyoming, though funding and administration of the program occur through the Wyoming Department of Education. A competitive grant process awards these funds and provides high-quality preschool services to children whose families qualify for TANF (at or below $185 \%$ FPL), starting at age 3. The Wyoming State Legislature appropriated $\$ 665,000$ through the 2014 budget for an Early Childhood Community Partnership Grant program for developing, enhancing, and sustaining high-quality early childhood education programs. The Wyoming Department of Family Services has been charged with administering these grant funds.
Created in 2010, the Wyoming Early Childhood State Advisory Council is a collaboration of a number of individuals from different disciplines. The council's mission is to serve Wyoming children and families by facilitating statewide collaboration, evaluating the early childhood system, and making recommendations to the governor, lawmakers, and state agencies. Children with special needs and services are a focus of the Early Intervention Council.

The comprehensive Wyoming Early Learning Foundations were adopted in 2012. They cover multiple domains: physical well-being/ motor development, social/emotional development, approaches toward learning, language development, and cognition and general knowledge.



No Program


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ...........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment ......................................................1,910
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................1,546
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## NO PROGRAM

American Samoa supports early education in several ways, although the territory does not provide state-funded pre-K as defined in this report. The American Samoa Department of Education (ASDOE) houses an Early Childhood Education/ Head Start (ECE/HS) division. In addition to elementary and secondary schools, the ASDOE operates early childhood education centers.

American Samoa's early learning guidelines, for children ages 3 to 5, align with the Head Start Child Development and Learning Framework. These standards address multiple domains, including physical development and health, social and emotional development, approaches to learning, language development, literacy, mathematics, science, creative arts expression, logic and reasoning, social studies, and English language development.
American Samoa was in the process of developing a quality rating and improvement system in 2012-2013, though details concerning its status are unavailable. One component of the system is the use of quality program assessment tools for quality assurance and monitoring.

The American Samoa Children's Cabinet serves as the state early childhood advisory council. It operates under the Office of the Governor and hosts representation from the ASDOE, the Department of Human and Social Service, and the Department of Health.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment.
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .......................................1,332
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .....  $\$ 0$
Local match required? ..... NA
State spending per child enrolled .....  0
All reported spending per child enrolled* .....  0

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


## SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED



## Guam

## NO PROGRAM

Guam does not provide state-funded pre-K as defined in this report. The state does, however, address early education through several initiatives. The Guam Department of Education contributes $20 \%$ toward the cost of federally funded Head Start serving as a grantee. Head Start programs operate on a school-year calendar and are located largely in elementary schools in Guam.

Special education services for eligible children are provided through the Guam Department of Education's Division of Special Education, which also administers IDEA, Part B for children ages 3 through 5. Guam Early Intervention System (GEIS) is also overseen by this agency, providing services for young children from birth to 3 years who have, or are at risk for, developmental delays and disabilities.

Established in 2008, the Guam Early Learning Council (ELC) serves as the state early childhood advisory council and collaborating entity for the early childhood system. The University of Guam Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities, Education, Research and Service (Guam CEDDERS) administers the Early Childhood Comprehensive System (ECCS) and provides support in coordinating early childhood initiatives in Guam, with all early childhood-serving agencies reporting progress on a quarterly basis to the ELC. Early childhood-serving agencies include representation from the Department of Education, Department of Public Health and Social Services, and the Guam Behavioral Health and Wellness Center.

Developed in 2005, The Guam Early Learning Guidelines for Young Children Ages Three to Five (GELG: 3 to 5) addresses multiple domains including: self-concept and social-emotional development; physical development and health and safety; communication, language development, and literacy; and cognitive development. Infant and toddler guidelines have also been developed. In 2014, Guam updated the GELG: 3 to 5 to include Common Core State Standards, the Head Start Early Learning Framework, and TS GOLD assessment.

The Guam Quality Rating and Improvement System (QRIS), is currently being piloted, with the goal of identifying, evaluating, and improving the quality of early childhood programs that serve all children in all settings.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement .......................................................................NA
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment.
Federally funded Head Start enrollment ......................................... 534
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .....  $\$ 0$
Local match required? ..... NA
State spending per child enrolled .....  0
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..... \$0

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


## SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED



## Northern Mariana Islands

## NO PROGRAM

The Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) does not provide state-funded pre-K as defined in this report; however, the territory supports early education in several ways. Since 1984, the Head Start program in the CNMI has operated under the auspices of the Public School System. The CNMI Head Start Program operates 10 centers on several islands, which provide morning and afternoon, center-based services four days per week, 152 days of the school year. The CNMI served approximately 400 preschool-age children in 2013-2014. Specialized services for young children with special needs are also provided.

Programs in the CNMI use early learning guidelines for children from birth through 5 years, which are aligned with the Head Start Child Development and Learning Framework. These standards address multiple domains, including physical development and health, social and emotional development, approaches to learning, language development, literacy, mathematics, science, creative arts expression, logic and reasoning, social studies, and English language development.

The CNMI uses program quality assessment tools for quality assurance and monitoring. Program assessments are one component of a quality rating and improvement system currently under development.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment.
Federally funded Head Start enrollment ......................................... 462
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ..... \$0
Local match required? ..... NA
State spending per child enrolled .....  0
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..... \$0

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


## SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED



## NO PROGRAM

$w$hile the Republic of Palau does not have a state-funded pre-K program meeting the criteria of this report, the state recognizes the value of early childhood education. In its Education for All National Plan for 2002-2010, the Ministry of Education identified "expanding and improving comprehensive early childhood care and education, especially for the most vulnerable and disadvantaged children" as one of its key priorities. Ministry responsibilities include developing appropriate curricula for all public preschool, elementary school, and secondary school programs; and recommending minimum qualifications and requirements for preschool, elementary, and secondary school teachers.

There are two sources of early education in Palau: Head Start-operated, center-based classes for 536 3- to 5-year-olds throughout the Republic's 16 states, administered by the Palau Community Action Agency. Numerous small communities are unable to meet the requirement that at least 10 eligible children will enroll in the Head Start program, creating a lack of access to early education for many young children.

The Ministry of Health's Family Health Unit contributed to preparing the Palau Early Childhood Comprehensive System (PECCS) proposal in 2003, which included a 3-year work plan for developing a National Framework on Early Childhood Development for School Readiness.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement .......................................................................NA
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment.
Federally funded Head Start enrollment ......................................... 400
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending ..... \$0
Local match required? ..... NA
State spending per child enrolled .....  0
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..... \$0

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


## SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED



## Puerto Rico

## NO PROGRAM

0perating as a single school district, Puerto Rico does not formally support a state-funded pre-kindergarten program as defined in this report; however, the territory supports several early learning initiatives.

Education in Puerto Rico consists of seven levels. Level 1 is optional nursery school for children under age 4 which is comprised of Early Head Start, Head Start, and pre-kindergarten programs. Level 2 compulsory preschool, commonly known as kindergarten, is mandatory for 5 -year-olds. The Department of Education of Puerto Rico and the Puerto Rico Education Council oversee elementary and secondary public education, monitor academic standards, and issue licenses to educational institutions. Programs for preschoolage children are administered by the Administration for Integral Child Care and Development (ACUDEN), with the Department of Education having authority over early childhood special education.

ACUDEN is the administrative agency supporting Puerto Rico's 16-member Early Childhood Advisory Board (ECAB), which has representation from agencies responsible for education, child care, higher education, Head Start, and other constituent groups. ECAB developed Unidos por la Niñez Temprana (United for Early Childhood), Puerto Rico's state plan for services to children from birth through 5 years. In collaboration with the Department of Education, the ECAB developed and promoted the use of the Early Learning Guidelines by all early learning programs. Supervisors, directors, and teachers from a variety of early learning programs received training in implementing standards.

ACUDEN also partnered with the University of Puerto Rico and other government agencies to create and validate a 5-level QRIS instrument to measure the quality of service in centers for infants, toddlers, and preschoolers. Puerto Rico's quality identification symbol is the Pasito meaning "baby step," with five Pasitos identifying a high-quality center.

Puerto Rico submitted an ultimately unsuccessful application for competitive federal Race to the Top - Early Learning Challenge funding in 2011.


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment.
Federally funded Head Start enrollment .....................................33,052
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .....  $\$ 0$
Local match required? ..... NA
State spending per child enrolled .....  0
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..... \$0

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


## SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED



## Virgin Islands

## NO PROGRAM

while the Virgin Islands does not have a pre-K program meeting the requirements for this report, the Department of Human Services oversees early childhood education and care in a number of settings, as both the Office of Child Care and Regulatory Services and the Head Start Program are housed within the department. The Department of Human Services uses local funds beyond what are required to meet the federally mandated match for the Head Start Program; however, such funding does not provide for serving additional children and families. All child care and early childhood facilities, including Head Start, Early Head Start, and private centers, must adhere to the Virgin Islands Child Care Revised Rules and Regulations. Teachers and directors will be required to earn a CDA credential by June 2015. Twenty-four hours of professional development training is required each year. The regulations also set a maximum class size of 18 for 3 -year-olds (with 1:9 ratio) and 20 for 4 -year-olds (with 1:10 ratio).
The Virgin Islands Early Learning Guidelines, published in April 2010, were developed to improve the quality of care and education focused on school readiness and to provide a common set of preschool standards across all settings. The Guidelines provide guidance on what children should know, understand, and be able to do by the time they reach kindergarten, in the areas of physical health and development; social, emotional, and values development; approaches to learning; language and literacy; mathematical understanding; science; social studies; and creativity and the arts-as well as strategies for families, teachers, caregivers, and community members to assist children in achieving these goals. Content of the Guidelines is aligned with the Common Core State Standards, adopted by the Department of Education, as well as the Head Start Framework.

In addition, The Virgin Islands Infant \& Toddler Developmental Guidelines, released in 2013, reflect expectations of what children should know, understand, and be able to do by the time they reach their third birthday. They are aligned with the Virgin Islands Early Learning Guidelines and include the same areas of physical health and development; social, emotional, and values development; approaches to learning; language and literacy; mathematical understanding; science; social studies; and creativity and the arts.



No Program


## ACCESS

Total state program enrollment .......................................................... 0
School districts that offer state program ..........................................NA
Income requirement ......................................................................................
Hours of operation .........................................................................NA
Operating schedule.........................................................................NA
Special education enrollment.
Federally funded Head Start enrollment ......................................... 894
State-funded Head Start enrollment ................................................... 0

## QUALITY STANDARDS CHECKLIST

## RESOURCES

Total state pre-K spending .....  $\$ 0$
Local match required? ..... NA
State spending per child enrolled .....  0
All reported spending per child enrolled* ..... \$0

* Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
*** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.


## SPENDING PER CHILD ENROLLED



## STATE OF PRESCHOOL SURVEY METHODOLOGY

## Respondent Universe

The respondent universe for this study is the state preschool administrators in the 50 states and the District of Columbia, plus the US territories. Data are collected directly from these entities through a web-based survey form. More than one agency supports early childhood education programs in some states. As a result, some states have more than one respondent. Note that in some states, there are no state programs to support publicly provided early childhood education. As such, these states do not have state preschool administrators and they do not report data on the topic. Administrators in these states, however, are contacted to update and confirm a state narrative on the status of other early childhood programs in the state each year.

## Statistical Methodology

This is a universe data collection, employing a survey form. All states with publicly supported early childhood education have responded to the collection effort. Because the data collection is based on a universe of sample members, weighting adjustments and adjustments to variances for statistical test purposes are not necessary. Some statistical adjustments are made to the resulting data, however, to help unduplicate enrollment counts that can arise when the same child is enrolled in more than one publicly funded program. More specifically, when states report that they have included children with disabilities attending special programs in both the special education counts and the counts of preschool-aged children who are in regular prekindergarten programs, the preschool special education in state prekindergarten count is subtracted from the prekindergarten special education enrollment counts. Similarly, when states reported that they have included Head Start children in counts of children in state prekindergarten and Head Start, the Head Start in state prekindergarten count is subtracted from the Head Start enrollment. Children with disabilities enrolled in Head Start are also subtracted from the prekindergarten special education enrollment count to avoid duplication.

## Methods to Maximize Response Rate and Ensure Data Accuracy

Several steps have been taken to maximize the response to this data collection. First, the data are collected through a userfriendly, web-based, computer-assisted interview (CAI) program. This flexibility allows respondents to enter information as time and data availability permit. Further, respondents are offered opportunities to check previous years' entries prior to data collection beginning and to review a current year's entries before the data are published. The first data check acts both as a data quality control step, in terms of reminding respondents what kinds of data will be needed for the current year's collection, and as a reminder that the current year's data collection is about to begin. Allowing respondents to review current year's data entry before results are published acts as another data quality control check and also provides respondents with confidence that accurate data will be reported from their respective states, further garnering cooperation.

Once surveys are completed, data are checked by NIEER staff for entry errors, consistency with prior year's data, and consistency with information available from public documents (for example, with published state education agency regulations and guidance). Any apparent inconsistencies or errors are discussed with the respondents for resolution. When data have been compiled and summarized for publication in a public use data set and reports, the results are then sent back to the state administrators who provided the information for final verification.

## Tests of Procedures and Methods

Each year, staff at NIEER review changes to policies that support early childhood education at the state and federal levels. Modifications are then made to the questionnaire and the related web-based CAI instruments to reflect these policy changes. In addition to the policy review, respondents are sent data from the previous year's data collection, allowing them to correct errors or to update information for the formal release of the data. NIEER staff actively solicit opinions regarding the clarity, usefulness, and availability of data requested by the survey from the primary respondents. This facilitates NIEER staff learning about new or changing policies from the provider perspective.

The CAI instruments undergo extensive testing prior to the initiation of data collection. Tests are run to verify that logical skips through the instrument are functioning as expected so that respondents are not asked questions that are not meaningful based on responses to prior questions. The checks flag inconsistent answers for respondents while respondents are entering data into the CAI. Prior to publication, respondents are sent current year answers for one last verification for accuracy before the data are released.
The survey form was developed by staff and faculty at NIEER with technical input and guidance from staff at NCES. NIEER is responsible for collecting the data and developing initial NCES reports, again with guidance from NCES. The annual State Preschool Yearbook, subsequently produced by NIEER, is not an NCES product and is solely the responsibility of NIEER.

All initiatives included in the current report meet the criteria outlined by NIEER, which defines state preschool education programs as initiatives that are funded and directed by the state to support group learning experiences for preschool-age children, usually ages 3 and 4. For more information about these criteria, please see, "What Qualifies as a State Preschool Program?" on page 39. This report covers the same initiatives as our 2014 report, with the addition of programs in Hawaii and Mississippi and additional programs in Connecticut and Massachusetts.

The survey included yes or no questions, questions that asked state administrators to select which of several choices best described their program, and open-ended questions. The survey included questions on access, operating schedule, child eligibility and reassessment, program standards, statewide early learning standards, personnel, resources, program monitoring and evaluations, and important changes to the program since the last survey. New for the 2015 report, the survey also included two supplemental surveys focused on policies for supports to Dual Language Learners and the Workforce.

## Collection of Non-Survey Data

Although most of the data in this report were collected through the surveys, there are a few exceptions. Total federal, state, and local expenditures on K-12 education in the 2014-2015 school year were calculated by NIEER based on data from the National Education Association's report, Rankings and Estimates: Rankings of the States 2014 and Estimates of School Statistics 2015. Total K-12 spending for each state includes current operating expenditures plus annual capital outlays and interest on school debt. This provides a more complete picture of the full cost of K-12 education than including only current operating expenditures, which underestimate the full cost. Our estimate of K-12 expenditures is also more comparable to total preschool spending per child because this funding generally must cover all costs, including facilities. Expenditure per child was calculated for each state by dividing total expenditures by the fall 2014 enrollment. We estimated the breakdown of expenditure per child by source, based on the percentages of revenue receipts from federal, state, and local sources in each state.
The Administration for Children and Families (ACF) and the Head Start Bureau of the US Department of Health and Human Services were the sources of data on federal Head Start spending and enrollment and Head Start enrollment supported by state match. In addition, some data were obtained from the Education Commission of the States, State Pre-K Funding: 2014-2015 Fiscal Year Report and through the Head Start Program Information Reports (PIR) for the 2014-2015 program year. Where necessary, states were asked for clarification and/or additional information regarding state supplements to Head Start. Head Start data are provided in Appendix B. Additional calculations were done for the PIR data to ensure that children served on interim grants were included in the appropriate states.
Populations of 3- and 4-year-olds in each state were obtained from the Census Bureau's datasets and are shown in Appendix C. As in the past, NIEER used estimates for the July immediately preceding the program year (e.g., July 2014 for the 20142015 program year) to calculate percentages of 3- and 4-year-olds enrolled in state preschool programs, federal Head Start, and special education.
The US Office of Special Education Programs provided data on special education enrollment in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Preschool Grants program (IDEA Section 619 of Part B) in the 2014-2015 program year. These data are provided in Appendix D.

In the 2015 Yearbook, NIEER again attempts to provide a more accurate estimate of unduplicated enrollments, whether in state preschool, Head Start, special education, or other settings, through a series of calculations. Because many children who are counted in special education enrollments are also enrolled in state pre-K or Head Start programs, it is important to ensure that those children are not counted twice. Thirty-seven states and the District of Columbia reported including children in special education in their state preschool enrollment figures, while five do not include these children in their enrollment count. Twenty of the 37 states and the District of Columbia were able to provide the number of 3 - and 4 -yearolds in special education who were also counted in their enrollment; another five multi-program states provided breakdown for some, but not all, programs. Those children were subtracted from the special education enrollment figure for the state, but remain in the state preschool enrollment figure in the enrollment pie charts and when calculating total enrollment across both programs. The remaining states were unable to report special education enrollment numbers, and, therefore, estimates were used based on the average percent of special education students in state pre-K and enrollment numbers for each program. Information from the PIR regarding special education students were used for three Head Start program (See Table 4).
Three- and four-year-olds enrolled in Head Start with an IEP or IFSP, as reported in the 2014-2015 PIR, were also removed from the special enrollment total used in the enrollment pie charts. As the PIR does not report a breakdown of special education students by age, estimates were based on total special education enrollment and the percentage of all Head Start enrollees who were 3 or 4 years old. Three-year-olds enrolled in Early Head Start programs were not included in this estimate.

New for the 2014-2015 Yearbook, 3- and 4-year-olds who were enrolled in both Head Start and state prekindergarten were removed from the Head Start enrollment total used in the enrollment pie charts. Only 20 programs were able to report information on the number of children enrolled in state prekindergarten who were also enrolled in Head Start. In two states, only a total number of children was reported. For these states, the number of 3 - and 4 -year-olds in Head Start was estimated using the percent of 3 - and 4 -year-olds in state prekindergarten. Another four programs reported that they do not serve any children who are also enrolled in Head Start.

## Determination of State Rankings

States are given rankings in four areas: the percentage of 4 -year-olds enrolled in state preschool (Access Ranking-4-YearOlds), the percentage of 3-year-olds enrolled in state preschool (Access Ranking-3-Year-Olds), state spending per child enrolled (Resources Ranking-State Spending), and all reported spending per child enrolled (Resources Ranking-All Reported Spending). The measures of access for 3- and 4 -year-olds were calculated, as described above, using state data on enrollment in the preschool initiatives and Census population data. When a state did not report separate enrollment numbers of 3 -year-olds and 4 -year-olds, the age breakdown was estimated by other means, such as using the average proportion of children enrolled in state preschool at each age in states that served both 3 - and 4 -year-olds, and provided data by age. State per-child spending was calculated by dividing state preschool spending (including TANF spending directed toward the state preschool initiative) by enrollment. All reported spending per child was calculated by dividing the sum of reported local, state (including TANF), and federal spending by enrollment. New this year, we also provide an indicator of if the state was able to report local and/or federal resources. All states that provided data were ranked, starting with " 1 " for the state with the highest percentage of its children enrolled in the state preschool education program or the state initiative that spent the most per child. States that did not serve children at age 3 receive notations of "None Served" on the rankings of access for 3 -year-olds. Throughout this report, the District of Columbia is referred to by the term "state," creating a list of 43 states for rankings. The 8 states that did not fund a prekindergarten initiative during the 2014-2015 school year are omitted from all rankings and instead receive notations of "No Program" on their state profile pages.

New for the 2014-2015 Yearbook, states are also rated on the extent to which they have policies in place to support Dual Language Learners (DLLs) in state pre-k and the state pre-k workforce. States received a " + " if they reported that teachers are required to possess qualifications related to working with DLLs and their families and had at least two of the following other supports for DLLs: children are assessed in their home language, materials are provided in the family's home language, additional resources are allocated to serve DLLs, the state has ELDS with content to support DLLs, and the state sponsors or supports professional development focused on best practices for DLLs. States received a " $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ " if they required at least three of the previously mentioned supports but did not require teachers to have DLL-related qualifications. Finally, states received a "-" if they reported having policies regarding fewer than 3 supports for DLLs. A similar rating system was used for state policies regarding the pre-K workforce. States were given a "+" if the state required pre-K teachers to be paid the same as K-3 teachers and if they had at least two other workforce supports, such as scholarships or loan forgiveness, mentoring, jobembedded professional development, paid planning or professional development time, or bonuses. States were given a " $\boldsymbol{\cup}$ " if they offered salary parity or at least one other support and were given a "-" if they had two or fewer policies in place to support teachers.
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## ACCESS

What was the name of your state-funded pre-K program in 2014-2015?

| Alabama | First Class Pre-K: Alabama's Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten Program |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Alaska Pre-Kindergarten Program |
| Arizona | Quality First Scholarships ${ }^{1}$ |
| Arkansas | Arkansas Better Chance/Arkansas Better Chance for School Success |
| California | California State Preschool Program |
| Colorado | Colorado Preschool Program |
| Connecticut CDCC | Child Day Care Contracts |
| Connecticut SRP | Connecticut School Readiness |
| Delaware | Delaware Early Childhood Assistance Program (ECAP) |
| District of Columbia | D.C. Public Pre-K |
| Florida | Florida Voluntary Prekindergarten Program |
| Georgia | Georgia's Pre-K Program |
| Hawaii | Executive Office of Early Learning Public Prekindergarten Program |
| Illinois | Illinois Preschool for All |
| Indiana | On My Way Pre-K |
| Iowa Shared Visions | lowa Shared Visions |
| Iowa SVPP | Iowa Statewide Voluntary Preschool Program |
| Kansas Preschool | Kansas Preschool Program |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Kansas State Pre-Kindergarten Program |
| Kentucky | Kentucky Preschool Program |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Louisiana 8(g) Student Enhancement Block Grant Program |
| Louisiana LA4 | Cecil J. Picard LA 4 Early Childhood Program |
| Louisiana NSECD | Louisiana Non-Public Schools Early Childhood Development Program (NSECD) |
| Maine | Maine Public Preschool Program |
| Maryland | Maryland Prekindergarten Program |
| Massachusetts 391 | Inclusive Preschool Learning Environment Grant (IPLE) - Fund Code 391 |
| Massachusetts UPK | Massachusetts Universal Pre-Kindergarten (UPK) |
| Michigan | Michigan Great Start Readiness Program |
| Minnesota | Minnesota Head Start |
| Mississippi | Mississippi Early Learning Collaborative |
| Missouri | Missouri Preschool Program |
| Nebraska | Nebraska Early Childhood Education Program |
| Nevada | Nevada State Pre-Kindergarten Program |
| New Jersey Abbott | New Jersey Former Abbott Preschool Program |
| New Jersey ECPA | New Jersey Former Non-Abbott Early Childhood Program Aid (ECPA) |
| New Jersey ELLI | New Jersey Former Early Launch to Learning Initiative |
| New Mexico | New Mexico PreK |
| New York | New York Universal Prekindergarten |
| North Carolina | North Carolina Pre-Kindergarten Program |
| Ohio | Ohio Early Childhood Education |
| Oklahoma | Oklahoma Early Childhood Four-Year-Old Program |
| Oregon | Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Pennsylvania Ready to Learn Block Grant ${ }^{1}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Pennsylvania Head Start Supplemental Assistance Program |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Pennsylvania Kindergarten for Four-Year-Olds and School-Based Pre-K |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts |
| Rhode Island | Rhode Island State Prekindergarten Program |
| South Carolina 4K | South Carolina EIA Child Development Program (4K) ${ }^{1}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | South Carolina Child Development Education Program (CDEP) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Tennessee | Tennessee Voluntary Pre-K |
| Texas | Texas Public School Prekindergarten |
| Vermont Act 62 | Vermont Prekindergarten Education - Act 62 |
| Vermont EEI | Vermont Early Education Initiative |
| Virginia | Virginia Preschool Initiative |
| Washington | Washington Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP) |
| West Virginia | West Virginia Universal Pre-K |
| Wisconsin 4K | Wisconsin Four-Year-Old Kindergarten (4K) |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Wisconsin Head Start State Supplement |

[^58]|  | State agency with administrative authority over state pre-K |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | State Office of School Readiness or Early Childhood, Office of School Readiness in the Alabama Department of Early Childhood Education under the Governor's Office ${ }^{1}$ |
| Alaska | Alaska Department of Education and Early Development |
| Arizona | First Things First ${ }^{1}$ |
| Arkansas | Arkansas Department of Education, Arkansas Department of Human Services, Division of Child Care and Early Childhood Education (DCCECE) |
| California | California Department of Education |
| Colorado | Colorado Department of Education |
| Connecticut CDCC | State Office of School Readiness or Early Childhood, Connecticut Office of Early Childhood ${ }^{1}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | State Office of School Readiness or Early Childhood, Connecticut Office of Early Childhood ${ }^{1}$ |
| Delaware | State Department of Education, Office of Early Learning |
| District of Columbia | Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Florida | Office of Early Learning, Florida Department of Children and Families ${ }^{1}$ |
| Georgia | Bright from the Start, Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning ${ }^{1}$ |
| Hawaii | Executive Office on Early Learning ${ }^{1}$ |
| Illinois | Illinois State Board of Education |
| Indiana | State Human Services Agency, Office of Early Childhood and Out-of-School Learning ${ }^{1}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | Iowa Department of Education |
| lowa SVPP | Iowa Department of Education |
| Kansas Preschool | Kansas State Department of Education |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Kansas State Department of Education |
| Kentucky | Kentucky Department of Education |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Louisiana Board of Elementary and Secondary Education |
| Louisiana LA4 | Louisiana Department of Education ${ }^{1}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Louisiana Department of Education ${ }^{1}$ |
| Maine | Maine Department of Education |
| Maryland | Maryland State Department of Education |
| Massachusetts 391 | Massachusetts Department of Early Education and Care ${ }^{1}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Massachusetts Department of Early Education and Care ${ }^{1}$ |
| Michigan | State Department of Education, Office of Great Start |
| Minnesota | Minnesota Department of Education State Office of School Readiness or Early Childhood, Office of Early Learning Services State Head Start Collaboration Office |
| Mississippi | Mississippi Department of Education |
| Missouri | Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education |
| Nebraska | Nebraska Department of Education |
| Nevada | Nevada Department of Education Office of Early Learning \& Development |
| New Jersey Abbott | State Department of Education, Division of Early Childhood Education State Head Start Collaboration Office, State Human Services Agency, Division of Family Development State Department of Children and Families ${ }^{1}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | State Department of Education, Division of Early Childhood Education State Head Start Collaboration Office, State Human Services Agency, Division of Family Development State Department of Children and Families ${ }^{1}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | State Department of Education, Division of Early Childhood Education State Head Start Collaboration Office, State Human Services Agency, Division of Family Development State Department of Children and Families ${ }^{1}$ |
| New Mexico | New Mexico Public Education Department Children, Youth, and Families Department |
| New York | New York State Education Department's Office of Early Learning ${ }^{1}$ |
| North Carolina | State Health and Human Services Agency, Division of Child Development and Early Education ${ }^{1}$ |
| Ohio | State Department of Education, Ohio Department of Education, Office for Early Learning and School Readiness |
| Oklahoma | State Department of Education, Office of Instruction- Early Childhood |
| Oregon | Oregon Department of Education, Early Learning Division |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Pennsylvania Department of Education ${ }^{2}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Pennsylvania Department of Education |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Pennsylvania Department of Education ${ }^{1}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Pennsylvania Department of Education, Office of Child Development and Early Learning ${ }^{1}$ |
| Rhode Island | Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education |
| South Carolina 4K | South Carolina Department of Education: Office of Early Learning and Literacy ${ }^{2}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | South Carolina Department of Education: Office of Early Learning and Literacy, Office of S.C. First Steps to School Readiness ${ }^{2}$ |
| Tennessee | State Department of Education, Division of Special Populations ${ }^{1}$ |
| Texas | Texas Education Agency |
| Vermont Act 62 | Vermont Agency of Education Vermont Agency of Human Services ${ }^{1}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Vermont Agency of Education |
| Virginia | State Department of Education |
| Washington | Washington State Department of Early Learning |
| West Virginia | State Department of Education, Office of Early Learning State Human Services Agency, Division of Early Care and Education ${ }^{1}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | State Department of Education |
| Wisconsin HdSt | State Department of Education |

[^59]Availability of program

| Alabama | 67 out of 67 counties/parishes (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 8 out of 54 school districts (15\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Arizona | 24 of 28 Regional Partnership Council Areas (86\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Arkansas | 232 out of 237 school districts (98\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| California | 56 out of 58 counties/parishes (97\%) |
| Colorado | 171 out of 178 school districts (96\%) ${ }^{1,2}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | 48 out of 169 towns/communities (28\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | 68 out of 169 towns/communities (40\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Delaware | 3 out of 3 counties/parishes (100\%) |
| District of Columbia | 20 out of 63 LEAs (32\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Florida | 67 out of 67 school districts (100\%) |
| Georgia | 159 out of 159 counties (100\%) |
| Hawaii | 1 out of 1 school districts (100\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Illinois | 102 of 102 counties/parishes (100\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Indiana | 13 out of 428 school districts (3\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | 32 out of 338 school districts (9\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| lowa SVPP | 320 out of 338 (95\%) school districts ${ }^{1}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | 14 out of 105 counties/parishes (13\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | 181 out of 286 school districts (63\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Kentucky | 173 out of 173 school districts (100\%) |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 65 out of 70 school districts (93\%) |
| Louisiana LA4 | 64 out of 71 school districts (90\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | 16 out of 64 counties/parishes (25\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Maine | 215 out of 243 school administrative units (88\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Maryland | 24 out of 24 school districts (100\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | 118 out of 351 cities/towns (34\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | 87 out of 351 cities/towns (25\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Michigan | 401 out of 548 school districts (73\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Minnesota | 87 out of 87 counties/parishes (100\%) |
| Mississippi | 16 out of 144 school districts (11\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Missouri | 153 out of 516 school districts (30\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Nebraska | 207 out of 245 school districts (84\%) |
| Nevada | 10 out of 17 school districts (59\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | 35 out of 591 school districts (6\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | 94 out of 591 school districts (16\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | 24 out of 591 school districts (4\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| New Mexico | 60 out of 89 school districts (67\%) |
| New York | 455 out of 677 school districts (67\%) |
| North Carolina | 100 out of 100 counties/parishes (100\%) |
| Ohio | 375 out of 613 school districts (61\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Oklahoma | 513 out of 517 school districts (99\%) |
| Oregon | 36 out of 36 counties (100\%) |
| Pennsylvania RTL | 26 out of 500 school districts (5\%)3 |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | 121 out of 500 school districts (24\%) |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | 91 out of 500 school districts (18\%) |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | 416 out of 500 school districts (83\%) |
| Rhode Island | 8 of 40 cities/towns (20\%) |
| South Carolina 4K | 21 out of 82 school districts (26\%) ${ }^{3}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | 61 out of 82 school districts (74\%) ${ }^{3}$ |
| Tennessee | 137 out of 142 school districts (96\%) ${ }^{2}$ |
| Texas | 1053 out of 1219 school districts (86\%) |
| Vermont Act 62 | 213 out of 251 towns/communities (85\%) |
| Vermont EEI | 35 out of 61 school districts (57\%) |
| Virginia | 121 out of 135 school districts (90\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Washington | 36 out of 39 counties/parishes (92\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| West Virginia | 55 out of 55 counties/parishes (100\%) |
| Wisconsin 4K | 391 out of 413 school districts (95\%) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | 40 out of 40 Federal Head Start Grantees (100\%) |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ - 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

Are districts/counties/towns in your state required to offer this prekindergarten initiative?

| Alabama | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Arizona | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program ${ }^{2}$ |
| Arkansas | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{1}$ |
| California | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Colorado | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Connecticut CDCC | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Connecticut SRP | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program ${ }^{2}$ |
| Delaware | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{1}$ |
| District of Columbia | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program ${ }^{3}$ |
| Florida | Required for all ${ }^{2}$ |
| Georgia | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Hawaii | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Illinois | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Indiana | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{2}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| lowa SVPP | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Kansas Preschool | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Kentucky | Required for all |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program ${ }^{1}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{3}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{2}$ |
| Maine | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Maryland | Required for all |
| Massachusetts 391 | Required for some |
| Massachusetts UPK | Required for some |
| Michigan | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program ${ }^{2}$ |
| Minnesota | Required for all ${ }^{1}$ |
| Mississippi | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Missouri | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{2}$ |
| Nebraska | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{1}$ |
| Nevada | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| New Jersey Abbott | Required for some ${ }^{2}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | Required for some ${ }^{2}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| New Mexico | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| New York | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program ${ }^{2}$ |
| North Carolina | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program ${ }^{2}$ |
| Ohio | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{1}$ |
| Oklahoma | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Oregon | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{2}$ |
| Rhode Island | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| South Carolina 4K | Required for those not participating in the state-funded CDEP, which is full-day $4 \mathrm{~K}^{4}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Tennessee | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis |
| Texas | Required for some ${ }^{1}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Vermont EEI | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{1}$ |
| Virginia | Optional for eligible entities ${ }^{1}$ |
| Washington | Not required, but funding is awarded on a competitive basis ${ }^{2}$ |
| West Virginia | Required for all |
| Wisconsin 4K | Not required, but funds are available to any district/county/town choosing to offer the program |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Required for some ${ }^{1}$ |

[^60]
## STATE

ACCESS

Total enrollment, Fall 2014

| Alabama | 7,243 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 319 |
| Arizona | 10,497 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Arkansas | 25,389 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| California | 132,695 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Colorado | 21,713 |
| Connecticut CDCC | 2,601 |
| Connecticut SRP | 12,098 |
| Delaware | 843 |
| District of Columbia | 12,612 |
| Florida | 166,522 |
| Georgia | 80,430 |
| Hawaii | 365 |
| Illinois | 75,154 |
| Indiana | 415 |
| Iowa Shared Visions | 1,696 |
| lowa SVPP | 24,256 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | 1,074 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | 7,060 |
| Kentucky | 18,716 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 2,261 |
| Louisiana LA4 | 16,1714 |
| Louisiana NSECD | 1,300 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Maine | 5,080 |
| Maryland | 30,381 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | 5,857 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | 7,540 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Michigan | 37,112 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Minnesota | 1,381 |
| Mississippi | 1,760 |
| Missouri | 4,2593 |
| Nebraska | 12,073 |
| Nevada | 1,398 |
| New Jersey Abbott | 43,177 ${ }^{3}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | 7,225 ${ }^{3}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | $631{ }^{3}$ |
| New Mexico | 8,397 |
| New York | 112,120 ${ }^{3}$ |
| North Carolina | 26,851 |
| Ohio | 11,090 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| Oklahoma | 40,085 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Oregon | 7,662 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | 5,027 |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | 5,567 |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | 1,988 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | $13,456^{3}$ |
| Rhode Island | 306 |
| South Carolina 4K | 19,757 |
| South Carolina CDEP | 10,665 |
| Tennessee | 16,274 |
| Texas | 219,488 |
| Vermont Act 62 | 6,240 |
| Vermont EEI | 1,088 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Virginia | 18,250 |
| Washington | 10,091 |
| West Virginia | 16,622 |
| Wisconsin 4K | 47,844 ${ }^{2,3}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | 888 |

[^61]Special education enrollment, by age
Program enrollment - Fall 2014, by age (included in program enrollment)

| Alabama | 7,243 4-year-olds | 109 4-year-olds ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 319 4-year-olds | 10\% of 4-year-olds ${ }^{2}$ |
| Arizona | 2,378 3-year-olds, 4,850 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | Breakdown not available |
| Arkansas | 1,214 under age 3, 8,216 3-year-olds, 14,735 4-year-olds, 1,010 children over 42 | 158 children under 3, 1,068 3-year-olds, 1,916 4-year-olds, 635 -year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| California | 42,568 3-year-olds, 87,794 4-year-olds, 2,333 children over 4 | 419 3-year-olds, 987 4-year-olds, 305 -year-olds |
| Colorado | 425 under age 3, 5,375 3-year-olds, 15,913 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | None |
| Connecticut CDCC | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Connecticut SRP | Breakdown not available ${ }^{3}$ | 772 children ${ }^{3}$ |
| Delaware | 843 4-year-olds | 604 -year-olds |
| District of Columbia | 5,541 3-year-olds, 6,637 4-year-olds, 187 children over $4^{4}$ | 229 3-year-olds, 480 4-year-olds, 25 5-year-olds ${ }^{5}$ |
| Florida | 166,522 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | Breakdown not available |
| Georgia | 80,430 4-year-olds ${ }^{2}$ | 3,057 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| Hawaii | 365 4-year-olds | None |
| Illinois | 31,525 3-year-olds, 43,387 4-year-olds, 242 children over 4 | 5,751 3-year-olds, 5,909 4-year-olds, 159 5-year-olds |
| Indiana | 415 4-year-olds | Breakdown not available |
| Iowa Shared Visions | 702 3-year-olds, 965 4-year-olds, 29 children over $4 \&$ age-eligible for kindergarten | 228 children ${ }^{2}$ |
| lowa SVPP | 629 3-year-olds, 23,419 4-year-olds, 208 children over 4² | 279 3-year-olds, 829 4-year-olds, 755 -year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | 1,074 4-year-olds | Breakdown not available ${ }^{2}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | 7,060 4-year-olds | Breakdown not available |
| Kentucky | 4,487 3-year-olds, 14,229 4-year-olds | 4,487 3-year-olds, 4,980 4-year-olds ${ }^{1}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 2,261 4-year-olds | Breakdown not available |
| Louisiana LA4 | 16,171 4-year-olds ${ }^{4}$ | 1214 4-year-olds ${ }^{5}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | 1,300 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | Breakdown not available |
| Maine | 4,797 4-year-olds, 283 children over 4 | Breakdown not available ${ }^{2}$ |
| Maryland | 156 under age 3, 3,423 3-year-olds, 26,631 4-year-olds, 171 children over 4 | 4 Breakdown not available |
| Massachusetts 391 | 9 under age 3, 1,693 3-year-olds, 2,893 4-year-olds, 1,231 children over $4^{3}$ | 1 child under 3, 669 3-year-olds, 956 4-year-olds, 4295 -year-olds ${ }^{4}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | 3,343 3-year-olds, 2,345 4-year-olds, 1,852 5-year-olds ${ }^{4}$ | 855 children $^{5}$ |
| Michigan | 37,112 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | 6,193 4-year-olds ${ }^{4}$ |
| Minnesota | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Mississippi | 119 3-year-olds, 1,641 4-year-olds | 119 4-year-olds |
| Missouri | 1,298 3-year-olds, 2,961 4-year-olds | 158 3-year-olds, 337 4-year-olds |
| Nebraska | 457 under age 3, 3,511 3-year-olds, 8,020 4-year-olds, 85 children over $4^{2}$ | 220 under age 3, 1,150 3-year-olds, 1,772 4-year-olds, 825 -year-olds |
| Nevada | 313 3-year-olds, 1,085 4-year-olds ${ }^{2}$ | 281 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | 19,915 3-year-olds, 23,262 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | None ${ }^{3}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | 415 3-year-olds, 6,810 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | None ${ }^{3}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | 631 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | None ${ }^{3,4}$ |
| New Mexico | 8,397 4-year-olds | None |
| New York | 147 3-year-olds, 111,973 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | Breakdown not available |
| North Carolina | 26,851 4-year-olds | 1,199 4-year-olds |
| Ohio | 2,995 3-year-olds, 6,654 4-year-olds, 1,441 children over $4^{2}$ | 99 children under 3, 443 3-year-olds, 7984 -year-olds, 332 5-year-olds |
| Oklahoma | 40,085 4-year-olds ${ }^{1}$ | 1,608 4-year-olds ${ }^{2}$ |
| Oregon | 2,988 3-year-olds, 4,674 4-year-olds | 596 3-year-olds, 933 4-year-olds |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Breakdown not available ${ }^{1}$ | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |


| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | 103 under age 3, 4,523 3-year-olds, 8,648 4-year-olds, 1715 -year-olds, 96 -year-olds ${ }^{3}$ | 6 under age 3, 1953 -year-olds, 443 4-year-olds, 75 -year-olds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rhode Island | 306 4-year-olds | 74 4-year-olds |
| South Carolina 4K | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| South Carolina CDEP | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Tennessee | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available ${ }^{3}$ |
| Texas | 756 under age 3, 28,614 3-year-olds, 189,796 4-year-olds, 322 children over 4 | 5 under age 3, 1,603 3-year-olds, 10,786 4-year-olds, 135 -year-olds ${ }^{2}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Breakdown not available | 482 3-year-olds, 5514 -year-olds |
| Vermont EEI | Breakdown not available | 134 4-year-olds |
| Virginia | 18,250 4-year-olds | Breakdown not available ${ }^{2}$ |
| Washington | 2,963 3-year-olds, 7,128 4-year-olds | 255 3-year-olds, 7324 -year-olds |
| West Virginia | 75 under age 3, 2,338 3-year-olds, 13,779 4-year-olds, 430 children over $4^{2}$ | 75 under age 3, 972 3-year-olds, 1,305 4-year-olds, 1875 -year-olds |
| Wisconsin 4K | 75 3-year-olds, 43,999 4-year-olds, 4,299 children over 4² | 353 -year-olds, 3,944 4-year-olds, 8395 -year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | 779 Head Start, 109 Early Head Start ${ }^{2}$ | Breakdown not available |

[^62]Of the children enrolled in your state-funded pre-K program in 2014-2015, do you know how many were also enrolled in Head Start?

Head Start dual enrollment, by age

| Alabama | Yes | 1,504 4-year-olds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ |  |
| Arizona | No |  |
| Arkansas | No |  |
| California | No |  |
| Colorado | No |  |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes | 389 children ${ }^{3}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes | 490 children ${ }^{3}$ |
| Delaware | Yes | None |
| District of Columbia | Yes | 2,092 3-year-olds, 2,501 4-year-olds |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes | 4,861 4-year-olds |
| Hawaii | Yes | None |
| Illinois | Yes | 603 3-year-olds, 979 4-year-olds |
| Indiana | No |  |
| lowa Shared Visions | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ |  |
| lowa SVPP | Yes | 968 4-year-olds |
| Kansas Preschool | No |  |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |  |
| Kentucky | No ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |  |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |  |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes | None |
| Maine | No |  |
| Maryland | No |  |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes | 152 3-year-olds, 3334 -year-olds |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes | 833 3-year-olds, 1,523 4-year-olds |
| Michigan | Yes | 5,598 4-year-olds |
| Minnesota | Yes ${ }^{2}$ | 1,381 children ${ }^{2}$ |
| Mississippi | Yes | 119 3-year-olds, 887 4-year-olds |
| Missouri | No |  |
| Nebraska | No |  |
| Nevada | Yes | None |
| New Jersey Abbott | No |  |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |  |
| New Jersey ELLI | No |  |
| New Mexico | No |  |
| New York | No |  |
| North Carolina | Yes | 4,213 4-year-olds |
| Ohio | No |  |
| Oklahoma | No |  |
| Oregon | No |  |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |  |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |  |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |  |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |  |
| Rhode Island | Yes | None |
| South Carolina 4K | No |  |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |  |
| Tennessee | No |  |
| Texas | No |  |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |  |
| Vermont EEI | Yes | 155 4-year-olds |
| Virginia | No |  |
| Washington | Yes | None |
| West Virginia | Yes | 1,320 3-year-olds, 4,365 4-year-olds |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |  |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |  |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ - 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-$ - 14 school year.

STATE

## ACCESS

Can you break out enrollment numbers by home language?

Program enrollment by language

| Alabama | Yes | English, 6,554 4-year-olds, Spanish, 337 4-year-olds, Other, 352 4-year-olds |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Alaska | No | Breakdown not available |
| Arizona | No | Breakdown not available |
| Arkansas | Yes | English, 6,122 3-year-olds, 10,502 4-year-olds, Spanish, 708 3-year-olds, 1,337 4-year-olds, |
|  |  | Not consistently reported, 1,217 3-year-olds, 428 4-year-olds |

English, 4,395 3-year-olds, 5,091 4-year-olds, Spanish, 398 3-year-olds, 583 4-year-olds,

| District of Columbia | Yes ${ }^{6}$ | Chinese, 8 3-year-olds, 17 4-year-olds, Tagalog, 4 4-year-olds, Vietnamese, 4 3-year-olds, 3 4-year-olds, <br> French, 17 3-year-olds, 19 4-year-olds, German, 2 3-year-olds, 24 -year-olds, <br> Amharic, 37 3-year-olds, 40 4-year-olds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Florida | No Breakdown not available |  |
| Georgia | No | Breakdown not available |
| Hawaii | No | Breakdown not available |

English, 21,769 3-year-olds, 28,667 4-year-olds, Spanish, 7,375 3-year-olds, 11,552 4-year-olds, Chinese, 503 -year-olds, 794 -year-olds, Tagalog, 623 -year-olds, 1084 -year-olds, Illinois $\quad$ Yes $^{2} \quad$ Vietnamese, 563 -year-olds, 824 -year-olds, French, 86 3-year-olds, 904 -year-olds, German, 83 -year-olds, 84 -year-olds, Arabic, 4663 -year-olds, 6414 -year-olds, Polish, 2993 -year-olds, 4294 -year-olds, Urdu, 1823 -year-olds, 2674 -year-olds, Other, 1,172 3-year-olds, 1,464 4-year-olds ${ }^{2}$

| Indiana | No | Breakdown not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lowa Shared Visions | No | Breakdown not available |
| lowa SVPP | No | Breakdown not available |
| Kansas Preschool | No | Breakdown not available |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No | Breakdown not available |


| Kentucky | Yes ${ }^{3}$ | Arabic, 100 3- and 4-year-olds, Chinese, 313 - and 4-year-olds, Spanish, 1,164 3- and 4-year-olds, Burmese, 69 3- and 4-year-olds, Bosnian, 22 3-and 4-year-olds, Napali, 213 - and 4-year-olds, Somali, 143 - and 4-year-olds, Vietnamese, 13 3- and 4 -year-olds, Karin, 123 - and 4 -year-olds, Swahili, 103 - and 4 year-olds, Creole, 103 - and 4 year-olds, Other, 853 - and 4 year-olds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No | Breakdown not available |
| Louisiana LA4 | No | Breakdown not available |
| Louisiana NSECD | No | Breakdown not available |
| Maine | Yes ${ }^{3}$ | English, 4,911 4-year-olds, Spanish, 9 4-year-olds, Chinese, 5 4-year-olds, Tagalog, 3 4-year-olds, Vietnamese, 24 -year-olds, French, 104 -year-olds, German, 14 -year-old, Arabic, 94 -year-olds, Somali, 93 4-year-olds, Passamquoddy, 16 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| Maryland | No | Breakdown not available |
| Massachusetts 391 | No | Breakdown not available |
| Massachusetts UPK | No | Breakdown not available |
| Michigan | No | Breakdown not available |
| Minnesota | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ | Breakdown not available ${ }^{3}$ |
| Mississippi | No | Breakdown not available |
| Missouri | No | Breakdown not available |

STATE
ACCESS
Can you break out enrollment
numbers by home language?

| Nebraska | No | Breakdown not available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Yes ${ }^{4}$ | English, 939 3- and 4-year-olds, Spanish, 459 3- and 4-year-olds |
| New Jersey Abbott | No | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey ECPA | No | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey ELLI | No | Breakdown not available |
| New Mexico | Yes ${ }^{1}$ | English, 6,829 4-year-olds, Spanish, 1,694 4-year-olds, Chinese, 104 -year-olds, <br> Vietnamese, 114 -year-olds, German, 94 -year-olds, Diné (Navajo), 262 4-year-olds, Filipino, 44 -year-olds, Other Native American Languages, 154 -year-olds |
| New York | No | Breakdown not available |
| North Carolina | No | Breakdown not available |
| Ohio | No | Breakdown not available |
| Oklahoma | Yes | English, 38,748 4-year-olds, Spanish, 2,855 4-year-olds, Chinese, 264 -year-olds, Tagalog, 14 -year-old, Vietnamese, 684 -year-olds, French, 44 -year-olds, German, 14 -year-old |

English, 2,036 3-year-olds, 3,185 4-year-olds, Spanish, 811 3-year-olds, 1,268 4-year-olds,
Oregon Yes African Languages, 40 3-year-olds, 63 4-year-olds, East Asian Languages, 48 3-year-olds, 744 -year-olds, Middle Eastern Languages, 283 -year-olds, 434 -year-olds

| Pennsylvania RTL | No | Breakdown not available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No | Breakdown not available |
| Rhode Island | No | Breakdown not available |
| South Carolina 4K | No | Breakdown not available |
| South Carolina CDEP | No | Breakdown not available |
| Tennessee | No | Breakdown not available |
| Texas | No | Breakdown not available ${ }^{3}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | No | Breakdown not available |
| Vermont EEI | No | Breakdown not available |
| Virginia | No | Breakdown not available |
| Washington | Yes | English, 2,057 3-year-olds, 4,642 4-year-olds, Spanish, 679 3-year-olds, 1,966 4-year-olds, Chinese, 123 -year-olds, 134 -year-olds, Tagalog, 43 -year-olds, 84 -year-olds, <br> Vietnamese, 253 -year-olds, 504 -year-olds, French, 23 -year-olds, 84 -year-olds, German, 24 -year-olds, Arabic, 243 -year-olds, 694 -year-olds, Russian, 153 -year-olds, 484 -year-olds, Somali, 613 -year-olds, 1104 -year-olds, Amharic, 22 3-year-olds, 314 -year-olds, Marshallese, 43 -year-olds, 174 -year-olds, Punjabi, 93 -year-olds, 224 -year-olds, Samoan, 84 -year-olds, Other, 503 -year-olds, 1344 -year-olds |
| West Virginia | Yes | English, 959 3-year-olds, 13,607 4-year-olds, Spanish, 123 -year-olds, 82 4-year-olds, Chinese, 244 -year-olds, Tagalog, 14 -year-old, Vietnamese, 13 -year-old, 34 -year-olds, French, 24 -year-olds, Arabic, 24 4-year-olds, Somali, 14 -year-old, Urdu, 4 4-year-olds, Filipino, 2 4-year-olds, Other, 29 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes | Spanish, 930 4-year-olds, Chinese, 23 4-year-olds, Tagalog, 3 4-year-olds, Vietnamese, 4 4-year-olds, French, 64 -year-olds, German, 7 4-year olds, Hmong, 14 -year-old, 2194 -year-olds, Somali, 16 4-year-olds |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | Breakdown not available |

Can you break out enrollment numbers by race/ethnicity?

## Program enrollment by ethnicity

Caucasian, 2,983 4-year-olds, African American, 3,417 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 441 4-year-olds, Asian, 66 4-year-olds, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 64 -year-olds, American Indian, 234 -year-olds, Alaskan Native, 24 -year-olds, Not defined, 1904 -year-olds, Not specified, 1154 -year-olds

| Alabama | Yes | Caucasian, 2,983 4-year-olds, African American, 3,417 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 441 4-year-olds, Asian, 66 4-year-olds, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 64 -year-olds, American Indian, 23 4-year-olds, Alaskan Native, 24 -year-olds, Not defined, 1904 -year-olds, Not specified, 115 4-year-olds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No | Breakdown not available |
| Arizona | No | Breakdown not available |
| Arkansas | Yes | Caucasian, 1,536 3-year-olds, 9,434 4-year-olds, African American, 686 3-year-olds, 5,549 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 270 3-year-olds, 2,730 4-year-olds, Asian, 213 -year-olds, 3254 -year-olds, <br> Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 53 -year-olds, 614 -year-olds, American Indian, 19 3-year-olds, 1084 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 583 -year-olds, 5714 -year-olds, Migrant, 23 -year-olds, 144 -year-olds, Other, 48 3-year-olds, 294 4-year-olds, Unknown, 73 -year-olds, 544 -year-olds |
| California | Yes | Caucasian, 6,030 3-year-olds 11,937 4-year-olds, African American, 3,805 3-year-olds 6,306 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 29,663 3-year-olds 63,279 4-year-olds, Asian, 2,636 3-year-olds 5,241 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 1713 -year-olds 467 4-year-olds, American Indian, 263 3-year-olds 564 4-year-olds |
| Colorado | Yes ${ }^{4}$ | Caucasian, 7,169 children, African American, 1,816 children, Hispanic/Latino, 11,386 children, Asian, 572 children, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 41 children, American Indian, 155 children, Multiple race/ethnicities, 579 children ${ }^{4}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | No | Breakdown not available |
| Connecticut SRP | No | Breakdown not available |
| Delaware | No | Breakdown not available |

Caucasian, 1,102 3-year-olds, 1,508 4-year-olds, African American, 4,091 3-year-olds, 4,692 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 7193 -year-olds, 9724 -year-olds, Asian, 733 -year-olds, 103 4-year-olds, District of Columbia Yes Nispanic/Latino, 719 3-year-olds, 972 4-year-olds, Asian, 73 3-year-olds, 103 4-year-olds, $\quad$ Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 183 -year-olds, 94 -year-olds, American Indian, 663 -year-olds, 784 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 1913 -year-olds, 2474 -year-olds ${ }^{7}$

| Florida | No | Breakdown not available |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Georgia | Yes | Caucasian, 29,893 4-year-olds, African American, 31,933 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 12,684 4-year-olds, |
|  | Asian, 2,871 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 116, 4-year-olds, |  |
| Hawaii | American Indian, 166 4-year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 2,767 4-year-olds |  |

Caucasian, 12,134 3-year-olds, 16,533 4-year-olds, African American, 7,606 3-year-olds, 9,446 4-year-olds,

| Illinois | Yes | Hispanic/Latino, 9,213 3-year-olds, 14,152 4-year-olds, Asian, 1,097 3-year-olds, 1,424 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 47 3-year-olds, 414 -year-olds, American Indian, 643 -year-olds, 109 4-year-olds, Multiple races/ethnicities, 1,364 3-year-olds, 1,682 4-year-olds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indiana | No | Breakdown not available |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Yes ${ }^{4}$ | Caucasian, 1,008 children, African American, 387 children, Hispanic/Latino, 238 children, Asian/Pacific Islander, 32 children, American Indian $31^{4}$ |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes | Caucasian, 505 3-year-olds, 18,638 4-year-olds, African American, 15 3-year-olds, 1,055 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 593 -year-olds, 2,289 4-year-olds, Asian, 163 -year-olds, 5414 -year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 23 -year-olds, 484 -year-olds, American Indian, 23 -year-olds, 804 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 303 -year-olds, 768 4-year-olds |
| Kansas Preschool | No | Breakdown not available |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No | Breakdown not available |
| Kentucky | Yes ${ }^{4}$ | Caucasian, 4,523 3-year-olds, 11,472 4-year-olds, African American, 512 3-year-olds, 1,787 4-year-olds, <br> Hispanic/Latino, 3443 -year-olds, 1,543 4-year-olds, Asian, 73 3-year-olds, 2754 -year-olds, <br> Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 3 3-year-olds, 294 -year-olds, American Indian, 73 -year-olds, 154 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 273 3-year-olds, 8784 -year-olds |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No | Breakdown not available |
| Louisiana LA4 | No | Breakdown not available |
| Louisiana NSECD | No | Breakdown not available |
| Maine | Yes | Caucasian, 4,612 4-year-olds, African American, 186 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 82 4-year-olds, Asian, 40 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 64 -year-olds, American Indian, 514 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 103 4-year-olds |
| Maryland | Yes ${ }^{3}$ | Caucasian, 975 3-year-olds, 7,095 4-year-olds, African American, 1,442 3-year-olds, 11,390 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 603 3-year-olds, 5,676 4-year-olds, Asian, 2203 -year-olds, 1,086 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 53 -year-olds, 674 -year-olds, American Indian, 363 -year-olds, 1554 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 1423 -year-olds, 1,162 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes | Caucasian, 3,852 4-year-olds, African American, 463 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 915 4-year-olds, Asian, 283 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 14 4-year-olds, American Indian, 16 4-year-olds, Multiple races/ethnicities, 213 4-year-olds |
| Massachusetts UPK | No | Breakdown not available |
| Michigan | Yes | Caucasian, 20,363 4-year-olds, African American, 11,316 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 4,060 4-year-olds, Asian, 717 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 104 4-year-olds, American Indian, 552 4-year-olds |
| Minnesota | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ | Breakdown not available ${ }^{3}$ |
| Mississippi | No | Breakdown not available |
| Missouri | No | Breakdown not available |


| STATE | ACCESS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Can you break out enrollment numbers by race/ethnicity? | Program enrollment by ethnicity |
| Nebraska | No | Breakdown not available |
| Nevada | Yes ${ }^{5}$ Cauca | Caucasian, 460 3- and 4-year-olds, African American, 853 - and 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 682 3- and 4-year-olds, Asian, 39 3- and 4-year-olds, American Indian, 33 3- and 4-year-olds, Other, 993 - and 4-year-olds |
| New Jersey Abbott | No | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey ECPA | No | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey ELLI | No | Breakdown not available |
| New Mexico | Yes ${ }^{1}$ | Caucasian, 2,101 4-year-olds, African American, 233 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 5,186 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 86 4-year-olds American Indian, 1,200 4-year-olds ${ }^{1}$ |
| New York | No | Breakdown not available |
| North Carolina | Yes | Caucasian, 12,888 4-year-olds, African American, 9,935 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 6,294 4-year-olds, Asian, 907 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 6304 -year-olds, American Indian, 2,031 4-year-olds |
| Ohio | No | Breakdown not available |
| Oklahoma | Yes | Caucasian, 20,055 4-year-olds, African American, 3,319 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 6,829 4-year-olds, Asian, 758 4-year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 1294 -year-olds, American Indian, 5,140 4-year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 3,855 4-year-olds |
| Oregon | Yes Nativer | Caucasian, 2,047 3-year-olds, 3,208 4-year-olds, African American, 212 3-year-olds, 3304 -year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 1,315 3-year-olds, 2,057 4-year-olds, Asian, 62 3-year-olds, 964 -year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 32 3-year-olds, 504 -year-olds, American Indian, 62 3-year-olds, 964 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 2423 -year-olds, 3764 -year-olds, Unspecified, 3313 -year-olds, 5184 -year-olds |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No | Breakdown not available |
| Rhode Island | Yes | Caucasian, 147 4-year-olds, African American, 50 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 32 4-year-olds, Asian, 13 4-year-olds, American Indian, 74 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 574 -year-olds |
| South Carolina 4K | No | Breakdown not available |
| South Carolina CDEP | No | Breakdown not available |
| Tennessee | No | Breakdown not available |
| Texas | No | Breakdown not available |
| Vermont Act 62 | Yes ${ }^{2}$ | Caucasian, 1,891 3-year-olds, 3,269 4-year-olds, African American, 313 -year-olds, 504 -year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 16 3-year-olds, 414 -year-olds, Asian, 453 -year-olds, 544 -year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 14 -year-old, American Indian, 3 4-year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 35 3-year-olds |
| Vermont EEI | No | Breakdown not available |
| Virginia | No | Breakdown not available |
| Washington | $\begin{array}{cc} \\ \text { Yes } \\ & \mathrm{Na} \\ \\ \end{array}$ | Caucasian, 1,042 3-year-olds, 2,376 4-year-olds, African American, 358 3-year-olds, 7104 -year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 1,094 3-year-olds, 2,956 4-year-olds, Asian, 973 -year-olds, 1984 -year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 35 3-year-olds, 1224 -year-olds, American Indian, 128 3-year-olds, 197 4-year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 1443 -year-olds, 2774 -year-olds, Other or unspecified, 663 -year-olds, 2934 -year-olds |
| West Virginia | Yes ${ }^{4}$ | Caucasian, 890 3-year-olds, 12,503 4-year-olds, African American, 303 -year-olds, 5374 -year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 25 3-year-olds, 195 4-year-olds, Asian, 4 3-year-olds, 704 -year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 154 -year-olds, American Indian, 13 -year-old, 94 -year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 22 3-year-olds, 4504 -year-olds ${ }^{4}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes | Caucasian, 453 -year-olds, 30,077 4-year-olds, African American, 123 -year-olds, 4,576 4-year-olds, Hispanic/Latino, 113 -year-olds, 5,487 4-year-olds, Asian, 33 -year-olds, 1,770 4 -year-olds, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, 13 -year-old, 41 4-year-olds, American Indian, 13 -year-old, 436 4-year-olds, Multiple race/ethnicities, 23 -year-olds, 1,612 4-year-olds |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | Breakdown not available |

Program enrollment by eligibility for free or reduced price lunch

| Alabama | At or below 200\% poverty, 82.3\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Breakdown not available |
| Arizona | 100 to 149\% FPL, 701 3-year-olds, 1,225 4-year-olds; 150 to 200\% FPL, 580 3-year-olds, 958 4-year-olds |
| Arkansas | Breakdown not available |
| California | Free lunch, 41,692 3-year-olds, 85,764 4-year-olds |
| Colorado | Free lunch, 10,196 4-year-olds; Reduced lunch, 1,360 4-year-olds |
| Connecticut CDCC | Breakdown not available |
| Connecticut SRP | Breakdown not available |
| Delaware | 100\% FPL or TANF, 763 4-year-olds |
| District of Columbia | Free lunch, 2,361 3-year-olds, 2,724 4-year-olds; Reduced lunch, 125 3-year-olds, 143 4-year-olds |
| Florida | Breakdown not available |
| Georgia | Child or family who is eligible for SNAP, SSI, Medicaid, TANF, or child-care subsidy, 42,829 4-year-olds ${ }^{4}$ |
| Hawaii | Breakdown not available |
| Illinois | Free or reduced lunch, 19,578 3-year-olds, 27,610 4-year-olds; Title I, 11,970 3-year-olds, 22,818 4-year-olds; Targeted Title I, 409 3-year-olds, 325 4-year-olds |
| Indiana | Free lunch, 415 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | Free and/or Reduced lunch, 98\% ${ }^{5}$ |
| lowa SVPP | Free and/or Reduced lunch, 250 3-year-olds, 5,164 4-year-olds ${ }^{4}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Breakdown not available |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Breakdown not available |
| Kentucky | 150\% FPL, 9,249 4-year-olds ${ }^{5}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Breakdown not available |
| Louisiana LA4 | 185\% FPL, 100\% 4-year-olds |
| Louisiana NSECD | 185\% FPL, 1,300 4-year-olds |
| Maine | Free and Reduced lunch, 2,759 4-year-olds |
| Maryland | Free and/or Reduced lunch, 3,423 3-year-olds, 26,631 4-year-olds ${ }^{4}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Free lunch, 2,140 4-year-olds |
| Massachusetts UPK | Breakdown not available |
| Michigan | 251 to 300\% FPL, 34,648 4-year-olds; 301\% and above FPL, 1,524 4-year-olds; 301\% and above 904 4-year-olds |
| Minnesota | Free lunch, 1,381 3- and 4-year-olds ${ }^{4}$ |
| Mississippi | Free and/or Reduced lunch, 80\% |
| Missouri | Low Income, 734 3-year-olds, 1,672 4-year-olds |
| Nebraska | Free lunch, 1,515 3-year-olds, 3,620 4-year-olds; Reduced lunch, 241 3-year-olds, 690 4-year-olds |
| Nevada | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey Abbott | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey ECPA | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey ELLI | Breakdown not available |
| New Mexico | Breakdown not available |
| New York | Breakdown not available |
| North Carolina | 75\% of SMI, 23,125 4-year-olds |
| Ohio | 0-200\% FPL, 2,995 3-year-olds, 8,095 4-year-olds ${ }^{3}$ |
| Oklahoma | Free lunch, 18,283 4-year-olds; Reduced lunch, 2,513 4-year-olds |
| Oregon | Free lunch, 2,988 3-year-olds, 4,674 4-year-olds |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Breakdown not available |
| Rhode Island | Above 185\% FPL, 103 4-year-olds; Below 185\% FPL, 203 4-year-olds |
| South Carolina 4K | Breakdown not available |
| South Carolina CDEP | Breakdown not available |
| Tennessee | Free and/or Reduced lunch, 13,326 children ${ }^{4}$ |
| Texas | Breakdown not available |
| Vermont Act 62 | Free lunch, 442 3-year-olds, 972 4-year-olds; Reduced lunch, 513 -year-olds, 138 4-year-olds |
| Vermont EEI | Free lunch, 425 4-year-olds |
| Virginia | Breakdown not available |
| Washington | Free lunch, 2,810 3-year-olds, 6,741 4-year-olds; Reduced lunch, 1063 -year-olds, 257 4-year-olds; Less than 185\% FPL, 47 3-year-olds, 1304 -year-olds |
| West Virginia | Breakdown not available |
| Wisconsin 4K | Free lunch, 35 3-year-olds, 14,345 4-year-olds; Reduced lunch, 2 3-year-olds, 1,166 4-year-olds; Economically disadvantaged, 93 4-year-olds |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Breakdown not available |

[^63]Program enrollment - Fall 2014, by type of administering agency

| Alabama | Public schools, 4,185; Nonpublic schools, 3,058 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Breakdown not available |
| Arizona | Breakdown not available ${ }^{4}$ |
| Arkansas | Public schools, 14,453; Nonpublic schools, 10,9364 |
| California | Public schools, 86,785; Other auspices, 45,910 |
| Colorado | Public schools, $21,713^{5}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Nonpublic schools, 2,601 |
| Connecticut SRP | Public schools, 3,133; Nonpublic schools, 8,965 |
| Delaware | Public schools, 387; Other auspices, 456 |
| District of Columbia | Public schools, 12,040; Other auspices, 572 |
| Florida | Breakdown not available ${ }^{4}$ |
| Georgia | Public schools, 37,921 ; Nonpublic schools, 41,630; Other auspices, 879 |
| Hawaii | Public schools, 365 |
| Illinois | Public schools, 68,466; Nonpublic schools, 6,688 |
| Indiana | Public schools, 79; Nonpublic schools, 1; Other auspices, 335 |
| lowa Shared Visions | Public schools, 1,022; Nonpublic schools, 185; Other auspices, 4896 |
| lowa SVPP | Public schools, 16,395; Nonpublic schools, 7,8745 |
| Kansas Preschool | Breakdown not available |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Public schools, 7,060 |
| Kentucky | Public schools, 21,018; Nonpublic schools, 1076 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Public schools, 2,261 |
| Louisiana LA4 | Public schools, 16,051; Nonpublic schools, 120 ${ }^{6}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Nonpublic schools, 1,3004 |
| Maine | Public schools, 5,080 |
| Maryland | Public schools, 30,381 |
| Massachusetts 391 | Public schools, 5,391; Nonpublic schools, 466 |
| Massachusetts UPK | Public schools, 639; Nonpublic schools, 6,901 |
| Michigan | Public schools, 32,184; Nonpublic schools, 4,928 |
| Minnesota | Breakdown not available |
| Misssissippi | Public schools, 606; Nonpublic schools, 16; Other auspices, 1,138 |
| Missouri | Public schools, 4,062; Nonpublic schools, 1974 |
| Nebraska | Public schools, 12,073 |
| Nevada | Public schools, 1,356; Nonpublic schools, 42 |
| New Jersey Abbott | Public schools, 43,1774 |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public schools, 7,225 ${ }^{4}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Public schools, 631 |
| New Mexico | Public schools, 5,082; Nonpublic schools, 3,315 |
| New York | Public schools, 10,941; Other auspices, 28,7544 |
| North Carolina | Public schools, 15,061; Nonpublic schools, 11,790 |
| Ohio | Public schools, 7,707; Nonpublic schools, 3,383 |
| Oklahoma | Public schools, 40,085 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Oregon | Public schools, 754; Nonpublic schools, 6,908² |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Public schools, 1,324; Nonpublic schools, 4,243 |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Public schools, 3,365; Nonpublic schools, 10,091 |
| Rhode Island | Public schools, 18; Nonpublic schools, 288 |
| South Carolina 4K | Public schools, 19,757 |
| South Carolina CDEP | Public schools, 8,625; Nonpublic schools, 2,040 |
| Tennessee | Public schools, 16,2745 |
| Texas | Breakdown not available |
| Vermont Act 62 | Breakdown not available ${ }^{3}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Public schools, 316; Nonpublic schools, 925 ; Other auspices, $15^{3}$ |
| Virginia | Public schools, 16,538; Nonpublic schools, 1,712 |
| Washington | Public schools, 4,715; Nonpublic schools, 5,376 |
| West Virginia | Public schools, 16,622 ${ }^{2}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Public schools, 47,844 |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Breakdown not available ${ }^{4}$ |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-15$ school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-$-' 14 school year.

How many hours per day is this state-funded pre-K program funded to operate using state funds?

Is there a formal state-level partnership to provide extended day services through collaboration with other agencies?

| Alabama | 6.5 | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 3.54 | $\mathrm{No}^{4}$ |
| Arizona | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| Arkansas | 7 | No ${ }^{5}$ |
| California | 3 hours, 59 minutes ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ |
| Colorado | $2.5{ }^{6}$ | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | $10^{4}$ | No |
| Connecticut SRP | 2.5-104 | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| District of Columbia | 6.5 | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Delaware | $3.5{ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{2}$ |
| Florida | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{5}$ |
| Georgia | 6.5 | Yes, Head Start and Child and Parent Services (CAPS) ${ }^{5}$ |
| Hawaii | 6 | No |
| Illinois | At least $2.5^{3}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ |
| Indiana | Determined locally, 2.5-5 or more hours/day ${ }^{4}$ | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | Determined locally, varies by program ${ }^{7}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{7}$ |
| lowa SVPP | Part day, at least 10 hours/week ${ }^{6}$ | No ${ }^{6}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | $3^{3}$ | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | $3^{2}$ | No |
| Kentucky | 2.57 | No ${ }^{8}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 6 | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | 67 | $\mathrm{No}{ }^{7}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | 65 | $\mathrm{No}^{5}$ |
| Maine | $2^{4}$ | No |
| Maryland | 2.55 | Yes, Head Start and Child Care ${ }^{3}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | $1{ }^{5}$ | Yes, Head Start ${ }^{6}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Determined locally ${ }^{6}$ | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Michigan | $6.5^{5}$ | Yes, Head Start and child care ${ }^{5}$ |
| Minnesota | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Mississippi | 7 | No |
| Missouri | 3-6.5 ${ }^{5}$ | No |
| Nebraska | Determined locally ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ |
| Nevada | $2.5{ }^{6}$ | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | $6^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | Determined locally, 2.5-6 hours/day ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Determined locally, 2.5-6 hours/day ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| New Mexico | $2.5{ }^{2}$ | No |
| New York | $2.5^{5}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{5}$ |
| North Carolina | $6.5^{3}$ | No |
| Ohio | Determined locally, 12.5 hours/week minimum ${ }^{4}$ | No |
| Oklahoma | $6^{4}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{4}$ |
| Oregon | $3.5^{3}$ | Yes ${ }^{4}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | 54 | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | 3.5-5.5 ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{No}^{2}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | $2.5-5^{3}$ | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | 5 | $\mathrm{No}^{4}$ |
| Rhode Island | 6 | No ${ }^{1}$ |
| South Carolina 4K | 2.54 | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | 6.5 | No |
| Tennessee | $5.5^{6}$ | No |
| Texas | 3 | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | $2^{4}$ | No |
| Vermont EEI | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ | No |
| Virginia | 5.5 | No |
| Washington | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ | No |
| West Virginia | Determined locally, minimum 14 hours/week ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | $2.5^{4}$ | Yes ${ }^{4}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-115$ school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-$ ' 14 school year.

## OPERATING SCHEDULE

Program enrollment - Fall 2014, by operating schedule

How many days per week is your state-funded pre-K program funded to operate using state funds?

| Alabama | School day, 7,243³ | 5 days per week |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Breakdown not available | 4 days per week ${ }^{4}$ |
| Arizona | Breakdown not available ${ }^{5}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ |
| Arkansas | School day, 25,389 | 5 days per week |
| California | School day, 38,918; Part day, 93,777 | 5 days per week |
| Colorado | Breakdown not available ${ }^{6}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{6}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Extended day, 2,212; Wraparound, 389 | 5 days per week ${ }^{5}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | Extended day, 8,162; School day, 1,754; Part day, 2,182 | 5 days per week ${ }^{4}$ |
| Delaware | Breakdown not available | 5 days per week |
| District of Columbia | Breakdown not available | 5 days per week |
| Florida | Breakdown not available ${ }^{6}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ |
| Georgia | School day, 80,430 | 5 days per week |
| Hawaii | School day, 365 | 5 days per week |
| Illinois | School day, 11,739; Part day, 63,415 | 5 days per week |
| Indiana | Multiple operating schedules, 4154 | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Extended day, 843; School day, 616; Part day, 237 | Determined locally, varies by program ${ }^{7}$ |
| lowa SVPP | Breakdown not available | Determined locally, must be at least 10 hours/week ${ }^{6}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{3}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Part day, 7,060 | Determined locally ${ }^{2}$ |
| Kentucky | Breakdown not available ${ }^{9}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{10}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | School day, 2,261 | 5 days per week |
| Louisiana LA4 | School day, 16,1717 | 5 days per week ${ }^{7}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | School day, 1,300 | 5 days per week |
| Maine | Breakdown not available ${ }^{5}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{6}$ |
| Maryland | School day, 10,094; Part day, 20,287 | 5 days per week ${ }^{6}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Multiple operating schedules, 5,857 | Determined locally ${ }^{6}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Extended day, 7,017; School day, 476; Part day, 47 | Determined locally ${ }^{6}$ |
| Michigan | School day, 23,548; Part day, 7,966; GSRP/Head Start Blend, 5,5985 | 4 days per week |
| Minnesota | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ |
| Mississippi | Extended day, 148; School day, 1,612 | 5 days per week |
| Missouri | School day, 3,107; Part day, 1,152 ${ }^{5}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{6}$ |
| Nebraska | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{3}$ |
| Nevada | Part day, 1,398 | 4 days per week ${ }^{7}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | School day, 43,1775 | 5 days per week |
| New Jersey ECPA | School day, 2,936; Part day, 4,2895 | 5 days per week |
| New Jersey ELLI | School day, 265; Part day, $366{ }^{5}$ | 5 days per week |
| New Mexico | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{3}$ |
| New York | School day, 68,832; Part day, 43,288 | 5 days per week ${ }^{5}$ |
| North Carolina | Breakdown not available | 5 days per week |
| Ohio | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ |
| Oklahoma | School day, 32,211; Part day, 7,874 | 5 days per week ${ }^{4}$ |
| Oregon | Extended day, 761; Part day, 6,828; Home-based, 73 | Determined locally |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Breakdown not available | 5 days per week |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{2}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Breakdown not available | 5 days per week |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | School day, 10,592; Part day, 2,864 | 5 days per week |
| Rhode Island | School day, 306; 6-hour school day with before and after care, 67 | 5 days per week |
| South Carolina 4K | Part day, 19,757 | 5 days per week |
| South Carolina CDEP | Breakdown not available | 5 days per week |
| Tennessee | School day, 16,274 | 5 days per week |
| Texas | Part day, 219,488 | 5 days per week |
| Vermont Act 62 | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ |
| Virginia | School day, 17,565; Part day, 685 | 5 days per week |
| Washington | Extended day, 567; School day, 1,359; Part day, 8,1653,4 | Determined locally ${ }^{3,4}$ |
| West Virginia | School day, 14,120; Part day, 1,136 ${ }^{6}$ | Determined locally, 4 or 5 days/week is permissible ${ }^{5}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Breakdown not available | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Breakdown not available | Determined locally |

[^64]State-funded annual operating schedule


[^65]|  | Minimum age for eligibility | Maximum age for preschool |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 4 by September 1 | 5 by August 31 |
| Alaska | 4 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| Arizona | No minimum age | 5 by September 1 |
| Arkansas | 3 by August 1 | 4 by August 1 |
| California | 3 by September 2 | 5 by September 2 |
| Colorado | 3 by October $1^{7}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{7}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | 3 by January $1^{7}$ | 6 by January 1 |
| Connecticut SRP | 2 years, 9 months by September 1 | 5 by January 1 |
| Delaware | 4 by August 31 | 5 by August 31 |
| District of Columbia | 4 by September 30 | 5 by September 30 |
| Florida | 4 by September 1 | 5 by August 31 |
| Georgia | 4 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| Hawaii | 4 by July 31 | 5 by July 31 |
| Illinois | 3 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| Indiana | 4 by August 1 | 4 years, 11 months by August 1 |
| Iowa Shared Visions | 3 by September 15 | 5 years, 11 months by September 15 |
| lowa SVPP | 4 by September 15 | 5 years, 11 months by September 15 |
| Kansas Preschool | 4 by August 31 | 4 years, 11 months by August 31 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | 4 by August 31 | 4 years, 11 months by August 31 |
| Kentucky | 3 by August 1 | 4 by August 1 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 4 by September 30 | 5 by September 30 |
| Louisiana LA4 | 4 by September 30 | 5 by September 30 |
| Louisiana NSECD | 4 by September 30 | 5 by September 30 |
| Maine | 4 by October 15 | Determined locally |
| Maryland | 4 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| Massachusetts 391 | 2 years, 9 months by September 1 | Determined locally |
| Massachusetts UPK | 2 years, 9 months by September 1 | Determined locally |
| Michigan | 4 by October 1 | 5 by October 1 |
| Minnesota | 3 by September 1 | 4 years, 11 months by September 1 |
| Mississippi | 4 by September 1 | 4 years, 11 months by September 1 |
| Missouri | 3 by July 31 | 5 by July 31 |
| Nebraska | Determined locally | 5 by July 31 |
| Nevada | 3 by September 30 | 5 by September 30 |
| New Jersey Abbott | 3 by locally determined date | 4 years, 11 months by locally determined date |
| New Jersey ECPA | 3 by locally determined date | 5 by locally determined date |
| New Jersey ELLI | 3 by locally determined date | 4 by locally determined date |
| New Mexico | 4 by August 31 | 4 years, 11 months by August 31 |
| New York | 3 years, 11 months by December $1^{3}$ | 4 years, 11 months by December 1 |
| North Carolina | 4 by August 31 | 5 by August 31 |
| Ohio | 3 by August 1 or September 30 | 5 by August 1 or September 30 |
| Oklahoma | 4 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| Oregon | 3 by September 1 | 4 years 11 months by September 1 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Determined locally | Determined locally |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Determined locally ${ }^{4}$ | Determined locally |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ |
| Rhode Island | 4 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| South Carolina 4K | 4 by September 1 | Determined locally |
| South Carolina CDEP | 4 by September 1 | 5 years, 11 months by September 1 |
| Tennessee | 4 by August $15^{7}$ | 5 by August $15^{7}$ |
| Texas | 3 by September 1 | 4 by August 31 |
| Vermont Act 62 | 3 by locally determined date ${ }^{5}$ | 6 by locally determined date ${ }^{5}$ |
| Vermont EEI | 3 by locally determined date | 5 by locally determined date |
| Virginia | 4 by September 30 | 4 years, 11 months by September 30 |
| Washington | 3 by August 31 | 4 years, 11 months by August 31 |
| West Virginia | 4 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| Wisconsin 4K | 4 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |
| Wisconsin HdSt | 3 by September 1 | 5 by September 1 |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' 14 -' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

AGE ELIGIBILITY
Does state policy allow any exceptions to the age requirement for pre-K or kindergarten eligibility

|  | Minimum kindergarten age | for pre-K or kindergarten eligibility |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 5 by September 1 | No |
| Alaska | 5 by September 1 | $\mathrm{No}^{5}$ |
| Arizona | 5 by September 1 | No |
| Arkansas | 5 by August 1 | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| California | 5 by September 2 | Yes ${ }^{4}$ |
| Colorado | 5 by October $1^{7}$ | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | 5 by January 1 | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | 5 by January 1 | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Delaware | 5 by August 31 | $\mathrm{No}^{3}$ |
| District of Columbia | 3 by September 30 | Yes ${ }^{9}$ |
| Florida | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Georgia | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| Hawaii | 5 by July 31 | No |
| Illinois | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{4}$ |
| Indiana | 5 by August 1 | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Iowa Shared Visions | 5 by September 15 | Yes |
| lowa SVPP | 5 by September 15 | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | 5 by August 31 | $\mathrm{No}^{4}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | 5 by August 31 | No |
| Kentucky | 5 by October 1 | Yes ${ }^{12}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 5 by September 30 | Yes ${ }^{2}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | 5 by September 30 | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | 5 by September 30 | No |
| Maine | 5 by October 15 | No ${ }^{8}$ |
| Maryland | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Determined locally | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Determined locally | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Michigan | 5 by October 1 | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| Minnesota | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| Mississippi | 5 by September 1 | No |
| Missouri | 5 by July 31 | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Nebraska | 5 by August 1 | Yes ${ }^{4}$ |
| Nevada | 5 by September 30 | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | 5 by locally determined date | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | 5 by locally determined date | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | 5 by locally determined date | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| New Mexico | 5 by August 31 | No |
| New York | 5 years, 11 months by December 1 | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| North Carolina | 5 by August 31 | $\mathrm{No}^{4}$ |
| Ohio | 5 by August 1 or September $30^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Oklahoma | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Oregon | 5 by September 1 | No ${ }^{5}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Determined locally | Yes ${ }^{3}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Determined locally | $\mathrm{No}^{4}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Determined locally ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Rhode Island | 5 by September 1 | $\mathrm{No}^{2}$ |
| South Carolina 4K | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{4}$ |
| Tennessee | 5 by August $15^{7}$ | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Texas | 5 by September 1 | $\mathrm{No}^{4}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | 5 by locally determined date ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| Vermont EEI | 5 by locally determined date | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Virginia | 5 by September 30 | Yes ${ }^{3}$ |
| Washington | 5 by August 31 | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| West Virginia | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | 5 by September 1 | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |

[^66]
## AGE ELIGIBILITY

State policy on enrolling children in state pre-K when they are eligible for kindergarten

| Alabama | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{5}$ |
| Arizona | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Arkansas | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year; Other circumstances based on individual child needs and as approved via waiver request ${ }^{7}$ |
| California | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Colorado | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Connecticut CDCC | Other than the provision of care for half-day kindergarten students, there is no policy for Child Day Care, Contracted programs regarding the enrollment of K-eligible students ${ }^{8}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents ${ }^{7}$ |
| Delaware | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{3}$ |
| District of Columbia | State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K |
| Florida | Children may not enroll in pre-K if they are age-eligible for kindergarten ${ }^{7}$ |
| Georgia | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children may only enroll in pre-K if they have not yet attended 4 -year-old pre- ${ }^{6}$ |
| Hawaii | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Illinois | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K; Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{4}$ |
| Indiana | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{5}$ |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K |
| lowa SVPP | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten ageeligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K; Other ${ }^{8}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{4}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Kentucky | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K; Other: On a space-available basis if local funds are used ${ }^{12}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Louisiana LA4 | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Louisiana NSECD | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Maine | State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K ${ }^{8}$ |
| Maryland | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{7}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{7}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K8 |
| Michigan | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents |
| Minnesota | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Mississippi | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year; Other: Only children age four on or before September 1st may enroll in the pre-k program |
| Missouri | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{8}$ |

## AGE ELIGIBILITY

State policy on enrolling children in state pre-K when they are eligible for kindergarten

| Nebraska | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K ${ }^{8}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{7}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{6}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{6}$ |
| New Mexico | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| New York | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| North Carolina | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Ohio | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{5}$ |
| Oklahoma | State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K ${ }^{5}$ |
| Oregon | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| Pennsylvania RTL | State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K5 ${ }^{\text {5,6 }}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K; Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{3}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{5}$ |
| Rhode Island | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{2}$ |
| South Carolina 4K | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{5}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{4}$ |
| Tennessee | Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{8}$ |
| Texas | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year ${ }^{4}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K ${ }^{5}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents |
| Virginia | School divisions may assess a child born between October 1 and December 13 to determine his or her readiness for the kindergarten program. Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at local program's discretion only, not solely at the request of parents |
| Washington | Children may not enroll in this state pre-K initiative if they are age-eligible for kindergarten at the start of the year |
| West Virginia | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents ${ }^{7}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; Kindergarten ageeligible children may enroll in and repeat 4-year-old pre-K; Kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K; State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at the local program's discretion or at the request of parents; State policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten age-eligible children in pre-K |

Aside from age, how is eligibility determined for individual children for this state prekindergarten initiative?

| Alabama | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Arizona | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Arkansas | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| California | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Colorado | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Connecticut CDCC | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Connecticut SRP | All age-eligible children in districts/towns offering the program may enroll |
| Delaware | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{4}$ |
| District of Columbia | All age-eligible children in the District may enroll |
| Florida | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll |
| Georgia | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll |
| Hawaii | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Illinois | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{5}$ |
| Indiana | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| lowa SVPP | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll ${ }^{9}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{5}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{3,4}$ |
| Kentucky | Eligibility is determined by individual or child characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{13}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{3}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{9}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Maine | Determined locally ${ }^{9}$ |
| Maryland | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{8}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll ${ }^{8}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll ${ }^{9}$ |
| Michigan | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{6}$ |
| Minnesota | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{7}$ |
| Mississippi | with Head Start agencies in local areas and shall not cause a reduction in the number of children served by Head Start |
| Missouri | Determined locally ${ }^{9}$ |
| Nebraska | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{5}$ |
| Nevada | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll |
| New Jersey Abbott | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll ${ }^{8}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll ${ }^{2}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{7}$ |
| New Mexico | All age-eligible children in locations offering the program are eligible ${ }^{4}$ |
| New York | Eligibility depends on the grant ${ }^{7}$ |
| North Carolina | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Ohio | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Oklahoma | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll |
| Oregon | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{3,4}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | All children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll (K4); Eligibility may be determined by individual or family characteristics (SBPK) |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Rhode Island | All age-eligible children in communities offering the program may enroll ${ }^{3}$ |
| South Carolina 4K | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| South Carolina CDEP | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Tennessee | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{9}$ |
| Texas | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Vermont Act 62 | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll |
| Vermont EEI | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age ${ }^{6}$ |
| Virginia | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| Washington | Eligibility is determined by individual child or family characteristics in addition to age |
| West Virginia | All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll |
| Wisconsin 4K | All age-eligible children in districts/towns offering the program may enroll ${ }^{6}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Programs follow Federal Head Start eligibility requirements and local selection criteria ${ }^{7}$ |

[^67]What was the state-specified income requirement during the 2014-2015 program year?

| Alabama | No income requirement |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Eligible for free lunch, or 130\% of FPL |
| Arizona | 200\% of FPL or below |
| Arkansas | 200\% of FPL ${ }^{8}$ |
| California | 70\% state median income |
| Colorado | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{8}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Income less than 75\% of the state median income |
| Connecticut SRP | 60\% of children enrolled in each municipality must meet the income guideline of at or below $75 \% \mathrm{SMI}^{8}$ |
| Delaware | $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{FPL}^{4}$ |
| District of Columbia | No income requirement |
| Florida | No income requirement |
| Georgia | No income requirement |
| Hawaii | 200\% of FPL |
| Illinois | Low income is one of the risk factors taken into account in determining eligibility ${ }^{5}$ |
| Indiana | 127\% of FPL |
| lowa Shared Visions | Eligible for free lunch, or 130\% of FPL |
| lowa SVPP | No income requirement |
| Kansas Preschool | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{5}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Eligible for free lunch, or 130\% of FPL ${ }^{3}$ |
| Kentucky | 150\% of FPL ${ }^{13}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or $185 \%$ of $\mathrm{FPL}^{3}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{9}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or $185 \%$ of $\mathrm{FPL}^{6}$ |
| Maine | No income requirement ${ }^{9}$ |
| Maryland | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{8}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | No income requirement |
| Massachusetts UPK | No income requirement ${ }^{9}$ |
| Michigan | 250\% of FPL ${ }^{6}$ |
| Minnesota | No income requirement ${ }^{7}$ |
| Mississippi | No income requirement |
| Missouri | No income requirement ${ }^{9}$ |
| Nebraska | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{5}$ |
| Nevada | No income requirement |
| New Jersey Abbott | No income requirement |
| New Jersey ECPA | No income requirement |
| New Jersey ELLI | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{7}$ |
| New Mexico | No income requirement |
| New York | No income requirement ${ }^{7}$ |
| North Carolina | 75\% state median income ${ }^{5}$ |
| Ohio | 0-200\% of FPL ${ }^{6}$ |
| Oklahoma | No income requirement ${ }^{4}$ |
| Oregon | $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{FPL}^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No income requirement ${ }^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | $100 \%$ of FPL ${ }^{4}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No income requirement |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | $300 \%$ of FPL ${ }^{6}$ |
| Rhode Island | No income requirement |
| South Carolina 4K | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL |
| South Carolina CDEP | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL5 |
| Tennessee | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{9}$ |
| Texas | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL5 |
| Vermont Act 62 | No income requirement |
| Vermont EEI | Eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, or 185\% of FPL ${ }^{6}$ |
| Virginia | No income requirement |
| Washington | 110\% of FPL |
| West Virginia | No income requirement |
| Wisconsin 4K | No income requirement |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Programs follow Federal Head Start eligibility requirements and local selection criteria ${ }^{7}$ |

[^68]To whom, or to what percentage of children, does the income requirement apply?


[^69]Risk factors besides income that specified risk factors must be present can be used to determine eligibility

| Alabama | Not applicable | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Homelessness or unstable housing | None |
| Arizona | Not applicable | NA |
| Arkansas | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty ${ }^{8}$ | $1^{8}$ |
| California | History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Other state-specified risk factors: CalWORKS cash aid recipients | 1 |
| Colorado | Low parental education; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; <br> Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Teen parent; Child is or was in foster care; Other locally determined risk factors ${ }^{8}$ | $1^{8}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | In addition to the overall requirement of $75 \%$ of the SMI, a proportion of families fitting with the federal portion of funding must fall below $200 \%$ of the federal poverty level | None |
| Connecticut SRP | Not applicable | NA |
| Delaware | Disability or developmental delay of the child; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Parental substance abuse; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty ${ }^{4}$ | None |
| District of Columbia | Not applicable | NA |
| Florida | Not applicable | NA |
| Georgia | Not applicable | NA |
| Hawaii | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Non-English speaking family; Other state-specified risk factors: Children who reside in a public school district, under chapter 302A, that is in need of improvement based on the criteria of the federal No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 | None |
| Illinois | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty; low income ${ }^{5}$ | $2^{5}$ |
| Indiana | No, there are no risk factors other than the state-specified income requirement | Not applicable |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty ${ }^{8}$ | $1^{8}$ |
| Iowa SVPP | None | NA |
| Kansas Preschool | Low parental education level; Non-English speaking family; Teen parent; Parent is on active military duty; EC referral; Single parent; Developmental delay- lower than expected developmental progress; DCF social services referral ${ }^{5}$ | 1 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Low parental education level; Non-English speaking family; Teen parent; DCF social services referral; Single parent; Developmental delay; Migrant status | 1 |
| Kentucky | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Homelessness or unstable housing; Child is or was in foster care ${ }^{13}$ | 1 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty ${ }^{3}$ | None |
| Louisiana LA4 | Homelessness or unstable housing; Child is or was in foster care | None ${ }^{9}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | None | None |
| Maine | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty; Locally determined risk factors | None |
| Maryland | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Homelessness or unstable housing; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten ${ }^{8}$ | $1^{8}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Not applicable ${ }^{9,10}$ | NA |
| Massachusetts UPK | Not applicable ${ }^{9}$ | NA |
| Michigan | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty; Income level ${ }^{6}$ | 1 |
| Minnesota | Homelessness or unstable housing; Child is or was in foster care ${ }^{7}$ | 17 |
| Mississippi | None | None |
| Missouri | Locally determined risk factors ${ }^{9}$ | None ${ }^{9}$ |

Risk factors besides income that can be used to determine eligibility
specified risk factors
must be present for eligibility?

| Nebraska | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Non-English speaking family; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk ${ }^{5}$ | None ${ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Not applicable ${ }^{9}$ | NA ${ }^{9}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Not applicable | NA |
| New Jersey ECPA | Not applicable | NA |
| New Jersey ELLI | Locally determined risk factors ${ }^{7}$ | None |
| New Mexico | Not applicable ${ }^{4}$ | NA |
| New York | Other state-specified risk factors ${ }^{7}$ | NA ${ }^{7}$ |
| North Carolina | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Non-English speaking family; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Parent is on active military duty; Chronic health condition; Child has an IEP | 1 |
| Ohio | None | None |
| Oklahoma | Not applicable | NA |
| Oregon | Currently homeless or foster children; Locally determined risk factors ${ }^{6}$ | None ${ }^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined risk factors ${ }^{6}$ | NA ${ }^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty ${ }^{4}$ | NA |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Not applicable (K4); Determined locally (SBPK) | NA |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty | None |
| Rhode Island | None |  |
| South Carolina 4K | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Parental substance abuse; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten ${ }^{5}$ | None ${ }^{5}$ |
| Tennessee | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty; Locally determined risk factors ${ }^{9}$ | $1{ }^{9}$ |
| Texas | Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty ${ }^{5}$ | No ${ }^{5}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Not applicable | NA |
| Vermont EEI | Disability or developmental delay of the child; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Geographic isolation ${ }^{6}$ | 16 |
| Virginia | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty; Locally determined risk factors ${ }^{5}$ | 14 |
| Washington | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent Low birth weight or other child health risk; <br> Child is or was in foster care; Expulsion due to behavior; In kinship care; Single parent; Transferring from Head Start or Early Head Start; Parent deployed to combat zone in last year; Parent incarcerated; Mental illness; Health care access; Migrant ${ }^{7}$ | None |
| West Virginia | Disability or developmental delay of the child | None |
| Wisconsin 4K | Not applicable | NA |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Disability or developmental delay of the child; Low parental education level; History of abuse, neglect, or family violence; Homelessness or unstable housing; Non-English speaking family; Parental substance abuse; Risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten; Teen parent; Low birth weight or other child health risk; Child is or was in foster care; Parent is on active military duty; Programs follow Federal Head Start eligibility requirements and local selection criteria ${ }^{7}$ | None ${ }^{7}$ |

Is there a sliding payment scale based on income?

Is child eligibility for this state-funded pre-K program ever reassessed after a child has been enrolled in the program?

| Alabama | Yes ${ }^{4}$ | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No | No |
| Arizona | No7 | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Arkansas | Yes ${ }^{8}$ | No |
| California | Yes ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| Colorado | No | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes | No |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes ${ }^{9}$ | Yes ${ }^{10}$ |
| Delaware | No | No |
| District of Columbia | No | No |
| Florida | No | No |
| Georgia | No | No |
| Hawaii | No | No |
| Illinois | No | No |
| Indiana | No | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | Yes | No |
| lowa SVPP | No | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No | No |
| Kentucky | Determined locally ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | No ${ }^{10}$ | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No | No |
| Maine | No | Yes ${ }^{10}$ |
| Maryland | No | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | Determined locally ${ }^{11}$ | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | Determined locally ${ }^{10}$ | No |
| Michigan | Yes | No |
| Minnesota | No | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Misssissippi | No | No |
| Missouri | Yes ${ }^{10}$ | No |
| Nebraska | Determined locally ${ }^{6}$ | No |
| Nevada | No | Yes ${ }^{10}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | No | Yes ${ }^{9}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | No | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | No | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| New Mexico | No | No |
| New York | No | No |
| North Carolina | No | No |
| Ohio | Yes ${ }^{6}$ | No |
| Oklahoma | No | No |
| Oregon | No | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No | No |
| Rhode Island | No | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No | No |
| Tennessee | No | No |
| Texas | No | No ${ }^{6}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | No | No |
| Vermont EEI | No | No |
| Virginia | No | No |
| Washington | No | No |
| West Virginia | No | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | No |

[^70]|  | Maximum class size | Staff-child ratio requirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 4-year-olds, $18{ }^{5}$ | 4-year-olds, 1:105 |
| Alaska | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Arizona | 3 -year-olds, 26; 4-year-olds, $30^{9}$ | 3 -year-olds, 1:13; 4-year-olds, 1:159 |
| Arkansas | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| California | 3 - and 4-year-olds, No limit ${ }^{7}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:8 |
| Colorado | 3- and 4-year-olds, 16 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:8 |
| Connecticut CDCC | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Connecticut SRP | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20{ }^{11}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Delaware | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| District of Columbia | 3 -year-olds, 16; 4-year-olds, 2010 | 3 -year-olds, 1:8; 4-year-olds, 1:10 ${ }^{10}$ |
| Florida | 4-year-olds, 12 (summer); 20 (school year) | 4-year-olds, 1:12 (summer); 1:11 or 2:12-20 (school year); |
| Georgia | 4-year-olds, $22^{7}$ | 4 -year-olds, 1:117 |
| Hawaii | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Illinois | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Indiana | 4-year-olds, 24 | 4-year-olds, 1:12 |
| lowa Shared Visions | 3 -year-olds, 18; 4-year-olds, $20{ }^{9}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:8 |
| lowa SVPP | 3 -year-olds, 18; 4-year-olds, 20 | 3-year-olds, 1:9; 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Kansas Preschool | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Kentucky | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20{ }^{15}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:1015 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 4 -year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Louisiana LA4 | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Louisiana NSECD | 4-year-olds, $20^{7}$ | 4-year-olds, 1:107 |
| Maine | 4 -year-olds, No limit ${ }^{11}$ | 4-year-olds, 1:15 ${ }^{11}$ |
| Maryland | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20^{9}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 ${ }^{9}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20^{12}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:7-10 ${ }^{12}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:6-1011 |
| Michigan | 4-year-olds, 18 | 4-year-olds, 1:87 |
| Minnesota | 3 -year-olds, 15; 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 -year-olds, 2:15; 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Mississippi | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Missouri | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20{ }^{11}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:1011 |
| Nebraska | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Nevada | 3 -year-olds, 16; 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 -year-olds, 1:8; 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| New Jersey Abbott | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 15 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 2:15 |
| New Jersey ECPA | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 18 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:9 |
| New Jersey ELLI | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| New Mexico | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| New York | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20^{8}$ | 3 -year-olds, 1:8; 4-year-olds, 1:98 |
| North Carolina | 4-year-olds, 18 | 4-year-olds, 1:96 |
| Ohio | 3 -year-olds, 24; 4-year-olds, 28 | 3 -year-olds, 1:12; 4-year-olds, 1:149 |
| Oklahoma | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Oregon | 3 -year-olds, 17; 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 -year-olds, 2:17; 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20{ }^{5}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:105 |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | 3 - and 4-year-olds, No limit (K4); 20 (SBPK) ${ }^{5}$ | 3- and 4-year-olds, No limit (K4); 1:10 (SBPK) ${ }^{5}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20{ }^{7}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:107 |
| Rhode Island | 4-year-olds, 18 | 4-year-olds, 1:9 |
| South Carolina 4K | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3- and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| South Carolina CDEP | 4-year-olds, 20 | 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Tennessee | 3 -year-olds, 16; 4-year-olds, 2010 | 3 -year-olds, 1:8; 4-year-olds, 1:10 ${ }^{10}$ |
| Texas | 3 - and 4-year-olds, No limit ${ }^{7}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, No limit ${ }^{7}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Vermont EEI | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 |
| Virginia | 4-year-olds, 18 | 4-year-olds, 1:9 |
| Washington | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 20 | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:108 |
| West Virginia | 3 - and 4-year-olds, $20{ }^{8}$ | 3 - and 4-year-olds, 1:10 ${ }^{8}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | 4 -year-olds, Determined locally ${ }^{7}$ | 4 -year-olds, Determined locally ${ }^{7}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | 3 -year olds, 17; 4-year-olds $20^{8}$ | 3 -year olds, 2:17; 4-year-olds 1:108 |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' 14 -' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year

| Alabama | Lunch; Snack ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Lunch; Snack |
| Arizona | Child care regulatory agencies (licensing and certification) requirements define when meals and snacks are required ${ }^{10}$ |
| Arkansas | Breakfast; Lunch; Snack |
| California | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{8}$ |
| Colorado | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{9}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Breakfast; Lunch; Snack ${ }^{9}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{12}$ |
| Delaware | One meal and one snack |
| District of Columbia | Breakfast; Lunch ${ }^{11}$ |
| Florida | Depends on length of program day ${ }^{8}$ |
| Georgia | Lunch ${ }^{8}$ |
| Hawaii | Lunch |
| Illinois | Lunch and snack (school day); Snack (part day) ${ }^{6}$ |
| Indiana | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{6}$ |
| Iowa Shared Visions | At least one meal; Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{10}$ |
| lowa SVPP | Snack ${ }^{10}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Snack (part day); Lunch and one snack (school day) ${ }^{6}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No meals are required |
| Kentucky | Breakfast or Lunch |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Lunch; Snack |
| Louisiana LA4 | Breakfast; Lunch; Snack ${ }^{11}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Breakfast; Lunch; Snack |
| Maine | No meals are required ${ }^{12}$ |
| Maryland | Breakfast and/or Lunch; Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{10}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{13}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{12}$ |
| Michigan | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{8}$ |
| Minnesota | Breakfast; Lunch ${ }^{9}$ |
| Mississippi | At least one meal; All programs voluntarily provide snacks |
| Missouri | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{12}$ |
| Nebraska | One meal and one snack ${ }^{7}$ |
| Nevada | Snack ${ }^{11}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Breakfast; Lunch; Snack |
| New Jersey ECPA | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{8}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{9}$ |
| New Mexico | At least one meal; Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{5}$ |
| New York | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{9}$ |
| North Carolina | Lunch and either Breakfast or Snack |
| Ohio | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{8}$ |
| Oklahoma | Lunch ${ }^{6}$ |
| Oregon | At least one meal; Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{7}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No meals are required |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Lunch and either Breakfast or Snack |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No meals are required |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Snack (part day); Snack and Meal (full day) |
| Rhode Island | Lunch; Snack |
| South Carolina 4K | Snack |
| South Carolina CDEP | Lunch ${ }^{6}$ |
| Tennessee | Breakfast; Lunch; Snack |
| Texas | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{8}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | No meals are required ${ }^{7}$ |
| Vermont EEI | No meals are required ${ }^{7}$ |
| Virginia | No meals are required ${ }^{5}$ |
| Washington | At least one meal; Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{9}$ |
| West Virginia | At least one meal ${ }^{9}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{8}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Requirements depend on length of program day ${ }^{9}$ |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-115$ school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-$ ' 14 school year.

## Screening and referral requirements

| Alabama | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Developmental ${ }^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Dental; Developmental; Determined locally: Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Full physical exam |
| Arizona | Screening required: Immunizations; Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{11}$ |
| Arkansas | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Dental |
| California | Screening required: Immunizations; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental ${ }^{9}$ |
| Colorado | Screening and referral required: Immunizations; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental |
| Connecticut CDCC | Screening required: Immunizations; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental |
| Connecticut SRP | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Delaware | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| District of Columbia | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{12}$ |
| Florida | Screenings/referrals determined locally ${ }^{9}$ |
| Georgia | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Dental ${ }^{9}$ |
| Hawaii | Screening required: Full physical exam; Referral required: Psychosocial/behavioral; Developmental ${ }^{3}$ |
| Illinois | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Immunizations; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Indiana | None |
| lowa Shared Visions | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Dental ${ }^{11}$ |
| Iowa SVPP | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Blood pressure |
| Kansas Preschool | Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam7 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{5}$ |
| Kentucky | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Dental ${ }^{16}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{4}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Screening required: Immunizations; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Louisiana NSECD | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental ${ }^{8}$ |
| Maine | Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{13}$ |
| Maryland | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Dental; Developmental ${ }^{11}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Immunizations; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{14}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Developmental; Determined locally: Height/weight; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Full physical exam ${ }^{13}$ |
| Michigan | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Dental; Psychosocial/behavioral ${ }^{9}$ |
| Minnesota | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Mississippi | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Screening required: Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Developmental; Determined locally: Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Full physical exam |
| Missouri | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral ${ }^{13}$ |


|  | Screening and referral requirements |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nebraska | Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Nevada | Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{12}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Dental; Developmental; Determined locally: Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Full physical exam |
| New Jersey ECPA | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Dental; Developmental; Determined locally: Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Full physical exam |
| New Jersey ELLI | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Dental; Developmental; Determined locally: Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Full physical exam |
| New Mexico | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{6,7}$ |
| New York | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{10}$ |
| North Carolina | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Ohio | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Blood pressure ${ }^{9}$ |
| Oklahoma | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam7 ${ }^{7}$ |
| Oregon | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{8}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Determined locally: Full physical exam |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Determined locally: Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{8}$ |
| Rhode Island | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| South Carolina 4K | Screening and referral required: Immunizations; Developmental; Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Full physical exam ${ }^{6}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Developmental; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Full physical exam |
| Tennessee | Screening and referral required: Developmental; Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Dental ${ }^{11}$ |
| Texas | Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{8}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Determined locally: Psychosocial/behavioral; Developmental; Screening required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Dental; Full physical exam ${ }^{8}$, |
| Vermont EEI | Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{8}$ |
| Virginia | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Immunizations; Determined locally: Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |
| Washington | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Immunizations; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam; Determined locally: Blood pressure; Psychosocial/behavioral |
| West Virginia | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam ${ }^{10}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Screening required: Immunizations; Developmental; Referral required: Full physical exam; Determined locally: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental ${ }^{9}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Screening and referral required: Vision; Hearing; Height/weight/BMI; Blood pressure; Immunizations; Psychosocial/behavioral; Dental; Developmental; Full physical exam |

## Support services for English Language Learners and families

|  | Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the <br> beginning of the school year; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; Determined locally: <br> Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion |
| :--- | :--- |
| programs are permitted; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same |  |
| home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for |  |
| English language instruction; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home |  |
| language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; |  |
| There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL |  |

Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language Georgia programs are available; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers; Determined locally: Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages ${ }^{10}$

| Hawaii | Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{4}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Illinois | Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion <br> programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language <br> instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; There is state-level policy <br> regulating services for DLL/ELL; Determined locally: Summer language programs are available |
| Indiana | Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion <br> programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language <br> instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; Professional development or <br> coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{7}$ |

Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home

Iowa Shared Visions
lowa SVPP

Kansas Preschool

Kansas State Pre-K

Kentucky language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are

DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{12}$
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; Determined locally: Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{11}$
Summer language programs are available; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Determined locally: Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction ${ }^{8}$
Summer language programs are available; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for

English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages ${ }^{7}$
Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{17}$

| Louisiana 8(g) | Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year |
| :---: | :---: |
| Louisiana LA4 | Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Determined locally: Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored ${ }^{12}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; <br> Determined locally: Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language |
| Maine | Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers; Determined locally: English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored ${ }^{14}$ |

Support services for English Language Learners and families
Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are Maryland grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored;

Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{12}$
Massachusetts $391 \quad$ There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL ${ }^{15}$
Massachusetts UPK

There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL ${ }^{14}$
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Michigan $\quad$ programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same
home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages ${ }^{10}$
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to Minnesota families in appropriate home languages; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Determined locally: Summer language programs are available; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{10}$
A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored
Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are Missouri permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Determined locally: Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{8}$ Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; There is statelevel policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers; Determined locally: All pre-K Nevada classes must be conducted in English only; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored ${ }^{13}$
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school
New Jersey Abbott year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers; Determined locally: Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available ${ }^{10}$
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion
programs are permitted; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach
information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school
year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are
required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving
children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual
instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for
teachers; Determined locally: Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available'0

## Support services for English Language Learners and families

Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored ${ }^{6}$
Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional

Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored
Rhode Island
Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers
All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Determined locally: Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL;

Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers
All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers; Determined locally: English-only immersion programs are permitted; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL7
All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers; Determined locally: Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language ${ }^{12}$
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers; Determined locally: Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language ;Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information is provided
to families in appropriate home languages; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL9
Determined locally: Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual

DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers
Determined locally: All pre-K classes must be conducted in English only; Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers
Virginia State policy does not regulate services for English Language Learners

Support services for English Language Learners and families
Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Determined locally: Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the

Washington | same home language; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Recruitment and outreach information |
| :---: |
| is provided to families in appropriate home languages; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented |
| to families in their home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to |
| have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with | individual DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{10}$

Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented West Virginia to families in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers;
Determined locally: Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Summer language programs are available; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language
Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; Determined locally: Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available ;Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Translators or bilingual staff
Wisconsin $4 \mathrm{~K} \quad$ are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are DLL/ELL; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{10}$ Bilingual instruction is permitted in child's home language; Monolingual non-English classes are permitted; Dual language immersion programs are permitted; English-only immersion programs are permitted; Transitional bilingual programs are available; Translators or bilingual staff are available if some children do not speak English; Children are permitted to be pulled out for English language instruction; Recruitment and outreach information is provided to families in appropriate home languages; A home language survey is sent home at the
Wisconsin HdSt beginning of the school year; Information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language; A systematic, written plan must be in place on how to work with individual DLL/ELL; Determined locally: Summer language programs are available; Children are grouped in small groups with other children from the same home language; Programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language; Programs are required to have an approved written policy for serving children who are

DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{10}$

Support services required for all programs

| Alabama | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{6}$ |
| Arizona | Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Arkansas | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition (beyond meals that are provided); Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| California | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Colorado | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services |
| Connecticut CDCC | Parent involvement activities |
| Connecticut SRP | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Family literacy and support to Dual Language Learners; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{14}$ |
| Delaware | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| District of Columbia | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services |
| Florida | None |
| Georgia | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{10}$ |
| Hawaii | There is state-level policy regulating services for DLL/ELL; Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers ${ }^{4}$ |
| Illinois | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Indiana | Parent involvement activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Family engagement activities |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Iowa SVPP | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Kansas Preschool | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Kentucky | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits ${ }^{18}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities |
| Louisiana LA4 | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{13}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{9}$ |
| Maine | Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Maryland | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services |
| Massachusetts 391 | Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits ${ }^{16}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits ${ }^{15}$ |
| Michigan | Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition (beyond meals that are provided); Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Minnesota | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Mississippi | Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services |
| Missouri | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits ${ }^{14}$ |

## Support services required for all programs

| Nebraska | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other services, based on family need |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Other locally determined support services |
| New Jersey Abbott | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| New Jersey ECPA | Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| New Jersey ELLI | Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| New Mexico | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| New York | Parenting support or training; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| North Carolina | Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition (beyond meals that are provided); Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other support services as needed |
| Ohio | Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Referral to social services |
| Oklahoma | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{7}$ |
| Oregon | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition (beyond meals that are provided); Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Mental health services |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No comprehensive services are required |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No comprehensive services are required |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Parent involvement activities; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits ${ }^{9}$ |
| Rhode Island | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition (beyond meals that are provided); Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| South Carolina 4K | No comprehensive services are required; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{7}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Referral to social services; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services |
| Tennessee | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other locally determined support services ${ }^{11}$ |
| Texas | Support services determined locally |
| Vermont Act 62 | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Vermont EEI | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Virginia | Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Referral to social services; Other locally determined support services |
| Washington | Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Information about nutrition; Referral to social services; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits ${ }^{11}$ |
| West Virginia | Parent involvement activities; Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits |
| Wisconsin 4K | Parent involvement activities; Health services for children; Referral to social services; Some comprehensive services are required, but specific services are determined locally; Other services: When districts partner with Head Start, they must provide services per Head Start Federal standards. Requirements depend on how the services are defined. Parent involvement is required when districts obtain additional funds for parent outreach. Required: Referral for special education as needed; Access to school nurse, school psychologist, social worker; Referral for suspected abuse/neglect ${ }^{11}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Education services or job training for parents; Parenting support or training; Parent involvement activities; Health services for parents; Health services for children; Information about nutrition (beyond meals that are provided); Referral to social services; <br> Transition-to-kindergarten activities; Parent conferences and/or home visits; Other services are provided in accordance with Head Start Performance Standards ${ }^{10}$ |



Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' 14 -' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year

Web address where this document can be accessed

| Alabama | http://children.alabama.gov/uploadedFiles/File/DevelopmentalStandardshandbook.pdf |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | https://education.alaska.gov/publications/earlylearningguidelines.pdf |
| Arizona | http://www.azed.gov/early-childhood/2011/11/03/resources-and-publications/ |
| Arkansas | http://www.arkansas.gov/childcare/programsupport/pdf/aeceframwork.pdf |
| California | www.cde.ca.gov/sp/cd/re/cddpublications.asp\#preschool |
| Colorado | http://www.cde.state.co.us/sites/default/files/Early\%20Learning\%20Guidelines.pdf |
| Connecticut CDCC | http://www.ct.gov/oec/elds |
| Connecticut SRP | http://www.ct.gov/oec/elds |
| Delaware | http://www.doe.k12.de.us/site/handlers/filedownload.ashx?moduleinstanceid=879\&dataid=1674\&FileName=PresscELFFinalJul2010.pdf |
| District of Columbia | http://osse.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/osse/publication/attachments/DC\%20Early\%20Learning\%20Standards2013.pdf |
| Florida | http://flbt5.floridaearlylearning.com/bt5_uploads/feldsfyo.pdf |
| Georgia | www.gelds.decal.ga.gov |
| Hawaii | http://earlylearning.hawaii.gov/standards/hawaii-early-learning-and-development-standards-helds/ |
| Illinois | http://www.isbe.net/earlychi/pdf/early_learning_standards.pdf |
| Indiana | http://www.doe.in.gov/earlylearning |
| Iowa Shared Visions | https://www.educateiowa.gov/documents/early-childhood/2014/10/iowa-early-learning-standards-2012 |
| lowa SVPP | https://www.educateiowa.gov/documents/early-childhood/2014/10/iowa-early-learning-standards-2012 |
| Kansas Preschool | www.ksde.org |
| Kansas State Pre-K | www.ksde.org |
| Kentucky | http://kidsnow.ky.gov/Improving-Early-Care/Documents/Kentucky\%20Early\%20Childhood\%20Standards.pdf |
| Louisiana 8(g) | http://bese.louisiana.gov/documents-resources/policies-bulletins |
| Louisiana LA4 | http://www.louisianabelieves.com/docs/academic-standards/early-childhood---birth-to-five-standards.pdf?sfvrsn=7 |
| Louisiana NSECD | http://www.louisianabelieves.com/docs/academic-standards/early-childhood---birth-to-five-standards.pdf?sfvrsn=7 |
| Maine | www.maine.gov/doe/publicpreschool |
| Maryland | http://earlychildhood.marylandpublicschools.org/system/files/filedepot/4/msde-pedagogy-report-_appendix_2016.pdf |
| Massachusetts 391 | http://www.eec.state.ma.us/docs1/research_planning/guidelines4preschool.pdf https://www.wida.us/standards/EarlyYears.aspx http://www.mass.gov/edu/birth-grade-12/early-education-and-care/curriculum-and-learning/ |
| Massachusetts UPK | http://www.eec.state.ma.us/docs1/research_planning/guidelines4preschool.pdf https://www.wida.us/standards/EarlyYears.aspx http://www.mass.gov/edu/birth-grade-12/early-education-and-care/curriculum-and-learning/ |
| Michigan | http://www.michigan.gov/gsrp |
| Minnesota | http://education.state.mn.us/MDE/EdExc/EarlyChildRes/index.html |
| Mississippi | www.mde.k12.ms.us/ec |
| Missouri | http://dese.mo.gov/sites/default/files/eel-el-2013-MELGoals.pdf |
| Nebraska | http://www.education.ne.gov/OEC/pubs/ELG/3_5_English.pdf |
| Nevada | www.doe.nv.gov/Early_Childhood/ |
| New Jersey Abbott | http://www.state.nj.us/education/ece/guide/ |
| New Jersey ECPA | http://www.state.nj.us/education/ece/guide/ |
| New Jersey ELLI | http://www.state.nj.us/education/ece/guide/ |
| New Mexico | https://www.newmexicoprek.org/Docs/PreKMaterials2010_2011/ELGs_web_version_Jan11.pdf |
| New York | http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/common_core_standards/pdfdocs/nyslsprek.pdf |
| North Carolina | http://ncchildcare.nc.gov/pdf_forms/NC_foundations.pdf |
| Ohio | http://education.ohio.gov/Topics/Early-Learning/Early-Learning-Content-Standards http://earlychildhoodohio.org/sutq.php |
| Oklahoma | http://ok.gov/sde/sites/ok.gov.sde/files/documents/files/PASS_PreK_OSDE.pdf |
| Oregon | Not available |
| Pennsylvania RTL | http://www.pakeys.org/pages/get.aspx?page=Career_Standards |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | http://www.pakeys.org/pages/get.aspx?page=Career_Standards |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | http://www.pakeys.org/pages/get.aspx?page=Career_Standards |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | http://www.pakeys.org/pages/get.aspx?page=Career_Standards |
| Rhode Island | www.rields.com |
| South Carolina 4K | https://ed.sc.gov/scdoe/assets/file/programs-services/64/documents/EarlyLearningGoodStart.pdf |
| South Carolina CDEP | http://ed.sc.gov/scdoe/assets/file/programs-services/64/documents/EarlyLearningGoodStart.pdf |
| Tennessee | http://www.tennessee.gov/education/article/early-learning-development-standards |
| Texas | http://tea.texas.gov/pkg.aspx |
| Vermont Act 62 | http://education.vermont.gov/documents/vels_poster.pdf |
| Vermont EEI | http://education.vermont.gov/documents/vels_poster.pdf |
| Virginia | http://www.doe.virginia.gov/instruction/early_childhood/preschool_initiative/foundationblocks.pdf |
| Washington | Guidelines: http://www.del.wa.gov/publications/development/docs/Guidelines.pdf Performance standards: http://www.del.wa.gov/publications/eceap/docs/ECEAP_PerformanceStandards.pdf |
| West Virginia | http://wvde.state.wv.us/policies/policy.php?p=2520.15 |
| Wisconsin 4K | http://www.collaboratingpartners.com/wmels-about.php |
| Wisconsin HdSt | http://www.collaboratingpartners.com/wmels-about.php |

[^71]

[^72]Are early learning standards aligned with the
Common Core standards for early grades?
Are early learning standards aligned with state's college and career

| Alabama | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No | No |
| Arizona | Yes | Yes |
| Arkansas | Yes | Yes |
| California | Yes | Yes |
| Colorado | Yes | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes | Alignment is in progress |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes | Alignment is in progress |
| Delaware | Yes | Yes |
| District of Columbia | Yes | Yes |
| Florida | No ${ }^{11}$ | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes | Yes |
| Hawaii | Yes | Alignment is in progress |
| Illinois | Yes | Yes |
| Indiana | No ${ }^{8}$ | No ${ }^{8}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | Yes | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| lowa SVPP | Yes ${ }^{12}$ | Yes ${ }^{12}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes | Yes |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes | Yes |
| Kentucky | Yes ${ }^{19}$ | Yes |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Yes ${ }^{5}$ | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | Yes ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes ${ }^{10}$ | Yes |
| Maine | Yes | Yes |
| Maryland | Yes | Yes |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes | Yes |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes | Yes |
| Michigan | Yes | Yes |
| Minnesota | Alignment is in progress | Alignment is in progress |
| Mississippi | Yes | Yes ${ }^{2}$ |
| Missouri | Alignment is in progress | Alignment is in progress |
| Nebraska | No ${ }^{9}$ | Alignment is in progress |
| Nevada | Yes ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes ${ }^{11}$ | Yes |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes ${ }^{10}$ | Yes |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes ${ }^{11}$ | Yes |
| New Mexico | Yes | Yes |
| New York | Yes ${ }^{12}$ | Yes |
| North Carolina | Yes ${ }^{8}$ | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Ohio | Yes | Yes |
| Oklahoma | No ${ }^{9}$ | Yes |
| Oregon | Alignment is in progress ${ }^{10}$ | Alignment is in progress |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Yes | Yes |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Yes | Yes |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Yes | Yes |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Yes ${ }^{10}$ | Yes |
| Rhode Island | Yes ${ }^{4}$ | Yes |
| South Carolina 4K | No ${ }^{8}$ | Alignment is in progress ${ }^{8}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | No ${ }^{8}$ | Alignment is in progress ${ }^{8}$ |
| Tennessee | Yes ${ }^{13}$ | Yes |
| Texas | No | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | Alignment is in progress | Alignment is in progress |
| Vermont EEI | Yes | Alignment is in progress |
| Virginia | No | No |
| Washington | Yes | No |
| West Virginia | Yes | Yes |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes ${ }^{12}$ | Alignment is in progress |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Yes ${ }^{11}$ | Alignment is in progress |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-14$ school year.

## NEGP domains addressed in standards

| Alabama | Physical well-being/motor development; Social/emotional development; Approaches toward learning; |
| :--- | :--- |
| Language development; Cognitive and general knowledge |  |

## NEGP domains addressed in standards

| Nebraska | Physical well-being/motor development; Social/emotional development; Approaches toward learning; |
| :--- | :--- |
| Language development; Cognitive and general knowledge |  |

Minimum teacher degree requirement

| Alabama | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{7}$ |
| Arizona | Quality First Scholarships: 25\% of Lead Teachers and Administrators must have 12 college credit hours in Early Childhood or related fields, or a Certificate of Completion in ECE or Child Development, or a CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Arkansas | AR Teacher Licensure (public); BA in Early Childhood (nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ |
| California | The California Child Development Associate Teacher Permit (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Colorado | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits (nonpublic) |
| Connecticut SRP | CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Delaware | AA (public and nonpublic) |
| District of Columbia | BA (public) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Florida | BA (summer); CDA or equivalent plus specified training (school year) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Georgia | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Hawaii | BA in Elementary Education (public) |
| Illinois | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| Indiana | Other (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | BA (public); CDA (nonpublic) ${ }^{15}$ |
| lowa SVPP | BA with lowa Teaching License (public and nonpublic) |
| Kansas Preschool | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| Kansas State Pre-K | BA (public) |
| Kentucky | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{20}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | BA (public) |
| Louisiana LA4 | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{15}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | BA (nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Maine | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| Maryland | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | BA (public); No requirement (nonpublic) ${ }^{18}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | BA (public); No requirement (nonpublic) ${ }^{17}$ |
| Michigan | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Minnesota | BA (public); AA (nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Mississippi | BA/BS (public and nonpublic) |
| Missouri | BA/BS (public and nonpublic) |
| Nebraska | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Nevada | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| New Jersey Abbott | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| New Jersey ECPA | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| New Jersey ELLI | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| New Mexico | BA (public); High School Diploma or Equivalent (nonpublic) |
| New York | BA and NYS teaching certificate (public); BA in Early Childhood or related field with 5-year plan to become certified (nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| North Carolina | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Ohio | AA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Oklahoma | BA (public) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Oregon | BA/BS (public); AA (nonpublic) ${ }^{11,12}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | BA (public); AA (nonpublic) |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | AA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Rhode Island | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| South Carolina 4K | BA (public) |
| South Carolina CDEP | BA in Early Childhood Education (public); Working towards AA (nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Tennessee | BA (public and nonpublic) |
| Texas | BA (public); No requirement (nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | BA (public); AA or CDA or child care certificate (nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Vermont EEI | BA (public); Other (nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Virginia | BA (public); High School Diploma or Equivalent (nonpublic) ${ }^{6}$ |
| Washington | AA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |
| West Virginia | BA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | BA and Early Childhood teacher license (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Follows federal Head Start regulations ${ }^{12}$ |

[^73]
## Required teacher certification/licensure/endorsement

| Alabama | Public: ECE (P-3); Nonpublic: CD (Pre-K) ${ }^{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Public and nonpublic: ECE endorsement P-3 (P-3) ${ }^{7}$ |
| Arizona | Quality First Scholarships: 25\% of Lead Teachers and Administrators must have 12 college credit hours in Early Childhood or related fields, or a Certificate of Completion in ECE or Child Development, or a CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Arkansas | Public: AR Teacher Licensure, Pre-K through 4th grade; <br> Nonpublic: BA Degree in Early Childhood Education or related field with a minimum of 12 hours in EC, Pre-K ${ }^{10}$ |
| California | Public and nonpublic: The California Child Development Associate Teacher Permit (Pre-K) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Colorado | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood course ${ }^{10}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Nonpublic: CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits (Pre-K 3- and 4-year-olds) |
| Connecticut SRP | Public and nonpublic: CDA plus 12 Early Childhood credits (Pre-K 3- and 4-year-olds) |
| Delaware | None ${ }^{6}$ |
| District of Columbia | Public: State licensure ${ }^{14}$ |
| Florida | BA or higher in ECE prekindergarten or primary education preschool education family and consumer science or teacher certification in any area (summer); CDA or equivalent and specified training about performance standards and emergent literacy training (school year) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Georgia | Public and nonpublic: Elementary Grades (P-8); Special Education General Curriculum Consultative/ECE (P-5); ECE (P-5); Special Education Preschool (3-5 endorsement (P)) Birth to Five ${ }^{11}$ |
| Hawaii | Public: Hawaii Teacher Standards Board License (K-6) |
| Illinois | Public and nonpublic: Professional Educator License with Early Childhood Education Endorsement (Birth through 3rd Grade) ${ }^{8}$ |
| Indiana | None ${ }^{9}$ |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Public: EC Endorsement with or without special education (Birth-3rd); EC Endorsement PreK-K (Birth-Kindergarten); Nonpublic: AA in EC Education or Child Development (Birth-Kindergarten) |
| Iowa SVPP | Public and nonpublic: EC including special education (Birth-3rd); EC without special education (Birth-3rd); EC, Pre-K-K (Pre-K-K) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Unified (Birth through third grade); Early Childhood Unified (Birth through kindergarten); Early Childhood (Birth to five); Early Childhood Handicapped (Birth to five) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Public: Early Childhood Unified (Birth through third grade); Early Childhood Unified (Birth through kindergarten); Early Childhood (Birth to five); Early Childhood Handicapped (Birth to five); Elementary Education with EC Endorsement (K-6); Elementary Education (K-6) |
| Kentucky | Public and nonpublic: Interdisciplinary Early Childhood Education certification (Birth-K) ${ }^{20}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Public: Early Interventionist (3 years-K); Nursery School (3-5 years); PK-3 (4 years to 3rd grade) Noncategorical preschool handicapped; Kindergarten ${ }^{6}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | Public and nonpublic: Kindergarten (PreK and kindergarten); Nursery School (3-5 years); Early Interventionist (3 years to kindergarten); PK-3 (PK through 3rd grade); Noncategorical preschool handicapped (3 years through kindergarten); EC Endorsement with or without Special Education (Birth-3rd) ${ }^{15}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Nonpublic: Early Interventionist (3 yrs to K); Nursery School (3-5 years); Kindergarten (5 years); PK-3 (4 years-3rd grade); Noncategorical preschool handicapped ( $3-5$ years) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Maine | Public and nonpublic: 081 Early Childhood Education (Pre-K) |
| Maryland | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood (Pre-K-Grade 2) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Public: Early Childhood Teacher of Students with and without Disabilities (Pre-K to grade 2); Nonpublic: EEC Lead Teacher Certification for Preschool Age (Preschool) ${ }^{18}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Public: Early Childhood Teacher of Students with and without Disabilities (Pre-K to grade 2); Nonpublic: Early Childhood Certificate (Preschool) ${ }^{17}$ |
| Michigan | Public and nonpublic: Elementary Teaching Certificate with EC Endorsement; BA in CD with focus on preschool teaching ${ }^{11}$ |
| Minnesota | Public: EC Endorsement with or without Special Ed (Early Childhood); Birth-Third grade license (EC-3rd grade); Nonpublic: Pre-K-K (Birth-K) (Preschool); AA in ECE or CD (Preschool) |
| Mississippi | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Education, Child Development, or an equivalent field (Pre-K); BA/BS in any field with 12 credit hours in Early Childhood Education, Child Development, or an equivalent field (Pre-K); BA/BS in any field and have a specialized Early Childhood training program deemed equivalent by MDE to 12 hours of approved coursework (Pre-K) |
| Missouri | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Education (Birth-3rd grade); Early Childhood Special Education (Birth-3rd grade); Four-year Child Development degree ${ }^{15}$ |

## Required teacher certification/licensure/endorsement

| Nebraska | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Inclusive (Birth through 3rd grade); Elementary Education with Early Childhood Education (Age 3 through elementary grades); Special Education with Early Childhood Special Education Endorsement (Birth through elementary grades) ${ }^{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Endorsement (Birth-2nd grade); Early Childhood Special Education (Birth-2nd grade) ${ }^{15}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Public and nonpublic: P-3 certificate (P-3); K-5 certificate (P-5); Teacher of Students with Disabilities (P-12); Nursery school (P-K); Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing, Handicapped, or Blind/Partially Sighted (P-12) ${ }^{12}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public and nonpublic: P-3 certificate (P-3); K-5 certificate (P-5); Teacher of Students with Disabilities (P-12); Nursery school (P-K); Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing, Handicapped, or Blind/Partially Sighted (P-12) ${ }^{11}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Public and nonpublic: P-3 certificate (P-3); K-5 certificate (P-5); Teacher of Students with Disabilities (P-12); Nursery school (P-K); Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing, Handicapped, or Blind/Partially Sighted (P-12) ${ }^{12}$ |
| New Mexico | Public: Early Childhood License (Birth to grade 3); Early Childhood License (Birth to pre-K); Early Childhood License (Pre-K to grade 3) ${ }^{9}$ |
| New York | Public and nonpublic: ECE Certification (Birth to 2nd grade); Certificate in Students with Disabilities (Birth to grade 2); Teaching Certificate (N-6, pre-K-6); Nonpublic: AA in ECE or CD ${ }^{11,13}$ |
| North Carolina | Public and nonpublic: NC B-K Lateral Entry License (Birth through kindergarten); <br> NC B-K Standard Professional I License (Birth through kindergarten); NC B-K Standard Professional II License (Birth through kindergarten); NC Preschool/Pre-K/K Add-on License (Preschool 4-year-olds) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Ohio | Public and nonpublic: Pre-K-3 (Pre-K-3); Pre-K (Pre-K); Early Childhood Intervention Specialist (Pre-K-3); K-3 or K-8 Certificate, plus 4 courses in Early Childhood Education (Pre-K); Pre-K Associate (Pre-K) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Oklahoma | Public and nonpublic: Four-year-olds and Younger (Birth-Pre-K); Public: Early Childhood Certification (Early Childhood Certification) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Oregon | Public and nonpublic: AA in ECE (Preschool) |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Public: ECE PK-4 (PK-4); ECE N-3 (N-3) ${ }^{7}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Public: ECE PK-4 (PK-4); ECE N-3 (N-3) ${ }^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Public and nonpublic: ECE PK-4 (PK-4); ECE N-3 (N-3); Nonpublic: Elementary (K-6) |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Public: ECE PK-4 (PK-4); ECE N-3 (N-3) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Rhode Island | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Certification (Pre-K to grade 2) |
| South Carolina 4K | Public: Early Childhood Education Licensure (Pre-K-2nd grade); Early Childhood Endorsement (Pre-K-3rd grade) |
| South Carolina CDEP | Public: Early Childhood Education Licensure (4K-2nd grade) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Tennessee | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Ed Pre-K-3 (Pre-K-3); Early Development and Learning (B-K); Special Education Early Childhood (Pre-K-3) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Texas | Public: Generalist (EC-6); Bilingual (EC-6); ESL (EC-6); Special Education (EC-6); Nonpublic: None ${ }^{10}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Public: Teacher License with Early Childhood or Early Childhood Special Education Endorsement (Birth-grade 3); Nonpublic: CDA (Birth-preschool); Child Care Certificate (Birth-preschool) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Public: EC Educator (Birth-grade 3); EC Educator-Limited (Birth-K); EC Special Educator (Birth-6 yrs); Nonpublic: AA or CDA ${ }^{9}$ |
| Virginia | Public: Early Primary (Pre-K-3); Elem Ed (Pre-K-6) |
| Washington | Public and nonpublic: 30 quarter credits in ECE (Birth-age 8) ${ }^{12}$ |
| West Virginia | Public: EC Endorsement (PK/K); Preschool Special Needs (Pre-K/PSN); Nonpublic: Community Program Permanent Authorization (Pre-K) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Endorsement with or without Special Education; EC Endorsement (Birth to age 8, birth to grade 11, or kindergarten) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Per Federal Head Start Regulations ${ }^{12}$ |

Education level of teachers during 2014-2015, percent
Education level of teachers during 2014-2015, number

| Alabama | BA, 80\%; MA, 20\%; Other, .3\% | BA, 337; MA, 83; Other, 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Arizona | High School, 2\%; CDA, 2\%; AA, 7\%; BA, 11\%; MA, 1\%; Other, 9\% ${ }^{14}$ | High School, 42; CDA, 35; AA, 121; BA, 185; MA, 23; Other, $197{ }^{14}$ |
| Arkansas | Breakdown not available ${ }^{11}$ | CDA, 15; AA, 64; BA, 833; MA, 107; Other, 385 home visiting credential ${ }^{11}$ |
| California | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Colorado | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Connecticut CDCC | CDA, 4.4\%; AA, 32.1\%; BA, 47.8\%; MA, 9.1\%; Other, 6.6\% ${ }^{11}$ | CDA, 24; AA, 176; BA, 262; MA, 50; Other, $36{ }^{11}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | CDA, 2.7\%; AA, 23.3\%; BA, 49.8\%; MA, 20.2\%; Other, 4\% ${ }^{17}$ | CDA, 33; AA, 283; BA, 604; MA, 245; Other, $49^{17}$ |
| Delaware | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| District of Columbia | AA, 10.40\%; BA, 53.10\%; MA, 28.10\%; PhD, .3\%; No information, 8.1\% | AA, 37; BA, 189; MA, 100; PhD, 1; No information, 29 |
| Florida | CDA, 66\%; AA, 21\%; BA, 11\%; MA, 2\% | CDA, 8,284; AA, 2,618; BA, 1,311; MA, 227 |
| Georgia | AA, 1.6\%; BA, 70.25\%; MA, 23.75\%; Other, 4.36\% ${ }^{11}$ | AA, 63; BA, 2,689; MA, 909; Other, $167{ }^{11}$ |
| Hawaii | BA, 90\%; MA, 10\% | BA, 18; MA, 2 |
| Illinois | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Indiana | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| lowa Shared Visions | CDA, 1\%; AA, 5\%; BA, 77\%; MA, 17\% | CDA, 1; AA, 6; BA, 90; MA, 20 |
| Iowa SVPP | BA, 100\% ${ }^{14}$ | BA, $664{ }^{14}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | BA, 100\% | BA, 81 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Kentucky | BA, 32\%; MA, 68\% ${ }^{21}$ | Breakdown not available ${ }^{21}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | BA, 100\% | BA, 134 |
| Louisiana LA4 | BA, 100\% ${ }^{16}$ | BA, $930{ }^{16}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | BA, 68\%; MA, 31\%; PhD, 1\% ${ }^{12}$ | BA, 57; MA, 26; PhD, $1^{12}$ |
| Maine | BA, 70.81\%; MA, 25.95\%; Advanced study/doctorate, 3.24\% | BA, 131; MA, 48; Advance study/doctorate, 6 |
| Maryland | BA, 100\% ${ }^{15}$ | Breakdown not available ${ }^{15}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Breakdown not available ${ }^{19}$ | Breakdown not available ${ }^{19}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Breakdown not available ${ }^{17}$ | Breakdown not available ${ }^{17}$ |
| Michigan | CDA, 1\%; BA, 71\%; MA, 28\% ${ }^{13}$ | CDA, 18; BA, 1,497; MA, 589 ${ }^{13}$ |
| Minnesota | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available ${ }^{11}$ |
| Mississippi | BA, 100\% | BA, 111 |
| Missouri | BA, 100\% ${ }^{16}$ | BA, $213^{16}$ |
| Nebraska | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Nevada | High school diploma/GED, 5.7\%; BA, 48.5\%; MA, 42.8\%; Other, 2.8\% | High school diploma/GED, 2; BA, 17; MA, 15; Other, 1 |
| New Jersey Abbott | BA, 73.2\%; MA, 26.2\%; PhD, .5\% | BA, 2,278; MA, 816; PhD, 17 |
| New Jersey ECPA | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| New Jersey ELLI | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| New Mexico | High school diploma/GED, 3.95\%; CDA, 3.66\%; AA, 9.3\%; BA, 64.51\%; MA, 18.31\%; Education specialist, .28\% | High school diploma/GED, 14; CDA, 13; AA, 33; BA, 229; MA, 65; Education specialist, 2 |
| New York | Breakdown not available ${ }^{13}$ | Breakdown not available ${ }^{13}$ |
| North Carolina | BA, 85\%; MA, 15\% ${ }^{9}$ | BA, 1,685; MA, 2979 |
| Ohio | AA, 12\%; BA, 43\%; MA, 44\%; Other, $1 \%^{10}$ | AA, 149; BA, 534; MA, 567; Other, $12^{10}$ |
| Oklahoma | BA, 81.87\%; MA, 18.08\%; Other, 1\% | BA, 1,576; MA, 348; Other, . 05 |
| Oregon | CDA, 7\%; AA, 28\%; BA, 48\%; MA, 17\% | CDA, 32; AA, 118; BA, 200; MA, 68 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | High school diploma/GED, .2\%; CDA, .2\%; AA, 1\%; BA, 65\%; MA, 22\% | High school diploma/GED, 2; CDA, 2; AA, 10; BA, 538; MA, 180 |
| Rhode Island | BA, 100\% | BA, 17 |
| South Carolina 4K | BA, 46\%; MA, 53\%; Doctorate, . $26 \%{ }^{9}$ | BA, 218; MA, 250; Doctorate, $1^{9}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | BA, 43\%; MA, 56\%; Doctorate, .6\% ${ }^{10}$ | BA, 257; MA, 330; Doctorate, $4^{10}$ |
| Tennessee | BA, 51\%; MA, 44\%; Other, 5\% ${ }^{15}$ | BA, 483; MA, 417; Other, $48{ }^{15}$ |
| Texas | BA, 100\% | BA, 9,068 |
| Vermont Act 62 | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Vermont EEI | CDA, 5\%; BA, 52\%; MA, 22\%; Other, 21\% | CDA, 7; BA, 70; MA, 30; Other, 29 |
| Virginia | CDA, 2\%; AA, 1\%; BA, 97\% | Breakdown not available ${ }^{7}$ |
| Washington | Data not available ${ }^{13}$ | Data not available ${ }^{13}$ |
| West Virginia | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |
| Wisconsin 4K | BA, 73\%; MA, 26\% | Breakdown not available |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Breakdown not available | Breakdown not available |

[^74]|  | Teacher in-service requirement | Minimum assistant teacher degree requirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 30 clock hours/year | CDA or 9 hours of approved coursework in Child Development (public and nonpublic) |
| Alaska | 6 credit hours/5 years | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{7}$ |
| Arizona | 18 clock hours/year ${ }^{15}$ | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Arkansas | 30 clock hours/year ${ }^{12}$ | CDA (public and nonpublic) |
| California | 105 clock hours/5 years | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Colorado | 15 clock hours/year | No minimum degree required (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | 20 clock hours/year ${ }^{12}$ | Other (nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | 9 clock hours/year ${ }^{18}$ | No minimum degree required (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{19}$ |
| Delaware | 18 hours/year | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{6}$ |
| District of Columbia | 30 clock hours/year ${ }^{15}$ | Other (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Florida | 10 clock hours/year ${ }^{12}$ | None (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Georgia | 15 clock hours/year ${ }^{12}$ | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Hawaii | $21^{6}$ | AA (public) |
| Illinois | 120 clock hours/5 years | Other (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Indiana | 20 clock hours/year | Other (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{9}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | 6 credit hours/5 years ${ }^{16}$ | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{17}$ |
| lowa SVPP | 15 clock hours per school year ${ }^{15}$ | Other (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | 15 hours/year | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | 15 hours/year | CDA (public) |
| Kentucky | 24 clock hours/year | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{22}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 18 clock hours/year | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{7}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | 18 clock hours/year | HSD or Equivalent (public) ${ }^{17}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | 18 clock hours/year ${ }^{13}$ | CDA (nonpublic) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Maine | 6 credit hours/5 years | Educator Technician II (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{15}$ |
| Maryland | 24 hours/year | Determined locally (public); HSD or Equivalent (nonpublic) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | 150 clock hours/5 years ${ }^{20}$ | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{21}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | 20 clock hours/year ${ }^{18}$ | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{19}$ |
| Michigan | 16 clock hours/year ${ }^{12}$ | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Minnesota | 15 clock hours/year ${ }^{12}$ | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Mississippi | 15 clock hours/year | AA (public); Other (nonpublic) ${ }^{3}$ |
| Missouri | 22 clock hours/year ${ }^{17}$ | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{18}$ |
| Nebraska | 12 clock hours/year | CDA or 12 semester hours of credit in CD or ECE, or equivalent in prior training and experience (public and nonpublic) |
| Nevada | 6 credit hours/5 years | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) |
| New Jersey Abbott | 100 clock hours/5 years | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | 100 clock hours/5 years | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | 100 clock hours/5 years | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| New Mexico | 45 clock hours/year | Other (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ |
| New York | 175 clock hours/5 years | HSD + 9 credits in EC and Level I Teaching Assistance Certification (public); HSD (nonpublic) |
| North Carolina | 75 credit hours/5-year BK SPII Licensure cycle ${ }^{11}$ | CDA or AA in Early Childhood Education (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Ohio | 20 clock hours/2 years ${ }^{7}$ | HSD or Equivalent (public and nonpublic) |
| Oklahoma | None ${ }^{11}$ | HSD or Equivalent (public) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Oregon | 15 clock hours/year | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | 180 hours/5 years | Meets NCLB requirements (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{8}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | 15 hours/year | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | 180 hours/5 years | No minimum degree required (K4); Meets NCLB requirements (SBPK) (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{7}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | 180 hours/5 years | Meets NCLB requirements (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Rhode Island | 20 clock hours/year | HSD plus 12 college credits in ECE (public and nonpublic) |
| South Carolina 4K | 6 credit hours/5 years | No minimum degree required (public) |
| South Carolina CDEP | 15 clock hours/year ${ }^{11}$ | Completion of ECD 101 course within 1 year of being hired (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Tennessee | 18 hours/year ${ }^{16}$ | Other (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{17}$ |
| Texas | 150 clock hours/5 years | HSD or Equivalent (public); No requirement (nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | 9 credit hours/7 years ${ }^{10}$ | AA (public); HSD + 30 hours or 3-credit course in CD (nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Vermont EEI | 9 credit hours/7 years (public); 12 clock hours/year (nonpublic) ${ }^{10}$ | AA (public); HSD +30 hours or 3-credit course in CD (nonpublic) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Virginia | 15 clock hours/year | HSD or Equivalent (public); No minimum degree required (nonpublic) |
| Washington | 15 hours/year | CDA or 12 credits in ECE (public and nonpublic) |
| West Virginia | 15 clock hours/year | CDA (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | 6 credit hours/5 years ${ }^{14}$ | Determined locally (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{15}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | 15 clock hours/year ${ }^{13}$ | Determined locally (public and nonpublic) ${ }^{12}$ |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-15$ school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year

Assistant teacher specialized training requirement

| Alabama | Public and nonpublic: CDA (Pre-K); 9 credits of college coursework in CD or EC (CD or EC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | None ${ }^{7}$ |
| Arizona | None |
| Arkansas | Public and nonpublic: CDA |
| California | None ${ }^{12}$ |
| Colorado | None ${ }^{11}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | None ${ }^{13}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | None ${ }^{19}$ |
| Delaware | None |
| District of Columbia | None ${ }^{16}$ |
| Florida | None ${ }^{13}$ |
| Georgia | Public and nonpublic: CDA (B-5); Public: State Paraprofessional Certification (P-8) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Hawaii | None |
| Illinois | Public and nonpublic: Professional Educator License with Early Childhood Education Endorsement (Pre-K to Age 21) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Indiana | None ${ }^{9}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | None ${ }^{17}$ |
| Iowa SVPP | Public and nonpublic: Para-educator, generalist, and EC (Pre-K); CDA (Pre-K); Twelve hours college credit in EC topics (Pre-K) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Public and nonpublic: CDA (EC); AA (EC) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Public: CDA (Pre-K); Highly-qualified para (Pre-K-6) |
| Kentucky | None ${ }^{22}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | None ${ }^{7}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | None ${ }^{17}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Nonpublic: CDA (Pre-K); AA (Early Childhood or Elementary Education) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Maine | Public: MRTQ Level IV on Career Lattice (Pre-K); Nonpublic: Educational Technician III ${ }^{15}$ |
| Maryland | None ${ }^{16}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | None ${ }^{22}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | None ${ }^{19}$ |
| Michigan | Public and nonpublic: AA in Early ECE/CD (Pre-K); CDA (Pre-K) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Minnesota | Public and nonpublic: CDA ${ }^{11}$ |
| Mississippi | Public and nonpublic: AA in Early Childhood Education or Child Development (Pre-K); AA in any field and a CDA, Montessori certification, or equivalent certification (Pre-K)³ |
| Missouri | Public and nonpublic: CDA Two-year AA in Child Care/Education, or Sixty college hours with a minimum of three college credit hours in early childhood, child development, or child/family related courses, and experience working in a program with young children and their families ${ }^{18}$ |
| Nebraska | None |
| Nevada | None |
| New Jersey Abbott | None ${ }^{13}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | None ${ }^{12}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | None ${ }^{13}$ |
| New Mexico | Public: Education Assistant (Pre-K-12) ${ }^{10}$ |


| New York | Public: Level 1, 2, or 3 Teaching Assistant Certificate; Nonpublic: HSD or meeting licensing agency standards ${ }^{14}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| North Carolina | Public and nonpublic: CDA (B-K); Public: NCLB (B-K) ${ }^{12}$ |
| Ohio | None |
| Oklahoma | None $^{12}$ |
| Oregon | Public and nonpublic: CDA ${ }^{13}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | None $^{8}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | None $^{6}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | None $^{7}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | None $^{12}$ |
| Rhode Island | None |
| South Carolina 4K | None |
| South Carolina CDEP | Public and nonpublic: ECD 101 in TEACH program (4K) |


| Tennessee | None ${ }^{17}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Texas | None $^{11}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | None $^{11}$ |
| Vermont EEI | None $^{11}$ |
| Virginia | None |
| Washington | Public and nonpublic: Early Childhood Classroom Assistant Teacher Authorization (Pre-K \& K) |
| West Virginia | None ${ }^{15}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | None $^{12}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt |  |

[^75]|  | Total fiscal year 2015 | How much of total spending came from... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | \$48,280,183 | State, \$38,624,146; Required local sources, \$9,656,037 |
| Alaska | \$2,000,000 | State, \$2,000,000 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| Arizona | \$35,829,787 ${ }^{16}$ | State, \$35,829,78716 |
| Arkansas | \$111,000,000 | State, \$103,500,000; Federal, \$7,500,000 |
| California | \$721,985,757 ${ }^{13}$ | State, \$622,930,873; Federal, \$97,401,943; Required local, \$1,652,941 ${ }^{13}$ |
| Colorado | \$83,099,166 | State, \$54,408,293; Required local, \$28,690,873 |
| Connecticut CDCC | \$32,905,922 | State, \$17,304,299; Federal, \$15,604,622 |
| Connecticut SRP | \$116,788,445 | State, \$101,847,578; Required local, \$14,640,867; Non-required local, \$300,00020 |
| Delaware | \$5,985,300 | State, \$5,985,300 |
| District of Columbia | \$220,821,329 | District, \$207,226,639; Federal, \$13,594,690 |
| Florida | \$383,703,444 | State, \$383,703,444 |
| Georgia | \$312,053,998 | State, \$312,053,998 |
| Hawaii | \$2,800,000 | State, \$2,800,000 |
| Illinois | \$280,678,583 | State, \$237,573,973; Non-required local sources, \$43,104,61010 |
| Indiana | \$1,193,169 | State, \$1,073,852; Local, \$119,316 |
| Iowa Shared Visions | \$23,510,522 | State, \$7,716,479; Required local, \$1,543,295; Non-required local, \$14,250,74818 |
| lowa SVPP | \$69,790,458 ${ }^{17}$ | State, \$69,790,458 ${ }^{17}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | \$4,799,812 | State, \$4,799,812 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | \$13,597,560 | State, \$13,597,560 |
| Kentucky | \$143,722,575 | State, \$71,767,687; Federal, \$52,875,734; Non-required local, \$19,079,15423 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | \$8,427,096 ${ }^{8}$ | State, \$8,427,096 ${ }^{8}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | \$76,303,02918 | State, \$34,920,517; Federal, \$39,655,852; Required local, \$1,726,66018 |
| Louisiana NSECD | \$7,181,440 | State, \$7,181,440 |
| Maine | \$13,877,541 | State, \$13,877,541 |
| Maryland | \$108,517,116 ${ }^{17}$ | State, \$108,517,116 |
| Massachusetts 391 | \$11,936,442 ${ }^{23}$ | State, \$8,978,875; Federal, \$2,957,56723 |
| Massachusetts UPK | \$39,601,224 ${ }^{20}$ | State, \$6,300,000; Federal, \$33,301,224 ${ }^{20}$ |
| Michigan | \$239,275,000 ${ }^{14}$ | State, \$239,275,000 ${ }^{14}$ |
| Minnesota | \$10,804,944 | State, \$10,804,944 |
| Mississippi | \$6,621,722 ${ }^{4}$ | State, \$3,128,426; Required local, \$3,128,246; Non-required local, \$365,0504 |
| Missouri | \$13,677,763 | State, \$13,677,763 |
| Nebraska | \$68,953,915 | State, \$33,313,438; Federal, \$28,546,560; Required local, \$7,093,91711 |
| Nevada | \$4,786,562 ${ }^{16}$ | State, \$3,338,875; Federal, \$1,447,687 ${ }^{16}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | \$575,383,000 | State, \$575,383,000 |
| New Jersey ECPA | \$42,329,000 | State, \$42,329,000 ${ }^{13}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | \$2,280,30014 | State, \$2,280,300 ${ }^{14}$ |
| New Mexico | \$39,654,300 | State, \$33,554,300; Federal, \$6,100,000 |
| New York | \$741,851,094 ${ }^{15}$ | State, \$741,851,09415 |
| North Carolina | \$209,257,136 | State, \$123,543,465; Federal, \$68,354,723; Non-required local sources, \$17,358,948 |
| Ohio | \$44,360,000 | State, \$44,360,000 |
| Oklahoma | \$311,926,352 ${ }^{13}$ | State, \$148,690,138; Federal, \$35,904,050; Required local, \$127,005,888; Non-required local, $\$ 326,276^{13}$ |
| Oregon | \$66,263,178 | State, \$66,263,178 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | \$5,735,048 | State, \$5,735,048 |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | \$38,721,977 | State, \$38,721,977 |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | \$6,908,540 | State, \$6,908,540 |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | \$95,224,626 | State, \$95,224,626 |
| Rhode Island | \$2,950,000 | State, \$2,950,000 |
| South Carolina 4K | \$13,013,846 ${ }^{10}$ | State, \$13,013,84610 |
| South Carolina CDEP | \$47,328,637 | State, \$47,238,637; Federal, \$90,000 ${ }^{13,14}$ |
| Tennessee | \$108,826,976 | State, \$84,941,414; Required local, \$23,885,562 |
| Texas | \$798,801,324 | State, \$786,601,324; Federal, \$12,200,000 |
| Vermont Act 62 | \$43,994,57612 | State, \$43,994,57612 |
| Vermont EEI | \$1,000,917 | State, \$1,000,917 |
| Virginia | \$107,445,000 ${ }^{8}$ | State, \$68,300,254; Required local, \$39,144,746 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |
| Washington | \$83,065,649 | State, \$76,676,973; Federal, \$6,388,676 |
| West Virginia | \$151,008,002 | State, \$92,612,807; Federal, \$56,849,071; Non-required local sources, \$1,546,124 |
| Wisconsin 4K | \$287,000,000 ${ }^{16}$ | State, \$179,000,000; Required local, \$108,000,000 ${ }^{16}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | \$6,264,100 | State, \$6,264,100 |

[^76]State funding sources and amounts

| Alabama | State of Alabama Education Trust Fund Budget, $\$ 38,624,146$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Alaska | State, $\$ 2,000,000^{8}$ |
| Arizona | State Tobacco Tax, $\$ 35,829,786^{16}$ |
| Arkansas | General revenue, $\$ 103,500,000$ |
| California | General Fund, $\$ 622,930,873^{13}$ |
| Colorado | General Fund; General Fund Exempt, State Public School Fund (CF), State Education Fund, $\$ 54,408,293$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | General Fund, $\$ 17,304,299$ |
| Connecticut SRP | State School Readiness Funds, $\$ 90,614,479 ;$ |
| Sarent fee subsidies/Care4Kids, $\$ 10,128,711 ;$ |  |
| Delaware | Suality Enhancement Funds, $\$ 1,104,388{ }^{20}$ |

[^77]School funding or state aid formula?

| Alabama |  | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska |  | No |
| Arizona |  | No |
| Arkansas | TANF Transfer, \$7,500,000 | No |
| California | CCDF, \$97,401,943 ${ }^{13}$ | No |
| Colorado |  | Yes ${ }^{12}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Social Services Block Grant, \$15,604,622 | No |
| Connecticut SRP | USDA CACFP, amounts not reported ${ }^{20}$ | Yes ${ }^{21}$ |
| Delaware |  | No |
| District of Columbia | Head Start, \$13,594,690; CCDF, amount not reported TANF, amount not reported | Yes ${ }^{17}$ |
| Florida |  | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| Georgia |  | No |
| Hawaii |  | No |
| Illinois |  | No |
| Indiana | CACFP, amounts not reported | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | Head Start TANF USDA Child and Adult Care Food Program, amounts not reported ${ }^{18}$ | No |
| Iowa SVPP |  | Yes |
| Kansas Preschool |  | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K |  | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Kentucky | Title I, \$10,607,311; IDEA Part B, \$8,103,451; Head Start through districts, \$31,986,112; Other (HHS, Title II, NSLP, etc.), $\$ 2,178,860^{23}$ | Yes ${ }^{24}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) |  | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | TANF, \$39,655,852 ${ }^{18}$ | Yes ${ }^{18}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD |  | No |
| Maine |  | Yes ${ }^{16}$ |
| Maryland |  | Yes ${ }^{17}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Early Childhood Special Education Grant Fund Code (IDEA), \$2,957,56724 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | TANF/CCDF funds, \$33,301,22420,21 | No |
| Michigan |  | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| Minnesota |  | No |
| Mississippi | Title I Title II IDEA, amounts not reported | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Missouri |  | No |
| Nebraska | IDEA Part B, \$25,750,602; Head Start, \$1,562,525; Title 1 Part A, \$1,232,803; Title 1 Part C, \$630 | Yes ${ }^{11}$ |
| Nevada | Title I, \$1,447,687; ECSE-Part B 619, amount not reported | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | TANF CCDF IDEA USDA Child and Adult Care Food Program McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act Title I, amounts not reported | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | TANF IDEA Title I CACFP/NSLP/NSBP Head Start, amounts not reported | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | TANF IDEA Title I CACFP/NSLP/NSBP Head Start, amounts not reported | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| New Mexico | TANF, \$6,100,000 ${ }^{11}$ | No |
| New York |  | Yes ${ }^{16}$ |
| North Carolina | Head Start, $\$ 19,369,969 ;$ Title I, \$14,113,612; Preschool Disabilities, \$10,969,715; CACFP, $\$ 3,843,709 ;$ TANF, $\$ 19,842,334$ | No |
| Ohio | Title I, amount not reported ${ }^{11}$ | No ${ }^{12}$ |
| Oklahoma | $\$ 35,905,050$ : includes funds from Title I (Parts A, B, C, D); Title II (Parts A, B, D); Title IV; Title V; Title VII; Title III; Title VI; Federal Direct Fund; IDEA; Federal Grants-In-Aid; Adult Education and Literacy; Other federal sources, amounts not reported ${ }^{13}$ | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| Oregon | CACFP; CCDF Federal Head Start, amounts not reported | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL |  | Yes ${ }^{9}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP |  | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK |  | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts |  | No |
| Rhode Island | Breakdown not available ${ }^{5}$ | Yes ${ }^{5}$ |
| South Carolina 4K |  | Yes ${ }^{11}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Title I, \$90,000 ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| Tennessee |  | Yes ${ }^{18}$ |
| Texas | Texas Workforce Commission, \$12,200,00012 | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 |  | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| Vermont EEI |  | No |
| Virginia |  | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Washington | CCDF, \$6,388,676 | No |
| West Virginia | Title I, \$123,695; IDEA, \$5,003,954; TANF/CCDF, \$5,438,396; Head Start, \$46,283,026 | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | TANF; CCDF; IDEA; USDA; Title 1, amounts not reported ${ }^{16}$ | Yes ${ }^{16}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | TANF; CCDF; IDEA; USDA Federal Head Start, amounts not reported ${ }^{14}$ | No |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-14$ school year.

Agencies eligible to receive funding directly

| Alabama | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Military child care, Community, College/University |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Public schools, Head Start |
| Arizona | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes |
| Arkansas | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Education Service Cooperative Public Schools ${ }^{13}$ |
| California | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers without religious content |
| Colorado | School districts |
| Connecticut CDCC | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Municipalities, Human Resource Development Agencies, Nonprofit Corporations |
| Connecticut SRP | Municipalities, Religious Education Service Centers, Public Schools have fiduciary responsibility but may contract with a variety of other agencies |
| Delaware | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers |
| District of Columbia | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers |
| Florida | Early Learning Coalitions |
| Georgia | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, University and technical schools, Military bases, Charter schools |
| Hawaii | Public schools |
| Illinois | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes |
| Indiana | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes |
| lowa Shared Visions | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Any public or private non-profit organization |
| lowa SVPP | Public schools ${ }^{18}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers ${ }^{11}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Public schools |
| Kentucky | Public schools |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Public schools |
| Louisiana LA4 | Public schools, Charter schools |
| Louisiana NSECD | Private agencies, Faith-based centers, BESE-approved nonpublic schools ${ }^{15}$ |
| Maine | Public schools |
| Maryland | Public schools ${ }^{18}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Family child care homes, Other EEC licensed center-based programs |
| Massachusetts UPK | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Private schools |
| Michigan | Intermediate School Districts ${ }^{15}$ |
| Minnesota | Head Start |
| Mississippi | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies |
| Missouri | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Family child care homes |
| Nebraska | Public schools, Educational service units ${ }^{12}$ |
| Nevada | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers |
| New Jersey Abbott | Public schools ${ }^{14}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public schools |
| New Jersey ELLI | Public schools |
| New Mexico | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Regional Education Cooperatives, Municipalities, Universities, Charter schools, Bureau of Indian Education Schools, Tribes ${ }^{12}$ |
| New York | Public schools, For SUFDPK, twenty-five community-based agencies received a grant directly |
| North Carolina | Public school, Head Start, Public-private partnerships (local Smart Start partnerships), Other nonprofits |
| Ohio | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes |
| Oklahoma | Public schools |
| Oregon | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Community colleges, Institutions of higher education, Education service districts, Government agencies ${ }^{14}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Public schools |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Head Start |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Public schools |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, PDE licensed nursery schools |
| Rhode Island | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers |
| South Carolina 4K | Public schools |
| South Carolina CDEP | Public schools, SC First Steps |
| Tennessee | Public schools |
| Texas | Public schools, Charter schools |
| Vermont Act 62 | Public schools |
| Vermont EEI | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Family child care homes, Parent-child centers |
| Virginia | Public schools, Other state and local government agencies |
| Washington | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Family child care homes, Local governments, Colleges/universities, Educational service districts ${ }^{14}$ |
| West Virginia | Public schools |
| Wisconsin 4K | Public schools ${ }^{17}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Head Start |

[^78]Agencies with which subcontracting is permitted local match?

| Alabama | None | Yes ${ }^{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Head Start | No |
| Arizona | None | No |
| Arkansas | Public schools | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| California | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers without religious content | No |
| Colorado | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Public agencies such as city recreation centers or university and college labs' school programs, Charter schools | No ${ }^{12}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers | No |
| Connecticut SRP | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Regional Education Service Centers | No |
| Delaware | None | No |
| District of Columbia | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes | No |
| Florida | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Boys and Girls Clubs, the YMCA ${ }^{15}$ | No |
| Georgia | None | No |
| Hawaii | None | No |
| Illinois | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes | No |
| Indiana | None | Yes ${ }^{11}$ |
| lowa Shared Visions | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers | Yes ${ }^{19}$ |
| Iowa SVPP | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers ${ }^{18}$ | No |
| Kansas Preschool | Other settings ${ }^{11}$ | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Public schools | No |
| Kentucky | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based settings without religious content ${ }^{25}$ | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | None | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | Head Start, Private agencies, Charter schools | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Other settings ${ }^{15}$ | No |
| Maine | Head Start, Private agencies, Family child care homes | Yes ${ }^{17}$ |
| Maryland | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers ${ }^{18}$ | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Other EEC licensed center-based programs | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Private schools | No |
| Michigan | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, For-profit public or private agencies ${ }^{15}$ | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| Minnesota | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes | No |
| Mississippi | Public schools, Private agencies | Yes ${ }^{6}$ |
| Missouri | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Family child care homes | No |
| Nebraska | Head Start, Private agencies | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| Nevada | None | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers without religious content ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Other settings: Faith-based centers without religious content ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Other settings: Faith-based centers without religious content ${ }^{15}$ | Yes ${ }^{16}$ |
| New Mexico | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers ${ }^{12}$ | No |
| New York | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Libraries and museums ${ }^{17}$ | No |
| North Carolina | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| Ohio | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes | No |
| Oklahoma | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers | No |
| Oregon | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Community colleges, Institutions of higher education, Education service districts, Government agencies ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, PDE licensed nursery schools | No |
| Rhode Island | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers | No |
| South Carolina 4K | Public schools | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers | No |
| Tennessee | Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Institutions of Higher Education, Housing Authorities, Adult Learning Centers ${ }^{19}$ | Yes ${ }^{20}$ |
| Texas | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | Head Start, Private agencies, Family child care homes ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| Vermont EEI | Private agencies, Family child care homes | No |
| Virginia | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Washington | Public schools, Head Start, Private agencies, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes, Local governments, Colleges/universities, Educational service districts ${ }^{14}$ | No |
| West Virginia | Head Start, Private agencies, Licensed faith-based centers without religious content | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | Head Start, Child care centers, Faith-based centers, Family child care homes ${ }^{17}$ | Yes |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Public schools, Private agencies, Family child care homes | No |

[^79]
## Information collected for monitoring purposes

Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS, 2 times per year, Structured coaching occurs at least monthly, monitoring completed monthly); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (TS GOLD-ongoing documentation of observation-based

| Alabama | assessments, Three checkpoints per year. PPVT is used in randomly selected classrooms, ASQ-3.) Documentation of program-level outcome <br> (TS GOLD, PPVT, CLASS, Learning Environment Checklist); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Monthly); <br> Results of program self-assessments (Annually); Review of program records (Monthly ${ }^{11}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Alaska | Structured observations of classroom quality; Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes; |

Structured observations of classroom quality (ERS - 12-15 months (1-2 star programs); 24-27 months (3-5 star programs); CLASS a- 12-15 months (1-2 star programs); 24-27 months (3-5 star programs); Quality First Points Scale - 24-27 months (3-5 star programs); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (No specific tool required - 24-27 months for 3-5 star programs); Documentation of program-

Arizona | level outcomes (Star Rating calculated using structured observations of classroom quality - $12-15$ months (1-2 star programs), 24-27 months |
| ---: |
| (3-5 star programs)); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (DHS Child Care Licensing -annual visit DES Certified Homes - |
| twice per year); Participation in a state quality rating system (Quality First participation is required); Review of program records: | twice per year); Participation in a state quality rating system (Quality First participation is required); Review of program records:

(Child eligibility and enrollment is reviewed at each site. Approximately $25 \%$ of programs are monitored each year.) ${ }^{17}$
Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS, ITERS, annually); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Work sampling, annually); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Better Beginnings- QRIS, annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (AR Minimum Licensing Requirements, annually); Review of Program Records (COPA Data Management System, annually) Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (DRDP Tech, within 60 days of the initial enrollment and not to exceed every 6 months thereafter); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Environmental Rating Scale self-assessment, parent surveys annually, parent-teacher conferences every 6 months); Review of program facilities and safety California procedures (Summary information is provided by the contractor on an annual basis); Results of program self-assessments: Specify frequency and tools (Summary information is provided by the contractor on an annual basis); Participation in a state quality rating system (Voluntary); Review of program records (Agencies are required to submit agency-wide program and fiscal audits each year and data on children and families served each month. Agencies are responsible for prioritizing program improvement areas.)
Structured observations of classroom quality (District Advisory Councils use Colorado Quality Standards two times per year); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Teaching Strategies GOLD three times per year); Review of program facilities and safety Colorado procedures (Child Care Licensing Requirements); Results of program self-assessments (Colorado Shines- Quality Improvement Rating System); Participation in a state quality rating system (Colorado Shines- Quality Improvement Rating System);

Review of program records (Colorado Shines- Quality Improvement Rating System) ${ }^{13,14}$

| Connecticut CDCC |
| :--- |
| Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Through OEC Child Care Licensing); Other (Monthly enrollment reports, |
| Community collaboration documents, Subcontractor monitoring plans) |

Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS and CLASS; Instrument is locally determined, annually); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Florida VPK Assessment, Pre- and Post-Assessment); Documentation of program-level outcomes (ECHOSTM, and Florida Assessments for Instruction in Reading (FAIR), annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (DCF licensing monitoring, three times per year); Review of program records (Child and provider eligibility determination documentation, child attendance, provider reimbursement annually)
Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS, annually); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (WSO ratings throughout the school year, report to parents at least two times per school year); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Child Georgia care centers are monitored for health and safety throughout the year); Results of program self-assessments (Programs are required to complete the Grant Requirement Checklist, Instructional Quality guides for the learning environment, daily schedule, lesson plans and assessment); Participation in a state quality rating system (Participation in QRIS is voluntary); Review of program records (Review of children's records, roster reporting, Grant Requirement Checklist, and Roster Verification Checklist)

| Hawaii | Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS, 2 X per year); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes <br> (TS GOLD, 3X per year); Documentation of program-level outcomes (CLASS observations, 3 times per year); <br> Review of program records (Fiscal monitoring occurs annually, information is provided to OEL at the end of each quarter to ensure schools are meeting program requirements) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Illinois | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS-R or CLASS at least once every 3 years); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Review child portfolios during on-site monitoring visit at least every 3 years); Documentation of program-level outcomes <br> (Follow-up data on children through the Student Information System); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Annual Health, Life, Safety Inspection of school buildings, Child care centers must meet licensing requirements); Results of program self-assessments (Reviewed during on-site visit); Participation in a state quality rating system <br> (All Preschool for All programs are required to participate in ExceleRate Illinois, Quality Recognition and Improvement System); Review of program records (Reviewed during on-site visit); Other (Compliance Review) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Indiana | Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS, ECERS); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (ISTAR-KR, PPVT, SCBE, Bracken School Readiness); Review of program facilities and safety procedures; <br> Participation in a state quality rating system (Must be either Level 3 or 4); Review of program records (Subject to state audit procedures) |

## Information collected for monitoring purposes

| Iowa Shared Visions | Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (3 times per year, Teaching Strategies GOLD online); Documentation of program-level outcomes (annual reporting); Results of program self-assessments (annual reporting); Review of program records (annual reporting) |
| :---: | :---: |
| lowa SVPP | Structured observations of classroom quality (The lowa Quality Preschool Program Standards, Head Start Standards, or NAEYC Standards); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (GOLD assessment checkpoint data three times per year); Documentation of program-level outcomes (The lowa Quality Preschool Program Standards, Head Start Standards, or NAEYC Standards); Review of program facilities and safety procedures; Review of program records (State longitudinal data system reports (student data), Basic education data system reports (program-level data), and Early childhood reports (Teacher qualifications, hours of operation, curriculum, assessment, agency)); Other (Certified annual report ensures that districts are expending the funds appropriately) |
| Kansas Preschool | Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Kansas Early Learning Inventory for Fours (KELI-4) twice a year); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (programs will either be licensed as child care or other agency that survey elementary schools); Results of program self-assessments (Classroom practices Transition survey (annual)); Other (Family survey Protective factors survey) |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (KELI-4 Kansas Early Learning Inventory for Fours (twice annually)); Documentation of program-level outcomes; Results of program self-assessments (Classroom practices, Transition survey); Other (Family survey Protective factors survey) |
| Kentucky | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS-R, District observers achieve interrater reliability and evaluate all preschool classrooms. Regional Training Centers evaluate again $30 \%$ of all classrooms with ECERS, minimum one per site, randomly selected); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (TS GOLD, COR, LAP, AEPS, and Work Sampling. BRIGANCE K screen scores as one of multiple measures of school readiness to determine if preschool students are succeeding); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Districts complete a self-assessment of program implementation. Supporting documentation is identified as evidence of compliance or progress toward compliance.); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Desk review process); Review of program records (Examples include online student files, classroom schedules, parent handbooks, newsletters, communication logs, recruitment plan/activities, and other district-level preschool documentation $)^{26,27}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS, frequency determined locally); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (DSC twice a year, Ongoing portfolio assessment); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Compliance with program guidelines, Progress-to-date report, and End-of-year report annually); Review of program records (Audited financial reports, annual) |
| Louisiana LA4 | Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS Observations piloted in 29 parishes); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Developing Skills Checklist and/or Teaching Strategies GOLD); Documentation of program-level outcomes (CLASS Data, Teaching Strategies GOLD data); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Program facilities and safety standards monitored by the Department of Health and Hospitals and State Fire Marshall); Results of program self-assessments (CLASS Observations conducted by local, reliable observers); Participation in a state quality rating system (Waiver allowed in 29 pilot communities); Review of program records (Monitoring of monthly, quarterly, and semi-annual reports, Annual desk reviews for compliance with program guidelines) ${ }^{19}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS Observations in the fall and spring by local, contracted, and third party agencies); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (TS GOLD assessment tool (ongoing). DSC or other age-appropriate tool can be used but not required) Documentation of program-level outcomes (TANF-based Program Performance Indicators are reported monthly); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Sites must meet all safety and sanitation requirements for BESE-approval and/or child care licensing); Results of program self-assessments (NSECD Performance Review and End-of-the-Year Reporting is collected annually); Participation in a state quality rating system (Providers receiving public funds are required to participate in Community Networks; Review of program records (Programs are audited annually by NSECD Program Manager) |
| Maine | Other ${ }^{18}$ |
| Maryland | Structured observations of classroom quality; Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes; Documentation of program-level outcomes; Review of program facilities and safety procedures; Results of program self-assessments; Participation in a state quality rating system; Review of program records; Other: Pre-K Monitoring Tool ${ }^{19}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS and CLASS as part of QRIS, as needed); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Grant applications and grant performance reporting, three times per year); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (As part of QRIS site visit/observation and/or EEC licensing renewal visits, every 3 years); Results of program self-assessments (Self-assessment required for QRIS using program tool, as needed); Participation in a state quality rating system (QRIS Rating Status, reviewed at least 2 times a year per grant requirement); Other (Budgets and other fiscal reports, at least 2 times per year) ${ }^{25}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS and CLASS as part of QRIS); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Work Sampling System®, Creative Curriculum Developmental Continuum®, GOLD™ by Teaching Strategies, High Scope COR, at least twice per year); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (As part of the QRIS site visit/observation and/or EEC licensing renewal visits every 3 years); Results of program self-assessments (Self-assessment required for QRIS using program tool, as needed); Participation in a state quality rating system (QRIS Rating Status, reviewed at least 2 times a year per grant requirement); Review of program records; Other (Budgets and other fiscal reports at least 2 times per year) ${ }^{22}$ |
| Michigan | Structured observations of classroom quality (PQA at least 3 times per year); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (AEPS, COR, CC, WSS, ASQ, Battelle, DIAL-3 at enrollment and ongoing); Documentation of program-level outcomes; Review of program facilities and safety procedures; Results of program self-assessments; Participation in a state quality rating system (Minimum 3-star rating required under law); Review of program records ${ }^{16}$ |
| Minnesota | Review of program facilities and safety procedures (DHS Licensing); Results of program self-assessments; Participation in a state quality rating system (Program application); Review of program records ${ }^{13}$ |
| Mississippi | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Curriculum assessments and kindergarten readiness assessment, annually); Documentation of program-level outcomes (In progress); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Child care centers are licensed through the State Health Department, Schools are accredited and follow the MS Early Learning Guidelines for Classrooms Serving Four-Year-Olds); Review of program records (This is reviewed annually using the ELC Monitoring Tool) |
| Missouri | Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (DRDP-PS 2010, First 60 days of the year and 6 months later); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (DHSS, Child care licensing annual); Results of program self-assessments (Annual self-monitoring report); Review of program records (Annual completion reports) |
| Nebraska | Structured observations of classroom quality (A portion of school districts receive ECERS observations each year); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Teaching Strategies GOLD); <br> Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Facility and safety indicators are reported); Review of program records |

## Information collected for monitoring purposes

| Nevada | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS and ELLCO, every other year); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (PPVT and EOWPVT, pre- and post-tests WIDA-DLLs); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Formal annual and longitudinal evaluation, every 2 years); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Annual site visits); Results of program self-assessments (Programs complete mid-year and end-of-year program reports that include a program improvement plan); Review of program records (Annual site visits, Auditing every other year) |
| :---: | :---: |
| New Jersey Abbott | Structured observations of classroom quality (Locally determined, Most districts use ECERS); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (High/Scope's COR, Teaching Strategies GOLD, ELAS, locally selected tools); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Informal data tool collected annually by the Department of Education); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (During annual SAVS); Results of program self-assessments (During annual SAVS); Participation in a state quality rating system (Participation is tracked and data collected through an NJDHS data system); Review of program records (During annual SAVS and also in annual program plan review) ${ }^{15}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | Review of program records (Annual budget and program plan) ${ }^{15}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | Review of program records (Annual budget and program plan) ${ }^{17}$ |
| New Mexico | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS-R and E Self-assessments annually); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (New Mexico Pre-K Observation Assessment); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Pre-K administrative reports, fall and spring); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Results of self-assessments (ECERS-R and ECERS-E) Child care licensing for non-public programs); Results of program self-assessments (Reported to state agency annually as part of program monitoring); Participation in a state quality rating system (Non-public programs); Review of program records (Annual program monitoring) ${ }^{13}$ |
| New York | Structured observations of classroom quality (Determined locally, many programs use ECERS-R and CLASS, annually); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Locally determined from state-approved list, annually); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Annual year-end report); Results of program self-assessments (Teacher qualification data, Basic educational data system information on each enrolled pre-K student); Participation in a state quality rating system (Teacher qualification data, Basic educational data system information on each enrolled pre-K student); Review of program records (Teacher qualification data, Basic educational data system information on each enrolled pre-K student); Other (Teacher qualification data Basic educational data system information on each enrolled pre-K student) ${ }^{18}$ |
| North Carolina | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS-R (used for NC Rated License Assessment, Star-ratings/QRIS System)); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Requires use of approved instructional/formative assessment system); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Results of program self-assessments and participation in the Star-rated License System); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Participation in QRIS (Star-rated facility Licensing System)); Results of program self-assessments (QRIS ratings include classroom measure (ECERS); and Pre-K requires separate Pre-K self-assessment at program and classrooms levels (program policy monitoring system)); Participation in a state quality rating system (Star-rated facility Licensing System); Review of program records (Contractor monitoring managed at state level through sample of on-site visits and desk audits (Fiscal and Policy (formative) monitoring process)) Other ${ }^{15,16}$ |
| Ohio | Structured observations of classroom quality (Ohio Child Observation Tool Upon entry into Step Up to Quality 3, 4, or 5 star rating); <br> Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Ages \& Stages Questionnaire Early learning assessment, twice a year); <br> Documentation of program-level outcomes (Review of professional development hours, observations, transition plans, family engagement Upon entry into Step Up to Quality and renewals); Participation in a state quality rating system (Checklist Upon entry into Step Up to Quality and renewals); Results of program self-assessments (Required to report annually to state); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Checklist Annual licensing site visit); Review of program records (On-site and desk reviews annually) |
| Oklahoma | Review of program facilities and safety procedures; Review of program records; State policy does not require collection of information for monitoring purposes ${ }^{14}$ |
| Oregon | Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS - Triennially and as needed); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (TS GOLD - quarterly checkpoint reports, Review of program's school readiness goals, annually); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Compliance with federal Head Start Performance Standards - monitoring reviews triennially and as needed Program Information Reports, annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Compliance with federal Head Start Performance Standards monitoring reviews triennially and as needed Program Information Reports, annually); Results of program self-assessments (Review of selfassessments and improvement plans, annually); Participation in a state quality rating system (Review of state licensing and ORIS reports, quarterly Program report, annually and as updated); Review of program records (Monitoring reviews triennially and as needed) ${ }^{15}$ |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Review of program records (Grant budget documents only) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Structured observations of classroom quality (CLASS ERS or approved local tool, annually); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Approved assessment tools aligned with outcomes framework); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Locally determined, annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Program review instrument (PRI)); Results of program self-assessments (PRI); Participation in a state quality rating system (Optional participation); Review of program records (PRI) |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | State policy does not require collection of information for monitoring purposes ${ }^{9}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Structured observations of classroom quality (ERS, classroom observation tools, annually); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Approved assessment tool, twice annually); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Program review instrument, annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (ERS, annually); Results of program self-assessments (ERS PRI); Review of program records (PRI, annual site visit) ${ }^{13}$ |

## Information collected for monitoring purposes

| Rhode Island | Structured observations of classroom quality (Structured ECERS once per year with average score of 5 or more, CLASS once per year); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Teaching Strategies GOLD, ongoing); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Teaching Strategies GOLD, three times per year); Review of program facilities and safety procedures <br> (State-created reporting tools, annually); Results of program self-assessments (State-created reporting tools, annually); Participation in a state quality rating system (Ongoing, Required to be reviewed under 2013 BrightStars standards); Review of program records (State-created monitoring tools, annually) ${ }^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| South Carolina 4K | Other ${ }^{12}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Structured observations of classroom quality (Informal ELLCO assessment completed annually for new classrooms as part of start-up requirement); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Portfolio assessment and readiness assessment); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Department of Social Services requires licensing with at least bi-annual visits); Review of program records; Other (Professional development plans, annually) |
| Tennessee | Structured observations of classroom quality (Biannually for new teachers); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes <br> (At least annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Minimum of two visits per year by program evaluator); <br> Results of program self-assessments (Plan of action submitted after using ECERS and ELLCO assessment tools); Review of program records (As needed or requested for documents related to VPK operations, Records pertaining to meeting health and safety regulations are reviewed through visits listed above); Other (The department collects various information throughout the year as well as through the application process End-of-year report and family survey) $)^{21}$ |
| Texas | State policy does not require collection of information on monitoring purposes |
| Vermont Act 62 | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS: Pre-K programs must have at least 3 STARs in VT's QRIS); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (TSG fall and spring); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Must comply with child care licensing regulations, Participation in a state quality rating system (Annual reports to the state QRIS administrator) ${ }^{15,16}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Teaching Strategies Gold, fall and spring); Participation in a state quality rating system ${ }^{12}$ |
| Virginia | Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (PALS Pre-K, twice a year); Documentation of program-level outcomes; Other (Review of Head Start MOU between Head Start and VPI) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Washington | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS \& CLASS as part of QRIS, every 3 years); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Teaching Strategies GOLD, 3 times a year); Documentation of program-level outcomes (health outcomes, annually); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (On-site review of program facilities and safety procedures, approximately every 4 years); Results of program self-assessments (on DEL form, annually); Participation in a state quality rating system ("Early Achievers" Must rate at level 4 or 5 by March 2016); Review of program records (Monthly review via database, on-site review approximately every 4 years); Other (Intensive on-site review of all ECEAP Performance Standards, approximately every 4 years) |
| West Virginia | Structured observations of classroom quality (ECERS-R once every three years in each classroom, along with other observations during school year when ECERS-R is not completed); Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes (Child assessment summaries); Documentation of program-level outcomes (Aggregated child assessment summaries); Review of program facilities and safety procedures (Pre-K Observational Checklist, Head Start Health and Safety Screener, or Pre-K Health and Safety Checklist); Results of program selfassessments; Review of program records (WV Universal Pre-K program reviews in each county program once every three years); Other (Submission of site and classroom information in each county is annually required; (Fiscal, program data, and collaboration), Pre-K program design data (Status of each county program)) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | State policy does not require collection of information for monitoring purposes |
| Wisconsin HdSt | All monitoring is done by Head Start |

How is monitoring information collected by the state?

| Alabama | Site visits (Monthly); Submission of information by program or local entity (At least 3 times per year) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Alaska | Site visits (by staff of the state oversight agency on a 3-year cycle, by consultants twice per year); submission of information by program or <br> local entity (twice per year data and narrative report once per year). Monitoring information is collected through other means (audio/video <br> conference visits done throughout the year and two data reports per year) |
| Arizona | Site visits (At least every other year during the QRIS assessment process) ${ }^{17}$ |

How is monitoring information collected by the state?

| Nebraska | Site visits (A percentage of programs are visited by staff annually); Submission of information by program or local entity <br> (All programs submit a program report and complete other state-required information annually) ${ }^{14}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Site visits (Annually); Submission of information by program or local entity (Twice a year) |
| New Jersey Abbott | Site visits (Several times per year and as needed to provide technical assistance; By consultants employed by the state, a consortium of universities perform ECERS on randomly selected classrooms in each district as part of a longitudinal study); Submission of information by program or local entity (Once per year as part of district's Preschool Program Plan for the following year) |
| New Jersey ECPA | Site visits (Annually or as needed/requested); Submission of information by program or local entity (Annually) |
| New Jersey ELLI | Site visits (Annually or as needed/requested); Submission of information by program or local entity (Annually) |
| New Mexico | Site visits (State staff: At least once per year and more as needed; Contracted consultants through UNM: At least monthly); Submission of information by program or local entity (2 program reports annually, child outcome information twice per year; Pre-K database maintains a variety of program information and demographics) |
| New York | Site visits (Varies); Submission of information by program or local entity (Final program reports and program modification request applications) ${ }^{19}$ |
| North Carolina | Site visits (For program compliance, Annually or more frequently as determined by needs); Submission of information by program or local entity (QRIS program evaluations and NC Educator Effectiveness Teacher Evaluation System); Other: Teacher effectiveness visits (Mentoring, evaluation); per prescribed process and schedule set forth in State Education Board Policy Teacher evaluation, Mentoring, BK licensure data and information ${ }^{16}$ |
| Ohio | Site visits (Programs receive annual licensing visit, On-site quality rating visit every 2 years for 3 -star centers and every 3 years for 4-5 star-centers); Submission of information by program or local entity (Annual submission of program information to state, includes program documentation, teacher credentials, child assessment, self-assessment, observations) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Oklahoma | Site visits (Two audits per year) |
| Oregon | Site visits (Triennially and as needed); Submission of information by program or local entity (Monthly, quarterly, and annually) |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Submission of information by program or local entity |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Site visits (At least once annually ${ }^{7}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | None |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Site visits (At least once annually); Submission of information by program or local entity (Monthly submission to PELICAN system quarterly and annual reporting) |
| Rhode Island | Site visits, (at least annually); Submission of information by program or local entity; <br> Other (Online monitoring of TSG GOLD implementation Frequency of online monitoring in development) |
| South Carolina 4K | None |
| South Carolina CDEP | Site visits (DSS: Annual; DOE varies: For new sites annual, for continuing sites randomly selected); Submission of information by program or local entity (Annually) |
| Tennessee | Site visits (2 visits per year); Submission of information by program or local entity (Plan of Action for ECERS and ELLCO for new staff); Other (On-going desk monitoring of data collected from Education Information System, including enrollment, demographic, attendance, age compliance, class size, monthly financial reports, end-of-year reports, and annual family surveys) |
| Texas | None |
| Vermont Act 62 | Submission of information by program or local entity ${ }^{17}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Submission of information by program or local entity (Annually) ${ }^{13}$ |
| Virginia | Submission of information by program or local entity (Twice a year) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Washington | Site visits (Varies by program risk, Every four years at minimum); Submission of information by program or local entity (Continuous via ELMS data system, Periodic deliverables) |
| West Virginia | Site visits (At a minimum, once every three years); Submission of information by program or local entity (Fiscal, program data, and collaboration information) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Site visits by staff of the oversight agency or consultants employed by the state (Annually); <br> Submission of information by program or local entity (Annually or more frequently for start-up grantees); Fiscal audits |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Submission of information by program or local entity (Such as LEA) (Annually) |

How is monitoring information used by the state?

| Alabama | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not applicable |
| Arizona | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS) ${ }^{18}$ |
| Arkansas | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents |
| California | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide feedback to parents |
| Colorado | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To report child progress results for state legislature |
| Connecticut CDCC | To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Connecticut SRP | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide feedback to parents |
| Delaware | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents |
| District of Columbia | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring |
| Florida | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide feedback to parents; To assist teachers with understanding individual children's strengths and areas where additional support may be needed ${ }^{16}$ |
| Georgia | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents |
| Hawaii | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring |
| Illinois | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents |
| Indiana | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents |
| lowa Shared Visions | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Iowa SVPP | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS) |
| Kansas Preschool | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; Provide data to funder |
| Kansas State Pre-K | To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; Instrument is provided to State Board of Education, leadership, and policy makers |
| Kentucky | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide feedback to parents; To inform the QRIS process in 2015-2016 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring |
| Louisiana LA4 | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Louisiana NSECD | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide feedback to parents |
| Maine | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring |
| Maryland | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents |
| Massachusetts 391 | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents ${ }^{23}$ |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ - 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

## MONITORING

How is monitoring information used by the state?

|  | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; <br> To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make <br> changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); <br> To provide feedback to parents ${ }^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Massachusetts UPK |  |

How is monitoring information used by the state?

| South Carolina 4K | Not applicable |
| :---: | :---: |
| South Carolina CDEP | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring |
| Tennessee | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Texas | Determined locally |
| Vermont Act 62 | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS); To provide feedback to parents |
| Vermont EEI | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To provide feedback to parents ${ }^{13}$ |
| Virginia | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring |
| Washington | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To measure program on a Quality Rating and Improvement Scale (QRIS) |
| West Virginia | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide program staff with technical assistance and/or mentoring |
| Wisconsin 4K | For administrative oversight and trend identification |
| Wisconsin HdSt | The state relies on federal monitoring of the Head Start programs |

Was there a formal evaluation measuring program quality and/or effectiveness?

| Alabama | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Yes, measuring process quality (e.g., ECERS, CLASS) ${ }^{9}$ |
| Arizona | No ${ }^{19}$ |
| Arkansas | Yes, measuring impact and child outcomes (e.g., child assessments in literacy and math) |
| California | No |
| Colorado | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{15}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| District of Columbia | Yes, measuring process quality (e.g., ECERS, CLASS) |
| Florida | Yes, measuring impact and child outcomes (e.g., child assessments in literacy and math) ${ }^{17}$ |
| Georgia | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| Indiana | Ongoing ${ }^{12}$ |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| lowa SVPP | Yes, measuring impact and child outcomes |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes, measuring impact and child outcomes (e.g., child assessments in literacy and math) |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Yes, measuring process quality (e.g., ECERS, CLASS) ${ }^{29}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Yes, measuring impact and child outcomes (e.g., child assessments in literacy and math) ${ }^{10}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | No ${ }^{20}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{16}$ |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes, measuring process quality (e.g., ECERS, CLASS) |
| Michigan | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{17}$ |
| Minnesota | No |
| Misssissippi | Yes |
| Missouri | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{17}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{17}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | No |
| New Mexico | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{14}$ |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| Ohio | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{14}$ |
| Oklahoma | Yes, measuring impact and child outcomes (e.g., child assessments in literacy and math) |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| Tennessee | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{22}$ |
| Texas | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | No ${ }^{18}$ |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes ${ }^{10}$ |
| Washington | Yes, measuring impact and child outcomes (e.g., child assessments in literacy and math) |
| West Virginia | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes, measuring both process quality and program impact/child outcomes |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^80]When was the most recent formal evaluation of state pre-K program and what was evaluated?

| Alabama | 2014 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not reported |
| Arizona | A validation study for Quality First was launched in September, 201519 |
| Arkansas | 2015 |
| California | NA |
| Colorado | $2014{ }^{15}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | NA |
| Connecticut SRP | NA |
| Delaware | 2007 |
| District of Columbia | 2014 |
| Florida | Ongoing, Annually |
| Georgia | 2015 |
| Hawaii | NA |
| Illinois | 2012 |
| Indiana | Ongoing |
| lowa Shared Visions | 2008 |
| lowa SVPP | 2012-2013, 2013-2014, and 2014-2015 |
| Kansas Preschool | 2014 |
| Kansas State Pre-K | NA |
| Kentucky | $2014{ }^{29}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | 2013 |
| Louisiana LA4 | NA |
| Louisiana NSECD | 2014 |
| Maine | NA |
| Maryland | NA |
| Massachusetts 391 | NA |
| Massachusetts UPK | 2009 |
| Michigan | 2015 |
| Minnesota | NA |
| Mississippi | 2015 |
| Missouri | 2003 |
| Nebraska | NA |
| Nevada | $2014{ }^{17}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | 2015 |
| New Jersey ECPA | NA |
| New Jersey ELLI | NA |
| New Mexico | $2010{ }^{19}$ |
| New York | NA |
| North Carolina | 2013 |
| Ohio | November 2012 for ELLCO and 2014 for child outcomes; TQRIS evaluation occurring now |
| Oklahoma | 2011 |
| Oregon | NA |
| Pennsylvania RTL | NA |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | NA |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | NA |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | NA |
| Rhode Island | 2011 |
| South Carolina 4K | NA |
| South Carolina CDEP | 2010 |
| Tennessee | $2015{ }^{22}$ |
| Texas | NA |
| Vermont Act 62 | NA |
| Vermont EEI | NA |
| Virginia | 2011 |
| Washington | 2014 |
| West Virginia | 2009 |
| Wisconsin 4K | 2014 |
| Wisconsin HdSt | NA |

[^81]Documentation of evaluation

| Alabama | http://tinyurl.com/PPVT2014 and www.children.alabama.gov |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not available online |
| Arizona | NA |
| Arkansas | ARC.arkansas.gov |
| California | NA |
| Colorado | http://www.cde.state.co.us/cpp/legreports.htm |
| Connecticut CDCC | NA |
| Connecticut SRP | NA |
| Delaware | http://www.doe.k12.de.us/infosuites/students_family/earlychildhood/files/ECAP06\%2007\%20State\%20Report.pdf |
| District of Columbia | http://osse.dc.gov/service/resources-regulations-and-reports |
| Florida | Report is available at: http://www.oppaga.state.fl.us/Summary.aspx?reportNum=12-06 ${ }^{17}$ |
| Georgia | http://www.decal.ga.gov/BftS/Research.aspx |
| Hawaii | NA |
| Illinois | http://www.isbe.net/earlychi/preschool/pfa-report/pfa-3-5/default.htm |
| Indiana | http://www.in.gov/fssa/pathstoquality/3764.htm |
| lowa Shared Visions | https://www.educateiowa.gov/documents/early-childhood/2014/10/shared-visions-preschool-07-evaluation |
| Iowa SVPP | https://www.educateiowa.gov/documents/annual-condition-education-report-pk-12/2016/01/annual-condition-education-report-2015 |
| Kansas Preschool | http://www.ksde.org |
| Kansas State Pre-K | NA |
| Kentucky | http://kidsnow.ky.gov/Improving-Early-Care/Documents/Early\%20Care\%20and\%20Education\%20Evaluation\%20Final\%20Report\%202012-2014.pdf |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not available online ${ }^{10}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | NA |
| Louisiana NSECD | Not available online |
| Maine | NA |
| Maryland | NA |
| Massachusetts 391 | NA |
| Massachusetts UPK | Not available online |
| Michigan | www.highscope.org and www.nieer.org |
| Minnesota | NA |
| Mississippi | http://www.peer.state.ms.us/reports/rpt600.pdf |
| Missouri | http://dese.mo.gov/quality-schools/early-extended-learning/early-learning/missouri-preschool-project/hb-1519-early-childhood-project |
| Nebraska | NA |
| Nevada | http://www.doe.nv.gov/Early_Learning_Development/Reports_and_Summaries/ |
| New Jersey Abbott | http://nieer.org/publications/latest-research/abbott-preschool-program-longitudinal-effects-study-fifth-grade-follow, http://www.state.nj.us/education/ece/research/elichome.htm |
| New Jersey ECPA | NA |
| New Jersey ELLI | NA |
| New Mexico | https://www.newmexicoprek.org/Docs/NIEER\%20Reports/NIEER_PreK_Evaluation_Impacts_From_The_Fourth_Year_November_2010.pdf |
| New York | NA |
| North Carolina | http://fpg.unc.edu/sites/fpg.unc.edu/files/resources/reports-and-policy-briefs/NC\%20Pre-K\%20Eval\%202013-2014\%20Report.pdf |
| Ohio | Not available online |
| Oklahoma | http://www.crocus.georgetown.edu/ |
| Oregon | NA |
| Pennsylvania RTL | NA |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | NA |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | NA |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | NA |


| Rhode Island | http://www.ride.ri.gov/InstructionAssessment/EarlyChildhoodEducation/Programs.aspx\#13020-pre-k-programs |
| :--- | ---: |
| South Carolina 4K | NA |
| South Carolina CDEP | http://www.scstatehouse.gov/archives/EducationOversightComm/CDEPPReport1-12-10.pdf |
| Tennessee | NA |
| Texas | NA |
| Vermont Act 62 | NA |
| Vermont EEI | http://jlarc.virginia.gov/reports/Rpt364.pdf10 |

Washington http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1576/Wsipp_Outcome-Evaluation-of-Washington-States-Early-Childhood-Education-and-Assistance-
http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1576/Wsipp_Outcome-Evaluation-of-Washington-States-Early-Childhood-Education-and-Assistance-
Program_Report.pdf
http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/appalachia/pdf/REL_2012021.pdf 2009 REL-A study:
http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/appalachia/pdf/REL_2009070_sum.pdf 2009
Marshall University study available by request only; 2005 NIEER study: http://nieer.org/resources/research/multistate/wv.pdf 2003-2004 most comprehensive was SWEEP: http://fpg.unc.edu/resources/pre-kindergarten-eleven-states-ncedls-multi-state-study-pre-
kindergarten-study-state-wide
Wisconsin 4K
2014 UW Madison part of national study on 4K in WI and NJ - reports still being published

## Wisconsin HdSt

[^82]STATE

|  | Was the evaluation of state pre-K mandated by the state? | Was this evaluation done by an external evaluator? | Does state policy require programs to assess children's learning and development during the preschool year(s)? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | No | Yes | Yes |
| Alaska | Not reported | Not reported | Yes |
| Arizona | NA | NA | Yes |
| Arkansas | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| California | NA | NA | Yes |
| Colorado | Yes | No | Yes |
| Connecticut CDCC | NA | NA | No ${ }^{14}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | NA | NA | Yes ${ }^{23}$ |
| Delaware | No | Yes | Yes |
| District of Columbia | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Florida | Yes ${ }^{17}$ | No | Yes |
| Georgia | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Hawaii | NA | NA | Yes |
| Illinois | No | Yes | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| Indiana | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| lowa Shared Visions | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{21}$ |
| lowa SVPP | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes | No | Yes ${ }^{12}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | NA | NA | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Kentucky | No | Yes | Yes ${ }^{30}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No ${ }^{10}$ | Not reported | Yes |
| Louisiana LA4 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Maine | NA | NA | No |
| Maryland | NA | NA | Yes ${ }^{20}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes ${ }^{24}$ | Yes ${ }^{24}$ | Yes |
| Michigan | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{18}$ |
| Minnesota | NA | NA | Yes |
| Mississippi | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Missouri | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Nebraska | NA | NA | Yes |
| Nevada | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| New Jersey ECPA | NA | NA | Yes |
| New Jersey ELLI | NA | NA | Yes |
| New Mexico | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| New York | NA | NA | Yes |
| North Carolina | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ohio | No | Yes | Yes |
| Oklahoma | No | Yes | No ${ }^{15}$ |
| Oregon | NA | NA | Yes |
| Pennsylvania RTL | NA | NA | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | NA | NA | Yes |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | NA | NA | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | NA | NA | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |
| Rhode Island | No | Yes | Yes |
| South Carolina 4K | NA | NA | Yes ${ }^{13}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Yes | Yes | Yes ${ }^{15}$ |
| Tennessee | No | Yes | Yes |
| Texas | NA | NA | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | NA | NA | Yes |
| Vermont EEI | NA | NA | Yes |
| Virginia | No | Yes ${ }^{10}$ | Yes |
| Washington | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| West Virginia | No | Yes | Yes ${ }^{15}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | No | Yes | Yes ${ }^{18}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | NA | NA | Yes |
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## MONITORING

Required assessment for pre-K

| Alabama | TS GOLD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Teaching Strategies Gold |
| Arizona | No specific tool required |
| Arkansas | Work Sampling |
| California | Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) |
| Colorado | State policy requires the use of an approved assessment system to measure child outcomes. In 2014-2015, all programs elected to use Teaching Strategies GOLD. |
| Connecticut CDCC | NA |
| Connecticut SRP | Any assessment that is aligned with the CT Early Learning and Development Standards and CT Preschool Assessment Framework that address learning standards and outcomes ${ }^{23}$ |
| Delaware | TS GOLD |
| District of Columbia | Determined locally |
| Florida | Florida Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) Assessment ${ }^{17}$ |
| Georgia | Pearson Work Sampling Online System Georgia Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills |
| Hawaii | No specific tools are required other than a research-based assessment tool Pre-K Program currently using TS GOLD |
| Illinois | Kindergarten is using a balanced assessment system |
| Indiana | ISTAR-KR |
| lowa Shared Visions | Teaching Strategies GOLD online ${ }^{21}$ |
| lowa SVPP | GOLD is required, IGDIs is optional |
| Kansas Preschool | KELI-4 mylGDI's ${ }^{12}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | KELI-49 |
| Kentucky | AEPS, Carolina Curriculum, Teaching Strategies GOLD, COR Advantage (High/Scope), or WSS ${ }^{30}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | DSC, TS GOLD, portfolios, or tools may be locally determined |
| Louisiana LA4 | Programs are required to administer the Developing Skills Checklist and/or Teaching Strategies GOLD (For community Network Pilots only) |
| Louisiana NSECD | GOLD $^{\text {TM }}$ by Teaching Strategies ${ }^{\circledR}$ or another state-approved assessment tool that is developmentally appropriate, valid, reliable, and culturally sensitive |
| Maine | NA |
| Maryland | Local or recommended curriculum assessments ${ }^{20}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Determined locally ${ }^{25}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Work Sampling System ${ }^{\oplus}$ Creative Curriculum Developmental Continuum ${ }^{\text {® }}$ GOLD ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ by Teaching Strategies and High Scope COR |
| Michigan | State list of approvable assessments here: http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mde/Child_Assessment_353323_7.pdf18 |
| Minnesota | Determined locally; Must comply with federal Head Start requirements |
| Mississippi | Kindergarten Readiness Assessment, selected assessments that are aligned with the standards, the Ages \& Stages Questionnaire screener |
| Missouri | DRDP-PS 2010 |
| Nebraska | Teaching Strategies GOLD |
| Nevada | PPVT and EOWPVT (WIDA for DLLs) |
| New Jersey Abbott | DOE-approved program quality assessment instrument; Performance-based assessment; District-needs assessment; Early childhood screening assessment at school entry to determine if child needs comprehensive diagnostic assessment |
| New Jersey ECPA | Performance-based assessment; District-needs assessment; Annual program evaluation |
| New Jersey ELLI | Performance-based assessment; District-needs assessment; Annual program evaluation |
| New Mexico | NM Pre-K Observational Assessment |
| New York | Determined locally |
| North Carolina | Assessments used must be approved by the NC Child Care Commission ${ }^{17}$ |
| Ohio | Early Learning Assessment |
| Oklahoma | NA |
| Oregon | TS GOLD |
| Pennsylvania RTL | NA |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Providers choose from a list of approved assessment tools |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | NA |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Providers choose from a list of approved assessment tools ${ }^{14}$ |
| Rhode Island | TS GOLD |
| South Carolina 4K | mCLASS CIRCLE ${ }^{13}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | mCLASS CIRCLE ${ }^{15}$ |
| Tennessee | Teachers complete an assessment of their choice to demonstrate student achievement ${ }^{23}$ |
| Texas | NA |
| Vermont Act 62 | TS GOLD |
| Vermont EEI | TS GOLD |
| Virginia | PALS Pre-K |
| Washington | Teaching Strategies GOLD |
| West Virginia | Early Learning Scale ${ }^{15}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | PALS early literacy screening ${ }^{18}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | If the program is under contract with a public school, the State requires 4- and 5-year-old kindergarten programs to do the PALs early literacy assessment |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ - 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

Frequency of these assessments

| Alabama | Ongoing |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Quarterly |
| Arizona | Ongoing |
| Arkansas | Three times per year |
| California | Within the first sixty days of enrollment and every six months after the initial assessment |
| Colorado | Observational assessment with assessment ratings three times per year |
| Connecticut CDCC | NA |
| Connecticut SRP | Locally determined |
| Delaware | Three times per year |
| District of Columbia | Not reported |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Ongoing throughout the school year |
| Hawaii | Quarterly |
| Illinois | Ongoing |
| Indiana | At least twice: Once at the beginning of school and once at the end of the year |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Three times per year ${ }^{21}$ |
| lowa SVPP | Three times per year |
| Kansas Preschool | KELI-4: Twice a year; mylGDI's: Three times a year |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Twice annually |
| Kentucky | Once in the fall (between August 1 and October 1) and spring (March 1 and May 1) |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | DSC - Twice annually TS GOLD - Ongoing ${ }^{21}$ |
| Louisiana NSECD | Ongoing |
| Maine | NA |
| Maryland | One to four times per year |
| Massachusetts 391 | Determined locally |
| Massachusetts UPK | Throughout the school year |
| Michigan | Varies |
| Minnesota | Three times per year |
| Mississippi | Twice a year |
| Missouri | First sixty days of the year and six months later |
| Nebraska | Ongoing with three checkpoints for school-year programs |
| Nevada | Twice annually (Pre and post) |
| New Jersey Abbott | Not reported |
| New Jersey ECPA | Not reported |
| New Jersey ELLI | Not reported |
| New Mexico | Twice annually for programs funded for 450 hours, Three times annually for programs funded for 900 hours |
| New York | Not reported |
| North Carolina | Ongoing |
| Ohio | Twice per year |
| Oklahoma | NA |
| Oregon | Quarterly |
| Pennsylvania RTL | NA |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Assessment is ongoing; Outcomes are reported twice per year |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | NA |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | For formative use: Determined by the assessment tool; For outcomes reporting: Twice annually |
| Rhode Island | Ongoing |
| South Carolina 4K | During the first forty-five days of school |
| South Carolina CDEP | During the first forty-five days of school |
| Tennessee | Not reported |
| Texas | NA |
| Vermont Act 62 | Fall and spring checkpoints |
| Vermont EEI | Fall and spring checkpoints |
| Virginia | Fall and spring |
| Washington | Three times per year for school year models; Four times per year for extended day/year model |
| West Virginia | Three times per year |
| Wisconsin 4K | Annual process per the tool for 4K-2nd grade |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Per the PALS schedule |
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## How are child-level pre-K assessments used?

| Alabama | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness ${ }^{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Arizona | To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Arkansas | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| California | To inform parents and teachers; To develop individualized curricula; For program planning |
| Colorado | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Connecticut CDCC | Not applicable |
| Connecticut SRP | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Delaware | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| District of Columbia | Not reported |
| Florida | To identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Georgia | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Hawaii | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Illinois | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To inform instruction |
| Indiana | To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| lowa Shared Visions | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program ${ }^{21}$ |
| Iowa SVPP | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Kansas Preschool | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Kansas State Pre-K | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; Provide report to State Board of Education, leadership, policy makers |
| Kentucky | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness; Data is collected and reported to meet OSEP requirements |
| Louisiana 8(g) | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Louisiana LA4 | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Louisiana NSECD | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To evaluate teacher performance; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Maine | Not applicable |
| Maryland | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Massachusetts 391 | Determined locally, and varies by program settings; Most frequently, these tools are used to make adjustments to curricula and to track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Massachusetts UPK | Determined locally, and varies by program settings; Most frequently, these tools are used to make adjustments to curricula and to track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Michigan | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Minnesota | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Mississippi | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Missouri | To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |

## How are child-level pre-K assessments used?

| Nebraska | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; Report outcome indicators to legislature ${ }^{18}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| New Jersey ECPA | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| New Jersey ELLI | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| New Mexico | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| New York | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To evaluate teacher performance |
| North Carolina | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To evaluate teacher performance; Inform and guide instructional planning and teaching practices |
| Ohio | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; To identify programs for corrective action or sanctions; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; <br> To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To evaluate teacher performance |
| Oklahoma | Not applicable |
| Oregon | To track child and program-level outcomes over time To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness; At the program-level to guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance and to make adjustments to curricula |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Not applicable |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and programlevel outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness; Focus and priority schools are required to use Kindergarten Entry Inventory within first 45 calendar days |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Not applicable |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To make changes to state policies regarding the preschool program |
| Rhode Island | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and programlevel outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| South Carolina 4K | To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| South Carolina CDEP | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Tennessee | To evaluate teacher performance ${ }^{23}$ |
| Texas | Not applicable |
| Vermont Act 62 | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Vermont EEI | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make funding decisions about programs or grantees; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time |
| Virginia | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness |
| Washington | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To individualize instruction and supports for each child |
| West Virginia | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula; To track child- and program-level outcomes over time; To provide a measure of kindergarten readiness ${ }^{15}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance; To make adjustments to curricula ${ }^{18}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | To guide teacher training, professional development, or technical assistance |

Does state policy require kindergarten programs in your state to assess children's learning and development?

| Alabama | No ${ }^{13}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| Arizona | No ${ }^{20}$ |
| Arkansas | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) |
| California | No |
| Colorado | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{15}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{24}$ |
| Delaware | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) |
| Georgia | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| Hawaii | Yes ${ }^{7}$ |
| Illinois | Other ${ }^{14}$ |
| Indiana | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Yes ${ }^{21}$ |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes ${ }^{20}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{12}$ |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{10}$ |
| Kentucky | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{31}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{11}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{21}$ |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year25 |
| Michigan | Yes ${ }^{19}$ |
| Minnesota | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| Mississippi | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | Yes ${ }^{19}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| New Mexico | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{15}$ |
| New York | At kindergarten entry and during kindergarten year ${ }^{21}$ |
| North Carolina | During the kindergarten year |
| Ohio | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Oklahoma | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{15}$ |
| Oregon | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{11}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Yes ${ }^{8}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Determined locally; Focus and priority must be to implement state-developed KE1 ${ }^{8}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{15}$ |
| Rhode Island | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year |
| South Carolina 4K | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{14}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{16}$ |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{19}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{14}$ |
| Virginia | No ${ }^{11}$ |
| Washington | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) |
| West Virginia | Yes, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year ${ }^{16}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes, at kindergarten entry (beyond any screening for disabilities or developmental delay) ${ }^{19}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Yes, Early Literacy Screening |
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## MONITORING

Nature of the assessments and tools used

| Alabama | NA |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Developmental Profile |
| Arizona | NA |
| Arkansas | Qualls Early Learning Inventory Screening Instrument |
| California |  |
| Colorado | In 2013, the READ Act officially replaced the Colorado Basic Literacy Act it requires literacy assessment in K-3. All students receive interim assessment three times per year. Students identified with a significant reading deficiency at any point in the year must receive diagnostic assessment within 30 days. Summative assessments are optional. Approved interim assessments: Aimsweb, i-Ready, DIBELS Next, IDEL, FAST, ISIP ER, Istation, PALS, and STAR; Approved diagnostic assessments include i-Ready, Aimsweb, DIBELS Next, FAST, PALS, STAR, NWEA-CPAA, PPVT, TVIP, VRMT-III, Amplify Burst Reading Assessments, and Woodcock-Munoz LS; Approved summative assessments include i-Ready, NWEA-MPG, and Terra Nova. |
| Connecticut CDCC | CT Kindergarten Entrance Inventory ${ }^{15}$ |
| Connecticut SRP | The Kindergarten Inventory was developed by the state for kindergarten teachers to provide a global rating of children across four areas of development to use in the first six weeks of school and is based on the skills and knowledge outlined in the previous Connecticut Curriculum Framework and the current Connecticut Assessment Framework. CT is a charter state in the MD Enhanced Assessment Grant Consortium and will have a revised KEl in 201724 |
| Delaware | TS GOLD |
| District of Columbia | NA |
| Florida | Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener ${ }^{17}$ |
| Georgia | Georgia Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills (GKIDS) is the current kindergarten assessment. It is a formative assessment based on state standards that is given throughout the school year. As part of Georgia's Early Learning Challenge grant, DECAL is partnering with GaDOE to develop a kindergarten readiness assessment. This assessment is being developed as part of the existing GKIDS assessment given during the first 6 weeks of kindergarten beginning in the 2017-2018 school year. |
| Hawaii | An assessment tool and protocol has not yet been determined by the Department of Education |
| Illinois | In development ${ }^{14}$ |
| Indiana | NA |
| Iowa Shared Visions | State law requires the use of an approved universal screening tool for literacy three times per year, as well as progress monitoring for any student below benchmark. The majority of districts use the FAST assessment. |
| Iowa SVPP | State law requires an approved literacy screening assessment by October 1 so districts may address additional supports needed to help children be proficient in literacy by the end of third grade. Most districts use FAST (Formative Assessment System for Teachers). |
| Kansas Preschool | Determined locally |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Determined locally |
| Kentucky | All kindergarten students are assessed with the BRIGANCE K screen to help determine level of school readiness. Since 2011-2012, school districts have been required to use diagnostic assessments and prompts that measure readiness in reading and mathematics. Decisions about these assessments are made locally and results are not collected. In addition, Kentucky implements a self-assessment system, the K-3 Program Review. Program Reviews are based on four domains (C/I, assessment, professional learning, leadership), each containing indicators on which schools must rate themselves using a rubric. Schools use information from program reviews to improve the quality of teaching and learning experiences for all students ${ }^{31}$ |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Brigance, ESI-R, Chicago, DSC, DIAL, DIBELS, DRA, Screening Test for Education Prerequisite Skills (STEPS), Miller Assessment for Preschoolers ${ }^{11}$ |
| Louisiana LA4 | Districts are required to administer the Developing Skills Checklist at kindergarten entry for children entering kindergarten for the first time. The DIBELS assessment is also required. |
| Louisiana NSECD | The Developing Skills Checklist (DSC) is used for kindergarten-entry assessment |
| Maine | NA |
| Maryland | Maryland Kindergarten Readiness Assessment |
| Massachusetts 391 | Through the Massachusetts Kindergarten Entry Assessment Initiative, school districts that are recipients of the Quality Full Day Kindergarten Grant must utilize a formative assessment tool in the classroom to document children's progress across the developmental domains throughout the school year. The school districts may elect to choose either Teaching Strategies GOLD or Work Sampling System as the formative assessment tool. Other tools are determined locally to assess children's progress in kindergarten ${ }^{25}$ |
| Massachusetts UPK | Through the Massachusetts Kindergarten Entry Assessment Initiative, school districts that are recipients of the Quality Full-Day Kindergarten <br> Grant must utilize a formative assessment tool in the classroom to document children's progress across the developmental domains throughout the school year. The school districts may elect to choose either GOLDTM by Teaching Strategies ${ }^{\circledR}$ or Work Sampling System ${ }^{\circledR}$ as the formative assessment tool. Other tools are determined locally to assess children's progress in kindergarten ${ }^{25}$ |
| Michigan | TS Gold ${ }^{19}$ |
| Minnesota | The state has begun reporting kindergarten entrance assessment through a program called Reading Well by Third Grade. This may be locally coordinated with the state-level Kindergarten Entry Assessment |
| Mississippi | Star Early Literacy by Renaissance Learning |
| Missouri | NA |

## MONITORING

Nature of the assessments and tools used:

| Nebraska | NA |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | State piloted TSG for KEA last year, and is a part of the MD/OH EAG Consortium. State is in the process of identifying a K literacy assessment for Read by Third legislation and a statewide KEA ${ }^{19}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | On-going performance-based assessments are required but the tool is no longer specified; Second year of implementing TS GOLD as our KEA; Roll out to the entire state will take $5-6$ years, but districts are not required to participate ${ }^{18}$ |
| New Jersey ECPA | On-going performance-based assessments are required but the tool is no longer specified. KEA is in the 2nd year of a 5-6 year of roll-out; Participation is encouraged but not required ${ }^{16}$ |
| New Jersey ELLI | On-going performance-based assessments are required but the tool is no longer specified; KEA is in the 2nd year of a 5-6 year of roll-out; Participation is encouraged but not required ${ }^{18}$ |
| New Mexico | Determined locally, but districts participating in the state-funded Read to Lead Program must administer DIBELS Next or IDEL |
| New York | Determined locally to support the 'Reading Well by Third Grade' initiative ${ }^{21}$ |
| North Carolina | NC K-2 literacy and math assessment; Kindergarten Entry Assessment |
| Ohio | Kindergarten Readiness Assessment is required for all Ohio kindergarten students in public schools, administered each fall ${ }^{15}$ |
| Oklahoma | Determined locally ${ }^{16}$ |
| Oregon | Oregon's kindergarten entry assessment, which includes elements for literacy, mathematics, and social-emotional development |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Determined locally; Focus and priority schools are required to use the state-developed Kindergarten Entry Inventory ${ }^{11}$ |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Kindergarten Entry Inventory is state-developed, standards-based authentic tool; Others are determined locally ${ }^{8}$ |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Determined locally; Focus and priority schools must implement state-developed KEI ${ }^{8}$ |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Determined locally; Focus and priority schools are required to use the state-developed Kindergarten Entry Inventory ${ }^{15}$ |
| Rhode Island | Determined locally |
| South Carolina 4K | mCLASS CIRCLE in language and literacy during the first 45 days of school ${ }^{14}$ |
| South Carolina CDEP | mCLASS CIRCLE in language and literacy ${ }^{16}$ |
| Tennessee | NA |
| Texas | Determined locally ${ }^{14}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | Vermont Kindergarten Readiness Survey for all children during the first 6-8 weeks of kindergarten ${ }^{19}$ |
| Vermont EEI | Vermont Kindergarten Readiness Survey for all children during the first 6-8 weeks of kindergarten ${ }^{14}$ |
| Virginia | NA ${ }^{11}$ |
| Washington | The WaKIDS process uses Teaching Strategies GOLD. It is currently required for state-funded, full-day kindergarten programs. |
| West Virginia | Early Learning Reporting System - Kindergarten to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year. State policy requires assessment updates 2 times per year ${ }^{16}$ |
| Wisconsin 4K | PALS ${ }^{19}$ |
| Wisconsin HdSt | The state requires 4- and 5-year-old kindergarten programs to do the PALs early literacy assessment |

Data collected on child's home language

| Alabama | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Yes: Not consistently reported |
| California | imary language spoken at home, as reported by parent at eligibility determination ${ }^{14}$ |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes: Ethnicity |
| Hawaii | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| Illinois | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| Indiana | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| lowa Shared Visions | No |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes: Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes: Ethnicity |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| New Mexico | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| Oregon | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| West Virginia | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes: Home language, Ethnicity, Migrant status |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

Enrollment of children whose home language in not English

| Alabama | Yes: 689 | 4-year-olds: 689 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |  |
| Arizona | No |  |
| Arkansas | Yes: 3,690 | Not available |
| California | Yes: 62,691 | 3-year-olds: 20,128; 4-year-olds: 42,563 |
| Colorado | No |  |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |  |
| Connecticut SRP | No |  |
| Delaware | No |  |
| District of Columbia | Yes: 1,302 ${ }^{6}$ | 3-year-olds: 551; 4-year-olds: 738; 5-year-olds: 13 |
| Florida | Not reported |  |
| Georgia | Yes: 8,087 | 4-year-olds: 8,087 |
| Hawaii | No |  |
| Illinois | Yes: 24,476 | 3-year-olds: 9,756; 4-year-olds: 14,720 |
| Indiana | No |  |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |  |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes: 10 | 4-year-olds: 10 |
| Kansas Preschool | No |  |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |  |
| Kentucky | Yes: 1,295 | Not available |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |  |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |  |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |  |
| Maine | Yes: 169 | 4-year-olds: 169 |
| Maryland | No |  |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: 884 | Not available |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: 1,795 | Not available |
| Michigan | Yes: 7,554 | 4-year-olds: 7,554 |
| Minnesota | No |  |
| Mississippi | No |  |
| Missouri | Yes: 220 | 3-year-olds: 80; 4-year-olds: 140 |
| Nebraska | No |  |
| Nevada | Yes: 459 | Not available |
| New Jersey Abbott | No |  |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |  |
| New Jersey ELLI | No |  |
| New Mexico | Yes: 2,063 | 4-year-olds: 2,063 |
| New York | No |  |
| North Carolina | Yes: 6,294 | 4-year-olds: 6,294 |
| Ohio | No |  |
| Oklahoma | Yes: 3,393 | 4-year-olds: 3,393 |
| Oregon | Yes: 2,441 | 3-year-olds: 952; 4-year-olds: 1,489 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |  |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |  |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |  |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Yes: 1,528 | Not available |
| Rhode Island | No |  |
| South Carolina 4K | No |  |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |  |
| Tennessee | No |  |
| Texas | Yes: 94,013 | Not available |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |  |
| Vermont EEI | No |  |
| Virginia | No |  |
| Washington | Yes: 3,392 | 3-year-olds: 906; 4-year-olds: 2,486 |
| West Virginia | Yes: 195 | Under 3: 3; 3-year-olds: 13; 4-year-olds: 172; 5-year-olds: 7 |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes: 1,460 | 3-year-olds: 2; 4-year-olds: 1,356; 5-year-olds: 102 |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |  |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

Policies regarding identification of children in the state-funded pre-K program as DLL or ELL/ESL

| Alabama | Parent/Family member report |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No policy |
| Arizona | No policy |
| Arkansas | Locally determined |
| California | Teacher observation, Parent/Family member report |
| Colorado | Locally determined |
| Connecticut CDCC | No policy |
| Connecticut SRP | No policy |
| Delaware | Parent/Family member report |
| District of Columbia | Developmental screening or child assessment tool: The Pre-IPT Oral English Test is used for students ages 3-5. <br> The K W-APT assessment can also be used for students in the second semester of their PK4 year. These assessments measure students' oral English language proficiency level for program placement. Students are categorized as Non, Limited, or Fluent English Speaking. |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Locally determined |
| Hawaii | Parent/Family member report |

Developmental screening or child assessment tool: "Prescribed Screening Procedures" means the procedures that a school district determines to be appropriate to assess a preschool student's level of English language proficiency (minimally in the domains of speaking and listening), in order to determine whether the student is eligible to receive bilingual education services. The procedures may include, without limitation, established screening instruments or other procedures provided that they are research-based. Further, screening procedures shall Illinois at least: Be age and developmentally appropriate) Be culturally and linguistically appropriate for the children being screened Include one or more observations using culturally and linguistically appropriate tools, use multiple measures and methods (e.g., home language assessments verbal and nonverbal procedures various activities, settings, and personal interactions); involve family by seeking information and insight to help guide the screening process without involving them in the formal assessment or interpretation of results; and, involve staff who are knowledgeable about preschool education, child development, and first and second language acquisition. ${ }^{13}$

| Indiana | No policy |
| :---: | :---: |
| lowa Shared Visions | Parent/Family member report |
| lowa SVPP | Parent/Family member report |
| Kansas Preschool | Developmental screening or child assessment tool: Pre-LAS or Pre-ITP after a home language survey is sent home (family report) |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Parent/Family member report |
| Kentucky | Locally determined |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No policy |
| Louisiana LA4 | Locally determined |
| Louisiana NSECD | Locally determined |
| Maine | Developmental screening or child assessment tool: WIDA and ACCESS |
| Maryland | Locally determined |
| Massachusetts 391 | Parent/Family member report, Teacher observation |
| Massachusetts UPK | Parent/Family member report, Teacher observation |
| Michigan | Parent/Family member report |
| Minnesota | No policy |
| Mississippi | Developmental screening or child assessment tool: ASQ, Brigance, Teaching Strategies Gold; These tools are used to measure the child's developmental levels and for referral purposes if needed. |
| Missouri | Locally determined |

Policies regarding identification of children in the state-funded pre-K program as DLL or ELL/ESL

| Nebraska | Locally determined |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Developmental screening or child assessment tool: We are in the process of working with WIDA to develop a screener to be piloted next spring. In the meantime, programs use the home language survey to identify DLL children. |
| New Jersey Abbott | Parent/Family member report |
| New Jersey ECPA | Parent/Family member report |
| New Jersey ELLI | Parent/Family member report |
| New Mexico | Locally determined |
| New York | Parent/Family member report |
| North Carolina | Developmental screening or child assessment tool: NC Child Care Rule .3006 stipulates - All children enrolled in NC Pre-K must receive a developmental screening using an approved screening instrument, unless the child has an existing Individualized Education Program (IEP). The screening shall be conducted by a person trained in administering the screening. Children must be screened within 90 days after the first day of attendance in the program or within 6 months prior to the first day of attendance. Screening must be used solely for the purpose of identifying children who should be referred for further evaluation and testing based on concerns in one or more developmental domains. Children shall be screened using one of the approved screening instruments listed: Ages \& Stages Questionnaire, Third Edition (ASQ-3) or Ages \& Stages Questionnaire (ASQ) Brigance Early Childhood Screen II (3-5 years) or Brigance Head Start Screen or Brigance Preschool Screen II (under 4 years, 11 mos.) or Brigance K \& 1 Screen II (5 years, 0 mos. and older) Developmental Indicators for the Assessment Learning, Third Edition (DIAL-3) or Fourth Edition (DIAL-4) Parents' Evaluation of Developmental Status (PEDS) |
| Ohio | Locally determined |
| Oklahoma | Developmental screening or child assessment tool: OSDE pre-K language screener tool that was developed in 2006 by Dr. Ramona Paul. It is a 10 -question oral language screener administered by certified staff. |
| Oregon | Parent/Family member report |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No policy |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Locally determined |
| Rhode Island | Teacher observation |
| South Carolina 4K | Parent/Family member report |
| South Carolina CDEP | Teacher observation |
| Tennessee | Parent/Family member report |
| Texas | Developmental screening or child assessment tool ${ }^{15}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | No policy |
| Vermont EEI | Locally determined |
| Virginia | No policy |
| Washington | Parent/Family member report |
| West Virginia | Parent/Family member report |
| Wisconsin 4K | Locally determined |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No policy |

Home language other than English is used as an eligibility criterion for enrollment in state pre-K

| Alabama | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | No |
| California | No |
| Colorado | Yes: http://www.cde.state.co.us/cpp/CPPHandbookOnline/eligibility ${ }^{1}$ |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | Yes: Programs follow Head Start Performance Standards for identifying and serving DLL children and families |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | No |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Yes |
| lowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes: For public school settings only, www.ksde.org |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes: For public school settings only, www.ksde.org |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | Yes: http://www.marylandpublicschools.org/MSDE/divisions/child_care/early_learning/PreK-K.htm |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | Yes: http://michigan.gov/documents/mde/Eligibility_August_2014_466743_7.pdf |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | Yes: 005.01 Children who may be served with grant funds. <br> 005.01B2 Children who reside in a home where a language other than spoken English is used as the primary means of communication. |
| Nevada | Yes: Priority is given to DLL children and/or other high risk factors. We are in the process of working with WIDA to develop a screener to be piloted next spring. In the meantime, programs use the home language survey to identify DLL children. |
| New Jersey Abbott | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: Income, residency, and age are the primary determinants of eligibility, but an exception to the income requirement can be made for DLL children. |
| New Mexico | No |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | No |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | Yes: Dual language learners are part of eligibility in Tier 2. |
| Texas | Yes ${ }^{16}$ |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | Yes: For children eligible for ECEAP, there are priority points for children whose home language is not English. |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^86]Recruitment and enrollment materials are required to be provided in a family's home language

| Alabama | No |
| :--- | ---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | Yes: The Family Application is available in English and Spanish. |
| Arkansas | Yes: Primarily Spanish |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes: Amharic, Chinese, French, Korean, Spanish, and Vietnamese |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | No |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | Yes: All languages spoken by parents/caregivers |
| Indiana | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes: Locally determined |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes |

Yes: The licensing regulations require this: 606 CMR 7.08(2) (Family Involvement) -
"The licensee must develop a mechanism for and encourage ongoing communication with parents, and must be able to communicate
Massachusetts UPK
effectively with families whose primary language is not English or who require alternative communication."
The Massachusetts Quality Rating and Improvement System also includes a quality standard related to providing information to staff and families in their primary, or preferred, language to the extent appropriate.

| Michigan | Yes: Varies, tailored to local population |
| :--- | :---: |
| Minnesota | Yes ${ }^{14}$ |

Mississippi Yes: All printed material should be provided by the district to parents in a language they can understand. If at all possible, all written

| Missouri | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: Unspecified because languages vary significantly from district to district |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: Unspecified because languages vary significantly from district to district |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: Unspecified because languages vary significantly from district to district |
| New Mexico | Yes: Spanish, Diné |
| New York | Yes: All languages |
| North Carolina | Yes: Spanish |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | Yes: All languages |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | Yes: Specific languages are not identified, policy states that recruitment and enrollment materials must be provided in a family's home language |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Yes: Determined by ELL family's home language and predominant local language for ELL |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^87]State has a policy establishing English proficiency benchmarks for exit from DLL/ELL status

| Alabama | Yes: www.alsde.edu |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | No |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes: All ELL students, K-12, are required to participate in the annual ACCESS for ELLs language proficiency assessment. Once an ELL student achieves a score of 5.0 or higher on ACCESS, the student will exit ELL status. http://osse.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/osse/publication/attachments/OSSE\%20ELL\%20Identification\%20Guidance.pdf |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | No |
| Hawaii | Yes: Conjunctive minimum of overall 4.8 and literacy of 4.2 on state English language assessment ${ }^{8}$ |
| Illinois | Yes: Exit requires ACCESS assessment, which is only administered after preschool. Once identified in preschool, all children are re-screened in kindergarten utilizing a uniform screener, instead of locally determined, prescribed screening procedures. |
| Indiana | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes: www.ksde.org |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes: www.ksde.org/Defaul.aspx?tabid=459 |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | Yes: Composite score level on WIDA, ACCESS |
| Maryland | Yes: Screen children in kindergarten using the WIDA assessment tool to determine if children should exit from DLL/ELL status. |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: Policy established for public schools |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: Policy established for public schools |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | Yes: At the K-12 level; http://education.state.mn.us/MDE/EdExc/EngLearnClass/index.html |
| Mississippi | Yes: Students in pre-K through 3rd grade cannot exit from the EL program because those grades are not testing grades. |
| Missouri | Yes: http://dese.mo.gov/sites/defaul/files/qs-ell-Educating-Ling-Diverse-Stu-2015.pdf, page 65 |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: See section 6A:15-1.10 in our administrative code: http://www.state.nj.us/education/code/current/title6a/chap15.pdf |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: See section 6A:15-1.10 in our administrative code: http://www.state.nj.us/education/code/current/title6a/chap15.pdf |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: See section 6A:15-1.10 in our administrative code: http://www.state.nj.us/education/code/current/title6a/chap15.pdf |
| New Mexico | No |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | No |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | Yes |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | Yes: For K, the student would have to score a 5 in each domain (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) to exit. |
| South Carolina CDEP | Yes |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | Yes |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | Yes: This policy is at the K-12 level, not in pre-K. |
| West Virginia | Yes: http://wvde.state.wv.us/policies/policy.php?p=2417 |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^88]Preschool children are de/reclassified as DLL/ELL at the end of preschool or entry to kindergarten

| Alabama | Locally determined |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Locally determined |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Locally determined |
| California | Locally determined |
| Colorado | Locally determined |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes: http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2618\&q=320848 |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes: http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2618\&q=320848 |
| Delaware | Locally determined |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | No |
| Hawaii | Yes: Using assessment criteria which are based on oral proficiency at the kindergarten 1st semester, on W-APT assessment, if child receives a score of 29 or 30 , they are not considered ELL. A score of 28 or below would make a student eligible for ELL services. |
| Illinois | Yes: ELs identified in preschool must score a 5.0 oral proficiency on MODEL upon entering kindergarten to be determined English Proficient, otherwise they remain eligible for EL services. |
| Indiana | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | Yes: https://www.educateiowa.gov/pk-12/learner-supports/english-language-learners |
| lowa SVPP | Yes: https://www.educateiowa.gov/pk-12/learner-supports/english-language-learners |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Locally determined |
| Kentucky | Yes: Title III funding begins in kindergarten where the children are identified for EL services. The children may or may not be identified as EL in preschool. |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Locally determined |
| Louisiana LA4 | Locally determined |
| Louisiana NSECD | Locally determined |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | Locally determined |
| Massachusetts 391 | Locally determined |
| Massachusetts UPK | Locally determined |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | Locally determined |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | Yes: Evaluated at kindergarten entry |
| Nebraska | Locally determined |
| Nevada | $N A^{20}$ |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: See section 6A:15-1.10 in our administrative code: http://www.state.nj.us/education/code/current/title6a/chap15.pdf |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: See section 6A:15-1.10 in our administrative code: http://www.state.nj.us/education/code/current/title6a/chap15.pdf |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: See section 6A:15-1.10 in our administrative code: http://www.state.nj.us/education/code/current/title6a/chap15.pdf |
| New Mexico | Locally determined |
| New York | Yes: Per the Home Language Questionnaire and subsequent interview, students whose home language is a language other than English are administered the New York State Identification Test for English Language Learners (NYSITELL) during kindergarten screening. |
| North Carolina | Locally determined |
| Ohio | Locally determined |
| Oklahoma | Yes: They are not eligible to exit until they can take a WIDA certified test with all four language domains. This is not administered until spring of kindergarten year at which point they get their first chance to exit the program. |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Locally determined |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | Locally determined |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | Locally determined |
| Vermont EEI | Locally determined |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | Locally determined |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | Locally determined |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Locally determined |

[^89]DLL children are assessed in their home language


[^90]State-funded pre-K program allocates extra resources to serve DLLs

| Alabama | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | No |
| California | Yes: Only for full-day programs |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes: ELL is a category for which an enhancement of uniform per pupil funding is provided. |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | No |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | Yes: State bilingual funding is available to school districts based on a formula which considers the number of eligible ELs and the level of services they are receiving. This funding is to reimburse excess cost of serving ELs. Preschool children are eligible to be included in the funding counts. |
| Indiana | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | Yes: Funds allocated on a per child basis |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes: Children who have been identified (and are in school-based programs) are served by teachers who are specifically licensed. |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | Yes: Added weight to state funding formula |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | Yes: This is a variable in the state-aid formula. |
| Nevada | Yes: SB504/Zoom DLL funding allocated an additional \$5,818,242 for pre-K in 7 districts. |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: Included in the funding formula are funds for staff and professional development to support teachers of DLL children. |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | No |
| New Mexico | No |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | No |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | Yes |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-115$ school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-$ ' 14 school year.

State Early Learning and Development Standards include content to support DLL/ELLs

| Alabama | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | None |
| Arizona | None |
| Arkansas | Yes: Cultural awareness; Literacy development |
| California | Yes: English Language Development |
| Colorado | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes: Dual Language Learner Framework |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes: Foreign languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Dual Language Learner Framework |
| Delaware | Yes: Cultural awareness; learning standards for language acquisition; social studies |
| District of Columbia | None |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | None |
| Hawaii | None |
| Illinois | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Early English Language Development Standards (WIDA) |
| Indiana | Yes: Cultural awareness, social/emotional, social studies/cultures |
| Iowa Shared Visions | None |
| lowa SVPP | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Kansas Preschool | None |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes: English Language Proficiency Standards |
| Kentucky | Yes: Cultural awareness; Other related content: WIDA |
| Louisiana 8(g) | None |
| Louisiana LA4 | None |
| Louisiana NSECD | None |
| Maine | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness; WIDA |
| Maryland | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: World languages; Foreign languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: World languages; Foreign languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Michigan | Yes: World languages; Cultural awareness |
| Minnesota | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Mississippi | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Missouri | None |
| Nebraska | None |
| Nevada | Yes: Nevada has adopted the WIDA Early Language Standards and a crosswalk was created with the NV Pre-K Standards. |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: World languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: World languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: World languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New Mexico | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New York | None |
| North Carolina | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness; Other: Global awareness (awareness of other cultures embracing diversity; (home language and traditions are included curriculum) |
| Ohio | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Oklahoma | Yes: World languages |
| Oregon | None |
| Pennsylvania RTL | None |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | None |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | None |
| Rhode Island | Yes: Language development of DLL learners |
| South Carolina 4K | None |
| South Carolina CDEP | None |
| Tennessee | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | None |
| Vermont EEI | None |
| Virginia | None |
| Washington | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| West Virginia | Yes: World languages; Foreign languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Yes: Cultural awareness; WI is currently working with WIDA on this area |

[^91]ELDS content for DLL/ELL are aligned with K-12 standards ELDS content for DLL/ELL are aligned with WIDA standards

| Alabama | Yes | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | NA | NA |
| Arizona | NA | NA |
| Arkansas | Yes | No |
| California | Yes | No |
| Colorado | Yes | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes | No |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes | No |
| Delaware | Yes | No |
| District of Columbia | NA | NA |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | NA | NA |
| Hawaii | NA | NA |
| Illinois | Yes | Yes |
| Indiana | Yes | Yes |
| lowa Shared Visions | NA | NA |
| lowa SVPP | No | Not reported |
| Kansas Preschool | NA | NA |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No | No |
| Kentucky | Yes | Yes |
| Louisiana 8(g) | NA | NA |
| Louisiana LA4 | NA | NA |
| Louisiana NSECD | NA | NA |
| Maine | Yes | Yes |
| Maryland | Yes | Yes |
| Massachusetts 391 | No | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes | Yes |
| Michigan | Yes | Yes |
| Minnesota | Yes | No ${ }^{15}$ |
| Mississippi | Yes | No |
| Missouri | NA | NA |
| Nebraska | NA | NA |
| Nevada | Yes | Yes |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes | No |
| New Mexico | Yes | Yes |
| New York | NA | NA |
| North Carolina | Yes | Yes |
| Ohio | Yes | Yes |
| Oklahoma | Yes | Yes |
| Oregon | NA | NA |
| Pennsylvania RTL | NA | NA |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | NA | NA |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | NA | NA |
| Rhode Island | Yes | Yes |
| South Carolina 4K | NA | NA |
| South Carolina CDEP | NA | NA |
| Tennessee | Yes | Yes |
| Texas | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | NA | NA |
| Vermont EEI | NA | NA |
| Virginia | NA | NA |
| Washington | Yes | No |
| West Virginia | Yes | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No | Yes |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No | No, in progress |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ - 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

State pre-K program standards include content related DLL/ELL

| Alabama | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | None |
| Arizona | None |
| Arkansas | Yes: Cultural awareness; Literacy development |
| California | Yes: English language development |
| Colorado | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Connecticut CDCC | Yes: CT will be releasing guidance on Supporting Dual Language Learners using the CT ELDS. |
| Connecticut SRP | Yes: Foreign language acquisition; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; CT will be releasing guidance on Supporting Dual Language Learners using the CT ELDS. |
| Delaware | Yes: Cultural awareness; Language acquisition, Social studies |
| District of Columbia | None |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | None |
| Hawaii | None |
| Illinois | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Early English language development |
| Indiana | Yes: Cultural awareness; Social/emotional; Social studies/cultures |
| Iowa Shared Visions | None |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Kansas Preschool | None |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Yes: English language proficiency |
| Kentucky | Yes: Cultural awareness; WIDA |
| Louisiana 8(g) | None |
| Louisiana LA4 | None |
| Louisiana NSECD | None |
| Maine | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness; WIDA |
| Maryland | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: World languages; Foreign language acquisition; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: World languages; Foreign language acquisition; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Michigan | Yes: World languages; Cultural awareness |
| Minnesota | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Mississippi | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Missouri | None |
| Nebraska | None |
| Nevada | Yes: WIDA |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: World languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: World languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: World languages; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New Mexico | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| New York | None |
| North Carolina | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Ohio | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Oklahoma | Yes: World languages |
| Oregon | None |
| Pennsylvania RTL | None |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | None |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | None |
| Rhode Island | Yes: Language development of DLLs |
| South Carolina 4K | None |
| South Carolina CDEP | None |
| Tennessee | Yes: Cultural awareness |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | None |
| Vermont EEI | None |
| Virginia | None |
| Washington | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| West Virginia | Yes: World languages; Foreign language acquisition; Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes: Integration of student's home language into curriculum/environment; Cultural awareness |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Yes: Cultural awareness; WIDA |

Teachers are required to possess qualifications specifically related to working with DLLs

| Alabama | Locally determined |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Locally determined |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Locally determined |
| California | Locally determined |
| Colorado | Yes: Teachers must have knowledge of multicultural education |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | Locally determined |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Locally determined |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | Yes: Bilingual or ESL endorsement for teachers providing those services to ELs (18 credit hours) |
| Indiana | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Locally determined |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | Locally determined |
| Louisiana NSECD | Locally determined |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: The Sheltered English Instruction (SEI) endorsement required by MA public schools was initiated in 2013, with a multi-tiered and multi-year implementation roll-out plan. |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: DESE RETELL - Endorsement. Endorsement http://www.doe.mass.edu/retell/ The Sheltered English Instruction (SEI) endorsement required by MA public schools was initiated in 2013, with a multi-tiered and multi-year implementation roll-out plan. |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | Locally determined |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | Locally determined |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | Locally determined |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: Our Implementation Guidelines indicate that "Teachers should understand the stages of language development, so that they can carefully and purposefully choose materials and activities that promote language development, and provide scaffolded support as needed." |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: Our Implementation Guidelines indicate that "Teachers should understand the stages of language development, so that they can carefully and purposefully choose materials and activities that promote language development, and provide scaffolded support as needed." |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: Our Implementation Guidelines indicate that "Teachers should understand the stages of language development, so that they can carefully and purposefully choose materials and activities that promote language development, and provide scaffolded support as needed." |
| New Mexico | Locally determined |
| New York | Locally determined |
| North Carolina | No |
| Ohio | Locally determined |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK |  |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Locally determined |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | Locally determined |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas |  |
| Vermont Act 62 | Locally determined |
| Vermont EEI | Locally determined |
| Virginia | Locally determined |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Yes: ELL teachers are required to hold certification with English as a second language endorsement |
| Wisconsin 4K | Locally determined |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Locally determined |

[^92]Program administrators are required to possess qualifications specifically related to working with DLLs

| Alabama | Locally determined |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Locally determined |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Locally determined |
| California | Locally determined |
| Colorado | Locally determined |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | Locally determined |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Locally determined |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | Yes: Districts have administrative requirements for either professional development or EL endorsements for the administrator overseeing EL services, depending on their EL enrollment number. |
| Indiana | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Locally determined |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | Locally determined |
| Louisiana NSECD | Locally determined |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: The Sheltered English Instruction (SEI) endorsement required by MA public schools was initiated in 2013, with a multi-tiered and multi-year implementation roll-out plan. |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: The Sheltered English Instruction (SEI) endorsement required by MA public schools was initiated in 2013, with a multi-tiered and multi-year implementation roll-out plan. |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | Locally determined |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | Locally determined |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | Locally determined |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: Our Implementation Guidelines indicate that districts should "hire master teachers with bilingual expertise and/or bilingual certification and a strong background in early childhood education and developmentally appropriate practices." |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: Our Implementation Guidelines indicate that districts should "hire master teachers with bilingual expertise and/or bilingual certification and a strong background in early childhood education and developmentally appropriate practices." |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: Our Implementation Guidelines indicate that districts should "hire master teachers with bilingual expertise and/or bilingual certification and a strong background in early childhood education and developmentally appropriate practices." |
| New Mexico | Locally determined |
| New York | Locally determined |
| North Carolina | No |
| Ohio | Locally determined |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Locally determined |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | Locally determined |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Locally determined |
| Vermont EEI | Locally determined |
| Virginia | Locally determined |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | Locally determined |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Locally determined |

[^93]Percentage of lead teachers who are fluent in a language other than English

Percentage of assistant teachers who are fluent in a language other than English

| Alabama | Unknown | Unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Unknown | Unknown |
| Arizona | Unknown | Unknown |
| Arkansas | Unknown | Unknown |
| California | Unknown | Unknown |
| Colorado | Unknown | Unknown |
| Connecticut CDCC | Unknown | Unknown |
| Connecticut SRP | Unknown | Unknown |
| Delaware | Unknown | Unknown |
| District of Columbia | Unknown | Unknown |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | Unknown | Unknown |
| Hawaii | Unknown | Unknown |
| Illinois | Unknown | Unknown |
| Indiana | Unknown | Unknown |
| lowa Shared Visions | Unknown | Unknown |
| lowa SVPP | Unknown | Unknown |
| Kansas Preschool | Unknown | Unknown |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Unknown | Unknown |
| Kentucky | Unknown | Unknown |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Unknown | Unknown |
| Louisiana LA4 | Unknown | Unknown |
| Louisiana NSECD | Unknown | Unknown |
| Maine | Unknown | Unknown |
| Maryland | Unknown | Unknown |
| Massachusetts 391 | Unknown | Unknown |
| Massachusetts UPK | Unknown | Unknown |
| Michigan | Unknown | Unknown |
| Minnesota | Unknown | Unknown |
| Mississippi | Unknown | Unknown |
| Missouri | Unknown | Unknown |
| Nebraska | Unknown | Unknown |
| Nevada | Unknown | Unknown |
| New Jersey Abbott | Unknown | Unknown |
| New Jersey ECPA | Unknown | Unknown |
| New Jersey ELLI | Unknown | Unknown |
| New Mexico | Unknown | Unknown |
| New York | Unknown | Unknown |
| North Carolina | Unknown | Unknown |
| Ohio | Unknown | Unknown |
| Oklahoma | Unknown | Unknown |
| Oregon | Unknown | Unknown |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Unknown | Unknown |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Unknown | Unknown |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Unknown | Unknown |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Unknown | Unknown |
| Rhode Island | 12\% | 29\% |
| South Carolina 4K | Unknown | Unknown |
| South Carolina CDEP | Unknown | Unknown |
| Tennessee | Unknown | Unknown |
| Texas | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Unknown | Unknown |
| Vermont EEI | Unknown | Unknown |
| Virginia | Unknown | Unknown |
| Washington | Unknown | Unknown |
| West Virginia | Unknown | Unknown |
| Wisconsin 4K | Unknown | Unknown |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Unknown | Unknown |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ - 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

State sponsors or supports professional development regarding best practices for DLLs

| Alabama | Yes: Special sessions are offered at the Statewide Pre-K Conference. <br> We offer coaching support, as well as strategies developed from the TS GOLD assessment. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Yes: Professional development in cultural awareness and literacy competencies |
| California | Yes: California Early Childhood Online (CECO) has modules on California best practices for DLL and Pre-school English learning practices. |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes: Workshops |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes: DECAL has contracted with WIDA Early Years to conduct Train the Trainer sessions to support DLL in early childhood settings. Trainers will be developing and implementing training sessions around the Early English Language Development Standards. |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | Yes: The state funds several contracts to provide free, statewide professional development workshops, webinars, regional institutes, and professional learning cohorts. |
| Indiana | Yes: Currently geared for kindergarten now but will be offering for pre-K in spring 2016 |
| lowa Shared Visions | Yes: The 2015 lowa Culture and Language Conference offers early childhood sessions. |
| lowa SVPP | Yes: The 2015 lowa Culture and Language Conference offers early childhood sessions. |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Yes: Kentucky is a WIDA state. |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | Yes: Various webinars, conferences |
| Maryland | Yes: Covered in our Guide to Pedagogy, professional development modules are being created. |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: Master Cadre - Train of trainers • Statewide webinars, Conferences, and Parent/Family statewide focus groups • Online Dual Language Learners models • QRIS and professional development to support implementation of E-ELD Standards • Joint interstate EEC and ESE professional development opportunities, such as PreLAS training and supporting dual language learners with early childhood special education needs. |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: Master Cadre - Train of trainers • Statewide webinars, Conferences, and Parent/Family statewide focus groups • Online Dual Language Learners models • QRIS and professional development to support implementation of E-ELD Standards • Joint interstate EEC and ESE professional development opportunities, such as PreLAS training and supporting dual language learners with early childhood special education needs. |
| Michigan | Yes: GSRP funding can pay for professional development and DLL best practices can be one of many topics. |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | Yes: Scaffolding/lesson planning for Elementary and Secondary teachers, AMAO Title III Improvement Plan, and ELL Boot |
| Missouri | No |

State sponsors or supports professional development regarding best practices for DLLs

| Nebraska | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nevada | Yes: WIDA Master Cadre |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: District professional development plans are reviewed to ensure that supports for teachers are in place on an annual basis. Counsel is provided to districts to modify their plan when necessary. <br> Department staff also provide annual training on best practices for DLL preschoolers. |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: District professional development plans are reviewed to ensure that supports for teachers are in place on an annual basis. Counsel is provided to districts to modify their plan when necessary. <br> Department staff also provide annual training on best practices for DLL preschoolers. |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: District professional development plans are reviewed to ensure that supports for teachers are in place on an annual basis. Counsel is provided to districts to modify their plan when necessary. <br> Department staff also provide annual training on best practices for DLL preschoolers. |
| New Mexico | No |
| New York | Yes: Pre-K-12 professional development is provided through our New York State Regional Bilingual Education Resource Networks (RBERNs). |
| North Carolina | Yes: The Division of Child Development and Early Education (DCDEE) has developed a plan to better serve <br> English Language Learners, with additional efforts made at the local level to accommodate ELLs and to comply with Title VI laws. NC BK licensed teachers receive formal, needs-based mentoring/coaching support linked to child assessment and teacher evaluation to improve instructional practices for children served. |
| Ohio | Yes: State offers five free modules on supporting English Language Learners for all early childhood professionals. |
| Oklahoma | Yes: Two ELL Program Specialists are on staff at the Oklahoma State Department of Education who provide PD to districts with ELL populations by request. The literacy department also provides LETRS (Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling) ELL Module to teachers who would like to attend the training. |
| Oregon | Yes: Programs are required to provide culturally responsive services state-sponsored activities and supports are guided by Oregon's Equity Lens policy. |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Yes: Professional development is offered through Early Learning Office |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Yes: Race Matters professional development program |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Yes: Race Matters professional development program |
| Rhode Island | Yes: The RI Center for Early Learning Professionals offers a professional development series entitled. Effective Strategies for Dual Language Learners. |
| South Carolina 4K | Yes: There is a new initiative to partner with DSS and others in offering professional development in best practices for DLLs. |
| South Carolina CDEP | Yes: Another Department of Education office offers professional development in best practices. The Early Learning team is currently partnering with other state agencies to provide PD on this topic. |
| Tennessee | Yes: Tennessee adopted the Early English Language Developmental Standards set by the WIDA consortium. We have not yet provided any training on these standards for pre-K. |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Yes: Summer institutes, targeted webinars, online courses aligned with state standards |
| Wisconsin 4K | Yes: We are currently working with WIDA to provide a cadre of trainers skilled in DLL and to provide better alignment with our WI Model Early Learning Standards. |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Yes: Through Race to the Top, WI is working with WIDA to train a cadre of professionals and the cadre is recruited from selected Head Start personnel. |

# State policy has explicit salary requirements for preschool teachers 

| Alabama | Yes: http://children.alabama.gov/uploadedFiles/File/20152016_Program_Guidelines_with_revision_july_17_2015.pdf |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Yes: Quality Model |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes: Pre-K teachers in community-based organizations are required to be paid on the public school pay scale if they have the qualifications of a public school teacher |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes: http://www.decal.ga.gov/Prek/GuidelinesandAppendix.aspx |
| Hawaii | Yes: Hawaii State Teachers Association - contract defines salary requirements |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Yes: http://education.ky.gov/districts/FinRept/Pages/School\%20District\%20Personnel\%20Information.asp Kentucky's definition for a single salary schedule is found in statute KRS 157.320 at http://www.lrc.ky.gov/Statutes/statute.aspx?id=3315 |
| Louisiana 8(g) | No |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | Yes: http://www.marylandpublicschools.org/MSDE/divisions/planningresultstest/doc/20142015Staff/20142015StaffSalarySchedules.pdf |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |

Mississippi Yes: http://www.mde.k12.ms.us/docs/school-financial-services-library/teacher-salary-schedule.pdf?sfvrsn=0

| Yes: Salaries and benefits must be commensurate with other professionals in similar positions. MPP teachers in a public school setting must |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Missouri | receive a teaching contract and be placed on the same teacher salary schedule as the districts K-12 teachers. All other teachers' salaries and <br> benefits must be commensurate with other professionals in similar positions. |

Nebraska

| Nevada | Yes: Must follow or be equivalent to district salary levels |
| :--- | ---: |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: District teachers are paid according to district salary scales. <br> New Jersey ECPA <br> New Jersey ELLI <br> Teachers in private provider/Head Start settings must be paid comparably to district teachers. |
| Yes: District teachers are paid according to district salary scales. |  |
| Teachers in private provider/Head Start settings must be paid comparably to district teachers. |  |


| Rhode Island | Yes: Pre-K policy states that state-funded pre-K teacher salaries and benefits must be competitive <br> with community school district or average state teacher salary. |
| :--- | :---: |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | Yes: Any teacher hired by a school district is provided with the same salary and benefits as any other K-12 teacher. |
| Texas | Yes |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^94]State policy requires salary parity for preschool lead teachers


[^95]State policy requires salary parity for preschool assistant teachers

| Alabama | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | No |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes: Same starting salary in public and nonpublic settings |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |
| Iowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | Yes: Same starting salary in public settings only, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of service in public settings only. |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | Yes: Same starting salary in public settings only, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of service in public settings only, salary is prorated for differences in length of day or year in public settings only |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes -same starting salary in public and nonpublic settings, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of service in public and nonpublic settings, in public settings only salary is prorated for differences in length of day or year |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes -same starting salary in public settings only, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of service in public settings only, salary is prorated for differences in length of day or year in public settings only |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes -same starting salary in public and nonpublic settings, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of service in public and nonpublic settings, in public settings only salary is prorated for differences in length of day or year |
| New Mexico | Yes: Same starting salary in public settings only, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of service in public settings only, salary is prorated for differences in length of day or year in public settings only |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | Yes: Same starting salary in public settings only, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of service in public settings only, salary is prorated for differences in length of work day or year in public settings only. |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | Yes: Same starting salary in public and nonpublic settings, same salary schedule as K-3 for all years of services in public and nonpublic settings, salary is prorated for differences in length of day or year in public and non public settings |
| Texas | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^96]
## School districts require salary parity for preschool lead teachers

| Alabama | Public schools: locally determined |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Information not available |
| California | Information not available |
| Colorado | Public schools: locally determined |
| Connecticut CDCC | Information not available |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | Public schools: locally determined |
| District of Columbia | Information not available |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Public schools: locally determined |
| Hawaii | Public schools: Yes |
| Illinois | Information not available |
| Indiana | Information not available |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | Public schools: Yes ${ }^{21}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Information not available |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Information not available |
| Kentucky | Public schools: Yes |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Public schools: Yes |
| Louisiana NSECD | Public schools: Yes |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| Massachusetts 391 | Public schools: locally determined |
| Massachusetts UPK | Public schools: locally determined |
| Michigan | Locally determined |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | Public schools: Yes |
| Missouri | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| Nebraska | Public schools: locally determined |
| Nevada | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public schools: Yes |
| New Jersey ELLI | Public schools: Yes |
| New Mexico | Public schools: Yes |
| New York | Information not available |
| North Carolina | Public schools: locally determined |
| Ohio | Information not available |
| Oklahoma | Public schools: Yes |
| Oregon | Information not available |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Public schools: locally determined |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Public schools: locally determined |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Public schools: locally determined |
| Rhode Island | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| South Carolina 4K | Information not available |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| Texas | Information not available |
| Vermont Act 62 | Information not available |
| Vermont EEI | Information not available |
| Virginia | Public schools: Yes |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Public schools: Yes |
| Wisconsin 4K | Information not available |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Information not available |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ - 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-14$ school year.

School districts require salary parity for preschool assistant teachers

| Alabama | Public schools: locally determined |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Information not available |
| California | Information not available |
| Colorado | Public schools: locally determined |
| Connecticut CDCC | Information not available |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | Locally determined |
| District of Columbia | Information not available |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Public schools: locally determined |
| Hawaii | Information not available |
| Illinois | Information not available |
| Indiana | Information not available |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | Public schools: Yes ${ }^{21}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | Information not available |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Information not available |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | Public schools: Yes |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | Locally determined |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | Public schools: Yes |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | Information not available |
| Nevada | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: all pre-K teachers |
| New Jersey ECPA | Public schools: Yes |
| New Jersey ELLI | Public schools: Yes |
| New Mexico | Public schools: Yes |
| New York | Information not available |
| North Carolina | Public schools: Yes |
| Ohio | Information not available |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | Information not available |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Public schools: locally determined |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Public schools: locally determined |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Public schools: locally determined |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | Information not available |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | Information not available |
| Texas | Information not available |
| Vermont Act 62 | Information not available |
| Vermont EEI | Information not available |
| Virginia | Information not available |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Public schools: Yes |
| Wisconsin 4K | Information not available |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Information not available |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-14$ school year.

State policy regulates fringe benefits for preschool lead and assistant teachers


[^97]State policy requires that preschool lead and assistant teachers receive the same fringe benefits as K-3 teachers


[^98]School districts requires that preschool lead and assistant teachers receive the same fringe benefits as K-3 teachers

| Alabama | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers only in public school settings |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Information not available |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Information not available |
| California | Information not available |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | Information not available |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | Information not available |
| District of Columbia | Information not available |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers only in public school settings |
| Hawaii | Yes: in public settings only, both lead and assistant teachers;per the Hawaii State Teachers Association contract for teachers and Hawaii Government Employees Association contract for educational assistants |
| Illinois | Information not available |
| Indiana | Information not available |
| lowa Shared Visions | No |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes: only in public school settings |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Yes: for lead teachers only, only in public school settings |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Yes: for lead teachers only, only in public school settings |
| Louisiana NSECD | Information not available |
| Maine | Information not available |
| Maryland | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| Massachusetts 391 | Information not available |
| Massachusetts UPK | Information not available |
| Michigan | Information not available |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| Missouri | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, in public and nonpublic settings |
| Nebraska | Information not available |
| Nevada | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| New Mexico | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| Ohio | Information not available |
| Oklahoma | Yes: for lead teachers only, only in public school settings |
| Oregon | Information not available |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, only in public school settings |
| South Carolina 4K | Yes: only in public school settings |
| South Carolina CDEP | Yes: only in public school settings |
| Tennessee | Yes: for lead and assistant teachers, in public and nonpublic settings |
| Texas | Information not available |
| Vermont Act 62 | Information not available |
| Vermont EEI | Information not available |
| Virginia | Information not available |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Yes: for lead teachers only, only in public school settings |
| Wisconsin 4K | Information not available |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^99]State has explicit requirements or guidelines regarding pre-K teacher paid planning time and/or professional development days

| Alabama | Yes: requirements: www.children.alabama.gov |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Yes: guidelines: Arkansas Better Chance Standards |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| District of Columbia | Yes: requirements: professional development days |
| Delaware | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes: requirements: http://www.decal.ga.gov/Prek/GuidelinesandAppendix.aspx |
| Hawaii | Yes: requirements for the Hawaii State Teachers Association contract |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | Yes: guidelines: In paths to QUALITY Level 3 there is a requirement at a minimum, the lead teacher receive paid planning time but no specific amount is defined. See: http://childcareindiana.org/professionals/ |
| lowa Shared Visions | Yes: requirements: All preschool programs are required to attain NAEYC Accreditation which has expectations for professional development and teacher paid planning time: http://www.naeyc.org/academy/files/academy/Standards\%20and\%20Accreditation\%20Criteria\%20\%26\%20 Guidance\%20for\%20Assessment_10.2015.pdf22 |
| lowa SVPP | Yes: requirements ${ }^{22}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Yes: guidelines: http://www.Irc.state.ky.us/kar/704/003/410.htm 704 KAR 3:410 Section 7(5) |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | Yes: guidelines: Providers shall appropriately compensate staff for time spent attending required NSECD or LDE sponsored-events prior to the beginning of the school year, as well as travel expenses incurred. During the academic year, Providers are responsible for travel expenses such as mileage, meals or hotel accommodations related to ongoing continuing education. Reimbursement for registration fees is determined at the discretion of the Provider. |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes: guidelines, requirements: Financial Assistance: accounts for PD days in contractual language requirements for professional development days QRIS Standards QRIS Level 4: ECERS and PAS outlines guidelines NAEYC Standards |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes: guidelines, requirements: Financial Assistance: accounts for PD days in contractual language requirements for professional development days QRIS Standards QRIS Level 4: ECERS and PAS outlines guidelines NAEYC Standards |
| Michigan | Yes: guidelines: The ISD and program administrators must ensure sufficient time for all staff to participate in planning, professional development, and parent involvement activities. Programs typically operate four days of classroom programming per week, with the fifth weekday set aside for above activities. |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | Yes: Teachers and assistant teachers are required to obtain 15 hours of professional development per year. |
| Missouri | Yes: guidelines: In addition to the hours of educational activities, a minimum of 30 minutes a day should be scheduled for the lead teacher and the assistant teacher for the purpose of planning, parent teacher conferences, etc. |

State has explicit requirements or guidelines regarding pre-K teacher paid planning time and/or professional development days

| Nebraska |  | No |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Nevada | Nes: requirements: These requirements state: Preschool teachers are provided with the same amount of paid planning time |  |
| New Jersey Abbott |  |  |
| and professional development days as all other district teaching staff. |  |  |

State policy requires that pre-K lead teachers and assistant teachers receive the same paid planning time and/or professional development as K-3 teachers

| Alabama | Not reported |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | No |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | Not reported |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Not reported |
| Hawaii | Not reported |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | No |
| Iowa Shared Visions | Not reported |
| Iowa SVPP | Yes: Lead teachers, in public and nonpublic settings |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | Yes: Lead teachers, in public settings |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | Not reported |
| Massachusetts UPK | Not reported |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | Not reported |
| Missouri | Lead and assistant teachers, in public and nonpublic settings |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes |
| New Mexico | Yes: Lead and assistant teachers, public settings |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | Yes |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | Not reported |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | Not reported |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | Lead and assistant teachers, in public and nonpublic settings |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Yes: Lead teachers only, in public settings, planning time only |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^100]
## School districts require that pre-K teachers and assistant teachers receive the same paid planning time and/or professional development as K-3 teachers

| Alabama | Not reported |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not reported |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Not reported |
| California | Not reported |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | Not reported |
| Connecticut SRP | Not reported |
| Delaware | Not reported |
| District of Columbia | N/A |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Not reported |
| Hawaii | Not reported |
| Illinois | Not reported |
| Indiana | Not reported |
| lowa Shared Visions | Not reported |
| lowa SVPP | Yes: Lead teachers, in public and nonpublic settings |
| Kansas Preschool | Not reported |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Not reported |
| Kentucky | Yes: Lead teachers in public settings |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Yes: Lead teachers in public settings |
| Louisiana NSECD | Not reported |
| Maine | Not reported |
| Maryland | Yes: Lead and assistant teachers in public settings |
| Massachusetts 391 | Not reported |
| Massachusetts UPK | Not reported |
| Michigan | Not reported |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | Not reported |
| Nebraska | Not reported |
| Nevada | Yes: Lead teachers in public settings |
| New Jersey Abbott | Not reported |
| New Jersey ECPA | Not reported |
| New Jersey ELLI | Not reported |
| New Mexico | Yes: Lead and assistant teachers in public settings |
| New York | Not reported |
| North Carolina | Not reported |
| Ohio | Not reported |
| Oklahoma | Yes: Lead teachers in public settings |
| Oregon | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Not reported |
| Rhode Island | Not reported |
| South Carolina 4K | Not reported |
| South Carolina CDEP | Not reported |
| Tennessee | Yes: Lead and assistant teachers in all settings |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Not reported |
| Vermont EEI | Not reported |
| Virginia | Not reported |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | Yes: Lead teachers only in public settings, planning time only |
| Wisconsin 4K | Not reported |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Not reported |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-115$ school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-$ ' 14 school year.

State policy provides supports for lead teachers to enhance skills

| Alabama | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Unknown |
| Arizona | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development, bonuses, online resources, state sponsored professional development, TEACH early childhood |
| Arkansas | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| California | Scholarships, mentoring; Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Colorado | Loan forgiveness; Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Connecticut CDCC | Scholarships |
| Connecticut SRP | Scholarships |
| Delaware | Scholarships; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| District of Columbia | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, mentoring, bonuses |
| Hawaii | Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, online resources. |
| Illinois | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Mentoring, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Indiana | Scholarships, mentoring; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, job-embedded professional development |
| lowa Shared Visions | Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| lowa SVPP | Loan forgiveness, mentoring; Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Kansas Preschool | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Kentucky | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Online resources, professional development trainings; Locally determined: Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, bonuses |
| Louisiana NSECD | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Paid planning time, bonuses |
| Maine | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Maryland | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time; Locally determined: Bonuses |
| Massachusetts 391 | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Bonuses |
| Massachusetts UPK | Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Bonuses |
| Michigan | Scholarships |
| Minnesota | Job-embedded professional development |
| Mississippi | Scholarships, loan forgiveness, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Mentoring, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Missouri | Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |

Nebraska Loan forgiveness

| Nevada | Nevada TEACH Early childhood |
| :---: | :---: |
| New Jersey Abbott | Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| New Jersey ECPA | Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| New Jersey ELLI | Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| New Mexico | Scholarships, Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| New York | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, job-embedded professional development, bonuses |
| North Carolina | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Ohio | Scholarships; Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Oklahoma | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring,job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Oregon | Scholarships; Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Rhode Island | Loan forgiveness, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, state-sponsored professional development |
| South Carolina 4K | Loan forgiveness; Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| South Carolina CDEP | Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Tennessee | Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time; Locally determined: Bonuses |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Vermont EEI | Unknown |
| Virginia | Unknown |
| Washington | Job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Mentoring, paid planning time, bonuses |
| West Virginia | Job-embedded professional development |
| Wisconsin 4K | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, bonuses; Locally determined: Paid planning time |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^101]
## State policy provides supports for assistant teachers to enhance skills

| Alabama | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not reported |
| Arizona | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development, bonuses |
| Arkansas | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| California | Scholarships, mentoring; Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Colorado | Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Connecticut CDCC | Scholarships |
| Connecticut SRP | Scholarships |
| Delaware | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses. |
| District of Columbia | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development, technical assistance, TEACH scholarships |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, mentoring, bonuses |
| Hawaii | Mentoring, job-embedded professional development |
| Illinois | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Indiana | Scholarships, mentoring; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, job-embedded professional development |
| lowa Shared Visions | Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| lowa SVPP | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Kansas Preschool | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses. |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses. |
| Kentucky | Scholarships, Milestone Achievement Award through KIDS; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Louisiana NSECD | Not reported |
| Maine | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Maryland | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Paid planning time, bonuses |
| Massachusetts 391 | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development |
| Massachusetts UPK | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development |
| Michigan | Scholarships |
| Minnesota | Scholarships; Locally determined: Mentoring job-embedded professional development paid planning time bonuses |
| Mississippi | Locally determined: Mentoring, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Missouri | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Nebraska | None |
| Nevada | Scholarships, Nevada TEACH Early Childhood |
| New Jersey Abbott | Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| New Jersey ECPA | Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| New Jersey ELLI | Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| New Mexico | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| New York | Not reported |
| North Carolina | Scholarships; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness |
| Ohio | Scholarships; Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Oklahoma | Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Oregon | Scholarships; Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Locally determined: Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses. |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Rhode Island | Job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, state-sponsored professional development, TEACH Early Childhood |
| South Carolina 4K | Scholarships, loan forgiveness; Locally determined: Mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| South Carolina CDEP | Scholarships; Locally determined: Loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time |
| Tennessee | Job-embedded professional development, mentoring; Locally determined: Paid planning time, bonuses |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Locally determined: Scholarships, loan forgiveness, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, paid planning time, bonuses |
| Vermont EEI | Not reported |
| Virginia | Not reported |
| Washington | Scholarships, job-embedded professional development; Locally determined: Mentoring, paid planning time, bonuses |
| West Virginia | Job-embedded professional development |
| Wisconsin 4K | Scholarships, mentoring, job-embedded professional development, bonuses; Locally determined: Paid planning time |
| Wisconsin HdSt | None |

[^102]Wage or salary incentives are provided tied to program standards

| Alabama | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | No |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | Yes: Programs who receive the highest rating have teacher bonus incentives available. |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | Yes: Some contracted pre-K teachers, working within public schools, depending on the terms of the contract, may receive salary incentives tied to program quality standards |
| Iowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | No ${ }^{23}$ |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | No |
| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | Yes: Credentialing bonuses/ QRIS bonuses/ MAAPP |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | No |
| New Mexico | No |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | No |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | No |
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | No |
| Wisconsin HdSt | No |

[^103]Wage or salary incentives are provided tied to teacher evaluation/performance

| Alabama | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | No |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut CDCC | No |
| Connecticut SRP | No |
| Delaware | No |
| District of Columbia | No |
| Florida | Not reported |
| Georgia | No |
| Hawaii | No |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | Yes |
| lowa Shared Visions | No |
| lowa SVPP | No |
| Kansas Preschool | No |
| Kansas State Pre-K | No |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported | Yes - Compass is the state's educator support and evaluation system designed to provide all educators with regular, meaningful feedback on their performance. This system promotes a cycle of continuous improvement by providing aligned resources that guide educators Louisiana LA4 throughout the year. Under this system, every teacher and school leader in each public school is evaluated annually using a four-tiered rating - Highly Effective, Effective: Proficient, Effective: Emerging, and Ineffective. Recently passed legislation requires districts to build compensation systems based on effectiveness. Salary incentives vary from district to district. This legislation applies to public schools only.


| Louisiana NSECD | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | No |
| Massachusetts 391 | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | No |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | No |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | No |
| New Jersey Abbott | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | No |
| New Mexico | No |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | No |
| Ohio | No |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | No |
| Pennsylvania RTL | No |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | No |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Neported |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | No |
| Vermont Act 62 | No |
| Vermont EEI | No |
| Virginia | Nosconsin HdSt |
|  |  |

[^104]Average annual salary: lead pre-K teacher in a public school setting

Average annual salary: lead pre-K teacher in a nonpublic school setting

| Alabama | \$38,000 | \$32,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not reported | Not reported |
| Arizona | Not reported | Not reported |
| Arkansas | Not reported | Not reported |
| California | Not reported | Not reported |
| Colorado | Not reported | Not reported |
| Connecticut CDCC | Not reported | \$32,795 |
| Connecticut SRP | \$53,045 | \$33,939 |
| Delaware | Not reported | Not reported |
| District of Columbia | \$50,000 | NA |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | \$38,500 | \$28,000 |
| Hawaii | \$58,573 | NA |
| Illinois | \$47,386 | \$35,638 |
| Indiana | Not reported | Not reported |
| Iowa Shared Visions | \$40,343 | \$27,879 |
| lowa SVPP | \$46,400 ${ }^{24}$ | NA |
| Kansas Preschool | Not reported | Not reported |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Not reported | NA |
| Kentucky | \$55,117 | Not reported |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana NSECD | Not reported | \$25,000 |
| Maine | Not reported | Not reported |
| Maryland | \$44,000 | \$44,000 |
| Massachusetts 391 | \$58,046 | \$33,999 |
| Massachusetts UPK | Not reported | Not reported |
| Michigan | \$34,740 | \$31,364 |
| Minnesota | Not reported | Not reported |
| Mississippi | \$39,000 | \$20,259 |
| Missouri | Not reported | Not reported |
| Nebraska | Not reported | Not reported |
| Nevada | \$43,000 | \$40,000 |
| New Jersey Abbott | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Jersey ECPA | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Jersey ELLI | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Mexico | Not reported | Not reported |
| New York | Not reported | Not reported |
| North Carolina | Not reported | Not reported |
| Ohio | Not reported | Not reported |
| Oklahoma | \$37,277 | Not reported |
| Oregon | \$25,376 | \$25,376 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Not reported | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Not reported | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Not reported | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts |  | Not reported |
| Rhode Island | \$52,000 | \$43,458 |
| South Carolina 4K | \$46,337 | Not reported |
| South Carolina CDEP | \$49,245 | Not reported |
| Tennessee | Not reported | Not reported |
| Texas | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont EEI | Not reported | Not reported |
| Virginia | Not reported | Not reported |
| Washington | \$33,422 | \$31,851 |
| West Virginia | \$42,502 | Not reported |
| Wisconsin 4K | \$50,020 | Not reported |
| Wisconsin HdSt | \$0 | Not reported |

[^105]Average annual salary: Assistant pre-K teacher in a public school setting

Average annual salary: Assistant pre-K teacher in a nonpublic school setting

| Alabama | \$18,500 | \$18,500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Not reported | Not reported |
| Arizona | Not reported | Not reported |
| Arkansas | Not reported | Not reported |
| California | Not reported | Not reported |
| Colorado | Not reported | Not reported |
| Connecticut CDCC | NA ${ }^{16}$ | \$23,870 |
| Connecticut SRP | \$30,250 | \$24,797 |
| Delaware | Not reported | Not reported |
| District of Columbia | \$30,000 | NA |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | \$14,500 | \$14,000 |
| Hawaii | \$30,888 | NA |
| Illinois | Not reported | Not reported |
| Indiana | Not reported | Not reported |
| lowa Shared Visions | \$16,122 | \$19,197 |
| lowa SVPP | \$15,700 ${ }^{24}$ | Not reported |
| Kansas Preschool | Not reported | Not reported |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Not reported | NA |
| Kentucky | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana NSECD | Not reported | \$25,000 |
| Maine | Not reported | Not reported |
| Maryland | Not reported | Not reported |
| Massachusetts 391 | \$21,637 | \$25,710 |
| Massachusetts UPK | Not reported | Not reported |
| Michigan | \$28,781 | \$14,316 |
| Minnesota | Not reported | Not reported |
| Mississippi | \$18,000 | \$17,486 |
| Missouri | Not reported | Not reported |
| Nebraska | Not reported | NA |
| Nevada | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Jersey Abbott | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Jersey ECPA | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Jersey ELLI | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Mexico | Not reported | Not reported |
| New York | Not reported | Not reported |
| North Carolina | Not reported | Not reported |
| Ohio | Not reported | Not reported |
| Oklahoma | \$14,828 | Not reported |
| Oregon | \$19,913 | \$19,913 |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Not reported | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Not reported | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Not reported | Not reported |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Not reported | Not reported |
| Rhode Island | \$27,000 | \$29,652 |
| South Carolina 4K | Not reported | Not reported |
| South Carolina CDEP | Not reported | Not reported |
| Tennessee | Not reported | Not reported |
| Texas | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont EEI | Not reported | Not reported |
| Virginia | Not reported | Not reported |
| Washington | \$17,574 | \$19,103 |
| West Virginia | \$23,701 | NA |
| Wisconsin 4K | Not reported | Locally determined |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Not reported | Not reported |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year,

Pre-K teachers located in a public school setting are covered by a collective bargaining agreement

Pre-K teachers located in a nonpublic setting are covered by a collective bargaining agreement

| Alabama | Not reported | Not reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | Locally determined | Not reported |
| Arizona | No | No |
| Arkansas | Yes | Not reported |
| California | Locally determined | Not reported |
| Colorado | Locally determined | Not reported |
| Connecticut CDCC | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Connecticut SRP | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Delaware | Locally determined | Not reported |
| District of Columbia | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Florida | Not reported | Not reported |
| Georgia | No | No |
| Hawaii | Yes | NA |
| Illinois | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Indiana | Not reported | No |
| lowa Shared Visions | Locally determined | Not reported |
| lowa SVPP | Yes | Not reported |
| Kansas Preschool | Not reported | Not reported |
| Kansas State Pre-K | Locally determined | Not reported |
| Kentucky | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Louisiana 8(g) | Not reported | Not reported |
| Louisiana LA4 | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Louisiana NSECD | NA | No |
| Maine | Yes | Not reported |
| Maryland | Yes | Yes |
| Massachusetts 391 | Yes | No |
| Massachusetts UPK | Yes | No |
| Michigan | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Minnesota | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Mississippi | No | No |
| Missouri | Not reported | Not reported |
| Nebraska | Yes | NA |
| Nevada | Not reported | Not reported |
| New Jersey Abbott | Yes | No |
| New Jersey ECPA | Yes | No |
| New Jersey ELLI | Yes | No |
| New Mexico | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| New York | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| North Carolina | No | No |
| Ohio | Not reported | Not reported |
| Oklahoma | Locally determined | Not reported |
| Oregon | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania RTL | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania HSSAP | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Pennsylvania K4 \& SBPK | Locally determined | No |
| Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Rhode Island | Yes | No |
| South Carolina 4K | No | No |
| South Carolina CDEP | Not reported | Not reported |
| Tennessee | Locally determined | Not reported |
| Texas | Not reported | Not reported |
| Vermont Act 62 | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Vermont EEI | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Virginia | Not reported | Not reported |
| Washington | Yes | Locally determined |
| West Virginia | No | No |
| Wisconsin 4K | Locally determined | Locally determined |
| Wisconsin HdSt | Not reported ${ }^{13}$ | Not reported |

Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-$ ' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-14$ school year.

## ALABAMA - First Class Pre-K: Alabama's Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten

1 The State Office of School Readiness has administrative authority over the Voluntary Pre-Kindergarten Program. However, the Governor's office may override or approve decisions.
2 The Alabama pre-K program served 222 children with identified disabilities upon entering pre-K. An additional 104 children qualified for services through referrals made during the pre-K year. See special education referral plan website at www.children.alabama.gov. In addition, each teacher has access to individual children's IEP plans.
3 Programs may offer an extended-day option, but may not use state pre-K dollars for the program. The state does not collect data about which programs offer extendedday option.
4 A sliding scale is based on income of family and percentage of poverty. There are three types of grants: Excellence ( $\$ 45,000$ ), Tiered (range from $\$ 80,100-\$ 92,800$ ), and Plus (new grants for start-up funds, $\$ 120,000-\$ 150,000$ ). The Tiered grants and the Plus grants require the use of the sliding scale based on income of family and percentage of poverty and cannot charge fees that exceed the amount allowed in the sliding scale. Those classrooms that receive Excellence grants "may charge parents the normal cost of child care that is common in the local community" (OSR Guidelines).
5 Head Start programs may apply for a waiver to have up to 20 children per classroom and a staff-child ratio of 1:10.
6 Breakfast is also offered by many programs, but is not required.
7 ASQ-3 is used for developmental screening. Each child must have a physical and a health screening. The state does not collect the health data as in the past. Monitoring staff verify that a physical and screenings have been completed and are onsite. Immunizations are required but the state does allow for migrant, homeless, or other religious- or health-based exceptions.
8 ELL plans are developed by local providers.
9 All lead teachers are required to have a Bachelor's degree in Early Childhood or Child Development. If they are certified teachers, they must have the P in their certification, in addition to 18 hours of ECE coursework.
10 While a $25 \%$ match is required from each program, the state's reporting system does not reflect the source of the match. However, this is a requirement for the 2015-2016 year.
11 Instructional practice is supported by coaching and is based on a tiered approach for response to improvement.
12 Administrative policy by DCA requires PPVT, TS GOLD. The GOLD assessment is used as a formative assessment that helps guide teacher training. ECERS and monitoring visits are used to identify programs for corrective actions and/or to adjust curricula. Working with the Alabama Department of Education to provide measures of student outcomes over time and across grades. State is monitoring children's progress in school in partnership with the Department of Education, and using GOLD in a select number of school systems to measure kindergarten readiness. This is not in the pre-K program but is in public school kindergartens in pilot phase. An additional 3 school systems adopted GOLD as their KEA for 2014-2015. There were 14 additional school systems participating in GOLD KEA for the 2015-2016 school year for a total of 21 participating school systems.
13 There is no kindergarten entry assessment required by the Alabama Department of Education. Alabama Department of Children's Affairs is working with local school systems to implement GOLD as a KEA. This is voluntary and in pilot phase.

## ALASKA - Alaska Prekindergarten Program

1 One of the 54 school districts only provides high school services.
2 Exact number of special education students is unknown but it is estimated at $10 \%$ of enrollment.
3 Five out of the eight programs are jointly operated by public schools and Head Start. Mirroring federal Head Start guidelines, up to 35\% of enrollment may be children whose family incomes are between 100 and $130 \%$ FPL after priority is given to children at or below $100 \%$ FPL. In addition, some communities meet poverty of access criteria per federal Head Start regulations. School districts partnering with Head Start programs must follow all federal Head Start requirements. All programs must follow state pre-elementary statutes and regulations.
4 All programs are part-day and must operate for at least 3 hours per day, but cannot operate for more than 5 hours per day. Programs operate for 4 or 5 days per week, for a minimum of 14 hours per week, though the actual schedule is determined locally. Programs may partner with child care or other services to provide wraparound care, but, by state definition, state-funded pre-K cannot operate more than 5 hours a day.
5 Exceptions to age requirements may be made for children with IEPs. If parents and members of the IEP team determine that pre-K is the least restrictive environment for the child, the child could receive services through pre-K even if he or she did not meet the age requirements.
6 All programs follow state pre-elementary statute and regulations. School districts partnering with Head Start programs must follow federal Head Start requirements. Support services include parenting support or training, health services for children, and nutrition information.
7 Teachers must be state certified with a BA in ECE or a related field or specialized training in a related field, and assistant teachers must have a current CDA, 12 ECE credits, or an AA in ECE or with specialized training in Early Education or a related field.
8 Alaska's state Head Start funds are used to enhance Head Start services and to improve quality. About $63 \%$ of the programs are jointly operated by public schools and Head Start.
9 Done at the department level, the evaluation covers three years of reporting on pre- and post-assessments using the TSG, PPVT, and ECERS instruments. Teaching Strategies GOLD and PPVT are used for pre-K assessment. CLASS is used in all classrooms. In addition, an independent alignment of the ELGs and the new standards has been completed. Guidelines are aligned to the Alaska Developmental Profile, the Head Start Child Outcomes Framework, and the Teaching Strategies GOLD assessment process.

## ARIZONA - Quality First Scholarships

1 First Things First is the funding and administrative authority for Quality First Scholarships and has a partnering agreement for service delivery with the local United Way affiliate.
2 FTF Regional Partnership Councils include 21 geographically defined Councils and 10 tribal Councils, which span the entire state. The 21 geographically defined Councils are largely constructed along county lines, except for major metropolitan areas of Phoenix and Tucson, which have eight and three Councils respectively. This count of 23 also includes five of 10 tribal Regional Partnership Councils, which are defined by tribal land (reservation) boundaries, and include separate child counts from the geographic county in which the tribal land resides. Funding is allocated by each FTF Regional Partnership Council. The opportunity to receive funding depends on the amount allocated in each region. Quality First Scholarships are awarded to early care and education programs that have achieved the quality levels in the state's QRIS, Quality First.
3 The number of children indicated above as 5 -year-olds were not age eligible for kindergarten at the time of their enrollment in this state funded program. The program serves children in both center and family child care settings. In addition to the total 212 children participated in Quality First Scholarships provided to family child care settings ( 89 three-year-olds, 74 four-year-olds, 49 five-year-olds not in kindergarten and 201 children under three years of age were served).
4 There are 115 providers in the system that did not identify public or private status. The total number of children in the unidentified programs is 1,060 . In addition, 347 children were also served in family child care settings. First Things First has administrative authority for both the public and nonpublic school sectors for this program and requirements for program standards are the same no matter where children are served.
5 The most common operating schedule in public school settings is a part-day schedule, which is a minimum of 3 hours per day (no less than 12 hours per week), and no less than 3 days per week on a school year calendar. The most common operating schedule in nonpublic school settings is school- or extended-day on a full calendar year.

6 Programs must be enrolled in Quality First, Arizona's ORIS. Organizations can use part-time scholarships that would support extended-day services. Although there is no formal state level partnership, there are multiple Head Start programs participating in Quality First and some use Quality First scholarships to extend the day and/or serve additional children.
7 Some early care and education programs charge a copayment to the family in addition to the funding that the state provides. This depends on each participating early care and education program.
8 Income- and age-eligibility are verified annually. Children who are deemed ineligible no longer receive the scholarship.
9 Group size and teacher-child ratio are based on the age of the youngest child in attendance.
10 Programs are required to follow state child care licensing regulations. Children enrolled 2 to 4 hours receive one or more snacks, children enrolled 4 to 8 hours receive one or more snacks and a meal, and children enrolled 9 or more hours receive two snacks and a meal.
11 The current standard is a minimum quality rating as assessed by the statewide QRIS, Quality First. Quality First programs that meet the minimum rating are not currently required to conduct or ensure that sensory, developmental, and mental health screenings are conducted.
12 All participating early care and education program administrators and Lead Teachers are required to participate in the introductory training on the Arizona Early Learning Standards. Additionally the program must align their curriculum with these standards to participate in Quality First Scholarships.
13 In the early care and education program, both public and nonpublic settings must meet minimum standards for the program as a whole. Twenty-five percent of lead teachers in both public and nonpublic participants in Quality First scholarships programs must have at least 12 college credit hours in early childhood or related fields or a certificate of completion in ECE or child development from a community college or a CDA. Certification was not required in the 2013-2014 school year, though the Arizona Department of Education requires lead teachers in all public school early childhood programs to be certified in Early Childhood Education (Birth-grade 3) as of July 2012. The standard for participation in the Quality First Scholarships program is a minimum quality rating in the state QRIS, Quality First. The minimum standard for teaching staff qualifications is as stated, in conjunction with a minimum score on two valid and reliable program assessment tools, the Environment Rating Scales (ERS) and Classroom Assessment Scoring System (CLASS).
14 There were a total of 1,420 Lead Teachers who do not have education listed. The state of Arizona will be implementing a workforce registry in the next year and will have more robust data on teaching staff.
15 The professional development requirement is defined by state child care licensing
16 In addition to the total reported, Quality First Scholarships were also provided to children younger than 3 years of age $(\$ 2,338,927.67)$ and children served in family child care homes $(\$ 936,978.41$ ) for a total of $\$ 15,582,695.37$. A change in the scholarships program also resulted in changing the structure in which scholarships were allocated A minimum number of scholarship slots were allocated to programs based on their Quality First Rating and the size of program (i.e. how many children 0-5 they serve). The reimbursement policy was also changed to align with attendance rather than enrollment. As a result, more children were able to be served with less funding.

17 All programs must meet the quality levels of the QRIS, Quality First, to be eligible to participate in the program.
18 The Quality First website, qualityfirstaz.com, was launched to inform families of the quality levels of the programs in the QRIS.
19 A validation study is planned for Quality First, Arizona's quality rating and improvement system. This formal evaluation will measure the impact and child outcomes in the future.
20 Arizona is working to establish a kindergarten developmental inventory instrument that will be used at kindergarten entry and will potentially implement this by the 20162017 school year.

## ARKANSAS - Arkansas Better Chance/Arkansas Better Chance for School Success

1 School districts classified as being in academic distress, appearing on the school improvement list, or where more than $75 \%$ of fourth graders score below proficient on benchmark exams, are required to establish an ABC/ABCSS pre-K program.
2 The enrollment total does not include children who received ABC home-visiting services only during the 2014-2015 program year. Children who are dually served in center-based care and home visiting are included in the enrollment total. There are 1,214 under three years of age. These numbers include the home-visiting services
3 The state anticipates that the current number of children receiving special education services exceeds the number reported, due to the fact that the state data system is currently being updated in this area.
4 The Division of Childcare and Early Childhood Education has administrative responsibility for all ABC programs through an interagency agreement with the Arkansas Department of Education.

5 Programs may apply for Child Care Development Funds (CCDF) to assist with before and after-school care, provided the children meet the requirements of eligibility for CCDF. CCDF will also fund ABC summer school to provide an extended year for those programs interested in providing these services.

6 Exceptions to age eligibility are contingent on the documentation and team decision of the IFSP/IEP and what is in the best interest for the child. Waiver requests are submitted.

7 Agencies with $A B C$ funding (vs. $A B C S S$ ) can serve children from birth-5 years of age who meet specific special-needs criteria. ABCSS agencies may opt to serve children who are kindergarten-eligible with special needs, although a waiver must be obtained.
8 Children eligible to participate in an ABC program shall have at least one of the following characteristics: family with gross income not exceeding 200\% FPL; a parent without a high school diploma or GED; low birth weight (below 5 pounds, 9 ounces); parent who was under 18 years of age at child's birth; immediate family member with a history of substance abuse; a demonstrable developmental delay identified through screening; eligible for services under IDEA; income-eligible for Title I programs; limited English proficiency; or a parent who has a history of abuse or neglect, or who is a victim of abuse or neglect. To be eligible to participate in the ABCSS program, the family must have a gross income not exceeding $200 \%$ FPL and be age-eligible. Both programs may also serve children who meet the following criteria: meet the state's homeless criteria; is in foster care; has an incarcerated parent; has a parent activated for overseas military duty; or has an immediate family member arrested for or convicted of drug-related offenses. A sliding scale applies to families with incomes between 200 and 250\% of FPL
9 The Kellogg Foundation provided funding to initiate the revision of the frameworks to align better with Public Education Learning Standards. A draft is currently being reviewed.

10 The lead teacher in a public school shall hold a standard Arkansas teacher license with P-4 certification. The lead teacher in a nonpublic school-based program must have a minimum of a BA in Early Childhood Education or Child Development. For all programs with multiple classrooms at a single location, the teacher of the second classroom shall hold, at a minimum, an AA in Early Childhood Education or Early Childhood Development. State policy does allow programs to hire staff under an approved staff qualifications plan while completing coursework to obtain minimum credentialing.
11 To meet NIEER's definition of lead teacher, the educational level data above represents all classroom teachers. Data in the other category represents teachers entered into the data system with no designation entered, which is currently being updated.

12 Public schools require all teachers to meet the minimum number of professional development hours as per the AR Department of Education and as approved by the State Board of Education. Licensed teachers must obtain 60 clock hours of professional development annually; all other ABC teachers are required to obtain 30 clock hours per program year
13 All licensed providers and home-visiting agencies that meet eligibility requirements are eligible to apply for funding.
14 All participating public and nonpublic providers must provide a $40 \%$ match. The match can comprise in-kind or monetary support.

## CALIFORNIA - California State Preschool Program

1 Enrollment numbers are from one point in time, October 2014.

2 The part-day program is funded to operate at least 3 hours per day for a minimum of 175 days per year. The full-day program is funded to operate at least 6 hours per day for a minimum of 246 days per year.
3 California regulations allow Head Start to braid and blend funding. Partnerships with Head Start are determined locally.
4 Children may remain in the program through summer until school begins in the fall.
5 CalWORKs cash-aided (TANF) families are excluded from paying the family fee. Children in Child Protective Services and those children at risk of being abused, neglected, or exploited may be excluded from family fee payment for up to 12 months.
6 Part-day CSPP services only require families to establish eligibility at time of enrollment. A family that enrolls in full-day care must meet and maintain need for care to remain in the full-day program. If a family no longer meets the need criteria for full-day services, however, they may remain in part-day services for the remainder of the school year based on the eligibility at enrollment.
7 Although there is no limit to class size, programs typically enroll 24 children in the class.
8 Contractors must provide meals and snacks that meet nutritional requirements specified by the federal CACFP or the National School Lunch Program. For the part-day program, one meal or snack is required. For the full-day program, one meal and two snacks or two meals and one snack are required, depending on hours of operation.
9 Immunizations and physical and developmental assessments are required by Title 22. If screenings are not provided by the parents, local programs must address them.
10 Recruitment and enrollment materials are provided in the home language if $10 \%$ or more of the local population speaks a specific language other than English. Effective September 2013, the primary language in the home is reported by the parent at the time of eligibility determination. This information is collected by CDE (801A). Children whose home language is not English are identified as a part of the child assessment system, Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP).
11 The California Child Development Associate Teacher Permit is the minimum requirement for a teacher in a CSPP classroom (though California does not use the term lead teacher) and exceeds the requirement of the CDA. The permit requires 12 units in ECE or child development and 50 days' work experience in an instructional capacity. It may be renewed one time for a five-year period. A teacher may also have the full Child Development Teacher Permit, which requires a minimum of 40 semester units of education including a minimum of 24 units in ECE or child development, and 175 days of work experience, or a Master Teacher permit. For additional information, please see: http://www.ctc.ca.gov/credentials/leaflets/cl797.pdf.
12 Assistant teachers in the California State Preschool Program are required to have a high school diploma or equivalent. The optional Child Development Assistant Teacher Permit requires six credits in ECE or CD.
13 Reported funding represents actual expenditures as of October 5, 2015 for state fiscal year 2014-2015. The amounts reflect unaudited expenditures and are subject to change. Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) are required to submit revised reports by February 13, 2016. Private agencies submitted audits by November 15, 2015. They could ask for a one-time extension until December 15, 2015.
14 The 801A database includes primary language spoken at home, as reported by parent at eligibility determination.

## COLORADO - Colorado Preschool Program

1 The Charter School Institute also participates in the Colorado Preschool Program.
2 When allocating slots, priority is given to those school districts not currently participating in the program.
3 Under the early childhood councils, three school districts have waivers to serve children younger than age 3 in CPP. In 2014-2015, 425 children under age 3 participated in CPP. Children must turn 3 by October 1 in order to be funded by the state, but districts can choose earlier eligibility dates. The number of 5 -year-olds is reflective of the children who turned 5 before June 1, which is the earliest eligibility date chosen by school districts.
4 Enrollment by race/ethnicity includes children of all ages served in CPP.
5 CPP funds flow from the state directly to school districts. Local district advisory councils then provide oversight, with final approval required by the school district superintendent.
6 The program is funded for 5 days per week, although the statute requires children attend 4 days per week or the equivalent, with the fifth day being funded for home visits, teacher planning time, completion of child assessments, or staff training. Programs may extend the hours and days beyond the minimum requirement of 10 hours per week. These opportunities are determined locally and are based on the needs of children and families. While district advisory councils are encouraged to blend CPP funding with other sources such as Head Start, child care subsidy, and parent tuition so that children can participate in full-day programs, enrollment by schedule is not collected.
7 Under a waiver approved through the early childhood councils, three districts may fund children younger than age 3 in CPP. There is no designated cut-off date for the maximum age a child could be served in preschool; this is locally determined. There is, however, a state policy limiting children to two years of participation. A kindergarten-eligibility date is established by the local school board. Children must turn 5 by October 1 in order to be funded, so no school district establishes a cut-off date later than October 1. School districts may establish dates as early as June 1 for eligibility for kindergarten, however. Under certain conditions, highly advanced, gifted children may be granted early entrance to kindergarten.
8 Income eligibility may be the sole factor or may be one of several eligibility factors. In some areas of the state where the cost of living is extremely high, district advisory councils have increased the eligibility to 200 or $225 \%$ FPL. Locally determined risk factors that have been selected by the district advisory councils include: parent is incarcerated, parent is on active military duty, and developmental delay that raises concerns for school readiness but that does not require special education services. Four-year-olds can qualify with one risk factor. Three-year-olds must have three or more risk factors.
9 Meals and nutritious snacks must be served at suitable intervals. Children who are in the program for more than 4 hours per day must be offered a meal that meets at least one-third of their daily nutritional needs.
10 Many districts employ licensed teachers, but the minimum requirements are as follows: teachers must have college coursework in child development, developmentally appropriate practices, understanding parent partnerships, and multicultural education. They must be supervised by someone with at least a BA in Early Childhood Education or Child Development.
11 There is no specific category for assistant teacher, but staff aides must meet Colorado Department of Human Services Licensing Requirements (at least 16 years of age with favorable background checks).
12 In the Colorado School Finance Formula, each preschool slot is funded at 0.5 FTE and provides for both a local and state share. Local match, as defined in the methodology section ins not require in Colorado. However, local school districts are required to contribute to their per-pupil revenue according to the school finance formula. This local share varies widely by school district. The overall local share is reflected in "all reported spending."
13 Not all programs provide the same measures of quality effectiveness data. At minimum, local district advisory councils must monitor each setting serving CPP children two times per year. Some district advisory councils also require NAEYC accreditation or Colorado Shines rating, but this is a local decision. Colorado uses a targeted assistance model that may provide newer programs with multiple site visits per year; all programs receive a visit at least every two years. District advisory councils may hire outside monitors.Colorado Shines is the new QRIS replacing Qualistar.
14 Each year, participating programs submit an annual report that is reviewed in depth. State staff use a rubric for review and feedback about these annual applications and reports. Programs also submit audited revenue and expenditures for district-level programs, documentation of family outcomes, longitudinal data on children's performance in statewide assessment in grades K-12, graduation rates, retention rates, and placement in special education in grades K-12. Programs are required to conduct self-assessments through Colorado Quality Standards or NAEYC Accreditation, but the state does not collect this data.
15 Status and growth data are reported to the Colorado Legislature through an annual report provided at the commencement of the legislative session. Results of global outcome ratings, based on the child assessment data, are also reported to the Governor's Office as part of the annual early childhood system framework report submitted by the Lieutenant Governor. Under Results Matter, the process for evaluating the program's effectiveness is ongoing and is based on performance-based assessments, parent surveys, K-9 assessment data and retention rates, and program quality ratings. State policy requires the use of an approved assessment system to measure child outcomes. In 2014-2015, all programs chose to use Teaching Strategies GOLD.

16 The Colorado READ Act, passed in 2012, requires annual interim reading assessment for children in grades K-3. Approved interim reading assessments include: Aimsweb, i-Ready, DIBELS Next, IDEL, FAST, ISIP ER, Istation (English and Spanish), PALS (English and Spanish), and STAR. Approved diagnostic assessments include: i-Ready, PALS Marketplace Phonological Awareness (English and Spanish), STAR Early Literacy Assessment, NWEA-CPAA, PPVT, TVIP, VRMT-III, DIBELS Deep, Amplify Burst Reading Assessments, and Woodcock-Munoz LS. Approved optional summative assessments include: i-Ready, NWEA-MPG, and Terra Nova. Students who identified as significantly below grade level are required to have further diagnosis of their reading difficulties and to have an intervention plan developed to address their reading deficiencies. Intervention funds are provided to support services at the school level. Colorado's Achievement Plan for Kids passed in 2008 and requires all kindergarteners in publicly funded programs to have an individual school readiness plan. The plan should be informed by the use of an approved kindergarten school readiness assessment.

## CONNECTICUT - Connecticut School Readiness

1 As of July 1, 2013, the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood (OEC) was the new agency exercising administrative authority over this state prekindergarten initiative.
2 Of the 68 communities, 19 are Priority school districts and 49 are Competitive School Readiness municipalities, as defined by legislation. One new Competitive School Readiness community was added in 2014-2015, bringing the total number of Competitive School Readiness municipalities to 49 . The 19 Priority School Readiness districts are not required to participate, but funds are available to all eligible districts. Competitive grants are available to municipalities that have one or more schools in which $40 \%$ or more of the children are eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or are one of the communities identified within the 50 lowest wealth ranked towns in the state.
3 Enrollment numbers were not collected by age group for 2014-2015. A new Early Childhood Information System (ECIS) is scheduled to be implemented in 2015-2016 and will include enrollment by age group. In 2014-2015, 722 School Readiness children had an IEP/IFSP (no breakdown by age group). In 2014-2015, 490 School Readiness children were enrolled in Head Start (no breakdown by age group).
4 There are three types of slots, including full-day (10 hours)/ full-year ( 50 weeks/year), school-day ( 6 hours)/school-year ( 180 days/year), and part-day ( 2.5 to 5.5 hours)/school-year ( 180 to 250 days/year). Extended day provides hours, days, and weeks of a non-School Readiness program to extend the program to meet full-day, fullyear requirements. All programs operate 5 days per week. Funding levels vary by the type of slot.
5 School Readiness funds are provided to extend Head Start half-day space to a 10-hour day.
6 Hours vary by program. Extended-day programs operate 10 hours, 50 weeks per year, while school-day programs are 6 hours, 180 days per year. Part-day programs operate 2.5 hours, 180 days per year. Funding from another source can be used to extend programs into extended-day programs. The minimum is 2.5 hours per day for a total of 450 hours per year.
7 As defined by legislation, communities may allow a small number of kindergarten-eligible children to remain in the program (up to $5 \%$ of the total served) if the child has been enrolled in the School Readiness program for one year and if the parent or guardian, School Readiness provider, and the local or regional school district agree that the child will not enter kindergarten.
8 All families, regardless of income levels, can apply for School Readiness spaces in Competitive and Priority municipalities. However, $60 \%$ of children enrolled in each municipality must meet the income guideline of at or below $75 \%$ SMI.
9 Exemption from the sliding scale for part-time programs may be available if the local School Readiness Council establishes a policy.
10 All children remain eligible for the program but the fee is reassessed annually and residency is verified. Parent fees can change mid-year depending on change in income and family size, though programs work with families to keep the child in the program.
11 The School Readiness program encourages a class size of 18 .
12 Programs are required to serve one snack to children who attend fewer than 5 hours per day and one snack plus one meal to children in class for 5 to 8 hours per day. Children attending more than 8 hours per day must be provided one snack and two meals or two snacks and one meal. Either the program or the parent may provide the food.
13 It is strongly suggested that programs provide a staff member or volunteer who speaks the child's home language.
14 Support services include education services or job training for parents, parenting support or training, parent involvement activities, health services for parents and children, information about nutrition, referral to social services, transition-to-kindergarten activities, parent conferences and/or home visits, and programs for family literacy and dual language learners.
15 The CT Early Learning \& Development Standards 0-5, aligned with the Common Core, were released in Fall 2013 and also include Creative Arts, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, and supplemental Dual Language Development framework.
16 Lead teachers in public and nonpublic schools under the School Readiness grant must have at least a CDA and 12 early childhood credits. Due to a change in legislation, $50 \%$ of lead teachers must hold a BA and $50 \%$ must hold an AA specific to Early Childhood by 2017. By 2020, 100\% of lead teachers must hold a BA. It is not mandatory for public schools to apply for or offer the School Readiness program; therefore, the public schools only need to follow grant requirements if they are grant-funded.
17 Connecticut's Registry now uses the term Designated Qualified Staff Members (DQSM) for lead teachers. Due to programs being funded through multiple funding streams, staff may be counted in more than one survey. This count represents all of the SRP Lead Teachers/DSQMs in 2014-2015, however. Embedded in the BA and MA degree are individuals with teaching endorsements. Those with teaching endorsements represent $12.5 \%$ or 152 DSQMs. These do not count into the $100 \%$, as they already inherently have a degree. The "Other" category represents those individuals who are ladder levels 7 and 8 (higher than a CDA credential but not an associate degree). These are 4\%, or 49, of the DQSMs in the chart above. These individuals count toward the $100 \%$.
18 All School Readiness staff must complete two, 3-hour annual trainings in Early Childhood Education and one, 3-or-more-hour annual training in serving children with disabilities. In addition, they must also obtain and/or document training in emerging literacy and in diversity in the classroom during the first year of employment. Most centers are licensed by Office of Early Childhood, which requires annual training in medical administration, First Aid, and nutrition.
19 If accredited, assistant teachers must meet NAEYC requirements and if a Head Start program, assistant teachers must meet Head Start requirements.
20 State sources include appropriation for slot dollars, parent fee subsidies, and quality enhancement funds. Non-required local sources include parent fees, Care 4 Kids, and community contribution toward administrative costs. Individual sites may receive federal CACFP funding, but that information is not collected.
21 The funding level for SRP is based on previous year's space capacity and local requests for proposals addressing the ability to increase capacity.
22 All programs are evaluated annually through the CT School Readiness Preschool Program Evaluation System (CSRPPES), which includes ECERS for specified programs. NAEYC-accredited and Head Start affiliated programs are exempt from some provisions of this through their own evaluations.
23 Programs may use any curriculum and assessment that is aligned with the Connecticut Early Learning and Development Standards and CT Preschool Assessment Framework that addresses learning standards and outcomes. There is no state policy regarding how districts must use pre-K assessment data. Districts are known to use these data to guide teacher training, professional development or technical assistance; to make decisions regarding a child's enrollment in kindergarten; to make adjustments to curricula; and to track child- and program-level outcomes over time.
24 The CT Kindergarten Entrance Inventory was developed by the state for kindergarten teachers to provide a global rating of children across four areas of development within the first six weeks of school. It was based on the skills and knowledge outlined in the previous Connecticut Preschool Curriculum Framework and the current Connecticut Preschool Assessment Framework. CT is a charter state in the MD Enhanced Assessment Grant Consortium and will have a revised KEI in 2017.

## CONNECTICUT - Connecticut Child Day Care Contracts

1 As of July 1, 2013, the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood (OEC) was the new agency exercising administrative authority over this state prekindergarten initiative.
2 Child Day Care Contracts are with individual contractors which by statute may be municipalities, human resource development agencies, or nonprofit corporations. Information about the location of child day care services is available by town.
3 Breakdown by age is not available.

4 The programs must operate for at least 10 hours per day, or in the case of wrap around services, extend the Head Start day to 10 hours. However, the Child Day Care program is funded with a combination of state and federal funds and the portion of the day covered by these individual funding sources is not specified.
5 Programs operate for 5 days per week using a combination of state and federal funds.
6 CDCC programs operate 52 weeks a year using a combination of state and federal funds, with the option of up to 22 days of closure for holidays and professional development.
7 CDCC preschool services are for children from three years of age, but children may move to a preschool classroom at any point during the year. Licensing requirements allow children to be enrolled in preschool classrooms when they are 2 years, 9 months old, so there may be exceptions for children entering preschool at younger than 3 years of age.
8 Half-day kindergarten students are allowed to be considered enrolled for CDCC for the purpose of extending care to 10 hours per day.
9 Programs are required to participate in CACFP, but can apply for a waiver due to facility or financial hardships.
10 The CT Early Learning \& Development Standards 0-5, aligned with the Common Core, were released in Fall 2013 and also include Creative Arts, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, and supplemental Dual Language Development framework.
11 Connecticut's Registry now uses the term Designated Qualified Staff Members (DQSM) for Lead Teachers. Due to programs being funded through multiple funding streams, staff may be counted in more than one survey. This count, however, represents all of the CDCC Lead Teachers/DQSMs in 2014-2015. Among the teachers reported here, $4.2 \%$ of lead teachers (23 individuals) have a teaching endorsement. The "other" category includes teachers with 30 early childhood credits or a 1-year ECE certificate (greater than a CDA credential but not an associates degree).
12 CDCC programs are required to be licensed, which requires professional development (PD) for staff that totals $1 \%$ of the total annual hours worked. Content may include, but is not limited to, early childhood education and child development, licensing and regulations, health issues, nutrition, first aid, social services, child abuse laws, and programming for children with disabilities or special health care needs. The programs are required to develop, implement, and maintain a written PD plan and to document and maintain the PD plan for each program staff member and make it available for review.
13 CDCC programs are required to be Head Start approved or accredited by NAEYC and need to meet the requirements for assistant teachers for their accreditation/approval system.
14 Child assessment is required for accreditation, but there are no CDCC policies specifically requiring the use of a child assessment.
15 The CT Kindergarten Entrance Inventory was developed by the state for kindergarten teachers to provide a global rating of children across four areas of development within the first six weeks of school. It was based on the skills and knowledge outlined in the previous Connecticut Preschool Curriculum Framework and the current Connecticut Preschool Assessment Framework. CT is a charter state in the MD Enhanced Assessment Grant Consortium and will have a revised KEl in 2017.

## DELAWARE - Delaware Early Childhood Assistance Program (ECAP)

1 Delaware follows the Head Start Performance Standards for Re-Competition.
2 Programs are required to provide a minimum of 3.5 hours of services per day. Programs that operate longer than 3.5 hours per day have blended funding from multiple sources to support the additional portion. Some ECAP programs align with school district schedules. Some programs use state subsidy (Purchase of Care) dollars to extend the program day for children. Programs operate for 9 months but do not completely follow the school district calendar.
3 There is no state policy on exceptions to the age requirement for kindergarten entry, this is a local school district decision.
4 State pre-K children must meet the federal Head Start income guidelines. Ten percent of available slots may be provided for children with disabilities. Effective as of 2007, $35 \%$ of enrollment may be children whose family incomes are between 100 and $130 \%$ FPL after priority is given to children at or below $100 \%$ FPL.
5 ECAP follows the Head Start Performance Standards for serving DLL children. Some of the ECAP sites are participating in the WIDA projects for DLL.
6 Though additional certifications are not required statewide, in some of the school districts, ECAP lead teachers are required to have an Early Childhood certificate. Public school assistant teachers are required to take the Para Pro test of basic skills.
7 Programs are monitored every 3 years. Delaware is currently in the process of developing new monitoring procedures.
8 The program deliberately does not have separate standards for DLLs.

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA - D.C. Public Pre-K

1 While the Office of the State Superintendent maintains administrative control over the program in all sectors, the District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS) and the DC Public Charter School Board (PCSB) bears some responsibility in administering the programs in their respective settings.
2 DC is a city that also operates as a state for purposes of many federal programs, including education. The Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE) is the state education agency. The DC public education landscape includes one large school district (District of Columbia Public Schools, DCPS) and 62 smaller school districts (public charter school local education agencies, LEAs), for a total of 63 LEAs. Thirty-eight of these LEAs offer pre-K. An additional 20 pre-K programs are run out of community-based organizations. Even though pre-K programs are not located in all 63 LEAs, $100 \%$ of children in DC are eligible to enroll.
3 All elementary schools in DCPS and Public Charter School offer pre-K for age eligible students. Additionally, the pre-K grant is available to highly-qualified, communitybased organizations that are awarded funds through a competitive grant process. The Pre-K Enhancement and Expansion Act of 2008 established a 5 -year strategic expansion plan to make prekindergarten universally available and to establish eligibility and priority criteria for enrollment in prekindergarten. DC Public Charter Schools that are approved by way of their charters to provide pre-K may offer it to all age-eligible students who are DC residents.
4 While the program is intended for 3- and 4-year-olds, many children under age 3 are served by charter schools opting for a December cutoff date; these children would be counted as under age 3 during the October census.
5 Children with IEPs and IFSPs are included in DCPS and Charter counts. If eligible for continued services, children with IFSPs transition to IEPs at age 3. At this time, they enter the state pre-K program. These totals include students served in nonpublic programs and students in surrounding counties eligible to receive services under IDEA as the geographic LEA. IFSPs can now be extended until age 5 .
6 In addition to the seven languages reported, 20 and 28 other home languages were reported for 3 - and 4-year-olds, respectively. Home language data in the District of Columbia is based on self-reported data and is neither audited nor complete. In fact, home language data was listed as unknown for 2,778 3-year-olds and 3,215 4-yearolds enrolled in pre-kindergarten.
7 All families report ethnicity and race to OSSE as two different questions. This means that the numbers will not be unique because individuals who report Hispanic/Latino are also reporting another race option.
8 In 2014-2015, before- and after-school services were provided by a mixed-delivery system, consisting of CCDF funds covering this service in the public schools, as well as public pre-K students attending this service at child care centers and homes.
9 A small number of LEAs and CBOs use later cutoff dates.
10 Ratios and group size requirements are only applicable to Pre-K Enhancement and Expansion programs in CBOs.
11 The DC Healthy Schools Act requires the provision of both breakfast and lunch. Childcare licensing mandates two meals and a snack for a school-day program, defined as 7 hours.
12 Health exams are provided directly in some, but not all, programs. All students must have a completed DC Universal Health Certificate, completed by the health provider, and must verify screenings for vision, hearing, health, dental, language/speech, developmental/behavioral, height/weight/BMI, and completion of immunizations.
13 All public pre-K students are assessed using DC's ELL assessment, ACCESS for ELLs 2.0, except those in CBOs (policy is not applicable to CBOs).

14 Lead teachers are required to have a BA and specialization in ECE. Although the regulations implementing our Pre-K Enhancement Act allow for lead teachers to have an AA as long as they are enrolled in a BA program in ECE and on track to receive the BA by December 2017. Teachers in public charter LEAs are not mandated to hold a state license.
15 CBO s are required to have 40 clock hours per year.
16 In public settings, an assistant teacher must have an AA, 48 college credit hours, or have passed the paraprofessional exam, which is a basic skills test. It is not required that the degree be in ECE. For nonpublic assistant teachers, they must be enrolled at the time of employment in an early education degree program.
17 State funding for the DC Pre-K Program totaled $\$ 207,226,639$ across all District of Columbia Public Schools (DCPS), public charter schools, and community-based organizations. Spending is based on a per-pupil funding formula of $\$ 12,719$ for PK3 and $\$ 12,340$ for PK4. Public charter schools receive an additional $\$ 3,207$ for each student for facilities support. DCPS and public charter schools also receive additional funds on a formula basis for students who have special education, English language learner, or at-risk status. These additional funds are not available to CBOs.

18 Monitoring of CBOs occurs through the licensing process. Education Services Monitors also conducted monitoring visits to Pre-K Enhancement and Expansion programs in CBOs in FY14-15.

## FLORIDA - Florida Voluntary Prekindergarten Program

1 These state agencies shared responsibility for the implementation of the program in the 2013-2014 program year. Each agency was assigned responsibilities that align with their statutory functions.
2 All school districts are required to offer the 300-hour VPK program during the summer.
3 Participation in the VPK program is projected to follow Florida's 4-year-old population rate, anticipating a $1 \%$ growth in future years.
4 In Florida, faith-based centers are a subset of private child care centers. Students may move between provider types. In addition, there may be overlap between the school-year and summer program enrollment. The Florida Office of Early Learning exercises administrative rules that allow re-enrollment for certain hardships that may allow a child who had attended some portion of the school year program to enroll for summer in some circumstances.
5 Minimum operating hours are 540 hours for the school-year program (most programs operate 3 hours per day, 5 days per week) and 300 hours for the summer program (most programs operate 8 hours per day, 5 days per week). Providers are allowed to determine their individual calendars in order to meet those requirements. Specialized Instructional Services programs offer reimbursement for services to children with disabilities for whom a traditional VPK program may not be appropriate. The funding for these specialized services for children with disabilities is equal to that for children in traditional school-year or summer VPK program and is used in lieu of attending a traditional VPK program. The school-year program cannot start earlier than two weeks before Labor Day, or before the first day of school according to the local school district calendar, and must end by June 30. The summer program may run between May 1 and the beginning of the next public school year. Most school-year programs operate on a 180-day calendar. Most summer programs operate on a two-month calendar.
6 Enrollment in the school year program was 163,814 and in the summer program 6,452 with possible duplication for children attending both.
7 State policy permits exceptions to the age requirement for kindergarten only. A student who transfers from an out-of-state nonpublic school and does not meet regular age requirements for admission to Florida public school, may be admitted if he or she meets the age requirements for the public schools in the state from which he/she is transferring. Any student who transfers from an out-of-state public school, and who does not meet regular age requirements for admission to Florida public schools, will be admitted to kindergarten or first grade when certain data are presented, based on each district's pupil progression plan.
8 Child care providers are required to have a plan of scheduled daily activities, which includes meals and snacks as appropriate for the age and the times the children are in care. Meals and snacks are required for extended-day programs.
9 All children participating in programs in licensed child care facilities or public schools must have evidence of vision, hearing, and immunization/general physical health screenings. Referrals for follow-up are recommended by the health professional. For public school programs, referrals for further follow-up are required.
10 The Florida Department of Education has the authority to grant Good Cause Exemptions (waivers) from performance requirements for specific populations, which may include children with disabilities and English language learners. To be eligible for a Good Cause Exemption, the provider must demonstrate learning gains, adherence to an improvement plan, and high health and safety standards.
11 The standards are aligned to the kindergarten Florida Standards.
12 Lead teachers in the summer program must have a Bachelor's degree or higher in: Early Childhood Education, prekindergarten or primary education, preschool education, family and consumer science, or teacher certification in any area. Lead teachers in the school-year program are required to have a CDA or equivalent, plus specified training about performance standards and emergent literacy training. VPK requires lead teachers, whether working in public or nonpublic school settings, to maintain a valid CDA or the Florida Child Care Professional Credential (FCCPC) equivalent as a minimum credential for the school-year program. A CDA or FCCPC must be renewed every five years. Training in specified performance standards and emergent literacy is also required for school year teachers. Lead teachers in the summer program must have a Bachelor's degree in a specified major and/or teacher certification. All child care personnel in licensed facilities must complete a minimum of 10 clock hours of in-service training.
13 Assistant teachers do not have to meet any degree requirements, but must complete a 40-hour training course for those employed at licensed child care facilities. This training course is offered by DCF. Additionally, VPK providers on probation completing the Staff Development Plan as a part of their improvement plan are required to have directors, VPK instructors, and VPK assistants complete several additional trainings
14 Funding is appropriated using estimated population counts and the base student allocations.
15 Other community programs that may help implement the parent outreach component of 4 K and approved licensed or certified professionals who provide specialized instructional services for children with disabilities can also be subcontracted with.
16 Early Learning Coalitions monitor staff credentials and provide guidance to coalitions on elements for program monitoring. There is no requirement that each provider receive a site visit at least once during a specified period. Rather, the site visits are determined by random sample except where follow-up is needed due to technical assistance or corrective action plan follow-up. Each early learning coalition is responsible for the onsite monitoring of VPK providers and for monthly attendance records reviews. The coalition monitors a sample of VPK providers on an annual basis.
17 The Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screener (FLKRS), composed of FAIR and ECHOS assessments, is administered annually to all kindergarteners in public schools and to all available kindergarteners attending nonpublic schools as a state assessment by the Department of Education. Results of children participating in VPK are compared to those who have not participated in the program. Florida's VPK program is reviewed annually as part of the legislative appropriation process using the Florida Voluntary Prekindergarten (VPK) Assessment.

## GEORGIA - Georgia's Pre-K Program

1 Georgia has a separate state agency governing services for children birth to five and their families. Bright from the Start: Georgia Department of Early Care and Learning administers Georgia's Pre-K Program.
2 Children must be 4 years old by September 1st of the school year they are attending Georgia's Pre-K Program. Five-year-olds can participate in the program if they did not previously attend Georgia's Pre-K Program or if specific approval is given. The exact number of 5 -year-olds who are eligible for kindergarten is unknown. However, eligible 5 -year-olds served would be included in the enrollment total.
3 Some children may receive special education services in programs other than Georgia's Pre-K.
4 Georgia's Pre-K Program does not collect data on how many children qualify for free and/or reduced-price lunch. There were 42,829 children who qualified for Category One status. Category One child eligibility is defined as the child's and/or family's participation in one of the following: Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), SSI (Supplemental Security Income), Medicaid, Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), or Child and Parent Services (CAPS) program.
5 The CAPS program provides subsidized child care to low-income families.

6 Exceptions are permitted for children with IEPs. Exceptions to the age requirement for pre-K are reviewed on a case-by-case basis. Children with disabilities, developmental delays, or significant health issues may be allowed to repeat pre-K. In addition, all children who were 5 years of age on September 1, 2013, and had not attended a Georgia's Pre-K Program as a 4 -year-old, could enroll. A child who was age 5 on September 1, 2014 and attended a Georgia's Pre-K Program during the previous year may repeat pre-K if approval is given. Programs choosing not to accept children who are age 5 must have an approved written policy.
7 Maximum class size and teacher-to-student ratio were changed as of the 2011-2012 school year, as a result of budget constraints, and will continue at least until the 20152016 school year.
8 All programs are required to serve lunch, but if a program participates in the Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP), the program is required to also serve a snack.
9 Blended Pre-K Program/Head Start classrooms are required to have developmental screenings as well. All of Georgia's Pre-K programs are required to ask parents if a child has received his/her developmental health screening and provide a referral and appropriate follow-up to those children who have not. Children who are eligible for Medicaid or PeachCare, Georgia's State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) are required to have a 4 -year-old full physical exam.

10 While only the identified services are offered in the school year program, comprehensive services are offered in the Summer Transition Program (STP). These programs are awarded through a competitive grant process. There are two grant-funded program types: Rising Kindergarten Summer Transition Program and the Rising Pre-K Summer Transition Program. The grant funds a six-week program, which supports children's transition and development, particularly in their early literacy skills, through the last few months prior to kindergarten or pre-kindergarten entry. The program is targeted for families with low income. Each STP site is funded for a Transition Coach to work with families. To be eligible for the Rising Kindergarten program, children must be age-eligible for Kindergarten and either did not attend Pre-K or Head Start during the prior school year or did attend and have been identified as needing additional support. To be eligible for the Rising Pre-K Program, children must be age-eligible and registered for Pre-K the following school year. In addition, the Rising Pre-K Program is focused on children whose home language is Spanish. The instruction in these classrooms is in both English and Spanish. Classrooms are staffed with bilingual staff.

11 Lead Teachers must have a minimum of a Bachelor's degree in Early Childhood Education or a related specified field (i.e., Child Development). Lead teachers who were approved in the 2009-2010 school year with an ECE Associate Degree credential will be considered approved as long as they continue employment as a Pre-K lead teacher with the same company. Many teachers have an advanced degree beyond a BA; 124 teachers have their Specialist and 8 teachers have their Doctorate.
12 DECAL offers a minimum of 12 clock hours of training each year to all Georgia's Pre-K lead and assistant teachers. This training is provided by the Department at no cost to the program. Lead and assistant teachers must fulfill the additional 3 hours of required professional learning through additional state-approved training.
13 In order to get a paraprofessional certificate, the applicant must have an associate's degree or equivalent course credits and pass an ECE exam and background check. The certificate is issued by the Georgia Professional Standards Commission.

## HAWAII - Executive Office of Early Learning Public Prekindergarten Program

1 While pre-K classrooms are housed on DOE campuses, the Pre-Kindergarten Program falls under the Executive Office of Early Learning (EOEL) as it did during the 201415 school year. The DOE is not responsible for the Pre-Kindergarten Program, as their focus is on the K-12 construct. EOEL became attached to the DOE as of July 1 , 2015 for administrative purposes only and is not considered a part of the DOE.
2 Hawaii DOE is the only statewide public education system in the US and is comprised of one school district. Due to the failure of the Constitutional Amendment in November 2014, state funds cannot be used to fund private education. Therefore, the state-funded pre-k program is limited to public and public charter schools within the Hawaii Department of Education.
3 With respect to screening, in order to register and enroll in a pre-K program, students are required to provide verification of a full physical exam which includes screening in the areas specified but the state pre-k program does not provide the screening.
4 Hawaii has 2 official languages, English and Hawaiian.
5 Hawaii Early Learning and Development Standards (HELDS) were adopted in 2012.
6 Although there is no state requirement, the union contract requires 21 hours of teacher in-service. All teachers are required to be in the union. The union contract is negotiated with the state. At least 60 hours of PD are offered to teachers per year. During the 2014-15 school year, on average, teachers attended 24 hours of training.
7 The Department of Education is required to use assessment tools and protocols for determining students' placement and for decision making regarding movement between kindergarten into grade 1.
8 ACCESS ELLs stands for Assessing Comprehension and Communication in English State-to-State for English Language Learners. ACCESS ELLs is a secure, large-scale English language proficiency assessment given to kindergarten through 12th graders who have been identified as English language learners (ELLs). It is given annually in WIDA Consortium member states to monitor students' progress in acquiring academic English. ACCESS for ELLs is only available to Consortium member states.

## ILLINOIS - Illinois Preschool for All

1 Children from all counties participate. However, funding does not provide services for all children whose parents want them to attend.
2 There are 113 home languages that have been identified for one or more of the children in PFA. Sixty-two home languages have been identified with 10 or more children per language. An additional 51 languages have been identified with 9 or fewer children per language.

3 There are 28 programs that offer school-day sessions only, 24 programs that offer both school-day and part-day sessions, and 406 programs that offer part-day sessions only. There is not a formal partnership but child care centers are one of the eligible recipients of PFA funding and provide wraparound services for the children in PFA who are also in their center.
4 School districts may enroll children in kindergarten before they are age 5 years based on local policy. Kindergarten age-eligible children with IEPs reflecting preschool placement may remain in the pre-k program. A child must be 3 years old before he/she enters the program. To start the school year, the child must be 3 years old on or before September 1. If there is a space available in the classroom, a child may enter the program during the year once he/she is 3 years old.
5 Low income is one of the risk factors taken into account in determining eligibility. There is not a predetermined risk factor cut-off, but children are eligible based on multiple risk factors, and priority is given to children with the greatest risk, as indicated by the number and severity of factors. Low income is one of the risk factors included in the weighted eligibility criteria.
6 School-day programs must provide lunch and snacks; part-day programs must provide snacks.
7 There is a Head Start Crosswalk that compares Head Start Child Development and Early Learning Domains and Elements with the 2013 Illinois Early Learning and Development Standards: http://www.illinoisearlylearning.org/ields/resources.htm
8 In addition to the Early Childhood Education endorsement, a lead teacher may also need a Special Education endorsement and/or bilingual/English as a Second Language endorsement depending on the children in the classroom.
9 The teacher aide in the classroom must hold an Educator License with stipulations endorsed for a paraprofessional educator. To be eligible for this license, an individual must be at least 20 years of age and have a high school diploma or GED. The individual must also meet one of the following requirements: (a) holds an associate degree (or higher) from a regionally accredited institution of higher education, (evidence is an official transcript), (b) has completed at least 60 semester hours of credit from a regionally accredited institution of higher education (excluding remedial coursework), (c) presents an official score report from Educational Testing Service (ETS) showing a score of 460 or higher on the Para Pro test, or (d) presents evidence of earning the following scores on the Work Keys test (offered by ACT): Reading for Information (4), Writing or Business Writing (3), and Applied Mathematics (4).
10 The programs access federal funds such as IDEA, USDA CACFP, McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, Title I, CCDF, etc., but the state does not collect data on federal funds used in the preschool programs. The Compliance Checklist can be downloaded at http://www.isbe.net/earlychi/preschool/default.htm.
11 Compliance reviews examine teacher certification, use of a research-based screening tool, research-based curriculum, kindergarten transition planning, community collaboration plan, and meeting requirements for serving homeless children and ELL students.

12 Routine scheduled monitoring site visits are done on a 3-year cycle by consultants hired by the agency. Specific issues or concerns of a program may initiate a monitoring visit tailored specifically to those concerns and these visits are done as needed by Illinois State Board of Education staff.

13 Pre-K assessment tools are determined locally. Each program must use a research-based authentic assessment system that aligns with the curriculum and documents children's progress over time with measurable outcomes. The Illinois State Board of Education is developing and implementing a comprehensive kindergarten assessment process that was piloted in the 2012-2013 school year. The second phase pilot implementation in 2013-2014 doubled the number of school districts participating. Statewide implementation is planned for the 2017-2018 school year. The DRDP-School Readiness (DRDP-SR) is being adapted, piloted, field tested, and calibrated for the Illinois Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS).

14 Kindergarten assessment is not required yet. Illinois is developing and implementing a kindergarten assessment process that was initially piloted in the 2012-2013 school year. The California DRDP-SR is being adapted, piloted, field-tested, and calibrated for Illinois' Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS). Implementation is being phased-in, with statewide implementation planned for the 2017-2018 school year.

## INDIANA - On My Way Pre-K

Statue requires Indiana Department of Education involvement in the administration of the pilot. Additionally, prior to implementation, the State Board of Education must review and comment on the provisions of the pilot program.
2 Five pilot counties were chosen to participate in On My Way Pre-K (Allen, Jackson, Lake, Marion, and Vanderburgh). These counties were selected out of a total of 18 who completed a needs assessment based on county readiness and need. For the January 2015 cohort, counties were given the option to participate based on the readiness of each county. Four of the five counties were ready for an early start date in January of 2015 (Allen, Lake, Marion, and Vanderburgh). Within those four counties, there are 97 school districts and out of those 97, 13 participated in On My Way Pre-K.

3 Eligibility for the On My Way Pre-K program requires family income to be at or below 127\% FPL. The free and reduced-price lunch classification is based on monthly income and number of individuals in the household, not on FPL. Therefore, this changes periodically.

4 Families have a choice of full day or part day, as well as calendar year or school year. Programs can set their schedules individually and may choose to operate on either a school- or calendar-year schedule, as long as the total operating hours and days reaches the minimum required amount. Programs are reimbursed based on the total hours of pre-k services offered. The minimum number of hours pre-K services must offer for On My Way Pre-K providers starting in January 2015 is 225 hours. The minimum number of days pre-K services must offer for On My Way Pre-K providers starting in January 2015 is 57 days.
5 A child that does not meet the August 1 deadline that will attend kindergarten the following year may be allowed to enroll if signed documentation on school letterhead is received. This documentation cannot state the child will be tested - it must say the child will be allowed to enroll in kindergarten the following year. This is sometimes allowed for children whose birthday falls within the first week of August.

6 Program must provide nutritious meals and snacks at appropriate times. Part-day programs are required to provide a snack. Full-day programs provide meals according to licensing requirements.
7 Programs may choose their classroom options. There are no state requirements concerning DLL/ELLs within the On My Way Pre-K program.
8 Indiana does not utilize the Common Core. Early Learning Guidelines are in alignment with the K-12 standards.
9 Educational requirements are based on requirements of the quality rating system - Paths to QUALITY ${ }^{\text {TM. }}$. Program eligibility is Level 3 or 4 . Requirements for Level 3 are that $50 \%$ of staff have a CDA, equivalent, or higher. Level 4 requires accreditation and programs must meet an approved accrediting body's education or degree requirements. QRIS standards may be found at http://childcareindiana.org.
10 United Way and Early Learning Indiana Capacity Building Funds total $\$ 350,000$. Legislative reverted funds also support the program but the amount is not reported. There is also a $10 \%$ county match that is not reported.
11 Local match minimum of $10 \%$ and maximum of $50 \%$.
12 Program evaluation is ongoing. Programs must be highly rated on Paths to QUALITY. The Paths to QUALITY system has undergone numerous evaluations, and continues to be evaluated using tools that measure environmental and process quality (ECERS and CLASS) and child outcomes. The ongoing pre-k evaluation is measuring process and child outcomes with the use of numerous tools.

## IOWA - Iowa Shared Visions

1 Shared Visions preschool programs are offered in 32 public school districts, as well as 10 licensed child care centers and in 12 of the 18 Head Start programs in lowa.
2 Exact breakdown unknown. All children are ages 3 - to 5 -years-old and no children are under age 3 years. Of the 228 total children with an IEP in Shared Visions, 81 were identified and provided services through an IEP after enrolling in the program. 147 were already on an IEP when initially enrolled.
3 There were 489 children enrolled in a Shared Visions program where the awarded grantee was a Head Start program. It is unknown how many additional children attended a part-day Shared Visions program and spent the remainder of the day in a Head Start program.
4 Of the total enrollment, 1,008 are Caucasian, 387 are African American, 238 are Hispanic/Latino, 32 are Asian/Pacific Islander, and 31 are American Indian. This information is not available by child age.
5 Ninety-eight percent of the cumulative enrollment was eligible for free- and reduced-price lunch.
6 The number reported in nonpublic schools is reflective of nonprofit, public child care centers. The number reported in other auspices is reflective of children served in Head Start programs.
7 In the 2014-2015 school year, some Shared Visions programs blended with SVPP and Head Start programs to increase hours in order to meet the needs of children and families. SVPP funds may be used to provide a school- or extended-day to children if the program adds at least 10 hours of instruction by an early childhood endorsed teacher and the program meets NAEYC standards. The daily and annual operating schedules are described as part of the competitive grant application process and address identified needs within the community.
8 If a child does not meet income eligibility criteria, he/she may be eligible by meeting age criteria and having one or more secondary risk factors. Only $20 \%$ of children may qualify based on meeting secondary risk factors, however.
9 In accordance with NAEYC Standards and Accreditation Criteria, mixed classrooms with 3 - and 4 -year-olds must not exceed the maximum group size of 18 .
10 The specific meals offered depend on the hours of operation but are required by NAEYC criteria. Programs must serve a meal and ensure a second meal is served at least two hours later but no more than three hours later if children are still in attendance.
11 The components of the full physical exam are determined by the child's physician, though it usually covers the reported components as well as medications and allergies. Dental screenings are required at kindergarten entry and are generally provided in preschool.
12 The home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year in public schools and Head Start, but is a local decision for other public, non-profit agencies.
13 In 2014-2015, Shared Visions programs were required to use Teaching Strategies GOLD as a comprehensive assessment system which aligns with lowa Early Learning Standards. They were also aligned with the curricula that programs use (Creative Curriculum and High Scope).
14 Iowa Core is lowa's College and Career Ready Standards.
15 All teachers must have a minimum of an AA or equivalent, and at least $75 \%$ of teachers must also have a minimum of a BA. Lead teachers in nonpublic settings follow NAEYC standards, which increased in 2015 to require a minimum of an AA in ECE or CD. If there is only one classroom, the lead teacher must have a minimum of an AA and be working towards a BA. When there is more than one classroom, some teachers will be required to have a BA and some may have an AA.

16 Certified teachers employed in school district programs must renew their licenses every 5 years, including six credit hours of professional development. In addition, Head Start grantees must follow federal Head Start requirements of 15 hours of professional development per year. There is no specific requirement for the amount of in-service training for the remaining grantees, although the year-end report indicates lead teachers have an average of 40 hours of staff development. Based on NAEYC accreditation standards, all new employees are required to have training to introduce them to program information, including interaction with the children and curriculum. Another NAEYC Accreditation Standard states that programs will have a professional development plan in place covering a variety of training areas for all staff. In addition, assistant teachers participate in professional development, as determined at the local level, to enhance the program objectives and child progress.
17 Assistant teachers must have a high school diploma. Grantees follow NAEYC accreditation requirements that at least $50 \%$ of assistant teachers must have a CDA or equivalent. All assistant teachers who do not have a CDA must be currently working toward achieving one. Twenty-four percent of assistant teachers have a high school diploma, $22 \%$ have an AA degree, $37 \%$ have a CDA, $16 \%$ have a BA, and $1 \%$ have a MA.
18 Amounts from federal sources are not reported separately, so amounts are unknown. Federal sources are embedded into the non-required sources listed above.
19 Grantees are required to provide a $20 \%$ local match and other resources include additional local match and in-kind resource contributions.
20 Site visits are conducted by the state when determined necessary based on reports received. All Shared Visions programs receive a site visit by NAEYC once every five years as part of their NAEYC accreditation.
21 State law requires the use of an approved universal screening tool for literacy three times per year, as well as progress monitoring for any student below benchmark. The majority of districts use the FAST assessment.

## IOWA - Iowa Statewide Voluntary Preschool Program

1 School districts may partner with Head Start and/or community-based preschools. The school district remains responsible for program and fiscal monitoring in this case. Funds flow from the school district to those community partners.
2 This is an unduplicated count for the children enrolled in this program. Not all of these children then receive the . 5 funding for SVPP. Some children are supported with state special education funds or other sources of funding.
3 Preschool-age students included in the enrollment count were served with state or federal funding sources. A total of 276 children were on a support-only IEP: 12 three-year-olds, 260 four-year-olds, and 4 five-year olds. The 16 three- and five-year-olds on support-only IEP (e.g., speech) did not generate any federal funds for the district. The 260 four-year-olds did generate the .5 student count. lowa allows these students (i.e., speech only) to generate this state funding so they are included in our total count of four-year-old children. A total of 907 preschool children in the program were on an instructional IEP and generated state special education funding, including 267 three-year-olds, 569 four-year-olds, and 71 five-year-olds. This funding is generated through property taxes and state aid.
4 Community partners often do not request that families apply for free and/or reduced-price Lunch, and this information is often not available from districts if children do not attend SVPP during meal times or if the schedule of the classroom includes a snack but no meal. In light of these limitations, these numbers may underestimate the number of children enrolled in SVPP who qualify for free and/or reduced-price lunch.
5 All programs are under the auspices of the school district. That does not mean all children are served in the school building. Community partners such as Head Start, state accredited nonpublic schools, licensed child care/preschools, and community-based preschool/child care serve children. The number of children enrolled by auspice is based on preliminary data from fall 2014.
6 Programs operate a minimum of 10 hours per week; the average is 14 hours per week. Programs operate at least 3 days per week; most operate $4-5$ days per week. While there is not a formal state partnership to provided extended-day services, partnerships with Head Start may exist at the local level to provide school-day programming.
7 Older and younger children may be eligible to participate if space and funding are available. Children with IEPs may participate, based on the needed services.
8 Children who are age-eligible for K may enroll in the pre-K program if space and funding are available. Pre-K funding may not be used to support participation of children who are age-eligible for K. Kindergarten students may generate the full 1.0 funding if they are provided additional hours, working on the lowa Core in the kindergarten classrooms. Participation of children in pre-K programs who are age-eligible for K will not generate pre-K funds.
9 All age eligible lowa children may enroll in any participating district. Enrollment is not dependent on the district of residence.
10 Programs are required to offer a snack, although it is recommended that they serve a meal. If the program operates more than 15 hours per week, a meal is served. The majority of programs provide lunch or breakfast to children who qualify for free or reduced-price meals.
11 Title II does not apply to pre-K. If state policy is silent on these items, it does not restrict a district, and therefore these policies are locally determined. Program standards state that assessments are to be sensitive to and informed by home language.
12 The lowa Early Learning Standards (IELS) were developed in 2007. Iowa added mathematics and science, as well as social studies, in 2012. Iowa Core is lowa's College and Career Ready Standards.

13 All lead teachers must hold one of these licenses and the Early Childhood endorsement. A teacher who holds only an early childhood special education license is not appropriately endorsed/licensed.

14 All teachers in SVPP have a BA and an lowa teaching license. There are 609 full-time teachers and 55 part-time teachers.
15 State policy requires professional development but does not specify hours. Districts report the number of hours annually, with SVPP teachers having 15 clock hours of professional development in the 2014-2015 year.

16 Requirements depend on the requirements of the overseeing agency. Some classrooms follow lowa program standards, others follow NAEYC accreditation standards, and others follow Head Start requirements. For lowa standards, classroom assistants may choose the lowa paraeducator certificate with early childhood or CDA. If they choose the lowa paraeducator certificate they must enroll in the classes and complete them within a year. A paraeducator certificate is required in Title I schools. If the program operates under NAEYC, annual reports and onsite monitoring requires evidence that $50 \%$ of assistant teachers have a CDA and all others are working toward a CDA. NAEYC defines an equivalent to the CDA as 12 college credits in ECE fields. In addition, assistant teachers participate in orientation prior to, or at the beginning of, employment.
17 Total state is funding $\$ 69,790,458$ plus additional special education funding. Pre-K students who are 4 years old by September 15 are funded at $50 \%$ of the K-12 student aid. The preschool budget enrollment is equal to $50 \%$ of the actual enrollment of eligible students participating in the program. Preschool foundation aid is calculated by multiplying the district cost per pupil for the base year times the district's preschool budget enrollment.
18 lowa flows funding directly to public schools. Public schools may subcontract $95 \%$ of the per-child allocation to Head Start, private preschool/child care centers and/or accredited nonpublic schools. The state does not support religious instruction during the 10 hours per week.

19 New programs are required to receive site visits. In 2014-2015, an onsite visit occurred for districts in the second year of operation, while other continuing districts received a desk audit once every five years. All districts had to report compliance information which the Department of Education cross checked with student reporting and teacher licensure. Programs also receive site visits at least each year by AEA staff.
20 State law requires an approved literacy screening assessment by October 1 so that districts may address additional supports needed to help children be proficient in literacy by the end of third grade. Most districts use FAST (Formative Assessment System for Teachers).
21 There are explicit salary requirements for state-funded pre-K teachers in public schools. There is a minimum starting salary of $\$ 33,500$ for lead teachers in public settings. School districts determine the salary schedule for percent increases based on experience. There are no salary requirements for SVPP teachers in Head Start or other community-based settings.
22 The program receives an incentive payment for achieving higher levels on the QRS.
23 The average salary for a full time teacher is $\$ 46,426$. The average salary for a part time teacher is $\$ 27,394$. The average salary for full-time assistant teachers in a district program is $\$ 15,726$. The average salary for part-time assistant teachers is $\$ 12,428$. Assistant teacher salary information is only available for district programs.

## KANSAS - Kansas Preschool Program

1 The KPP is in 14 counties, but some of the 'sites' include multiple communities (with the same or adjoining counties).
2 Children who have IEPs may be in the classrooms participating in the program but their status is unknown. Some programs may include students with IEPs in their enrollment counts, but there is no state policy requiring this.
3 Programs must provide 465 hours per year of education. The actual schedule is determined at the local level, with most programs operating approximately 3 hours per day, 5 days per week. Kansas Preschool Program funds are used to provide a half day of instruction, which may be used to extend the day of a child in another supported program. If a community chooses to use their existing State Pre-Kindergarten program as a Kansas Preschool Program classroom, they must either add an additional 465 hours over the year to the pre-K experience or use the additional funding to improve the existing program. If a community chooses to use a Head Start classroom as a Kansas Preschool classroom, they must add an additional 3 hours to the Head Start experience. Most sites operate on an academic year calendar, but some programs, such as Head Start and child care centers, may be on a 12 -month, half-day cycle. Funding is provided on a calendar year.
4 Kansas Preschool Program funding may not be used for kindergarten-age children. Children who are kindergarten age can be served in the Kansas Preschool Program classroom, however, if parents/staff determine this to be the best placement. The state funds may not be used to support these children.
5 At least $50 \%$ of children must meet one of the risk factors, which include eligibility for free or reduced-price lunch. Priority for enrollment includes low parent education, non-English-speaking family, teen parent, parent on active military duty, single parent families, referrals of at-risk 4-year-olds from early childhood programs, developmentally or academically delayed based on assessments, and social rehabilitation services referral. Eligibility for the other $50 \%$ of children is based on the local program's discretion.
6 Children in half-day programs receive a snack; children in full-day programs receive snack and lunch.
7 The grant does not require screenings and referrals. All sites have different models so the actual requirements are locally determined. Hearing and vision tests are required in both public schools and Head Start settings, however.
8 School-based programs that serve children who are ELLs must document this need through a home language survey and other instruments and provide ESL services through an ESL-trained teacher. The district sends the home language survey.
9 As of the 2013-2014 school year, teachers in all settings are required to be licensed in early childhood education. All teachers must be an EC or ECH (early childhood special education) or ECU (EC Unified) licensed teacher. For this year only, teachers with a license in elementary education who have taught the last two years in an early childhood classroom (4-year-old, at-risk program) can be a teacher in the Kansas Preschool Program.
10 Assistant teachers are required to have at least a CDA. Assistants are also eligible if they have an AA or BA in EC, CD, elementary education, family and consumer sciences, parenting education, social work, or speech-language pathology.
11 Grants are awarded to school districts and nonprofit community partnerships or community agencies on behalf of a coalition of service providers. Seventy percent of members of the partnership must include representations from: mental health centers, private child care providers, cooperative extensions, Head Start, Social and Rehabilitation Services, schools, the health department, the faith-based community, businesses, family representatives, community colleges or universities, libraries, government, child care resources and referral agencies, interagency coordinating councils, foundations, or other nonprofit organizations.
12 The KELI-4 collects data on child outcomes; the classroom practices survey, and transition survey both provide process information. The RFP provides KPP teams with the opportunity to provide input into KPP practices and procedures. The web application opportunity for community sites including Head Start was put off until 2014-15 due to funding and IT support availability. Determination of kindergarten assessment is at the local level; most, if not all, school districts use a kindergarten assessment.

## KANSAS - Kansas State Prekindergarten Program

1 Districts are not required to provide the program; funding is available only to districts currently offering the program. Funding is awarded on a competitive basis.
2 Programs are required to provide 465 hours per year of instructional time. Length of school day and days per week are determined locally. Most programs operate 3 hours per day, 5 days per week, although some choose to operate 4 days per week for more hours per day. Funding from other sources can allow programs to add additional hours per day, although these hours are not considered part of the State Pre-Kindergarten Program day.
3 The income criterion is a state policy. Eligibility for free lunch ( $130 \% \mathrm{FPL}$ ) is one of several eligibility criteria. Children must meet at least one of the specified factors.
4 If a child is identified as needing special education services before September 20, that child is no longer generating at-risk funding. If they are identified for special education services after September 20, the at-risk funding remains.
5 While schools are required to screen, referral is not listed as a required process. Many programs do refer, however. Students must have a documented health assessment from a medical professional before entering the program, though screening is not provided through the program itself.
6 Primary language of the child or home language of the parent is collected in order to provide appropriate communication with parents as well as a first step in determining qualification for English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) services. If results of the survey indicate a language other than English, further evaluation is completed and if services are required, a qualified ESOL teacher provides them.
7 School districts receive $50 \%$ of the Base Student Aid per pupil. If applicable, they also receive weighting for transportation, serving at-risk population, and/or local option budget. All approved slots are .5 FTEs.
8 State Pre-Kindergarten Programs collect and submit data on a randomly selected number of children, family and home practices, and classroom practices, through a webbased application. Classroom practices and transition practices are self-reported. Information on home practice and protective factors is collected via a voluntary parent survey. Child data provide a look at the impact of the program on child outcomes. Child data are collected by teachers, using observation and other authentic assessment or valid assessments.
9 KELI-4 uses a random sample of 10 children from each state pre-K teacher's classroom. The KELI-4 is based upon early learning standards, which are aligned with kindergarten standards. The results are a state-level outcome and are not used 'to provide a measure of $K$ readiness.' Other assessments are locally determined.
10 Decision to use a K assessment is determined locally. Children are not required to attend kindergarten.

## KENTUCKY - Kentucky Preschool Program

1 Child count is based on the average from the fall (Dec.1) and spring (March 1) enrollment counts. The count is unduplicated. Children who are both at risk and disabled are counted only once in the disability category. This total enrollment count does not include an additional 2,409 4-year-olds who were served through tuition or district funds. The 2014-2015 enrollment of at-risk 4-year-olds dropped below the number of preschool students with disabilities for the first time since 2008 . This may be explained in part by the change in minimum age requirement for state-funded preschool students that took effect in 2014, moving from October 1 to August 1 . Also, the electronic data collection process has helped to eliminate duplicate counts. The total number of students served (eligible and non-eligible) was 21,125 .
2 The school district has primary authority for programs. However, some districts delegate preschool program responsibilities to Head Start through a local full utilization agreement.
3 Home language is reported for a 3- and 4-year-olds. Thirty-eight different home languages have been identified as being spoken by 1,551 three- and four-year-olds in 2014-2015. The most common languages are Spanish (1,164 children); Arabic (100 children); Burmese (69 children); Chinese/Mandarin (31 children); Bosnian (22 children); Napali ( 21 children); Somali ( 14 children); Vietnamese ( 13 children); Karin ( 12 children); Swahili ( 10 children); and Creole ( 10 children). Income breakdown is only available for 4-year-olds.
4 Race/ethnicity breakdowns do not match the total enrollment because the data were extracted from the system at different times.
5 Income breakdown is only available for four-year-olds.
6 The breakdown of children by administrative agency auspice do not match the official KPP enrollment count because an additional 2,409 children were enrolled and served with other funding sources (e.g., district funds, tuition, grant, Title I, and other).

7 Minimum of 2.5 hours/day plus mealtime, determined locally.
8 There is no formal partnership at the state level to provide extended day services. However, the school districts may subcontract these services directly with eligible child care providers.
9 In 2014-2015, 73 districts operated on a school-day schedule of more than 4 hours a day, 93 districts operated on a part-day schedule, and 7 districts offered both schooland part-day schedules. KDE was not able to determine the total number of children in each schedule.
10 Standard program operation is 4 to 5 days per week. Any other configuration must be approved by the Kentucky Board of Education. Districts may use one of the weekdays for home visits, parent education, special education evaluations, and other work related to preschool. During the 2014-2015 school year, 7 districts were granted approval for an alternate schedule of 2 full days a week. During the 2013-2014 school year, 8 districts were granted approval for an alternate schedule of 2 full days per week, M/W or Tu/Th.
11 The preschool program is required by regulation to follow the school year set by the school district. Most preschool programs begin later and end earlier than the schoolyear calendar, however, because instructional days are utilized for child and family activities such as screening, home visits, and other comprehensive components of the program.
12 Some IEPs for kindergarten children with severe disabilities specify that they may attend the preschool program under certain circumstances. The Admissions and Release Committee (ARC) decides the best placement for children with special needs. If the best placement for a 5-year-old child with disabilities is the preschool program and there is space available, the child is coded as a first year primary student (kindergarten). No preschool funds may be used to support this child.
13 Four-year-old children whose family income is up to $150 \%$ of FPL are eligible to attend the preschool program. Also, children who have an identified disability may enroll on their third birthday or whenever they are identified. Homelessness is a criterion for 4 -year-old children only. The children must be in foster care at time of enrollment, not based on past history of foster care. Districts have some discretion in admitting non-eligible 3-and 4-year-olds if space is available. Districts may not use state funds to serve non-eligible children.
14 If a district decides to enroll children who do not meet the income requirements, tuition may be charged. The state does not track tuition methods and tuition children are not included in reported enrollment figures, as they are ineligible for KPP funding.
15 According to preschool regulations, if a teacher has two sessions, morning and afternoon, and must coordinate parent involvement, health, and social services for the program, the maximum number of students served is 34 students total. Each session must still meet the adult-child ratio of $1: 10$. This is rarely the case because most school districts have administrators and family resource workers who coordinate the comprehensive services of the district.
16 Either a dental screening or exam is required for entry into kindergarten.
17 The preschool program does not have direct access to Title III funds and English Language services begin in kindergarten. However, schools may have access to the Title III coordinator or staff in the district for advice and resources, including translators or bilingual staff. Some districts also offer preschool English Language services to children using district funding. Home language surveys are encouraged, not required.
18 Two home visits are required each year. Also, the preschool program has access to Family Resource Centers (FRCs) for support services and referrals. For districts that blend with Head Start, comprehensive services may be available to state-funded students.
19 The 2013 revised Kentucky Early Childhood Standards include birth to three as well as 3-and 4-year-old standards. They have been aligned with both the Head Start Child Development and Early Learning Framework and the Kentucky Academic Standards for grades K-12.
20 All lead teachers hired since 2004 must hold the IECE certification recognized by the Education Professional Standards Board (EPSB). Lead teachers hired prior to 20042005 may hold a letter of exemption (i.e., BA in child development and/or certification in another area such as K-6 or special education), or a CDA or associate's degree in Early Childhood Education. The teachers holding a CDA or AA may serve as lead teachers within the district but cannot be hired by other districts to serve in the same role unless IECE certification is achieved.
21 Out of a total of 1,035 lead teachers, $760(73 \%)$ had the IECE certification, $117(11 \%)$ had a bachelor's degree and a letter of exemption, $125(12 \%)$ were Preschool Associate Teachers with a CDA or AA in Early Childhood who were grandfathered prior to 2004, and $33(3 \%)$ had an emergency or probationary certification.
22 Assistant teachers must have a minimum of a HS diploma or GED. Assistant teachers in Title I schools must complete two years of higher education, hold an associate's degree, or achieve an acceptable score on the Kentucky Paraeducator Assessment (KPA). Kentucky is in the process of recognizing the CDA as equivalent to the KPA for the purpose of Title I qualification. During the 2014-2015 school year, there were 1,151 pre-K assistant teachers. The following credentials for the 1,151 teacher assistants were recorded: high school diploma, $388(33 \%)$; CDA, $267(23 \%)$; associate's degree, 138 (10\%); bachelor's degree, 117 (10\%); master's degree, $9(1 \%)$; other (e.g., some college, KPA completion, etc.), 232 (20\%).
23 Federal funds reported by the KDE finance office have not yet been audited. Non-required local funds reported may represent other sources but could not be verified due to district coding variations. Other federal sources include Title II, Community Service, Adult Education, and other program services.
24 State funds are appropriated to school districts through a non-competitive allotment system approved by the Kentucky Board of Education. This typically represents the highest portion of funding districts spend on preschool. However, most districts use local funds to support the program. The state-funded preschool formula is an average number of eligible children served on December 1 and March 1 of the prior academic year. The final award amount is achieved by multiplying the per-child rates for each eligibility category (e.g., at-risk, speech, developmental delay, severe) by the average number of students per category. There is a standard rate for the at-risk, speech, and developmental delay categories. There is a weighted rate for 3 - and 4 -year-old children with severe disabilities.
25 If a school district blends with Head Start funds and the Head Start program is the lead agency in administering the program, district funds will go to the district first and then be shared with the Head Start program and/or the contracted site. Faith-based sites may be subcontractors. The faith-based program's curriculum may not be religious in nature and the program must be incorporated separately from the religious institution and maintain a nonsectarian board of directors.
26 The Kentucky Preschool Program is currently engaged in the Preschool Program Review (P2R). During the P2R process, every district receives a visit from a KDE site visit team once every 5 years, or a visit from the Regional Training Center (contractor with KDE) to conduct an ECERS-R observation. Additionally, 14 districts are randomly selected to participate in a Consolidated Monitoring (CM) site visit. During this CM process, each of the districts receives a site visit from a KDE preschool team, who conducts a program review. Districts participating in the CM process are not exempt from the 5 -year cycle P2R schedule of site visits.
27 Preschool participation in Kentucky All STARS is set to begin in 2015-2016 with full implementation expected in 2016-2017.
28 Preschool Program Review (P2R) site visits are conducted once every 5 years for all the districts. All sites at each district are visited, including the Head Start and contracted sites where state-funded preschool children attend. At every site, at least $30 \%$ of the teachers are observed. The Regional Training Center observes classrooms using the ECERS-R. These RTCs also note in their report if there are any violations of the preschool regulations. Several of the sites will receive a KDE team site visit, and during these visits there are observations at all sites, interviews with staff and administration, as well as a review of the children's files and documents. At least 5 districts will receive this type of site visit (one in each of the 5 regions). Additionally, the KDE, Division of Consolidated Plans and Audits randomly selects 14 districts to receive a site visit. During these site visits, a team of KDE preschool consultants conducts a site visit using the same criteria as the P2R site visit. Once every 5 years, the same cohort district will fill out the P2R document, which is a web-based self-assessment document. They must rate their preschool program in each of the items as met or not met. Items include enrollment information, collaboration with Head Start, transportation, meals, developmentally appropriate practice, program evaluation by parents and staff, and so on. In each section, the preschool coordinator must include explanations. The districts must also submit documentation in various areas such as examples of lesson plans, special education intervention process, map of classroom layout, samples of communication with parents, and enrollment forms. For each of the districts in the cohort, the KDE consultant will also review information about the district through the Student Information System, the district's website, Head Start Full Utilization information, special education data and other information through the KDE data sources. There could also be a phone interview or e-mail exchange with the preschool coordinator seeking additional information or clarification. Each district receives a Program Review that shows the strengths, recommendations, and findings. Findings are items that are shown to be out of compliance with the regulations and must be corrected within a reasonable amount of time.
29 The most recent third-party program evaluation was completed by the University of Kentucky in response to a request from the ECAC and Governor's Office of Early Childhood as part of the STARS for KIDS NOW evaluation. The sample found that CLASS scores in KPP were higher when teachers reported having a master's degree and that children who attending preschool demonstrated higher gains in language and literacy by end of kindergarten than children who did not attend preschool.
30 Districts may choose one of the five approved assessment tool in the Kentucky Continuous Assessment Guide: the AEPS, Carolina Curriculum, Teaching Strategies GOLD, COR Advantage (High/Scope), or WSS.

31 All kindergarten students are assessed with the BRIGANCE K screen either two weeks prior to the first day of school or 30 instructional days after the first day of school to help determine level of school readiness. Since 2011-2012, school districts have been required to use diagnostic assessments and prompts that measure readiness in reading and mathematics. Decisions about these assessments are made locally and results are not collected at the state level.

## LOUISIANA - Louisiana Cecil J. Picard LA 4 Early Childhood Program

1 The Louisiana Department of Education Division of Early Childhood Programs has administrative authority over the program.
2 In some parishes, there is more than one school district operating in the geographical region of the parish. The LA4 Program serves children in public schools, charter schools, and one tribal school. For these purposes, charter organizations and the tribal school operate as independent school districts, even though there are only 71 public school districts in Louisiana. In addition to program availability in 64 of 71 school districts, 26 charter schools and one tribal school participate in the LA 4 program.

3 Districts are not required to offer the program but, due to limited funds, programs must apply for funding. Priority is given to programs with previous history in the program.
4 The total enrollment figure does not include 377 tuition-paying students and an additional 3,249 students with braided funding from other sources for a total of 19,332 children. This is not an unduplicated enrollment count. The data come from the October 1, 2014 enrollment reports.
5 If the student qualifies for free or reduced-price meals, they are counted in the enrollment and the state pre-K pays for that student, while special education pays for related supports and services. If the student does not qualify for free or reduced-price meals, then special education funds pay for both placement and services.
6 Total free and reduced-price lunch enrollment was 15,934 , of which 15,802 children were served in public schools. The remaining 132 children were served in nonpublic school settings (in child care centers).
7 Full school day includes the school day of 6 hours. A school day requires a minimum of 360 instructional minutes or 63,720 minutes per year (some programs have had 4 day school weeks in the past). In 2014-2015, no program offered a summer session or 4-day school week, as reported in previous years.
8 Children evaluated as gifted may enter kindergarten early.
9 There is no state-specified income requirement for eligibility, as all who meet the age requirement may participate. Children who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch attend free of charge, and enrollment priority is given to these children. Others may pay tuition, or school districts cover costs. All children in the district are eligible to attend. Charter schools allow participation using a lottery if more students apply than the school has allocated slots with which to serve them.
10 Tuition may be charged for any child who is not eligible for free or reduced-price meals. Children may be charged for all or part of the LA4 class, in an amount not to exceed that necessary for the system to provide the LA4 class to the child and no more than the average amount expended per pupil on those children from whom no tuition may be required.
11 All meals and snacks must meet USDA/CACFP guidelines.
12 Additional ESL services may include, but are not limited to: communications in the family's language, seeking the services of interpreters, and other locally determined services.
13 Support services are locally determined
14 The Board of Elementary and Secondary Education approved the new Birth to Five Early Learning and Development Standards in April 2013. Creative Arts Standards are also contained in the Louisiana standards. English Language Arts and Math standards were aligned in 2011 with the Common Core State Standards for Kindergarten; Science and Social Studies standards were also revised.

15 For mixed-delivery LA4 programs housed in child care centers, teachers must meet the same qualifications as those in public school settings. A teacher with an elementary certification may be employed while working toward an approved Early Childhood certification within a maximum of three years. Effective June 2002, Louisiana issued a Pre-K-3 license instead of standalone Nursery School and Kindergarten licenses.
16 The number of teachers with a MA or higher is not known.
17 Assistant teachers must be highly qualified and pass the Para Pro test. This is also required for paraprofessionals in mixed-delivery settings.
18 The DOE determines the per-pupil allocation annually, based on the number of available slots. Allocations are based on a per-pupil formula. Non-required local contributions are not determined or allocated by the state, instead, they are funds that may vary annually to support local community programs.

19 All programs are monitored annually and required to provide documentation of program-level outcomes (compliance with program guidelines, annually). QRIS participation is required for child care centers (minimum 3 stars), but a waiver process was instituted eliminating this requirement for programs in the 13 pilot communities. Public school centers are exempt. Monitoring of reports include enrollment in a 6 -hour program, collaboration and provision of support services reports, vision/hearing screenings and referrals, and accommodations for students with disabilities and ESL students.
20 Informal evaluations were conducted to report child outcomes (DSC/Teaching Strategies GOLD) and program quality (CLASS). The last formal evaluation was conducted in 2012-2013 by the Picard Center at ULL.

## LOUISIANA - Louisiana 8(g) Student Enhancement Block Grant Program

1 Districts receive an allocation and they determine whether to use the funds for the pre-K focus area.
2 Any child evaluated and identified as gifted may enter kindergarten early.
3 Priority is given to students who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch. However, if all income-eligible children are otherwise served, additional students may also be served if they are deemed developmentally unprepared.
4 This program strongly encourages vision and hearing screening.
5 The Board of Elementary and Secondary Education approved the new Birth to Five Early Learning and Development Standards in April 2013. Creative Arts Standards are also contained in the Louisiana standards. English Language Arts and Math standards were aligned in 2011 with the Common Core State Standards for Kindergarten; Science and Social Studies standards were also revised.
6 Effective June 1, 2002, Louisiana issued a Pre-K-3 license, instead of standalone Nursery School and Kindergarten licenses. Teachers holding a Kindergarten license may be required to take additional coursework or demonstrate knowledge/competence to add an Early Childhood endorsement to their original license, permitting them to teach in pre-K without the parish/district/charter requesting a temporary out-of-field teaching authorization.

1 Teacher assistants must possess a high school diploma or equivalent, have extended experience caring for a group of preschool-age children (children younger than five years of age), possess proficient oral and written communication skills, and meet all other district requirements for employment.
8 Public agencies blend and braid $8(\mathrm{~g})$ funds with other state and federal funding to provide programs. An attempt is made to collect information from local programs on the total funding of each source, but this information cannot be verified. The Board allocated \$10,200,000 to local education agencies for the FY 2014-2015 8(g) Student Enhancement Block Grant Program. A total of $\$ 8,427,096$ was allocated to pre-kindergarten programs. Districts received a $\$ 52,857$ base and $\$ 7.78$ per pupil amount. In addition, $\$ 975,000$ was allocated to the Louisiana Department of Education to administer the 8(g) Statewide Early Childhood Care and Education Network Expansion Program.

9 Since 8(g) students are most likely intermixed in LA4 classrooms, the LA4 program monitoring covered the majority of the 8(g)-funded pre-K classes/sites during 20142015. A regular monitoring cycle of site visits/evaluations was established by $8(\mathrm{~g})$ staff and 20 sites were monitored and evaluated. These visits were conducted by independent program evaluators employed by the oversight agency. BESE compliance officers conduct monitoring visits to make sure agencies are complying with state auditing practices and both fiscal and programmatic procedures relating to the project. Site visits, desk evaluations, and technical assistance is conducted by $8(\mathrm{~g})$ staff on a regular basis.

10 Evaluations are conducted annually for districts by independent evaluators to measure the impact on student outcomes. In June 2013, the Cecil J. Picard Center for Child Development and Lifelong Learning conducted a longitudinal report entitled, Longitudinal Study: Longitudinal Impacts on Academic Achievement for Participants in Louisiana's LA4, NSECD, and 8(g) Early Childhood Programs.
11 Each LEA shall require that every child entering kindergarten for the first time be given a nationally recognized readiness screening. The results of this screening shall be used in placement and for planning instruction. The pupil progression plan for each LEA shall include criterion for placement.

## LOUISIANA - Louisiana Non-Public Schools Early Childhood Development Program

1 In accordance with Act 3 of 2012, the NSECD Program was transferred from the Governor's Office to the Louisiana Department of Education.

 most recent copy of which can be found here: http://www.lsutuearlychildhood.org/EarlyChildhoodRiskLA_Fall2012.pdf. In addition, a scoring mechanism is used that
 programs in non-pilot parishes of need with no existing NSECD providers.

3 The reported figure represents funded slots
4 The Louisiana Department of Education is responsible for administering the program and partnered with nonpublic schools, parochial schools, private schools, and quality-rated Class A child care programs to provide services.

5 All pre-K programs are funded based on a 6-hour instructional day. No funds are provided for before- or after-school care.
6 With the implementation of Act 3, all state-funded early childhood programs have adopted common income requirements.
 quality benchmarks for staff-child ratio and maximum class size.
 2011-2012, the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals conducted a study related to childhood obesity in state pre-K programs requiring enrolled children to receive height and weight or BMI screening and referral.
9 The NSECD has implemented a "Parental Involvement" report, which allows providers to track parental engagement on a local level.
 also contained in the Louisiana standards. English Language Arts and Math standards were aligned in 2011 with the Common Core State Standards for Kindergarten; Science and Social Studies standards were also revised.
 taken all prerequisites to enroll in a Pre-K-3 or Early Interventionist alternate certification program. They must continually be enrolled and complete certification within 3 years of their hire date. Teachers who being with a kindergarten or Elementary Education Certificate must become an Early Childhood certified teacher by either taking the Praxis II or completing 12 hours of coursework within 18 months of their hire date

 needed or requested by each provider.
14 New NSECD teacher assistants must be certified with at least a CDA or AA or higher in Early Childhood, Education, or Family Studies. Incumbent NSECD teacher assistants who are not state-certified must be enrolled in a CDA program or Early Childhood associate's degree program, be continually enrolled, and complete the certification within three years of hire date. Of 78 teacher assistants, 28 have degrees ranging from associate's to Master's plus 30,39 have a current CDA, and 11 are pursuing their CDA or degree on a program-approved timeline.

 I, Head Start, or LA4) for NSECD students. However, agencies are allowed to serve multiple populations within a site.
 reported back to the state by each respective company.

## MAINE - Maine Public Preschool Program

1 School administrative units have the following governance structures: cities or towns with individual supervision, regional school units, regional school units doing business as school administrative districts and school administrative districts, community school districts, alternative organizational structures, unions of towns, Maine Indian education, Technology Center, Technology Region, and Education in the Unorganized Territory.
2 Children who receive special education services may attend state pre-K classrooms, but are not counted separately in state pre-K enrollment as Maine's Part B is administered under an independent unit.
 count number.
4 Programs must operate a minimum of 10 hours per week to receive a per-pupil subsidy through the school funding formula.
5 A new data system is being developed that will allow this information to be reported. It is estimated that this system will not be ready until July 2018.
 planning.
7 Programs operate the same number of weeks as the kindergarten classrooms.
 child attended kindergarten in another state with a different age-eligibility requirement, this will be honored. Allowances are made for children with IEPs who turn 5 between July 15 and October 15. The district receives the same level of subsidy for children pre-K through 2 nd grade
 have eligibility requirements for a certain ratio of enrolled children.

10 If a child has been screened and evaluated and the IEP team determines the public four-year-old program is not the LRE, another placement may be found.

 teacher assistant for an average ratio of eight to ten students for every staff member. Beginning in 2015, all new and expanded PPPs will be required to follow the $2: 16$ teacher to child ratio. If a district partners with a program holding a DHHS license, ratios of $1: 10$ must be met as per Maine child care licensing regulations.
12 While not required, most programs offer a snack and others offer breakfast and/or lunch. Programs that partner with Head Start must follow CACFP and Head Start requirements for meals. Schools providing meals meet USDA school meal guidelines.
13 Screening and referral services will be required in 2015-2016, per revised program requirements.
14 Translator or bilingual staff availability may vary by school and SAU.

15 Assistant teachers in nonpublic schools must have an Educational Technician II (Maine DOE Certificate) that requires a minimum of 60 college credit hours.
16 Public preschool in Maine is funded through the school funding formula (Essential Programs and Services School Funding Formula). Once a school has gone through an approval process for a public preschool program, student counts are factored in to compute the following year's EPS SAU allocation. Children who are 4 years old by October 15 and in a program a minimum of 10 hours a week receive a full per-pupil subsidy based on Maine's Essential Programs and Services school funding formula. Use of federal funds is determined locally and spending figures are not available.
17 Schools are required to provide a local match to draw down their per-pupil state subsidy. The required local match is part of the school funding formula based on property wealth (state valuation) multiplied by a statewide mill rate.
18 All public schools must have a comprehensive educational plan that includes ways to monitor the effectiveness of programs. SAUs report general school information each year as part of the Basic School Approval Report. In 2014-2015, the state began piloting a monitoring system.

## MARYLAND - Maryland Prekindergarten Program

1 The 24 school districts include 23 counties and Baltimore City. The School for the Deaf and Maryland School for the Blind are in addition to the 24 school systems.
2 State Pre-K Expansion Act of 2014 added another 1,023 children to the total enrollment. An additional 13,013 children under age 3 enrolled in Judy Centers and 5-yearolds eligible for kindergarten are not reflected in total enrollment figures.
3 Enrollment breakdown by race/ethnicity does not include children younger than three years old or older than five years old.
4 The breakdown by income eligibility does not include the 171 five-year-olds and 156 under three-year-olds whose family incomes were below $185 \%$ FPL.
5 Partnerships with Head Start provide some extended-day services. The state provided extended-day/year services to 2,786 children ages three to five enrolled in Head Start.
6 Programs operate five days per week as a full school-day program or they operate four part-days per week with the fifth day used for home visits and teacher planning.
7 Policy requires local school systems to have an early admissions policy to allow for enrollment of 3 -year-old students who are otherwise eligible (economically disadvantaged or homeless) and who demonstrate educational needs warranting early admission into prekindergarten. Effective October 31, 2011, local school systems may create prekindergarten programs for 3-year-olds who are economically disadvantaged, homeless, or who lack school readiness. Policy requires local school systems to have an early admissions policy to allow enrollment of 4 -year-old students who demonstrate capabilities warranting early admission into kindergarten. Local boards of education may grant waivers on a case-by-case basis to allow kindergarten-age children to enroll in pre-K.

8 All children must first meet the income, homelessness, or foster care requirement to qualify for enrollment. Remaining vacancies may be filled by enrolling four-year-old applicants who are not from low-income families, but who exhibit a lack of readiness for school. Eligibility can be determined as noted above or by other criteria chosen by the local school system.
9 State policy stipulates an average of 20 students per classroom. Exceptions may occur where individual classrooms exceed this ratio, provided an overall program average of 20 is maintained. By policy, 3-year-olds are not eligible for state pre-k, but state child care regulations require a maximum class size of 20 and a staff to child ratio of 1:10 for 3- and 4-year-olds.
10 Children in full-day programs are offered breakfast and lunch. In half-day programs, children are offered either breakfast or lunch.
11 Developmental and dental screenings are locally determined. Vision and health screening and referral are the responsibility of the school health services program, in conjunction with the Health Department under Title I, which applies to all children enrolled. Support services include parenting support or training, parent involvement activities (as specified by NCLB), health services for children, transition-to-kindergarten activities, and parent conferences or home visits. A body system, head-to-toe full physical exam is required, which is a comprehensive physical exam. In pre-K, a certificate is required proving that a blood test for lead has been done.
12 State-level policy exists for DLL/ELL for K-12. DLL/ELL services for pre-K are locally determined.
13 The Social Foundations standards cover ages 3 through 5 in the strands of Personal and Social Development, Approaches to Learning, and Executive Function. The MD College and Career Ready Standards, approved in 2013, refer to Pre-K (4-year-olds) through grade 12 and cover English Language Arts, Math, Science, Social Studies, Physical Well-Being and Motor Development, Health, Fine Arts, and Social Foundations (for Pre-K-K). The CCSS for Math and ELA were completed in summer 2012. As part of RTT, MD developed an alignment document for early learning standards, birth-grade 2.
14 BA degrees are required for public and nonpublic settings receiving public funding. Lead teachers in public and nonpublic settings must have a BA with appropriate Early Education teacher certification. Some in-service requirements may be imposed locally. In addition, teachers must meet highly-qualified teacher requirements under NCLB.
15 All lead teachers have a BA, but the actual number of teachers is not able to be reported.
16 Paraprofessionals in Title I schools must also have completed two years of college, hold an AA degree, or have a qualifying score of 455 on the Para Pro assessment administered by ETS. Assistant teachers are required to attend a minimum of 24 hours of professional development annually, which is provided by local school systems.

17 State aid accounts for foundation and compensatory funds. Foundation funds account for all mandatory state aid divided by the total enrollment for K-12. Compensatory funds account for weight associated with low-income, English Language Learners, and special education students. Local school systems must provide services for all eligible 4 -year-olds using state and/or local funds. There are no dedicated state aid dollars for prekindergarten. Pre-K spending from federal and local sources is determined through a funding estimation scheme, given that there are no dedicated pre-K funds from these sources. As such, accurate actual spending estimates cannot be provided for federal and local sources. In addition, the Prekindergarten Expansion Act of 2014 (Senate Bill 332) made possible $\$ 4.3$ million to expand Pre-K. This is a statewide initiative to expand the access to half-day and full-day public Pre-K for 4-year-olds from families with household incomes at or below $300 \%$ of Federal Poverty Guidelines for the school year 2014-2015. This increased enrollment by 1,023 slots.
18 The State Pre-K Expansion funding is available to public schools or a "qualified vendor," defined as a state or nationally accredited licensed child care program that is published at Level 5 in Maryland EXCELS or an approved nonpublic school.
19 All publicly funded Pre-K Expansion Programs must participate and meet Maryland EXCELS (the State's QRIS system) Level 5. Maryland conducts annual monitoring on all publicly funded Pre-K programs utilizing the Federal and State Pre-K Expansion Monitoring Tool. Maryland monitors 'Bridge to Excellence' Pre-K Programs at the schoolsystem level on a rotating school-year basis.
20 All Pre-K Programs must conduct a child assessment utilizing a locally developed assessment that aligns with the local school system curriculum, the upcoming Early Learning Assessment (ELA) being developed by Maryland and Ohio, or an assessment aligned with a state-recommended curriculum.
21 State policy requires local school systems to analyze the kindergarten assessment system in order to evaluate the effectiveness of pre-K and to make necessary adjustments to the instructional program. As a recipient of Race to the Top and Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge grant funding, Maryland is collaborating with Ohio in the development of Ready 4 Kindergarten: The Early Childhood Comprehensive Assessment System. The Kindergarten Readiness Assessment provides the data on incoming kindergartners that the school systems use in evaluating the effectiveness of pre-K. The Early Learning Assessment component is a voluntary formative assessment that pre-K as well as all teachers with children from 36-72 months can use.

## MASSACHUSETTS - Universal Pre-Kindergarten Grant (UPK)

1 The Massachusetts Department of Early Education and Care is the state licensing authority and exerts authority over the early education and care programs in the Commonwealth. The Massachusetts State Head Start Collaboration Office sits in the Department of Early Education and Care, but does not itself exercise administrative authority over the prekindergarten initiatives.
2 The UPK grant is offered in 87 cities/towns. Many cities/towns offer both the UPK and IPLE programs.
3 In the 2014-2015 program year, 7,540 children were enrolled in the UPK program. There could be some duplicated children if programs receive both the UPK and IPLE grant.

4 Age eligibility for kindergarten is locally determined. Massachusetts does not collect the number of children over age 4 defined by their age-eligibility to enter kindergarten due to the locally determined cutoff date.
5 There were 855 children receiving special education services in the UPK program. There could be some duplicated children if programs receive both the UPK and IPLE grant.
6 The hours and operating schedule vary by type of program and setting. Programs can operate either a school-year or full-year schedule. UPK programs are required to offer or provide access to school-day, full-year services. Part-week opportunities are also used and are determined locally.
7 Formal state-level partnerships exist between Federal Head Start Grantees and the Commonwealths' Head Start State Supplemental Grantees. Twenty of these grantees are also UPK Grantees.
8 For UPK, state policy does not regulate the enrollment of kindergarten-age-eligible children in pre-K. A child with special needs could remain in the preschool program, however, he/she would no longer be counted in the UPK formula. For special needs children, the team may allow a child to remain in a program designed for 3- and 4-year-old children for the duration of the school year in which the child turns five years old (including the summer following the date of the child's fifth birthday). The children must be in the preschool classroom by the renewal date of the grant but the state does not have a cut-off date. The children are no longer eligible for preschool when they are eligible to enter kindergarten in the city/town in which they live. The school districts determine kindergarten eligibility locally.
9 Any child may enroll in any program, but programs are selected to receive UPK and IPLE funding based on program characteristics and on the characteristics of the children in the program. The $85 \%$ SMI income requirement applies to all children in UPK receiving subsidy money, but does not apply to tuition-paying families. For families to enter the subsidy system, they can have up to $50 \%$ of SMI and can continue receiving assistance up to $85 \%$ of the SMI. For families with special needs, they can enter up to $85 \%$ SMI and remain until $100 \%$.
10 UPK determines whether a child is part of the financial assistance system, and the sliding fee scale for parent copay is determined by income. Any program receiving subsidy funds may use the Massachusetts sliding fee scale. If not, programs can establish their own.
11 Staff-child ratio for UPK is 1:6-10, depending on program type.
12 Programs operating fewer than 4 hours per day must schedule snacks. Program operating between 4 and 9 hours must schedule a regularly scheduled meal in addition to a snack, while those operating more than 9 hours must schedule two meals and two snacks. Parents or providers may provide snacks.
13 Programs are not required to provide these services directly. All LEAs, however, are required to provide screenings and referrals under "child find," and screenings and referrals are available to all children in non-LEAs through services in the public schools. Required support services include parent conferences or home visits. Additional support services are determined locally.
14 EEC-licensed child care programs must develop a mechanism for, and encourage, ongoing communication with parents, including communicating effectively with families whose primary language is not English or who require alternative communication methods. The 2010 Licensing Regulations indicate that one-third of the required professional development of educators must address diverse learners. LEA regulations regarding special education services require that communications to families shall be in both English and the primary language of the home, if such primary language is other than English. Any interpreter used to implement this provision shall be fluent in the primary language of the home. For more information on state policy and services for English Language Learners, please see the following links: http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr14.html?section=all; http://www.wida.us/DownloadDocs/standards/EarlyELD/WIDA_2013_EELD_Standards.pdf; http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/Guidance.pdf\#search=\"ELL\"; http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/eec/laws-regulations-and-policies/20101203-dual-lang-edu-policies.pdf; https://malegislature.gov/Laws/GeneralLaws/PartI/TitleXII/Chapter71A/Section4
15 Transition-to-kindergarten activities per the UPK Grant. UPK programs are required to engage in efforts to support enhanced Pre-K to 3rd Grade Alignment to improve outcomes for children which may include mechanisms for enhanced cross-sector alignment with grades kindergarten through third grade. Other types of comprehensive services may be required, as determined by other federal, state regulations, and/or funding sources; therefore, comprehensive services not identified as grant requirements for this state pre-K program at this time.
16 In 2003, the state put in place the Guidelines for Preschool Early Learning Experiences, which covered all recognized domains of development at the time. All programs (except family child care) must follow the Guidelines. In 2011, the state merged its current standards with the Common Core State Standards and released the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks for Pre-K. Programs are not required to follow the Curriculum Frameworks, though public school programs in UPK are likely to do so. Public school programs must also follow the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks for preschool and kindergarten for older four- and five-year-olds.
17 All teachers must have an Early Childhood Education certification. Public school teachers must have a pre-K-grade 2 certification. Nonpublic school teachers must be certified by the Department of Early Education and Care. Lead teachers must either be 21 years old or have a high school diploma and must complete a three-credit course in child growth and development and 9 months of supervised experience. For centers at Level 2 in the QRIS, all members of the teaching staff must have a minimum of 3 credits in ECE or a related field, and $50 \%$ of classrooms must have an educator with a BA. For Level 3 programs, $75 \%$ of classrooms must have an educator with a BA. For Level 4 programs, 100\% of classrooms must have an educator with a BA. Lead teacher educational levels for their highest credential/degree is not available for 2014-2015 UPK preschool programs.
18 In nonpublic Schools the requirement is: 20 clock hours per year. Educators working 20 or more hours per week in EEC Licensed large group and school-age programs shall complete a minimum of 20 hours of professional development activities per year. There are no state re-certification requirements. In public schools the requirement is stated in 603 CMR 44.00: Educator License Renewal, Each standard educator certificate shall be valid for five years and continued every five years thereafter upon the successful completion of an individual professional development plan that meets the subject matter knowledge and teaching skill requirements set by the MA DESE board. Plans shall be designed to increase the ability of the person to improve student learning. Each license shall be valid for five years, and may be renewed for successive five year terms upon the successful completion of the requisite number of PDPs as set forth in 603 CMR 44.05 (1) and 44.06(1) within a particular five-year active period, based on a professional development plan that is designed to improve teaching and student learning and that is approved, if required, by the educator's supervisor. Professional Development Point (PDP): One clock hour is equivalent to one professional development point.
19 For UPK, assistant teachers (nonpublic) must have a high school diploma or equivalent and must work at all times under the direct supervision of at least a teacherqualified staff person, must be at least 18 years of age, and must have 3 credits in child growth and development. EEC does not provide certification for assistant teachers (nonpublic schools). In public schools, instructional paraprofessionals are not required to be licensed in the Commonwealth. Paraprofessionals must work under the direct supervision of a licensed teacher. The minimum requirements to be employed in this role are: Possession of a high school diploma or equivalent, and one of the following: possession of an associate's (or higher) degree, or completion of 48 credit hours at an Institution of Higher Education, or passing a completion of paraprofessional exam. In the event the IPLE public school setting is also a Title I school/program, then these paraprofessional requirements apply.
20 Total UPK funding is $\$ 39,601,224$, which includes the UPK budget allocation. $\$ 6,300,000$ plus $\$ 33,301,224$ in TANF/CCDF. TANF and CCDF funds contribute to UPK. "High needs children" are defined as children who have multiple risk factors linked to poor school and life outcomes, including: children and parents with special needs, children whose home language is not English or are English Language Learners, families and children involved with multiple state agencies, recent immigrants, children with parents who are deployed and are not living on a military base, low-income households, parents with less than a high school education, and children who are homeless or who move more than once a year. For UPK, a broadly interpreted program match is required, which can include in-kind or monetary matches.
21 Federal funding sources also include IDEA Part B 619, TANF/CCDF Funds, Head Start funding, Race to the Top - Early Learning Challenge and Preschool Expansion grant money, Title I funds, and McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Funds. A Title I school may choose to use all or a portion of its allocation for a preschool program. The McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Funds provides funding to school districts to ensure that homeless children and youth, including preschool children, are enrolled and attending school.
22 For UPK, all programs were required to be self-assessed at Level 3 in QRIS. As a result, EEC's regional Program Quality Specialists reviewed all of the QRIS applications to confirm that the UPK programs did the following activities: completed the Environment Rating Scales for all the classrooms, utilized a formative assessment tool (TS GOLD, Work Sampling System or High Scope COR), completed the CLASS or the Arnett Caregiver Interaction Scale, documented professional development for the program administrator and staff, documented Individual Professional Development Plan for the program administrator and staff, completed the Strengthening Families Self-Assessment (along with a Program Improvement Plan if necessary), completed the Program Administration Scale, and submitted all other required documents.
23 Massachusetts is in the process of developing policies for sharing QRIS info and QRIS ratings with families. However, it has not yet been fully implemented.

24 During 2008-2009 year, EEC contracted with a vendor to conduct a program quality evaluation of UPK and non-UPK programs across the state using the CLASS tool. During the 2009-2010 year, EEC funded a Waitlist, Access, and Continuity of Services Study to assess the need for preschool services, access, and continuity challenges as the state implements UPK on a larger scale.
25 Through the Massachusetts Kindergarten Entry Assessment Initiative, school districts that are recipients of the Quality Full-Day Kindergarten Grant must use a formative assessment tool in the classroom to document children's progress across the developmental domains throughout the school year. The school districts could choose either TS GOLD or Work Sampling System as the formative assessment tool. Other tools are determined locally to assess children's progress in kindergarten.

## MASSACHUSETTS - Inclusive Preschool Learning Environment Grant (IPLE- Grant 391).

1 The Massachusetts Department of Early Education and Care is the state licensing authority and exerts authority over the early education and care programs in the Commonwealth. The Massachusetts State Head Start Collaboration Office sits in the Department of Early Education and Care, but does not itself exercise administrative authority over the prekindergarten initiatives.
2 The IPLE grant is offered in 118 cities/towns serving children from 184 communities. The IPLE grant was awarded through a renewal grant in 2014-2015
3 In the 2014-2015 program year, 5,857 children were enrolled in IPLE. There could be some duplicated children if programs receive both the UPK and IPLE grant. Enrollment information by age is available for 5,826 children ( $99.5 \%$ ). Massachusetts does not collect the number of children over four years (as defined by their age eligibility to enter Kindergarten).
4 For the IPLE grant, there were 2,055 children receiving special education services. There could be some duplicated children if programs receive both the UPK and IPLE funding.
5 The hours and operating schedule vary by type of program and setting. Programs can operate either a school-year or full-year schedule. The majority of IPLE grantees follow the public school calendar year, which is determined locally. These IPLE grant-funded programs typically offer a combination of part-day, school-day, and extendedday. Part-week opportunities are also used, which are all determined locally. IPLE grant-funded programs that are supported by other supplemental funding, such as EEC financial assistance, UPK grant, or Head Start must follow the operating schedule as determined by these contractual requirements. All IPLE students are reported in "locally determined" schedules.

6 Multiple operating schedules are available through IPLE pre-K programs. Schedules are determined locally to address local need and support parent choice. The majority of the IPLE pre-K programs follow the public school calendar year. On average, programs are in operation 39 weeks of a calendar year (range $32-52$ weeks). The IPLE pre-K programs provide extended-day sessions: 5 days per week; school-day sessions: 2, 3, 4, or 5 days per week; and part-day sessions: $2,3,4$, or 5 days per week. Some may include a combination of these schedules within one site. The majority of the sessions offered are part-day: 5 days per week and Part-day: 4 days per week, and typically enroll 15 children per session. In addition, children with IEPs may be enrolled in multiple IPLE sessions to ensure that their IEP service needs and placement determinations are met. Children without IEPs may also enroll in multiple IPLE sessions to support parent choice and inclusion based on local availability. IPLE grantfunded programs that are supported by other supplemental funding, such as EEC financial assistance, UPK grant, or Head Start must follow the operating schedule as determined by those contractual requirements. Formal state-level partnerships exist between Federal Head Start Grantees and the Commonwealth's Head Start State Supplemental Grantees. Nine of these grantees are also IPLE grantees.
7 For IPLE, kindergarten age-eligible children with documented disabilities may enroll in pre-K. Per State Special Education Regulations, the team may allow a child to remain in a program designed for 3 - and 4 -year-old children for the duration of the school year in which the child turns 5 years old (including the summer following the date of the child's fifth birthday).
8 All age-eligible children in districts offering the program, or in the entire state, may enroll. In the event that the IPLE grant funded pre-K programs is supported by other supplemental funding sources, such as EEC financial assistance, IDEA, and/or Head Start, then the program must follow the eligibility requirements determined by these funding sources, which are based on individual child or family characteristics, in addition to age.
9 Any child may enroll in any program, but programs are selected to receive IPLE funding based on program characteristics and on the characteristics of the children in the program. There is no income requirement for IPLE, though programs may use some of the reported risk factors at the local level to prioritize the selection of eligible children without IEPs, due to the high demand. IPLE grant-funded programs that are also supported by other supplemental funding, such as EEC financial assistance, IDEA, and Head Start must follow the eligibility requirements determined by these funding sources which are based on individual child or family characteristics in addition to age.
10 Although not used to determine eligibility at the state level, IPLE pre-K programs may use risk factors at the local level to prioritize the selection of eligible children without IEP, due to the high demand.
11 Any program receiving subsidy funds may use the Massachusetts sliding fee scale. If not, programs can establish their own.
12 For IPLE grants, the ratio can range from 1:7 to 1:10, based upon the number of children with disabilities enrolled in the session. Class size is based upon the number of children with disabilities enrolled in the session per state special education regulations.
13 Programs operating fewer than 4 hours per day must schedule snacks. Program operating between 4 and 9 hours must schedule a regularly scheduled meal in addition to a snack, while those operating more than 9 hours must schedule two meals and two snacks. Parents or providers may provide snacks.
14 All LEAs are required to offer screenings and referrals under Child Find, and screenings are available to children in non-LEAs through services in the public schools. Types of health screening offered are not specified.
15 PD is offered specific to ELL. To meet this requirement, DESE has been providing Professional Development to support Core Academic Teachers in public schools in cohorts. Early childhood teachers in public schools are Core Academic Teachers. The SEI endorsement is not specific to this state pre-K program or public pre-K school exclusively, it applies to some educators in public school settings. This was included as it is a "state policy" related to EEL and the majority of 391 funded programs are also LEAs. See http://www.doe.mass.edu/retell/ for additional information. For more information on state policy and services for English Language Learners, please see the following links: http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr14.html?section=all;
http://www.wida.us/DownloadDocs/standards/EarlyELD/WIDA_2013_EELD_Standards.pdf; http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/Guidance.pdf\#search=\"ELL\" http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/eec/laws-regulations-and-policies/20101203-dual-lang-edu-policies.pdf;
https://malegislature.gov/Laws/GeneralLaws/Part//TitleXII/Chapter71A/Section4
16 Transition-to-kindergarten activities per the IPLE grant. IPLE programs are required to engage in efforts to support enhanced Pre-K to 3rd Grade Alignment to improve outcomes for children, which may include mechanisms for enhanced cross-sector alignment with grades kindergarten through third grade. Other types of comprehensive services may be required, as determined by other federal, state regulations, and/or funding sources. Therefore, comprehensive services are not identified as grant requirements for this state pre-K program at this time.
17 In 2003, the state put in place the Guidelines for Preschool Early Learning Experiences, which covered all recognized domains of development at the time. All programs (except family child care) must follow the Guidelines. In 2011, the state merged its current standards with the Common Core State Standards and released the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks for Pre-K. Programs are not required to follow the Curriculum Frameworks, though public school programs in UPK are likely to follow them. Public school programs must also follow the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks for preschool and kindergarten for older 4-and 5-year-olds. IPLE grantfunded programs are required to implement a developmentally appropriate and inclusive early childhood curriculum aligned with the Massachusetts Preschool Early Learning Guidelines; The Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks for Preschool and Kindergarten; Massachusetts Quality Rating and Improvement Standards for Center-based/School-based programs; and the Early Childhood Program Standards for Three-and Four-Year-Olds. Public school programs must also follow the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks for preschool and kindergarten for older four- and five-year-olds.
18 All teachers must have an Early Childhood Education certification. For centers at Level 2 in the QRIS, all members of the teaching staff must have a minimum of three credits in ECE or a related field, and $50 \%$ of classrooms must have an educator with a BA. For Level 3 programs, $75 \%$ of classrooms must have an educator with a BA. For Level 4 programs, $100 \%$ of classrooms must have an educator with a BA. Additional information on teacher certification is found here: http://www.mass.gov/courts/docs/lawlib/600-699cmr/606cmr7.pdf
19 Lead teacher educational levels for their highest credential/degree is not available for 2014-2015 IPLE pre-K programs. EEC is collecting information about lead teacher credentials for the IPLE grant for 2015-2016.

20 In nonpublic schools the requirement is 20 clock hours per year. Educators working 20 or more hours per week in EEC licensed large group and school-age programs shall complete a minimum of 20 hours of professional development activities per year. There are no state re-certification requirements. In public schools the requirements are stated in 603 CMR 44.00: Educator License Renewal: Each standard educator certificate shall be valid for five years and continued every five years thereafter upon the successful completion of an individual professional development plan that meets the subject matter knowledge and teaching skill requirements set by the MA DESE board. Plans shall be designed to increase the ability of the person to improve student learning. Each license shall be valid for five years, and may be renewed for successive five-year terms upon the successful completion of the requisite number of PDPs as set forth in 603 CMR 44.05 (1) and 44.06(1) within a particular five-year active period, based on a professional development plan that is designed to improve teaching and student learning and that is approved, if required, by the educator's supervisor. Professional Development Point (PDP): One clock hour is equivalent to one professional development point.
21 In public schools, instructional paraprofessionals are not required to be licensed in the Commonwealth. Paraprofessionals must work under the direct supervision of a licensed teacher. The minimum requirements to be employed in this role are: Possession of a high school diploma or equivalent, and one of the following: Possession of an associate's (or higher) degree, completion of 48-credit hours at an Institution of Higher Education, or passing a completion of paraprofessional exam. In the event the IPLE public school setting is also a Title I school/program, then these paraprofessional requirements apply. In IPLE nonpublic school settings, assistant teachers (nonpublic) must have a high school diploma or equivalent, and must work at all times under the direct supervision of at least a teacher qualified staff person. EEC does not provide certification for assistant teachers.

22 EEC is collecting information about paraprofessional/assistant teacher credentials for the IPLE grant for 2015-2016. Preschool teacher information will also be included, as some IPLE classrooms have an EEC-certified lead teacher serving as the "lead" and EEC-certified teacher serving as the second adult in the classroom, in lieu of an EEC assistant teacher.
23 IPLE also uses IDEA funds. "High needs children" are defined as children who have multiple risk factors linked to poor school and life outcomes, including: children and parents with special needs; children whose home language is not English or are English Language Learners; families and children involved with multiple state agencies; recent immigrants; children with parents who are deployed and are not living on a military base; low-income households; parents with less than a high school education and children who are homeless or who move more than once a year. Total spending was Grant 391/IPLE budget allocation FY15 IPLE was $\$ 9,019,279$, which included $\$ 8,978,875$ of state spending and $\$ 2,957,567$ of IDEA funding. Program-level, classroom-level, and child-level spending information for state sources is not available. Additional funding from the FY15 CCDF Quality State Match, UPK budget allocation, and supplemental Head Start allocation are not reported.
24 Federal funding sources also include IDEA Part B 619, TANF/CCDF Funds, Head Start funding, Race to the Top- Early Learning Challenge and Preschool Expansion grant money, Title I funds, and McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Funds. A Title I school may choose to use all or a portion of its allocation for a preschool program. Find more information on Title I and preschool here: http://www.mass.gov/edu/docs/ese/accountability/title-i/program-design/preschool-faq.docx. The McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Funds provides funding to school districts to ensure that homeless children and youth, including preschool children are enrolled and attending school.
25 Grant 391 programs are all visited within a 6-year cycle. A 3-year cycle for Grant 391 grantees was conducted by EEC staff. Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes is not required per the IPLE grant, but the majority of IPLE grantees are LEAs that are required to report on Early Childhood Indicators for Preschool Outcomes for OSEP under IDEA Part B 619 via DESE, although that is not specifically collected for this state pre-K program. UPK and MKEA utilized a formative assessment tool (TS GOLD, Work Sampling System, or High Scope COR). Information is submitted at least twice per year. Massachusetts is in the process of developing policies for sharing QRIS information and QRIS ratings with families, however it has not yet been fully implemented.

## MICHIGAN - Michigan Great Start Readiness Program

1 There were 61 out of 305 public school academies (charter schools). There were 200 community-based agencies that received funds through the intermediate school districts (ISDs).
2 All grants and funding flow through the ISDs, all ISDs participate, so every child in the state who is eligible is covered by a participating agency. Some ISDs provide direct service in the local school buildings or other sites.
3 Children served in the school-day programs use two, half-day slots and are only counted once in the enrollment.
4 Children receiving special education services are also enrolled in separate classrooms, but those children are not counted in the total preschool enrollment count.
5 Grantees may choose part-day, school-day, or GSRP/Head Start Blend classroom options. School-day classroom programs are reimbursed at two slots per child (\$7,250); all others are reimbursed at one slot per child $(\$ 3,625)$. The minimum is four days per week for 30 weeks. It is allowable for new grantees or newly licensed sites to operate only for 20 weeks during the first year. Subsequent years must be 30 weeks per year. Grantees may choose part-day, school-day, or GSRP/Head Start Blend classroom options. Legislation allows for a GSRP/Head Start Blend option, and requires a tuition-based sliding scale for children who are over-income. In addition, grantees that offer supplementary child care using funds other than GSRP to achieve a full-day program (min. 10 hours) receive priority in the allocation of GSRP funds.
6 At least $90 \%$ of the children in each grantee's enrollment must meet the income requirement of $250 \%$ FPL or below. There are 7 factors that determine eligibility: low family income (below $250 \%$ of FPL ), diagnosed disability or identified developmental delay, severe and challenging behavior, primary language other than English, parent(s) with low educational attainment, abuse/neglect of child or parent, and environmental risk. A state-determined prioritization process allows low family income to automatically qualify a child. Legislation prescribes a quintile prioritization process for income eligibility. Each grant recipient shall enroll children according to how far the child's household income is below $250 \%$ of the federal poverty level by ranking each applicant child's household income from lowest to highest and dividing the applicant children into quintiles based on how far the child's household income is below $250 \%$ of the federal poverty level, and then enrolling children in the quintile with the lowest household income before enrolling children in the quintile with the next lowest household income until slots are completely filled. The process then allows for above $250 \%$ FPL plus two risk factors, then above $250 \%$ FPL plus one risk factor, then family income above $250 \%$ of FPL, with a cap of $10 \%$ of the total slots that can fall above $250 \%$ FPL. All families above $250 \%$ FPL must pay tuition based on a sliding scale.
7 A qualified teacher and associate teacher must be present in classes of 9 to 16 children. If more than 16 children are in a class, a third adult meeting licensing requirements must be present.
8 Part-day programs provide a snack, and school-day programs provide one meal and two snacks or two meals and one snack.
9 Programs must ensure that children have health screenings, including vision and hearing, but they do not have to provide the screening themselves. Screenings are often provided in the program by the local health department. All programs must make appropriate referrals. The physical exam for licensing typically includes height/weight or BMI, blood pressure, and immunizations. Programs are required to make referrals; behavioral and dental screenings and referrals are determined locally. Support services include parent conferences or home visits, parent involvement activities, health services for children, information about nutrition, referral to social services, and transition-to-kindergarten activities.
10 Program policies and procedures must promote, support, and respect the home language, culture, and family composition of each child. The state encourages hiring of at least some staff who speak the dominant languages of the community and provide translators for parents. All staff are expected to learn key words from each child's home language. Communication with families must be carried out on a regular basis throughout the program year, and carried out in the parent's primary or preferred language.
11 All lead teachers must have Elementary teaching certification with an Early Childhood endorsement or a BA in Child Development with specialization in preschool teaching. If training is incomplete, they may be considered out of compliance but must be enrolled in a training program and in compliance within 2 years.
12 All classroom staff must complete 16 clock hours per year, of which two can be CPR and first aid training, to keep required child care licenses current. Lead teachers who are certified teachers in public school settings must complete six credit hours or an equivalent number of State Board CEUs every five years to keep their teaching certification current. New lead teachers must complete even more training to renew the certificate the first time. Lead teachers who are in nonpublic school settings may not have certification and therefore may not need additional hours or equivalent time.
13 The state has phased out its own equivalent to a CDA as of the 2012-2013 school year, which included the same requirements as a CDA, but teachers did not have to take the CDA exam. The state approved each candidate individually instead. Those with the state alternative are grandfathered in unless they change employer, in which case a CDA or associate's degree would be required. An assistant teacher may have a CDA or equivalent as approved by the State Board of Education, or an AA in ECE/CD. If a suitable person cannot be hired, someone can start out of compliance, but must have completed at least one credit-bearing course in child development and have a plan to complete the requirements within two years.

14 GSRP has both a community needs assessment and a formula component that contributes to the final grantee allocation. GSRP cannot operate solely on the state funding, but the state does not collect local spending. Local districts may choose to use Title I funds for preschool programming. Grantees typically spend roughly another $\$ 1,500-\$ 2,000$ per child to cover costs not paid for by the state. Grantees must include in-kind space for GSRP because GSRP does not allow funding to cover the cost of facilities. Data are not collected on the total in-kind funding contribution. All funding flows through the State School Aid Fund to ISDs. Additional federal sources, such as CCDF subsidy, CACFP, and Title I, are used in providing GSRP children the program. However, there is no specific tracking. Additional federal resources are not required to be used. The school district portion has both a needs and a capacity assessment and a formula component that contributes to the final grantee allocation.
15 For 2014-2015, only Intermediate School Districts (ISDs) were eligible to receive funds directly from the state. ISDs then determined subrecipients locally, which are LEAs/PSAs/CBOs--both for-profit and nonprofit are allowed.
16 MDE implements a self-created monitoring tool for GSRP implementation based on a 3 - to 4 -year cycle for the ISDs as GSRP grantees. MDE is in the process of transitioning to a risk-based assessment process for determining monitoring visits. Monitoring will cover the programmatic, administrative, and fiscal aspects of the work of the ISDs as GSRP grantees. The ISD is responsible for monitoring its subrecipients for compliance with policies and guidelines for fiscal and programmatic issues, as well as program quality using the PQA. MDE monitoring will include a sampling of data from the ISD's subrecipient program, and administrative and fiscal documentation. An MDE auditor, consultant, or combined team will conduct onsite monitoring visits to the ISD to ensure compliance with state policies and program requirements as they relate to fiscal and programmatic management of the grant. MDE will use a combination of interviews, uploaded and onsite records, MEGS+ applications and reports, and sampling of the ISD's subrecipient program's administrative and fiscal documentation to complete the monitoring process.

17 Studies of Michigan's program include: a longitudinal study covering kindergarten through high school graduation and grade retention findings (1995-2011); a regression discontinuity study of 865 students assessed in pre-K and K (2005); a quasi-experimental/longitudinal study of 403 students in private child care, Head Start, and GSRP (2004-2007); and a mixed-methods regression discontinuity and quasi-experimental/longitudinal study of pre-K and kindergarten students in urban and rural districts, and districts with large proportions of dual language learners (2011-present). Studies since 2004 have measured both child outcomes (direct assessments and teacher/parent reports) and process quality in pre-K classrooms (independent observations). The study is funded at $\$ 300,000$ per year by the State School Aid Act.

18 Programs may choose tools that assess children according to the Early Learning Expectations in the Michigan Department of Education Early Childhood Standards of Quality for Prekindergarten. The state also allows supplemental tools in particular areas to meet particular needs, but grantees must follow a process for decision making that is based on data.

19 Michigan chose TS GOLD for voluntary kindergarten entry assessment in 2012-2013 and continues its field testing through 2014-2015. The current State School Aid law, Public Act 85 of 2015, focusing on early literacy, lays out a plan for a coherent system of assessment tools kindergarten through grade 2 that support early literacy. The intent is that all teachers and students will be provided these assessment tools. The MDE's early literacy strategy teams are working to round out these assessments and to provide more definition to what this will look like in fall 2016. Until this process is complete, we do not know exactly what this system will be composed of next fall. It is the intent of MDE that these early literacy assessment tools not be used for school accountability.

## MINNESOTA - Minnesota Head Start

1 By statute, state Head Start funding is non-competitively allocated to all federally designated Head Start grantees in Minnesota. This includes 1 school district, 7 tribal governments, and 25 private, nonprofit agencies, each serving one or more counties. Head Start services are available to eligible residents in all parts of the state.
2 All children included in the enrollment total are Head Start children.
3 The breakout of enrollment numbers by home language and race/ethnicity is not available for children funded solely by the state supplement to Head Start. See the PIR data for information about the full population of children enrolled in Head Start in the state.

4 In accordance with Head Start regulations, at least $90 \%$ of children enrolled in the Minnesota Head Start program qualify for free lunch. Nearly all children are low-income.
5 Programs comply with the federal Head Start requirement of at least 3.5 hours per day, 4 days per week, for 32 weeks per year, which is the most common schedule. A formal process that approves the use of Child Care Assistance funding for Head Start Integrated full-day programming has been established. Extended-day services are also provided through collaborations with the Early Learning Scholarships program.
6 Programs may also use state funds to provide Early Head Start services for children who are under the age of 3 years. Federal Head Start requirements determine program eligibility. Independent school districts meeting certain parameters may establish an early entrance policy for kindergarten. Local school boards may establish local policies meeting certain parameters per statute for early kindergarten entry.
7 State pre-K children, as defined by NIEER, must meet the federal Head Start income guidelines. Effective as of 2007, 35\% of enrollment may be children whose family incomes are between 100 and $130 \%$ FPL after priority is given to children at or below 100\% FPL. Other criteria for eligibility include homeless families and foster children. Also, families may be income-eligible if they qualify for child-care services as a participant in Minnesota's TANF program. Reported risk factors may be considered in prioritizing applicants for enrollment in a limited number of enrollment slots. Agencies may work with their parent council to establish additional priorities
8 Eligibility can be reassessed if there is a major change in family circumstances, such as income. Children are usually, but not always, allowed to continue enrollment through the end of the program year.
9 Federal Head Start Performance Standards require part-day programs to provide children with at least one-third of their daily nutritional needs (breakfast or lunch), and full-day programs to provide one-half to two-thirds of daily nutritional needs (breakfast, lunch, and a snack).
10 State authorizing statute requires compliance with federal Head Start requirements. The Learning for English Academic Proficiency and Success Act was added to the state statute for Head Start in the last legislative session. Translators or bilingual staff must be available if $50 \%$ or more of children in a class speak a language other than English. Per Head Start Standards, information about enrolled children's program and performance must be presented to families in their home language. Programs are highly encouraged to screen and assess all children in their home language. State early childhood staff are working with K-12 Dual Language Learning staff to align resources where possible.
11 Under the Head Start Reauthorization Act of 2007, all lead teachers were required to have at least an AA by October 2011; by September 30, 2013, 50\% must have at least a BA. By September 30, 2013, all assistant teachers must have at least a CDA or be enrolled in a program to receive a CDA, AA, or BA within two years. In a public school, teachers' union rules require that teachers have at least a BA. Programs are scrutinized annually by the federal Office of Head Start to assess continuing progress toward meeting these goals. A breakdown of teachers by degree level is not available for the state-funded program. See the PIR for information on the degree level of all Head Start teachers in the state
12 The requirement for in-service professional development is $2 \%$ of total work hours. As of December 2007, however, the Head Start reauthorization required 15 clock hours of professional development per year for teachers and assistant teachers. By federal law, Head Start staff must follow the highest standard. For those working full-time, that will be the $2 \%$ standard.

13 State staff review PIR information and enrollment data, conduct additional surveys throughout the year, and review and approve program work plans.
14 This list may not be exhaustive of all languages. It is based on PIR data and therefore reflects all children in Head Start in the state.
15 State staff are in the process of exploring WIDA standards.

## MISSISSIPPI - Early Learning Collaborative

1 The majority of the Early Learning Collaboratives (ELCs) are led by school districts, but some are led by nonprofits. A few ELCs include multiple school districts. In addition to the school districts that offer preschool, 13 out of 82 counties in the state offer preschool programs.
2 All ELC-selected curriculum and assessments are required to be aligned with the Mississippi College and Career Standards.
3 Assistant teachers are required to have an associate's degree in Early Childhood Education, Child Development, or an equivalent field; or an associate's degree in any field and a Child Development Associate credential, a Montessori certification, or an equivalent certification.

4 There was $\$ 365,050$ donated for the 1:1 tax credit donations to the pre-K program. Individuals or corporations may make a contribution to support the local matching fund of an approved early learning collaborative. The donated funds may be eligible to receive a state tax credit for the donated amount up to $\$ 1,000,000$. The Mississippi Department of Revenue can approve 1:1 tax credits up to the amount the Legislature appropriates to the Early Learning Collaborative Act each year
5 Each year, the Early Learning Collaboratives are awarded $\$ 3$ million to implement the pre-K program. Sometimes, there are funds remaining at the end of the year, which enables the grantees to roll the state funding over to the next funding year. This reflects the addition of the $\$ 128,426$ dollars in addition to the $\$ 3$ million allocated.
6 The ELC provides a 1:1 match requirement (either in-kind or real dollars). The state provides $\$ 2,150$ per full-time child enrolled. With the match requirement, the total investment for each child is \$4,300.

## MISSOURI - Missouri Preschool Program

1 Periodically, small school districts close and consolidate with area school districts; in 2014-2105, there were 516 school districts.
2 Missouri Preschool Program (MPP) contracts are awarded through a competitive bid process, with the grants limited to five years, therefore the number of programs changes every year.
3 Enrollment figures are based on the number of children receiving classroom services based on a May 2015 count.
4 Nonpublic schools include Head Start programs, private preschools, and nonprofit agencies.
5 The program must provide 6.5 hours of educational activities appropriate to the developmental level of the child per day, 5 days per week, for 12 months per year, and operate between the hours of 7:30am and 5:00pm. Only governmental entities or public school districts can provide a part-day program (minimum of 3 hours) to better serve those children participating in other district programs, such as Title I and/or early childhood special education, and can provide services for no less than the school year. Public schools who implement a 4-day school week for their K-12 grade levels can also implement a 4-day schedule for the preschool program. The length of the day should mirror the K-12 day. In 2014-2015, 72 of the 180 programs provided extended-day services to meet the needs of working families through a variety of funding options. Enrollment by operating schedule data are from May 2015. Multiple operating schedules are determined locally based on a bidding process.
6 Public school districts that implement a 4-day school week for their K-12 grade levels can also implement a 4-day schedule for the MPP program. The length of day should mirror the K-12 day.
7 Preschool programs must provide educational activities appropriate to the developmental level of the children 12 months a year. Governmental entities or public school districts only may provide preschool services for no less than the school year.
8 Some local discretion or the request of the parents is granted in enrollment. Additional information may be found at http://dese.mo.gov/governmental-affairs/kindergarten-first-grade-entry.
9 Eligibility is determined by age, with all other eligibility requirements determined locally. Income may be locally specified.
10 If a preschool program charges a fee for preschool, they must implement a sliding scale fee. Programs determine the basis for a sliding scale. Typically, private agencies use a Social Services sliding scale, while public schools use free and reduced-price lunch as a criterion. The sliding scale fee is required for families whose income does not exceed $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level

11 The preschool program must serve a minimum of 10 age-eligible children per classroom and have no more than 20 age-eligible children in a classroom. Classrooms with 10 children must have a lead teacher. A teacher assistant is required if more than 10 children are enrolled in the classroom

12 Part-day programs are required to provide one meal or one snack. School-day programs are required to provide one meal and two snacks or two meals and one snack.
13 A full physical must meet the requirements of child care licensing.
14 All preschool programs are required to work with their local Parents as Teachers (PAT) program, which provides parent education including family visits and group connections and health and development screenings. In addition, PAT also provides referrals to services that may be beneficial to the family, based on need/request.
15 Lead teacher must have Early Childhood Teacher Certification, Early Childhood Special Education Teacher Certificate, or 4-year college degree in Child Development.
16 Data on teacher certification levels indicate the following breakdown: Early Childhood (148, 78\%), Early Childhood Special Education (6, 3\%), EC/ECSE (19, 10\%), and Child Development (17, 9\%).
17 Effective 2014-2015, site visits are conducted for all programs on a regular basis throughout the year and on-site consultation hours are provided to all classrooms. The number of hours range from 14 to 20 annually based on need. All certified teachers are required to obtain additional hours depending on certification status.
18 Teacher assistants must have a CDA; 2-year associate's degree in Child Care/Education; or 60 college hours with a minimum of 3 college credit hours in early childhood child development, or child/family related courses, and experiences working in a program with young children and their families.

## NEBRASKA - Nebraska Early Childhood Education Programs - Ages 3 to 5

1 Some school districts begin, or add capacity, with the help of competitive grants. Other school districts may start a program without grant funding, and may receive state aid funding for their 4 -year-olds after three years of program approval.
2 This does not include 457 children who are served in the preschool program, but did not yet turn 3 by the collection date.
3 Most programs operate 3.5 to 4 hours per day, 4 or 5 days per week. Schools are encouraged to partner with Head Start and community providers, but there is no formal agreement at the state level. Programs must operate at least 12 hours per week. Additionally, if districts receive State Aid (TEEOSA) or an early childhood grant, the program must operate at least 450 hours per year.

4 Children with IEPs may participate, based on their IEPs. Kindergarten-age children with verified disabilities may enroll in prekindergarten if their IEP team determines that the child can be served best in preschool. Districts may enroll children under age 3, but the district would have to meet staffing and group size requirements established for younger children. The state does not have a minimum age date
5 While all prekindergarten age-eligible children may be served in a school district early childhood program, regardless of their abilities, disabilities, or the social, linguistic, or economic diversity of the children's families, funds are targeted to children with at least one risk factor. Some districts enroll all age-eligible children, while others may use locally determined risk factors in addition to those specified by the state.

6 Children who are receiving services through Head Start or IDEA pay nothing. For other children, school districts set a sliding scale fee to fit with their community.
7 Programs must offer at least one meal and snack that follows CACFP requirements.
8 If a majority of children enrolled use a common language other than spoken English, at least one staff member who uses such language shall be available as an active participant to communicate with such children. If less than a majority of the children speak a language different from the rest, one staff member or a community resource person or parent shall be identified to serve as a resource to the program.

9 Early Learning Guidelines were revised to align with the Nebraska K-12 standards during 2013. At this time, Nebraska has not adopted the Common Core. Creative Arts are also addressed in the Nebraska Early Learning Guidelines.

10 If teachers have endorsements other than those listed, they must take college coursework and make annual progress until they obtain an early childhood endorsement. Regardless of where the classroom is housed, it is considered a public school program.
11 Local sources include local district funds, parent fees, community agencies and resources, and other sources. Funding for the program includes an allocation for the grant program, as well as funding in the state aid formula. In the third year of approval by the Nebraska Department of Education, the count of 4 -year-olds is put into the formula along with other data. Equalization aid is then calculated for the next year.
12 Educational Service Units may receive grant funds but cannot receive state aid funding.

13 Although a $100 \%$ match of the state grant funds is required, it does not have to come from a local source. State aid requires that districts used federal and/or local sources to support the operation of the early childhood program in addition to state aid.
14 Self-assessments must be done by someone with Environment Rating Scale training. A randomly selected percent of districts will also receive an assessment by a reliable observer for comparison. Programs less than three years old continue to receive a visit by a reliable observer. Randomly selected programs not visited through one of the other means will receive a site visit as well. It is possible, however, that not all sites will receive a visit.

## NEVADA - Nevada State PreKindergarten Education Program

1 In addition to the 10 school districts that have a program, one community-based program (Great Basin College) also administers a state-funded pre-K program.
2 The four-year-old breakdown includes 44 five-year-olds.
3 IEP data are only available for four-year-olds.
4 Home language is only broken down into Spanish or English and 3- and 4-year-olds are combined.
5 Three- and four-year-olds are combined.
6 Programs are required to provide services a minimum of 10 hours per week. Local programs determine specific hours and schedules depending on location and track.
7 Most programs operate four days per week for 2.5 to 4 hours per day. All NV pre-K programs are part-day programs, as determined locally, due to limited funding.
8 Children who are five years old and eligible for kindergarten are not eligible for Nevada State Pre-K unless a local determination is made based on extenuating circumstances such as a recommendation in the child's IEP.
9 Specific priorities for enrollment are determined locally. Eligibility requirements may include low-income status, English Language Learner status, homelessness, disability of developmental delay, low parent education, history of abuse, neglect or family violence, parent substance abuse, risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten, teen parent, low birth weight, other health risk, foster care, or parent in active military duty.
10 Redetermination is not included in state policy but is permitted at the discretion of districts if claims of irregular attendance are verified, or if claims that family moves outside of the district are substantiated and it is determined appropriate to fill the space with another child on the waiting list.
11 Most programs are part-day, so only snacks are required.
12 Program policy rather than state legislation ensures that several screening and referral services are required for all children. Programs are required to screen and assess all children if there is a suspected developmental delay; a home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year; information must be presented to parents in their primary language; ESL services must be provided; translators or bilingual staff are available if children do not speak English. Local districts determine the process and instrument used for determining suspected developmental delay.
13 There is no state policy related to State Pre-K legislation. However, program policy requires that: Professional development or coaching is provided for teachers, programs are required to screen and assess all children in their home language, a home language survey is sent home at the beginning of the school year, information must be presented to parents in their primary language, translators or bilingual staff are available if children do not speak English. The Nevada legislature passed SB 405 in 2013 to provide additional support for ELL/DLL in pre-K-4th grade, and many districts are using this funding to collaborate with their existing State Pre-K programs. Implementation began mid-year in the 2013-2014 school year for logistical reasons.
14 An early childhood crosswalk has been completed between the Nevada Pre-K Standards and the Nevada Academic Content Standards based on the Common Core. A gap analysis based on this document and the Maryland-Ohio EAG Consortium work will guide the next revision process slated to begin in 2015.
15 If teachers started with the program prior to 2002, they are grandfathered in. Positions deemed difficult to fill may develop a professional development plan that a hired teacher would follow if not currently certified in early education. The Birth-K license has been incorporated into the Birth-Grade 2 certification and is no longer issued. Persons holding Birth-K certification are still eligible to teach in Nevada pre-K programs.
16 The legislature allocated additional funding for DLL Pre-K in 7 districts totaling $\$ 5,818,242$ that is not included in State Pre-K data and/or aligned accordingly. An additional amount of $\$ 8,597,571$ of Title 1 funds have been allocated in Clark County School District that is not currently supporting existing State Pre-K programs. District level DSA amounts are not reported.
17 Per legislation starting in 2013-2014, Nevada State Pre-K is required to complete and submit a longitudinal evaluation report every other year. Previously, the reports were completed every year. As a result, programs are only formally assessed using the ECERS and ELLCO every other year.
18 Results of child-level assessments were considered when the legislature allocated additional funding to begin a targeted pre-K initiative for DLL children in several districts.
19 Currently, kindergarten assessments are determined on a local basis. Nevada is piloting Teaching Strategies GOLD in a few districts statewide, however, as well as participating in the Maryland/Ohio Enhanced Assessment Grant Consortium to determine the best statewide KEA to be used in the future.
20 Children are not identified as DLL/ELL in pre-K and therefore do not need to be reclassified upon entry into kindergarten. They are assessed to determine their classification as ELL or 'English proficient' upon entry into kindergarten.

## NEW JERSEY - New Jersey Former Abbott Preschool Program

1 Primary oversight for the preschool education program is through the state Department of Education's (DOE) Division of Early Childhood Education. The Department of Children and Families oversees licensing of private preschool providers. The Department of Human Services, Division of Family Development oversees the before- and after-school portion of the preschool program. Coordination occurs with the Head Start State Collaboration Office, which is housed in DOE.
2 Thirty-one districts are required to offer the program, due to a state Supreme Court decision. The remaining four are required to offer the program after applying to expand their existing program, beginning in the 2008-2009 school year.
3 Includes only general education preschoolers. Preschoolers with disabilities are also included in the program, but are funded separately. They are not included in the enrollment numbers.

4 There were 24,331 children in nonpublic sites but administrative responsibility remains with the public school district.
5 All programs must operate for at least 6 hours. Some programs offer extended-day programs with an additional 4 hours of wraparound care for income-eligible children. The wraparound program is funded through the Department of Human Services (DHS). Of the 43,177 children in school-day programs, DHS reported 4,816 in wraparound programs as of June 2015.
6 The DOE funds the program for the school calendar year. If the district/provider runs a wraparound program through DHS, the program would then extend services for the full calendar year. Some districts have also used either surplus/local or Title I funding to provide summer care.
7 Kindergarten age-eligible children with an IEP that requires enrollment in a preschool program may enroll in the program, but are funded through special education.
8 The program is offered in the poor urban districts initially identified by the NJ Supreme Court in 1990 as having at least $40 \%$ of children who qualify for free or reducedprice lunch and 5 additional districts designated by the legislature. All 3-and 4-year-old children within those districts are eligible to participate. Kindergarten age-eligible children with an IEP that requires enrollment in a preschool program may enroll in the program but are funded through special education.
9 Only district residents are eligible for the program. Children who move outside of the district would no longer be eligible to attend the program. Districts continually monitor to ensure that children are still district residents and therefore still eligible for the program.
10 Bilingual classes are generally encouraged. In specific cases, monolingual non-English classes may be permitted as part of a transition into a bilingual model including English, but a bilingual, non-English class (while not prohibited) would not be encouraged. The quality of bilingual instruction is monitored via our self-assessment validation system (SAVS).

11 Alignment with Common Core State Standards was completed in 2013.
12 Teachers with a Standard Elementary School Endorsement (preschool through grade 8) may teach preschool if they have an equivalent of two academic years of full-time experience teaching 3-and 4 -year-olds or demonstrate content knowledge in line with a district's established documentation requirement. Additionally, teachers with the following certifications may apply for preschool licensure, take the Praxis exam, and begin the process of obtaining a P-3 certificate: K-5 certificate, out-of-state certificate that includes preschool, Teacher of the Blind or Partially Sighted, Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing, Teacher of the Handicapped certificate, or Teacher of Students with Disabilities certificate. Nursery school $(P-K)$ is no longer granted but is still recognized for current holders.
13 High school diploma or equivalent is required. In districts or schools supported by Title I funding, assistant teachers are required to meet the education/degree requirements specified for Title I.
14 Districts receive a per-pupil amount for each projected child depending upon where the child is being served (i.e., district, Head Start, or provider setting). If this amount provides less for the district than it received in the 2008-2009 school year, however, the district's aid amount reverts to what it was in the 2008-2009 school year. School districts are permitted to subcontract with faith-based programs without religious content during the school day.
15 QRIS participation is encouraged, but is not currently required.
16 Monitoring information is not used to make decisions about whether or not to fund a district/program, but rather to guide the review of districts' proposed annual budgets.
17 A formal evaluation of the program includes DOE-approved program quality assessment instruments, performance-based assessments, district-needs assessment, and early childhood screening assessment at school entry to determine if child needs comprehensive diagnostic assessment.
18 TS GOLD was used with pilot districts in 2013-2014. The first cohort began in 2014-2015 with statewide implementation anticipated to be complete within 5-6 years.

## NEW JERSEY - New Jersey Former Early Launch to Learning Initiative

1 Primary oversight for the preschool education program is through the state Department of Education's (DOE) Division of Early Childhood Education. The Department of Children and Families oversees licensing of private preschool providers. The Department of Human Services (DHS), Division of Family Development oversees the beforeand after-school portion of the preschool program. Coordination occurs with the Head Start State Collaboration Office, which is housed in DOE.
2 Nine of the 24 districts also receive funding as former ECPA districts.
3 Enrollment figures represent general education students only. These districts also reported serving 117 three-year-olds who are not funded with state dollars and were not included in the total enrollment. This also reflects only the districts that do not receive funding through the former ECPA program, so as not to double count any students.
4 Children who receive special education services must be included in classrooms with the above counted children. However, those special education children are funded separately and are not included in the reported count.
5 Some programs are part-day, some programs are school-day, and some programs offer a combination of both. Some programs participate in DHS wraparound programs for before- and after-care. The DOE funds the program for the school calendar year. If the district/provider runs a wrap-around program through DHS, the program would then extend services for the full calendar year.
6 An additional 117 three-year-olds were served in ELLI classrooms but were funded through local or private sources and are not included in the total count. Kindergarten-age-eligible children with an IEP that requires enrollment in a preschool program may enroll in the program, but are funded through special education.
7 In addition to children needing to qualify for free and reduced-price lunch and be a resident of the district, districts may allow for other students in unusual circumstances to be eligible for the program. A district may make a case for establishing eligibility based on extenuating circumstances when submitting its annual plan.
8 Only district residents are eligible for the program. Children who move outside of the district would no longer be eligible to attend the program. Districts continually monitor to ensure that children are still district residents and therefore still eligible for the program.
9 Breakfast, lunch, and snack are required for at-risk students in school- and extended-day programs. Although not specified in the Early Childhood Administrative Code, meals provided in school programs have to meet USDA guidelines. Provision of meals is not addressed for part-day programs.
10 Bilingual classes are encouraged.
11 Alignment with Common Core State Standards was completed in 2013.
12 Teachers with a Standard Elementary School Endorsement (preschool through grade 8) may teach preschool if they have an equivalent of two academic years of full-time experience teaching 3-and 4-year-olds or demonstrate content knowledge in line with a district's established documentation requirement. Additionally, teachers with the following certifications may apply for preschool licensure, take the Praxis exam, and begin the process of obtaining a P-3 certificate: K-5 certificate, out-of-state certificate that includes preschool, Teacher of the Blind or Partially Sighted, Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing, Teacher of the Handicapped certificate, or Teacher of Students with Disabilities certificate. Nursery school ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}$ ) is no longer granted but still recognized for current holders.
${ }^{13}$ In districts or schools supported by Title I funding, assistant teachers are required to meet the education/degree requirements specified.
14 Some districts may use local funding to supplement state funding, but amounts are unknown. All state funding is technically run from the state aid formula. For the 20132014 school year, however, funding for these districts was kept the same as in prior years, which was not according to the funding formula.
15 School districts are permitted to subcontract with faith-based programs without religious content.
16 Districts must use local funds or charge tuition to serve regular income general education students and must also use special education funding to serve children with IEPs.
17 Districts are required to do an annual self-assessment and are also required to use a curriculum that includes performance-based assessment measures. The results of these assessments are not collected by the state, however.
18 TS GOLD was used with pilot districts in 2013-2014. The first cohort began in 2014-2015 with statewide implementation anticipated to be complete within 5-6 years.

## NEW JERSEY - New Jersey Former Non-Abbott Early Childhood Program Aid

1 Primary oversight for the preschool education program is through the state Department of Education's (DOE) Division of Early Childhood Education. The Department of Children and Families oversees licensing of private preschool providers. The Department of Human Services (DHS), Division of Family Development oversees the beforeand after-school portion of the preschool program. Coordination occurs with the Head Start State Collaboration Office, which is housed in DOE.
2 Ninety-four districts are required to offer the program. Only districts where 20 to $40 \%$ of children qualify for free or reduced-price lunch receive funding through this initiative. All 3- and 4-year old children within those districts are eligible to participate. The program is only open to 3-year-olds, however, once the district has offered fullday kindergarten to all age-eligible children and either half- or full-day preschool to all 4 -year-olds.
3 Includes only general education preschoolers. Preschoolers with disabilities are also included in the program, but are funded separately. They are not included in the enrollment numbers.
4 There were 120 children in nonpublic sites, but administrative responsibility remains with the public school district.
5 Districts may operate either a part- ( 2.5 hours per day) or school-day (6 hours per day) program. DHS funding may be used in some cases to extend beyond a full day for income-eligible children. Some school-day children may receive extended-day services, but the number of students is unknown. The DOE funds the program for the school calendar year. If the district/provider runs a wrap-around program through DHS, the program would then extend services for the full calendar year.
6 Kindergarten age-eligible children with an IEP that requires enrollment in a preschool program may enroll in the program, but are funded through special education.
7 Only district residents are eligible for the program. Children who move outside of the district would no longer be eligible to attend the program. Districts continually monitor to ensure that children are still district residents and therefore still eligible for the program.

8 Breakfast, lunch, and snack are required for at-risk students in school- and extended-day programs. Although not specified in the Early Childhood Administrative Code, meals provided in school programs must meet USDA guidelines.

9 Bilingual classes are encouraged.
10 Alignment with Common Core State Standards was completed in 2013.
11 Teachers with a Standard Elementary School Endorsement (preschool through grade 8) may teach preschool if they have an equivalent of two academic years of full-time experience teaching 3 - and 4 -year-olds or demonstrate content knowledge in line with a district's established documentation requirement. Additionally, teachers with the following certifications may apply for preschool licensure, take the Praxis exam, and begin the process of obtaining a P-3 certificate: K-5 certificate, out-of-state certificate that includes preschool, Teacher of the Blind or Partially Sighted, Teacher of the Deaf or Hard of Hearing, Teacher of the Handicapped certificate, or Teacher of Students with Disabilities certificate. Nursery school ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{K}$ ) is no longer granted but is still recognized for current holders.
12 In districts or schools supported by Title I funding, assistant teachers are required to meet the education/degree requirements specified.
13 All state funding is technically run from the state aid formula. For the 2014-2015 school year, however, the former ECPA districts were funded in a manner similar to prior years, which was not according to the funding formula. Districts may, but are not required to, contribute local funding to operate the program.
14 School districts are permitted to subcontract with faith-based programs without religious content.
15 Districts are required to do an annual self-assessment and are also required to use a curriculum that includes performance-based assessment measures. The results of these assessments are not collected by the state, however.
16 TS GOLD was used with pilot districts in 2013-2014. The first cohort began in 2014-2015 with statewide implementation anticipated to be complete within $5-6$ years.

## NEW MEXICO - New Mexico PreK

1 The home language and race/ethnicity figures are cumulative, reflecting children who enrolled and left the program prior to the end of the school year, therefore exceeding the total enrollment count.
2 Schedules are determined locally, but the majority of programs operate 2.5-3.5 hours daily for 5 days per week with state funding paying for 450 instructional hours per school year. In 2014-2015, the legislature provided funding for a limited extended-day pilot, with 900 instructional hours per school year.
3 The state funds 450 instructional hours per school year. Hours per day vary by program. The most common schedule is 5 days per week. Some private or nonprofit facilities use partial day Child Care Subsidy for wraparound care to assist parents who qualify, or offer reduced rates for private pay. Pre-K programs in public schools can extend the day using operational funds or Title I funds. In 2014-15, the legislature provided limited funding for an extended-day pilot, with 900 instructional hours per school year.
4 All age-eligible children in locations offering the program are eligible. Funding priority is given to all age-eligible children who will attend kindergarten at Title I schools with at least $66 \%$ of children attending the program living within an attendance zone of a Title I elementary school.
5 For 450 hour programs, at least one meal per day is provided, breakfast or lunch depending on schedule. For 900 hour programs, two meals are provided. Programs must participate in either the Child Care Food Program or the USDA School Lunch Program.
6 Individual physician protocols may vary to include psychosocial/behavioral or other screening
7 Programs must administer a developmental screening that has a social-emotional component.
8 In the absence of state legislation or policy regarding provisions for ELL families in pre-K programs, the New Mexico Department of Education and the New Mexico Pre-K Program Standards provide guidance on effective practices and program requirements to all programs receiving state pre-K funding. Funding is used to support monolingual Spanish and dual-language classrooms with information submitted in the program's application to state agencies.
9 Lead teachers in public school programs must have a bachelor's degree and licensure in Early Childhood Education. Teachers who have an Elementary Education or Special Education license are required to take a minimum of 6 credit hours of ECE coursework annually. Teachers in nonpublic school settings should, but are not required to, have a bachelor's degree and are required to take at least 6 credit hours annually and have a professional development plan to complete the degree in ECE

10 Assistant teachers in both public and nonpublic settings are expected to have an AA in Early Childhood Education, but may be hired without an AA with an approved professional development plan to complete at least 6 college credits annually toward the requirement. New Mexico offers an Education Assistant license for P-12 in public settings only.
11 In 2014-2015, TANF dollars were used to support state-funded pre-K in nonpublic schools.
12 Programs must be non-sectarian during pre-K hours. Municipalities and universities must have a licensed child care facility in order to apply.
13 The New Mexico Pre-K program will participate in the new FOCUS TQRIS program as part of the Race to the Top Grant awarded to the state of New Mexico with phased in implementation from spring 2015 through fall 2016.
14 This pre-K initiative has been evaluated in the past; a 4-year study of child outcomes and classroom observation was started in 2008-2009 but discontinued due to funding.
15 The Public Education Department requires schools with full-day kindergarten programs to conduct age-appropriate assessments to determine the placement of students at instructional level. NM Administrative Code 6.30.5.12 requires that districts assess children within the first thirty instructional days of the school year. Under the Race to the Top initiative, a state-wide Kindergarten Entry Assessment, the Kindergarten Observation Tool, will be fully implemented in the fall of 2016. School districts and charter schools that receive Reads to Lead funding from the state must conduct the DIBELS Next or IDEL assessments. Other districts have local control.

## NEW YORK - New York Universal Prekindergarten

1 A second initiative called NY Priority Prekindergarten was established in the 2013-2014 school year to increase the availability of high-quality prekindergarten placements for the highest needs children and schools within New York State's public school districts. This grant initiative builds on the foundation established by the allocation-based Universal Prekindergarten (UPK) program. Grant funds can be used to create new full-day prekindergarten placements, convert existing half-day placements to full-day, or create a limited number of new half-day placements designated for higher-need children in lower-wealth school districts. The state allocated $\$ 25$ million for the program, which served 4,988 children in 25 school districts in 2013-2014. Additionally, another grant initiative, the Statewide Full-Day Pre-K program was created in the 2014-2015 school year and serves all 4 -year-olds.
2 Only districts offering the program during 2013-2014 were eligible to receive funding in 2014-2015.
3 Three-year-olds can participate in UPK only if a district had received funding for a 3-year-old program from TPK in the 2007-2008 program year. In the 2014-2015 school year, three districts were able to offer the program to 147 three-year-olds.
4 Of the 112,120 children enrolled, 10,941 participated in district-operated UPK classrooms and 28,754 attended classrooms in other settings, including community-based programs
5 The UPK funding is for a minimum of 2.5 hours, but many programs opt to run a school-day or extended-day program using local funds or other funding sources. Programs must operate 5 days per week for a minimum of 180 days per year.
6 Age exceptions for kindergarten are permitted and are locally determined.
7 Eligibility determination depends on the grantee. UPK programs are "universal," as is the Statewide Universal Full-Day Prekindergarten Program. PPK eligibility is based on need requirements as determined by the individual school district.
8 There is a very small population of 3-year-olds in the UPK program per legislated restrictions for most communities. A classroom composed entirely of 3-year-olds would have a $1: 8$ adult-to-child ratio.

9 Programs that are 3 hours or fewer provide snack. Programs that are more than 3 hours provide a meal and a snack.
10 A full physical exam includes a medical history, Tanner scale, scoliosis, and asthma and hypertension screenings. The state also requires a social and emotional assessment as part of initial screening, progress monitoring, and outcomes assessment for UPK students.
11 Teachers must have a bilingual certification extension when instruction is provided to students with limited English proficiency. Commissioner's regulations require all districts and schools to have a plan in place to serve ELL students appropriately.
12 New York Prekindergarten Learning State Standards took effect in January 2011 upon adoption by the State Board of Regents. The New York State Board of Regents also adopted the aligned Common Core Standards for Prekindergarten Math and English Language Arts at the same time.
13 Lead teachers in public school settings are required to have a BA if hired prior to 1978 and an MA if hired after 1978, and lead teachers in nonpublic settings hired prior to 2004 are required to have an AA or CDA. Since 2004, programs in nonpublic settings have been required to meet the same certification requirements as those in public settings. A legislative amendment allowed certain community-based organizations to be exempt from this requirement until at least 2013 . These teachers must also have a plan to become certified within five years or by 2013. Effective July 1, 2010, all newly hired teachers in nonpublic settings must have a bachelor's degree in Early Childhood Education or a related field and also have a written plan to earn a New York State (NYS) teaching license or certificate valid for services in the early childhood grades within five years. Nonpublic programs lacking licensed/certified lead teachers must employ an onsite education director possessing a NYS teaching license or certificate in early childhood or childhood grades.
14 Level I Teaching Assistant Certificate, the entry-level certificate for teacher assistants, permits the holder to provide direct instruction to students under the general supervision of a certified teacher. Assistant teachers in nonpublic settings are required to have a HSD or meet standards of the licensing or registering agency. Some nonpublic school settings require a temporary license, continuing certificate, which permits the continued employment of an individual who has completed one year of experience under a temporary license and who has six semester hours of study in education.
15 New York Priority Prekindergarten (NYPP) funding for 2014-2015 of $\$ 25$ million was not available to programs at the beginning of the program year and are not included in this total. Local grantees may access the following federal funds in addition to state general funds: CCDF and USDA Child and Adult Food Program. The amount of federal funds is unknown.
16 The state aid formula takes into account several factors including: foundation cost (the cost of providing the average student with an education that meets state learning standards), pupil need (the proportion of pupils eligible for free and reduced-price lunch), regional cost index, and expected local contribution.
17 Libraries and museums are allowed to contract if they can meet all the of the regulations' requirements.
18 Participating programs use a variety of tools to provide documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes. The ones that meet QUALITYstarsNY's Program Standards include: Creative Curriculum Developmental Continuum Assessment, Bayley Scale of Infant and Toddler Development, Brigance Inventories, Galileo Preschool Assessment Scales, High Scope Child Observation Record (COR), Learning Accomplishment Profile-Diagnostic (English or Spanish), Mullen Scales of Early Learning, Ounce Scale, Work Sampling System, Teaching Strategies GOLD, Ages and Stages Questionnaires. In 2013-2014, approximately 365 community-based, family child care, and public school-based programs actively participated in QUALITYstarsNY. Of these participating programs, approximately 100 provide UPK. Approximately 60 of these programs were recruited in Troy and New York City over the summer of 2014 and have not yet been rated.
19 Site visits by state personnel are conducted through Coordinated Monitoring with Title I and are also based on criteria established by the Office of Early Learning, such as districts with elementary schools on the Persistently Low Achieving Schools list. Periodic site visits are not assured for each program. All programs are required to submit to SED an annual application and a final report.
20 QUALITYstarsNY has improvement specialists delegated to providing professional development. SED receives, at a minimum, quarterly reports on implementation status.
21 Children's learning and development are assessed upon entry to kindergarten and throughout the year. Assessments are locally determined, provided they meet standards of validity and reliability.

## NORTH CAROLINA - North Carolina Pre-Kindergarten Program

1 Although the NC DHHS has authority over the state's pre-K program standards and fiscal components, NC State Board of Education Policy dictates teacher education standards and Birth-through-Kindergarten Licensure requirements that all pre-K teachers must meet regardless of employment.
2 The Division of Child Development and Early Education (DCDEE) contracts with one entity per county/region to facilitate the implementation of the program locally. Typically, that entity is the local education agency, the local Smart Start Partnership for Children, or another agency such as a Community Action-Nonprofit Agency. They, in turn, subcontract with providers, including public schools, licensed child care centers, and Head Start programs, creating a diverse delivery system.
3 Wraparound services are determined locally. A few programs based in public schools may operate on a year-round calendar, but funding is limited to 10 months ( 36 weeks) of services.
4 State statute allows for a child's early entry into kindergarten using state-established guidelines and based on information submitted by the child's parent or guardian that the child is gifted and has the maturity to justify admission to school.
5 Twenty percent of a county's NC Pre-K slots allocation may be used to serve children above $75 \%$ of SMI, provided they have another designated risk factor.
6 Classrooms that provide for inclusive settings for children with disabilities may require an adult-to-child ratio lower than 1 to 9 . For Local Education-administered public school exceptional children's preschool classrooms, ratios must be in compliance with the North Carolina Policies Governing Services for Children with Disabilities, Section1508-2, (Appendix B) at: http://ec.ncpublicschools.gov/policies/nc-policies-governing-services-for-children-with-disabilities.
7 The Division of Child Development and Early Education (DCDEE) has developed a plan to better serve English Language Learners, with additional efforts made at the local level to accommodate ELLs and to comply with Title VI laws. NC BK (birth through kindergarten). Licensed teachers receive formal, needs-based mentoring/coaching support linked to child assessment and teacher evaluation to improve instructional practices for children served. Coaches/mentors who support BK licensed teachers are required to use a variety of resources and strategies defined by the NC Foundations for Early Learning and Development standards (pp. 149-153, Supporting Dual Language Learners (DLL)). NC Mentor Teacher Standards align with the NC Professional Teaching Standards. The professional development for mentors and the teachers they support require the use of the resources cited above coupled with Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) for both groups (mentors and teachers). These documents are used in the mandated pre-service training of coaches/mentors of NC Pre-K BK licensed teachers, primarily in nonpublic settings (child care and Head Start classrooms), and are accessible for use by public school principals, NC Pre-K BK licensed teachers, and their mentors.
8 NC's early learning standards are aligned with NC's Standard Course of Study (Common Core State Standards and NC's Essential Standards), the standards for what kindergarten children should know and be able to do. The focus of NC's early learning standards is on the early precursor skills that research suggest are important or lay the foundation for what children learn later. The ELDS domains addressed in standards: Approaches to Play and Learning, Emotional-social Development, Health and Physical Development, Language Development and Communication, and Cognition Development.
9 All lead teachers in NC Pre-K classrooms are required to hold a BA in ECE or a related field, as well as a North Carolina Birth-through-Kindergarten or Preschool Add-on Standard Professional II license. Teachers in public or nonpublic settings may begin in a NC Pre-K classroom with a minimum of a BA/BS in Child Development, Early Education, or related field, and must qualify to hold a lateral entry license, while working to attain an NC BK or Preschool License within three years. Teachers holding less than the required BK Standard Professional II license are formally mentored and required to receive formative and summative evaluations annually and according to the licensure type. Teachers with higher licensure are evaluated according to the licensure cycle. The AA degree in ECE/CD is permitted only as the minimum education/degree requirement only for a long-term substitute teacher (up to 12 weeks). The Intervention Specialists for P-12 in Vision or Hearing Impaired would be accepted if teacher had an endorsement related to early childhood or a Birth-through-Kindergarten license. Exceptions may be made in rural counties with limited personnel, to allow a lead teacher to enter with less than the minimum and work towards the requirement.
10 Educators with another NC educator's license (e.g., K-6) or another state's license may qualify for a Provisional BK or Preschool/Pre-K/K Add-on license and work towards the BK or Preschool/Pre-K/K Add-on.

11 BK-licensed teachers in public and nonpublic schools will participate in professional development in accordance with the State Board of Education policy, 7.5 CEUs ( 75 contact hours) per 5 -year licensure cycle for teachers holding an NC BK SPII license. Teachers working toward the NC BK SPII licensure requirements will participate in a minimum of six documented semester hours per year, prescribe by a Plan of Study, a professional development plan, and the 3-year Beginning Teacher Support Program (mentoring/performance evaluation). Teacher assistants working toward education requirements will participate in a minimum of six documented semester hours per year.
12 Teacher assistants in public schools must meet requirements specified by NCLB. NC Pre-K generally requires assistant teachers who meet NCLB requirements but do not hold a CDA to have six semester hours of ECE coursework or two years of experience in an early childhood classroom. An AA in ECE is highly encouraged for assistant teachers.

13 Smart Start funds are used to support local administration of pre-K services (address local advisory committee support, child eligibility/screening/placement, site selection, NC Pre-K databases, teacher raises, BK licensure mentoring, classroom TA).
14 There is a required contribution of local resources to support the full cost of providing high-quality prekindergarten, but no specified percentage is required
15 The NC Educator Effectiveness System under NC State Board Policy requires licensed teachers to be formally mentored/coached and evaluated using formative and summative evaluation procedures annually, based on type and level of BK licensure held. Additional information is collected about the level and number of mentoring visits and formal evaluation of NC BK-licensed teachers and the number of teachers, directors, mentors, and evaluators who have completed required mentor and evaluator training to prepare for NC educator licensure services. Teacher performance data demonstrating developing proficient, accomplished, or distinguished ratings are required annually and by BK licensure type. The framework is required for teachers in both nonpublic and public schools. Teachers are required to use self-assessment and mentoring services based on teachers' assessed needs using the NC Rubric for Evaluating NC Teachers/NC Professional Teaching Standards). State-level contracting agencies are monitored annually for fiscal and contract compliance. These local agencies are required to monitor all subcontractors (public and nonpublic providers).
16 Every site receives at least one on-site monitoring annually by the local contracting agency, which is monitored by the state. Any agency that receives desktop monitoring by the state will receive an on-site visit in a future year. ECERS-R evaluations are conducted as part of the rated license system. Teacher evaluations are conducted based on the level and type of BK license held by the teacher.

17 Classroom staff are required to conduct ongoing (regular) formative assessments to gather information about each child's growth and skill development, as well as to inform instruction. All formative assessments used by the NC Pre-K Program must be approved by the NC Child Care Commission, based on the assessment tool's ability to collect information on children's behaviors, development, skills, knowledge, strengths, needs, and interests across all domains of development. Assessment tools are aligned with the NC Foundation for Early Learning and Development to meet this requirement.

## OHIO - Ohio Early Childhood Education

1 From 2006-2014, grants were awarded based upon a poverty index. With new funds added in 2014-2015, grants were awarded to high-quality programs located within district boundaries that have high needs, including high poverty and low achievement.
2 Ohio funded 11,090 children with a per-child allocation of \$4,000. Total enrollment exceeded 11,090 children, however enrollment breakdowns are estimated based on the 11,090 funded enrollment count. Although legislation says that children should not be age-eligible for kindergarten (age 5) when in pre-K, there are two locally determined school entrance dates (August 1 or September 30) in Ohio, so 5 -year-olds may enroll in the program if they are not eligible for kindergarten (i.e. if they turn five after August 1 but before September 30, depending on the district of residence).
3 Ohio uses 200\% Federal Poverty level as criteria and there were 2,995 three-year-olds and 8,095 four- or five-year-olds. Number of children listed in 4 -year-old category includes the children who were also five years old who were not yet eligible for kindergarten.
4 State funding is for a part-day program, which specifies 12.5 hours per week.
5 There are two locally determined school entrance dates for kindergarten in Ohio (August 1 or September 30). Depending on the local district's entrance date, it is possible that 5 -year-olds may enroll in the program if not age-eligible for kindergarten or if they turn 5 after August 1 but before September 30, depending on the district of residence.
6 Family income is the determining factor for this program. The program is free for families up to $100 \%$ FPL. Families between 101 and $200 \%$ FPL may pay a fee based on the district's sliding fee scale. Programs may offer services to children over $200 \%$ FPL, but those families pay full tuition.
7 Programs are now required to participate in Ohio's Step Up to Quality (TQRIS) and are given extra points for meeting 1 to 10 or max 20 ratios and class sizes, as well as attaining 30 hours of PD every 2 years at the star 4 and 5 levels. Most programs are meeting these requirements and attaining these levels.
8 Programs are required to provide breakfast, lunch, or snack depending on hours of attendance.
9 Screening and referrals for hemoglobin and lead are also required.
10 In all programs, at least $50 \%$ of teachers must have a BA in Early Childhood Education.
11 Title I and local sources may be used by programs to fund additional children who are receiving services through ECE but not funded through the state.
12 Originally, the allocation was provided starting with the district with the highest poverty rate. The Ohio Department of Education funded awards of up to two classrooms per district until all dollars were allocated. With the expansion in the 2013-2014 school year, a competitive process was used to allocate funds to programs within boundaries of school districts with the highest poverty and lowest achievement on kindergarten readiness assessments and third-grade reading assessments.
13 Programs receive an annual licensing visit and an on-site quality rating visit every 2 years for 3 -start centers and every 3 years for $4-5$ star-centers. Centers submit program information to the state annually, including program documentation, teacher credentials, child assessment, self-assessment, and observations. Structure observations of classroom quality are conducted using a state created observation tool (OCOT--Ohio Classroom Observation Tool), that focuses on quality of classroom interactions. The tool is aligned with the ECERS and CLASS. Programs are required to report child assessment data. In 2014-2015, they used Ohio's new Early Learning Assessment which includes formative assessment that is used 2 times per year, ASQSE, and curriculum-embedded performance measures. All programs are required to participate in Ohio's TQRIS--Step Up to Quality which includes PD hours, observations, transition plans, and family engagement review. Programs are required to conduct annual selfassessments and report results to the state. Participation in a state quality rating system, and review of program records are also required.
14 Past early childhood evaluations have used ELLCO. State reviews results of child outcomes and results show that children participating in ECE perform significantly better on the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment than demographically similar children who did not participate in ECE. Evaluation is being conducted of TQRIS which will inform this work, as well.
15 In fall 2014, Ohio began administering the Kindergarten Readiness Assessment (KRA) to assess all domains of school readiness for all children in public school. Ohio collaborated with Maryland State Department of Education to develop the new KRA, which is administered annually from the first day of school to November 1 . Ohio has also developed a new pre-K-through kindergarten formative assessment, covering ages 36 to 72 months, which began implementation in 2015 within early childhood education programs.

## OKLAHOMA - Oklahoma Early Childhood Four-Year-Old Program

1 Oklahoma has a pilot early childhood program for at-risk children from birth through age 3 that served 2,336 children in center-based programs, and 245 children in home-visiting programs for 2,581 total children served in the 2014-2015 school year.
2 State funding is not available for the 1,335 typically developing 3-year-olds in the prekindergarten program unless they have an IEP. State funding may have been available for 1,132 of these children.

3 Even in collaboration programs, all students are considered public school enrollees and are matched with a site elementary school. They receive the same services as onsite public school students.
4 Programs have the option of operating a part-day or a school-day program or a combination of both within each district. Most school districts operate 5 days per week. Programs must be offered at least 175 days per year or 1,080 hours per school year. Districts can choose to offer a longer day but will not receive additional state funding through the state aid formula. Collaboration with other agencies and programs to provide extended-day services occurs but is not mandated at the state level.

5 Children who are age 5 may attend the prekindergarten program, and 4 -year-olds or 6 -year-olds may attend kindergarten. The funding that a district receives, however, relates to the child's age. Promotion and retention are local district decisions.

6 At least one meal is provided through the federal Child Nutrition Program. The federal government does not provide snacks for 4 -year-olds in public schools during regular class time, so snacks are determined locally.
7 If a pre-K program is in collaboration with Head Start, they must meet Head Start requirements.
8 All students who are identified as ELL based on their Placement Test scores should be annually assessed using the State's ELP assessment: ACCESS for ELLs, until they meet the score exit criteria.
9 Oklahoma is in the process of finishing a complete standards rewrite in Math and English Language Arts; pre-K standards are included in these new standards.
10 The state also has an IT3 certificate for individuals teaching infants, toddlers, and 3-year-olds. Teachers with this certification cannot teach in a classroom of 4-year-olds. Additionally, a paraprofessional may become certified in Early Childhood to teach in a pre-K classroom if he/she: has a bachelor's degree, has one year experience as a teaching assistant in a public school in the area for which a teaching license is pursued, passes the Oklahoma General Education Test (OGET), passes a subject area test in early childhood, and passes the Oklahoma Professional Teachers Examination (OPTE).
11 Since the 2010-2011 school year, professional development has not been required by the state. As per Oklahoma HB 2928, "A licensed or certified teacher shall not be required to complete any points of the total number of professional development points required." It is a local school district's decision how many hours of professional development are required.
12 Teacher assistants are only required to hold a high school diploma or GED diploma and pass the FBI criminal history check. If the teacher assistant is employed in a Title I school, however, they must be highly qualified using one of the following methods: 1. Hold an associate's degree or higher; 2. Have completed at least two years or 48 credit hours of study at an institution of higher education; 3. Passed the OGET (Oklahoma General Education Test); 4. Passed the ETS (Education Testing Service) Para Pro Assessment Test or the WorkKeys Assessment. About 69\% of school sites are Title 1.
13 The program is largely funded through state appropriations through foundation and incentive aid, using the grade weight of 0.7 for half-day and 1.5 for full-day pre-K programs. Oklahoma reports multiple federal sources but cannot report the specific funding amount that comes from each funding stream. These sources include: Title I (Part A, B, C, D); Title II (Part A, B, D); Title III; Title IV; Title V; Title VI; Title VII; Federal Direct Fund; IDEA; Federal Grants-In-Aid; Adult Education and Literacy; and other federal sources. The non-required local sources are activity fund money spent for pre-K.
14 The annual accreditation report is collected in October and the other two audits by the Regional Accreditation Office are made at the end of the first nine weeks of school and the end of the school year.
15 Uses of child-level assessments is determined locally, but it is state policy for them not to be used for high-stakes testing. Common applications include to: identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development, track child- and program-level outcomes over time, and provide a measure of kindergarten readiness.
16 It is a district decision which comprehensive assessment to use. For the required Reading Sufficiency Act, districts must choose one of thirteen approved assessments for reading: Aimsweb; The Children's Progress Academic Assessment; DIBELs Next; DRA2+; easyCBM; Group Reading Assessment and Diagnostic Evaluation (GRADE); iReady Diagnostics; Literacy First; Measures of Academic Progress; Measures of Academic Progress for Primary Grades; mCLASS DIBELs Next; Star Early Learning Enterprise; Woodcock Reading Mastery tests, Third Edition.

## OREGON - Oregon Head Start Prekindergarten

1 Total enrollment figure includes 18 blended slots supported by both state and federal funds. An additional 73 children served through home-based models are included in the total enrollment

2 Enrollment numbers or programs administered in education service districts, public universities, or community colleges are included in the "nonpublic schools" total.
3 Programs must offer at least 3.5 hours per day for a minimum of 32 weeks per year, plus required home visits at 1.5 hours each. Minimum required contact time is determined by the classroom hours combined with home-visit hours offered. School- and extended-day programs (more than 6 hours) are generally supported with other funding. Most programs operate 3.5 to 4 hours per day for a school year using state funding. Figures collected by the state do not fully align with NIEER definitions for part-, school-, and extended-day programs.
4 Contracted slots program through the Department of Human Services provides child care subsidy support (Employment Related Day Care subsidy) to qualifying families participating in full-day Head Start services.
5 State law for pre-K allows serving children ages 3 to school entry (age 5 by Sept 1). School districts must follow state law or the local school board can develop a policy for early kindergarten entrance.
6 State pre-K children must meet the federal Head Start income guidelines. After priority is given to families whose income is at $100 \%$ FPL or below, then up to $35 \%$ of children can be enrolled whose family income is between $100 \%$ FPL and $130 \%$ FPL. If a grantee has both state pre-K and federal Head Start funds, $90 \%$ must meet the income requirements. If grantee has only state pre-K funding, $80 \%$ must meet the income requirement. Children in foster care or who are homeless are categorically eligible. A minimum of $10 \%$ of total enrollment must include children with disabilities. Locally determined risk factors determine priority for services. Risk factors are prioritized by local boards and policy councils.
7 Programs are required to offer meals and a snack that provide one-third to one-half of the child's daily nutritional needs in part day programs. Part-day programs provide lunch and either breakfast or snack. Full-day programs serve breakfast, lunch, and snack.
8 All programs follow Head Start Performance Standards, which require a doctor's written health appraisal confirming up-to-date, age-appropriate prevention and health care, including medical, dental, and mental health. Programs that receive state funding are required to follow Oregon's Interventions Considered and Recommended for the Periodic Health Examination, which guides requirements for a full physical exam. Nutrition assessments are required for all families.
9 Oregon Pre-Kindergarten programs follow Federal Head Start Performance Standards, which include the Head Start Early Learning Outcomes Framework. Programs are required to develop procedures for identifying children who are limited English proficient, ensure that children make progress towards acquiring English through culturally and linguistically appropriate instructional services, and inform parents of such children about instructional services used. If $50 \%$ or more of children speak a language other than English, programs must ensure that non-English speaking children are provided language support as needed.
10 Oregon has adopted the national Common Core State Standards for K-12. Alignment with the Head Start Early Learning Outcomes Frameworks is in progress. A statewide work group has been convened, and work is underway to develop aligned early learning standards for children ages 3 through kindergarten, based on the revised Head Start Early Learning Outcomes Framework.
11 Under the Head Start Reauthorization Act of 2007, all lead teachers were required to have at least an AA by October 2011; by September 30, 2013, 50\% must have earned at least a BA. Some programs have participated in local partnerships (e.g., school districts) where BA-level degrees and childhood licensure with or without special education are required as locally determined. Teacher licensure options for public schools include Birth-3rd grade and Pre-K-K (Birth-K).
12 Some teachers who hold at least a CDA and who are enrolled in a program leading to at least an AA may receive a temporary waiver for this requirement.
13 Under the Head Start Reauthorization Act of 2007, by September 30, 2013, all assistant teachers must have at least a CDA or have been enrolled in a program to receive a CDA, AA, or BA within two years.
14 Local grantees partnering with agencies may receive Title I and IDEA funding but are unable to directly access those funds. Faith-based programs free of religious content are eligible to receive funding.
15 As of July 2012, the Oregon Department of Education requires all State Head Start Pre-K programs to use TS GOLD as their developmental assessment tool. Programs are also required to participate in the QRIS.

## PENNSYLVANIA - Pennsylvania Ready To Learn Block Grant

1 In 2014-2015 the program name was changed to "Pennsylvania Ready to Learn (RTL)" as a result of the deletion of the previous program as a budget line item and the creation of a new budget line item.
2 The Office of Child Development and Early Learning (OCDEL) supports local districts using RTL funds for preschool programming.
3 This is a school district-administered program resource for pre-K. Although all students are funded through school districts, some of these district-sponsored programs may be in partnership with Head Start or child care where the other agency is the lead.
4 Most programs operate either 2.5 or 5 hours per day, 5 days per week, for a minimum of 180 days per year (programs operate 450 hours for half-day or 900 hours for a full day).
5 Pennsylvania considers the minimum age eligibility for pre-K to be two years prior to kindergarten age. Districts determine the eligibility requirements. Children in RTL pre-K programs may not be age-eligible for kindergarten. Although the eligibility date is determined locally, Pennsylvania recommends that districts use September 1 as the entry date. Approximately $80 \%$ use this entry date. The recommended cutoff for kindergarten is 5 years old by September 1, but this is determined locally.
6 Districts determine their own prioritization for services and eligibility requirements.
7 As of January 2012, only PK-4 will be issued for certification, though N-3 certifications are still valid.
8 Beginning in the 2009-2010 school year, assistant teachers had to meet NCLB requirements and were required to have two years of post-secondary education/60 college credits, an AA or higher, or the ability to meet a rigorous standard of quality and to demonstrate through formal state or local assessments knowledge of the ability to assist in instruction
9 Seventy-five percent of allocated funding is for students who have not achieved state test proficiency on the Pennsylvania System of School Assessment, and 25\% of all allocated funding is for students who have achieved proficiency.
10 The use of Ready to Learn funds is monitored through Annual Financial Reports, audited by the Bureau of School Audits. The Office of Child Development and Early Learning supports local districts using EABG funds for preschool programming.
11 Pennsylvania Kindergarten Entry Inventory was piloted in from 2010 to 2013. In 2014, the Inventory was implemented for Cohort 1 (required in focus and priority schools, voluntary for all others). In 2015 and beyond, implementation has occurred in Cohort 2 (required in focus and priority schools and Community Innovation Zones, voluntary for all others).

## PENNSYLVANIA - Pennsylvania Head Start Supplemental Assistance Program

1 Breakdown by age is not available. By program requirements, children cannot be under age 3 or eligible for kindergarten.
2 Programs must operate according to federal Head Start Performance Standards, which require a minimum of 3.5 hours per day, 4 days per week. Full day for HSSAP is considered more than 5.5 hours per day. Center-based programs can operate 4 or 5 days per week; home-based programs offer home visits once per week with socialization days. Most programs operate between 128 to 180 days a year with a few offering full-year services of approximately 240 days per year. Collaboration with other agencies and programs is required per program regulation, but implementation is locally determined.
3 Age eligibility follows federal Head Start eligibility requirements. Most children who are age-eligible for kindergarten will not be eligible for HSSAP. The recommended age cutoff is 5 by September 1, but this is determined locally. If a child with special needs remains in the pre-K program when they are age-eligible for K, they are not funded by HSSAP, but instead by other resources.
4 State pre-K children must meet the federal Head Start income guidelines. Effective as of December 2007, 35\% of the enrollment may be children whose family incomes are between 100 and $130 \%$ FPL after priority is given to children at or below $100 \%$ FPL. In addition to income requirements, individual Head Start agencies determine eligibility requirements based on their community assessments and give priority based on multiple risk factors.
5 The maximum class size is 20 children, regardless of age. A smaller class size of 17 is recommended, as is a ratio of 2:17.
6 Under the Head Start Reauthorization Act of 2007, all lead teachers were required to have at least an AA by October 2011; by September 30, 2013, 50\% must have had at least a BA. By September 30, 2013, all assistant teachers must have had at least a CDA or be enrolled in a program to receive a CDA, AA, or BA within two years. If teachers are employed by a school district, ECE certification is required.
7 Head Start programs may voluntarily participate in QRIS. If they choose to do so, there is monitoring.
8 Pennsylvania Kindergarten Entry Inventory was piloted from 2010 to 2013. In 2014, the Inventory was implemented for Cohort 1 (required in focus and priority schools, voluntary for all others). In 2015 and beyond, implementation has occurred in Cohort 2 (required in focus and priority schools and Community Innovation Zones, voluntary for all others).

## PENNSYLVANIA - Pennsylvania Kindergarten for Four-Year-Olds and School Based Pre-K

1 Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) provides regulations and policy. Daily operations of the K4 program are conducted by school districts.
2 Enrollment figure is lower from last year due to increased funding to Ready to Learn line item.
32.5 hours is permitted for part-day programs.

4 Districts may determine whether they will accept both 3 - and 4 -year-olds or if enrollment will be limited to only 4-year-olds.
5 K4 programs follow kindergarten requirements so there are no class size limits, but most programs have 20 children and a 1:10 staff-child ratio. SBPK follows the school board regulations for pre-K that require a maximum class size of 20 and staff-child ratio of 1:10. SBPK programs determine locally whether to enroll 3 -year-olds or to limit enrollment only to 4-year-olds.
6 Provisions for English Language Learner supports are in place at the school district level.
7 As of the 2009-2010 school year, assistant teachers in SBPK must meet NCLB requirements and are required to have two years of post-secondary education/60 college credits, an AA or higher, or the ability to meet a rigorous standard of quality and to demonstrate through formal state or local assessments knowledge of the ability to assist in instruction. There is no minimum degree required for K 4 programs.

8 Pennsylvania Kindergarten Entry Inventory was piloted from 2010 to 2013. In 2014, the Inventory was implemented for Cohort 1 (required in focus and priority schools, voluntary for all others). In 2015 and beyond, implementation has occurred in Cohort 2 (required in focus and priority schools and Community Innovation Zones, voluntary for all others).

## PENNSYLVANIA - Pennsylvania Pre-K Counts

1 The Office of Child Development and Early Learning administers and monitors the PA Pre-K Counts program.
2 Grants were awarded in 2013-2014 through a competitive procurement process. The competitive grants run on a 5-year cycle.
3 Enrollment figures include: 103 under age three; 4,523 three-year-olds; 8,648 four-year-olds; 171 five-year-olds; 9 six-year-olds; 1 seven-year-old; and 1 ten-year-old.
4 Programs must operate at least 180 days per year, though days can be stretched across the full calendar year rather than used in the traditional 9-month school calendar. This is a local decision. Partnerships to extend the program day are encouraged but no formal partnership agreement exists at the state level.

5 Local boards may decide exceptions for kindergarten. Children must be at least 3 years old and no more than 5 years old by the beginning of the program year. Children who are kindergarten age-eligible are not eligible for Pre-K Counts. The recommended kindergarten age cutoff is 5 by September 1, but this is locally determined. Children whose IEPs recommend an additional third year of pre-K may continue in the Pre-K Counts program, but they may not be funded through Pre-K Counts. Children who are not age-eligible for enrollment in Pre-K Counts may be enrolled if funding is provided by a non-state source. Districts may determine if a child may enter kindergarten prior to the eligibility date on an individual basis.
6 Families must demonstrate income eligibility. The recommended priority is at or below $250 \%$ FPL, though families can enroll at or below $300 \%$ FPL.
7 A class size of 17 students is recommended. The recommended staff-child ratio is $2: 17$; if the $1: 10$ ratio is used, other qualified staff must be present on-site in case of emergency.
8 Immunizations and developmental screening are program requirements. However, method for referral is determined locally.
9 Support services are required via guidance, not law or regulation, and they are monitored. Parent conferences are recommended but not required.
10 Revised Early Learning Standards that include PA Core standards were released July 2014. The standards went into effect formally in July 2015.
11 By December 2011, lead teachers in all settings had to have a BA and ECE certification. The N-3 certification will no longer be issued, though teachers who currently have it will still be able to work in the program. Teachers who do not meet this requirement are considered not in compliance, which can lower the program's score on the Program Review Instrument and affect future funding.
12 Assistant teachers must meet NCLB requirements and are required to have two years of post-secondary education/60 college credits, an AA or higher, or the ability to meet a rigorous standard of quality and to demonstrate through formal state or local assessments knowledge of the ability to assist in instruction. A minimum of a CDA is required to demonstrate the knowledge of the ability to assist in instruction.
13 Child care agencies must participate in Pennsylvania's tiered quality improvement rating system at either of the top two levels (STARS 3 or 4).
14 Providers choose from a list of approved assessment tools. Outcomes are aligned to the PA Early Learning Outcomes Reporting Framework.
15 Pennsylvania Kindergarten Entry Inventory was piloted from 2010 to 2013. In 2014, the Inventory was implemented for Cohort 1 (required in focus and priority schools, voluntary for all others). In 2015 and beyond, implementation has occurred in Cohort 2 (required in focus and priority schools and Community Innovation Zones, voluntary for all others).

## RHODE ISLAND - Rhode Island State Prekindergarten Program

1 There is no formal partnership at the state level, but individual grantees are required to make extended-day services available either by providing such services directly or by partnering with another agency which offers such services.
2 Determined locally. Districts have the option to adopt policies that allow for early entry to kindergarten only based on the determination that a child is developmentally ready for kindergarten.
3 All age-eligible children in districts offering the program may enroll. Children must reside in the district, town, or community in which the program is offered.
4 The early learning and development standards also address: Literacy, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, and Creative Arts. State Pre-K teachers are required to participate in comprehensive professional development focused on understanding and using the RIELDS.
5 The Rhode Island Education Aid Foundation Formula, approved in 2010, takes a phased-in approach to expanding access to high-quality Pre-K, starting with communities that have a high proportion of children eligible for free and reduced-price lunch. The phased-in approach, investing $\$ 10$ million over 10 years, will ensure that Pre-K expansion creates high-quality learning programs, improves access for the students who need it the most, and ensures a smooth transition between early childhood and K-12. Additionally, RI received a PDG expansion grant in 2014. Given the federal funds, along with committed state funds, within the first three years of this Preschool Development Expansion Grant, the number of Rhode Island children served in the Rhode Island Pre-K Program will have more than tripled from the current enrollment of 306 children in federal 2014-2015 to 1,080 children in 2017-2018.
6 BrightStars, Rhode Island's quality rating and improvement system, recognizes and supports quality in child care and early learning programs. Child care and afterschool programs voluntarily join to be rated by BrightStars and are assessed in the following areas: Health, Safety and Nutrition; Enrollment and Staffing; Staff Qualifications and Ongoing Professional Development; Administration; Early Learning and Development; and Family Engagement. Programs must demonstrate that they meet all the required standards at a particular star level (1-5) in order to receive the corresponding star rating. As of September 30, 2015, BrightStars has 744 star-rated programs. For more information, please visit exceed.ri.gov.

## SOUTH CAROLINA - South Carolina Child Development Education Program (CDEP)

1 The program is no longer a pilot and its name has been adjusted to reflect this change.
2 SC Department of Education, Office of Early learning and Literacy provides oversight for public schools and the SC First Steps provides oversight to private child care programs.

3 All SC school districts offer pre-K. Those districts involved in the 2006 funding lawsuit are required to offer CDEP. Funding was expanded to include 14 additional school districts.

4 There are exceptions for kindergarten age-eligible children with disabilities or at the request of the parent to enroll in pre-K.
5 Priority is given to children based on income, additional spaces can be filled based on other risk factors.
6 Some programs provide breakfast, but it is not required.
7 Professional development and coaching are provided through a partnership with another state agency.
8 SC is in the process of revising their EL Standards, to be aligned with the state's $5 \mathrm{~K}-12$ standards, which were changed from Common Core.
9 Lead teachers in nonpublic settings must be enrolled in and demonstrating progress toward the completion of a teacher education program within four years.
10 Data are only available for teachers working in public school programs. Thirty-three percent of the 330 teachers with a master's, have a master's plus 30 hours.
11 The 15 clock hours per year must be approved by the Center for Child Care Career Development in early childhood education hours, and must include at least 5 hours in curriculum, 5 hours in child development, and 5 hours in health and safety, guidance, or other related topics.
12 Assistants must complete ECD 101 course within 1 year of being hired. Districts may request waivers to the assistant teacher specialization requirement if assistant teachers have comparable course work.
13 State spending from the general fund is the appropriated amount rather than actual spending.
14 An additional \$90,000 in Federal Title I funds were made available to support CDEP educators in professional development training.
15 New legislation required a language and literacy assessment be used in 2014-2015 and mCLASS CIRCLE was the selected assessment. In 2015-2015, programs can select from: PALS Pre-K, GOLD, or mylGDIs.
16 In 2014-2015, the state required that all 5K students be assessed using mCLASS Circle, in language and literacy, during the first 45 days of school.

## SOUTH CAROLINA - EIA Child Development Program (4K)

1 The name of the program changed to reflect the program serving more children in full-day options.
2 The Office of Early Learning and Literacy is a newly created office.

3 All SC school districts offer pre-K. Those districts not participating in CDEP are required to offer at least one half-day class for 4 K students. Full-day 4 K was expanded, so the number of districts participating in the half-day 4 K decreased.
4 Districts are required to have at least one part-day program, if they are not participating in the state-funded CDEP program. Some districts offer additional full-day programs or extend the half-day to full-day, but these are locally funded.
5 Exemptions to the maximum age requirements are made at district's discretion.
6 Health screenings and referrals are and always have been determined locally. Most districts offer health screenings even though this is not required in the State Board of Education regulations.
7 Comprehensive services are not required, but recommended including parenting support or training, parent involvement activities, health services for children, and transition-to-K activities.
8 SC is in the process of revising their EL Standards, to be aligned to the state's $5 \mathrm{~K}-12$ standards, which were changed from Common Core.
9 Information is missing on an additional three teachers.
10 FY15 spending levels were not available. The amounts reported are appropriated dollars.
11 The formula is based on the number of kindergarten students who are reported as eligible for free or reduced-price lunch.
12 Currently, there is a requirement for the EIA 4 K programs to be monitored once every 5 years with a state department developed checklist.
13 New legislation required a language and literacy assessment be used in 2014-2015, mCLASS CIRCLE was the selected assessment. In 2015-2016 programs can select from: PALS Pre-K, GOLD, or myIGDIs.
14 In 2014-2015, the state required that all 5 K students be assessed using mCLASS Circle, in language and literacy, during the first 45 days of school.

## TENNESSEE - Tennessee Voluntary Pre-K

1 Authority over the TN VPK program was moved from Curriculum and Instruction to the Division of Special Populations.
2 In 2014-2015, the Shelby County School District in Memphis, divided into an additional seven municipal districts. Of these seven districts, two of them included elementary schools that housed pre-K classrooms. The decision was made to transfer the funds from the Shelby County school district to the two new districts with existing pre-K classrooms. None of the other five districts included schools with pre-K, and, therefore, they do not have a state-funded pre-K program.
3 Children with IEPs are considered for enrollment in the VPK program after ensuring all income-eligible students are enrolled as a priority. All special education students are enrolled in VPK and participate 5 days a week, 5.5 hours a day. All 3 -year-olds with IEPs are enrolled in PILOT VPK classes, which are allowed to serve both 3 - and 4 -year-old students. Five-year-olds can enroll if their IEPs indicate the pre-K setting is the most appropriate program to meet IEP goals.
4 There is not an income breakdown by age, but 13,326 children qualify for free or reduced-price lunch, or $185 \%$ of FPL. Once all available students eligible for free and reduced-price lunch are enrolled, LEAs are permitted to enroll children whose families do not meet this income criterion.
5 All classrooms are funded through the LEA and it is the responsibility of the LEA to ensure each classroom meets the requirements of the program regardless of location. While the LEA has administrative responsibility for all children, there are several children served in nonpublic school facilities.
6 Naptime cannot be counted in the 5.5 -hour minimum.
7 Tennessee passed legislation in 2012 that changes the birthdate requirement for pre-K and kindergarten from turning 4 or 5 on September 30 to August 31 for the $2013-$ 2014 school year and August 15 for the 2014-2015 school year. Pilot pre-K programs may also serve children who are 3 years old by August 15 in the $2014-2015$ school year.
8 Five-year-olds may participate if they have an IEP that identifies placement in a pre-K setting as the most appropriate program to meet the goals set out in the IEP. Request for enrollment is made to the Department of Education for approval before the child is enrolled in VPK program.
9 Tennessee has a 3-tier eligibility system. Tier 1 includes children whose income would qualify them for free or reduced-price lunch, as well as children who are homeless or in foster care. The second tier includes students with an IEP, a history of abuse or neglect, or who are English Language Learners. The third tier includes locally determined factors, which include (among other possibilities) parents with low education levels, parental substance abuse, risk that child will not be ready for kindergarten, teen parent, low birth weight or other health risk, or a parent on active military duty.
10 In mixed-age groups, a maximum of eight 3-year-olds can be in the class with twelve 4 -year-olds. If there are nine or more 3 -year-olds, the classroom capacity is 16 students.
11 Dental screening and referrals are locally determined. Support services include parent conferences or home visits, parenting support or training, parent involvement activities, referral for social services, and transition-to-kindergarten activities. Other comprehensive services are determined locally.
12 The state regulates ELL services for K-12 students but because pre-K is not mandatory, LEAs are not required to provide ELL services. All programs include pre-K children in ELL screening, however, and do provide some level of service as appropriate. Although no policy requires services for pre-K, there are opportunities for professional development afforded to pre-K teachers, information is presented to families in primary language, and translators are made available when needed.
13 The revised standards are aligned with the Kindergarten Common Core State Standards in both Math and English Language Arts. Other developmental and content areas align with state standards for Kindergarten. Creative Arts are also part of state early learning standards in addition to the subject areas listed.
14 Permissible types of endorsements include those in: Pre-K-Grade 3, Pre-K-4, Pre-K-K, Pre-K-1 Special Education, and Pre-K-3 Special Education. The Pre-K-4 certification and Pre-K-1 Special Education are no longer issued, but are still accepted for pre-K teachers in public and nonpublic settings. All Pre-K endorsements extend to include children from birth. The transitional license is for teachers with a BA but no license. Waivers are available for teachers with a license but not in pre-K. Teachers with a transitional license or waiver must complete requirements in two years. Interim B teachers have completed required coursework for license but have not passed all required Praxis tests. The Pre-K-4 and Pre-K-1 endorsements are no longer issued but are still accepted for pre-K teachers in public and nonpublic settings.
15 Nineteen teachers are on transitional license or waiver; 8 teachers have a doctorate.
16 All lead teachers working in schools must meet the state requirement of 30 hours of in-service credit per year. The 18 hours required in Early Childhood may be included in the 30 hours. For assistant teachers, 18 clock hours are required the first year of employment and 12 clock hours are required in the following years.
17 State law passed in 2005-2006 requires at least one educational assistant per classroom to hold a CDA, or AA, or actively work toward such credentials. If no person with such credentials is available, state law allows a person with a high school degree and relevant experience working with pre-K children or other early childhood programs to be employed. Assistants must demonstrate progress toward completion of a CDA to be rehired. In 2014, 53\% of all assistant teachers have a CDA credential or higher level of education. There is no degree requirement for assistant teachers but they are required to receive a minimum of 12 hours of state approved professional development on ECERS, ELLCO, Personal Safety, Tennessee Early Learning Development Standards, Child Care Rules and Regulations, and Social Emotional Learning Foundations. Training may occur prior to or during employment.
18 The state Basic Education Plan (BEP) funding formula determines the state share and the amount of the local match for the classroom component based on each county's tax base. The local match may be in actual dollars or in in-kind contributions (e.g., facilities, utilities, staffing, etc.).
19 State statute mandates that an LEA may contract only with those agencies that have received the highest star rating (three stars) from the Quality Rating System (QRS) administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS), the licensing agency for all child care programs. LEA classrooms are not required to participate in the QRS. However, all community-based and Head Start child care facilities monitored by DHS must have received the highest star rating in order to partner with the LEA.
20 The LEA is required to provide a local match. However, the local match does not have to be in actual dollars but can be in-kind (facilities, utilities, staffing, etc.). The Basic Education Plan (BEP) formula is used to determine state share and local match based on the county's tax base. The average local match is approximately $21 \%$ of the cost of the classroom.

21 Program level outcomes are incorporated into a Plan of Action, which identifies areas of weakness found as a result of the ECERS-R and ELLCO. State statute mandates that an LEA may contract only with those agencies that have received the highest star rating (three stars) from the Quality Rating System (QRS) administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS), the licensing agency for all child care programs. LEA classrooms are not required to participate in the QRS. However, all community-based and Head Start child care facilities monitored by DHS must have received the highest star rating in order to partner with the LEA. Program evaluators conduct a minimum of two visits per year to each classroom for compliance with health and safety rules. All education consultant positions were eliminated in May 2013 . All self assessment documentation is submitted to the Director of Early Childhood programs.
22 Peabody Research Institute at Vanderbilt University completed its research study on the Effectiveness of the Voluntary Pre-K Program, examining the impact of program characteristics on child outcomes, to identify essential classroom qualities and resources, and to identify those with little or no impact on child outcomes that can be eliminated. Data continues to be evaluated.
23 Student achievement scores count as $15 \%$ of a teacher's evaluation. Sixty percent of the evaluation is based on classroom observation and the remaining $25 \%$ is based on student growth, which comes from either school-wide growth scores or district-wide growth scores from third grade.

## TEXAS - Texas Public School Prekindergarten

1 A district shall offer prekindergarten classes if the district identifies 15 or more eligible children who are at least four years of age by September 1 of the current school year. A school district may offer prekindergarten classes if the district identifies 15 or more eligible children who are at least three years of age.
2 In addition to the children receiving special education services in the state-funded pre-K program, Texas also provides Early Education (EE), a grade level for students between the ages of zero and five who have not been placed in prekindergarten or kindergarten. Children in EE receive special education services but do not meet the two hours of instruction per day requirement for membership.
3 A total of 94,013 children are Limited English Proficient.
4 The TEA specifically established the pre-K program to serve students who have not reached age 5 . Five-year-olds do not receive state funding for pre-K and are paid for by the school district or tuition if enrolled.
5 For students to qualify as educationally disadvantaged, they must be eligible to participate in free or reduced-price lunch. Meeting the income requirement is one of six risk factors for children to qualify for the program.
6 Districts are required to verify eligibility. Once a student is determined to be eligible, the student remains eligible for that year in the district in which he or she resides, or is otherwise entitled to Foundation School Program benefits.
7 There is no rule regarding prekindergarten class size or staff-to-child ratio, though school districts are encouraged to follow the requirements for kindergarten. If a school district contracts with a private entity to operate the district's prekindergarten program, the program must comply with child care licensing standards.
8 Districts are not required to serve meals to pre-K students. However, many districts do serve either breakfast or lunch, and some offer both meals. All districts offering a full-day program provide lunch.
9 Translators are used for Language Proficiency Assessment Committees for parents who do not speak English and during parent/teacher meetings. Each school district with an enrollment of 20 or more students of limited English proficiency in any language classification in the same grade level must offer a bilingual education or special language program. The state-level policy regulating services is for ELL only, not DLL.
10 Credentials for nonpublic school teachers are overseen by the Texas Private School Accreditation Commission and vary based on school accreditation. There is no set state requirement for degrees and certifications in nonpublic schools.
11 Texas does not use the term assistant teachers though paraprofessionals serve as Educational Aides I, II, and III. Educational Aide I requires at least a high school diploma and experience working with students or parents. Educational Aide II requires at least a high school diploma and one of the following requirements: two creditable years of experience; a minimum of 15 semester credit hours of college credit with emphasis on child development or related subject areas; demonstrated proficiency in a specialized skill area, as determined by the school district; or experience working with students or parents. Educational Aide III requires at least a high school diploma and one of the following: three creditable years of experience; 30 semester credit hours of college credit with some emphasis on child growth and development or related subject areas; or experience working with students or parents.
12 The Texas School Ready! program and the funds from the Texas Workforce Commission were used for grant programs and were not available statewide.
13 Prekindergarten students generate formula funding based on average daily attendance (ADA), in the same manner as K-12 students, with the provision that they are eligible for a maximum of one half-day ADA.
14 Districts are required to administer a kindergarten reading instrument from a list adopted by the commissioner or by the district-level committee. Children are assessed in kindergarten at the beginning, middle, and/or end of the year, and are required to submit BOY data to the agency.
15 Students who qualify because they are unable to speak and comprehend English must have a home language survey on file. School districts must administer the home language survey in English and Spanish. For students of other language groups, school districts must translate the home language survey into the home language whenever possible. The home language survey must contain the following questions: What language is spoken in your home most of the time?, What language does your child (do you) speak most of the time?, What language do you speak most of the time? Proof of a qualifying score on an approved Oral Language Proficiency Test must also be provided. The school district must document the official scores in the student's records. Documentation of the limited proficiency assessment committee's identification of the student as an English language learner is also required.
16 Children can qualify for the program if they are unable to speak and comprehend the English language.
17 There is a minimum salary schedule for classroom teachers, full-time librarians, full-time counselors, and full-time registered nurses. In no instance may a school district pay less than the state base salary listed for that individual's years of experience as determined by Section 153.1021 of the Texas Administrative Code, Commissioner's Rules on Creditable Years of Service. See: http://tea.texas.gov/Texas_Educators/Salary_and_Service_Record/Minimum_Salary_Schedule/2014-2015_Minimum_Salary_Schedule/

## VERMONT - Vermont Early Education Initiative

1 EEI is a competitive grant program. A total of 42 grants were awarded in 2014-2015. Geographic distribution is not considered in awarding grants; the quality of the grant proposal is the main criterion.
2 Many of the 1,088 children who received early education through EEI grants were also served via Act 62 pre-K.
3 Other auspice includes the Committee on Temporary Shelter that serves 15 homeless children.
4 The number of hours per week funded with EEI dollars ranges from 3 to 40 hours per week, depending on the grantee's delivery model. Several extended-day child care centers administer EEI programs, although EEI funds only pay for a portion of a child's time. Most EEI programs are part day, 8 to 11 hours per week, for 2 to 3 days per week. Some children in the center-based programs may be in the program 40 to 50 hours per week. Head Start programs that have an EEI grant have wraparound services, although EEl funds do not support these services. The EEI grants period operated from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015, though most programs only operate on the traditional September to June academic calendar.
5 EEI is for children ages 3 to 5 , but grantees determine which age within those parameters they will serve. Kindergarten entry age is determined locally; state law requires the date be set on or between August 31 and January 1.
6 EEI is for at-risk children. At-risk is defined as low income (185\% poverty), has developmental delays, is an English language learner, or is a victim of abuse or neglect. At least one criterion is required, but not all children must be low income.
7 There is no policy on meals. Some of the EEI programs serve snacks or meals, but that depends upon the service delivery model of the grantee.
8 Grantees that are school districts are required to participate in Child Find and to provide screenings and referrals as needed. Additionally, children must be immunized to attend an in-school program. Grantees who are Head Start programs must abide by Head Start regulations regarding health screenings and referrals.

9 The personnel requirements for EEI grantees vary, depending on the type of grantee. Teachers in public school settings must have a bachelor's degree and a license in Early Childhood Education or Early Childhood Special Education. Teachers in nonpublic school-based center programs must at least meet licensing requirements; the person must have an AA in ECE, or a CDA, or a Child Care post-secondary certificate ( 9 specific classes at the Community College of Vermont). In 2014-2015, there were 37 teachers licensed in ECE and 8 licensed in ECSE across all grantees. In terms of degrees, 30 teachers had an MA, 70 a BA, and 7 an AA or CDA.
10 Licensed lead teachers must complete 9 credit hours in 7 years. Under state child care licensing regulations, the requirement in nonpublic settings is 12 clock hours of professional development per year.
11 In public settings, an assistant must meet requirements to be highly qualified under ESEA. In nonpublic settings, there are two types of assistant teachers, teaching associates and teaching assistants. Teaching assistants must have a high school diploma and a 30-hour course in child development.
12 Child assessments (TS GOLD) are used for accountability, as well as for programs and teachers to inform instruction and curriculum and to provide feedback to families. Programs are required to use the tools, to report the results to the state, and to offer two family conferences per year.

13 The statute that authorizes EEI does not require monitoring except for financial reports and a program's annual report, including child progress data. Agency of Education staff may conduct unannounced site visits.
14 The state collects statewide kindergarten readiness data using the Vermont Kindergarten Readiness Survey for all children during the first 6-8 weeks of kindergarten Schools collect additional ongoing progress data on kindergartners, but the use and frequency of these tools are locally determined. There is no requirement for a statewide assessment of progress in kindergarten.

## VERMONT - Vermont Prekindergarten Education - Act 62

1 The Agency of Education and the Department for Children and Families within the Agency of Human Services are required to jointly administer Prekindergarten Education (Act 62).
2 The figures are less than the total enrollment because they only include children ages 3 and 4 ; not the 2805 -year-olds who attend Act 62 pre-K
3 Act 62 pre-K allows school districts to partner with community early learning and development programs as well as Head Start; hence the location of services varies, but the administrative authority resides with the school district.

4 Full-time pre-K is defined as 10 hours per week, but programs can provide anywhere from 6-40 hours per week. They do not get additional funds from the state, however for any hours beyond 10 per week. Most programs operate about 3 hours per day, 3 to 4 days per week. In all settings, programs must run for at least 35 weeks per year. If a child care program contracts with a school district to offer pre-K, the pre-K portion of the child's program is 10 hours, but the child may attend the child care program 40 hours or more per week. Additionally, a few school districts offer public school-based pre-K programs that run the full school day (about 35 hours/week).

5 Typically, the minimum age is two years prior to the kindergarten entry date. Kindergarten entry date is determined locally (and often set at September 1) but must be between August 31 and December 31. Act 62 allows districts to serve 3 - and 4 -year-olds or just 4 -year-olds. While most children leave pre-K to enter kindergarten at age 5 , Act 62 does allow families and districts to serve children who are age-eligible for kindergarten in a pre-K program if that is found to better suit the child's needs.
6 Vermont's Act 62 pre-K program is universal. School districts have the option to offer pre-K to only 4 -year-olds. Approximately a half dozen supervisory unions (LEAs) limit pre-K to 4-year-olds and do not serve 3 -year-olds.
7 Since pre-K is part-time and only defined as 6-10 hours/week, meals are not mentioned in the Act 62 law. There are snacks in part-day programs and meals in full day programs.
8 State regulations require immunizations and vision and hearing screening that is reported to the Department of Health. If children are suspected of having developmental delays or psychosocial/behavioral challenges, then they are required to make a referral (per Child Find).
9 In public school settings, teachers must have a bachelor's degree and a license in Early Childhood Education or Early Childhood Special Education. Nonpublic school centers must have at least one person who is licensed in ECE or ECSE per center, rather than per classroom. In nonpublic center-based settings, the absolute minimum requirement for a lead teacher is meeting child care licensing requirements; the person must have an AA, or a CDA, or a Child Care post-secondary certificate (9 specific classes at the Community College of Vermont). Registered child care homes require 3 hours of supervision by a licensed ECE or ECSE teacher on weekly basis. The majority of lead teachers in all center-based settings have a BA and are certified.
10 Newly licensed teachers must complete 3 credits in the first 3 years of teaching (Level 1). Teachers with more than 3 years of experience must complete 9 credits in 7 years (Level 2).

11 Assistant teachers must have an AA or equivalent and must meet highly qualified standards in public settings. In nonpublic settings, there are two types of assistant teachers, teaching associates and teaching assistants. Teaching assistants need to have a high school diploma and a 30-hour course in child development. Other training is not specified in Act 62 and depends on the type of pre-K provider.
12 A total of $\$ 43,994,576$ was used to support Vermont's Act 62 Program. The state was unable to break this down into state, local, and federal sources. This figure likely includes funding from the State Education Fund's school funding formula, state Essential Early Education (early childhood special education) funds, and IDEA. Approximately $25 \%$ of all districts also use Title I dollars to support pre-K. However, districts do not report expenditures by grade level, so actual amount is unknown.
13 The state's Education Fund provides funding for pre-K-12 education spending. Budgets are determined and approved at the local level and then funded through the Education Fund.

14 Districts are encouraged to contract with community early care and education programs. In most cases, the districts pay a negotiated per-child tuition amount. In some cases, the districts provide the licensed teacher, space, and other supports, in lieu of or in addition to child tuition payments.

15 Programs are required to conduct parent conferences twice a year to provide families with information on their child's progress (data collected through Teaching Strategies GOLD). Using these data to inform practices is encouraged through professional development.
16 In order to offer pre-K, a center must have NAEYC accreditation or 4-5 STARS in Vermont's quality rating system (or 3 STARS with an approved plan). As of the 2012-2013 school year, ECERS is now required for all programs applying for these upper STARS levels.
17 Act 62 allows the Agency of Education and Department of Children \& Families staff to conduct unannounced visits specifically for pre-K. However, few are conducted specifically for these purposes. Since all pre-K programs must be licensed and meet Child Care Regulations, licensors monitor compliance with child care licensing regulations.
18 Child assessment data are used to determine the efficacy of pre-K education. However, an evaluation has not yet been done.
19 The state collects statewide kindergarten readiness data using the Vermont Kindergarten Readiness Survey for all children during the first 6-8 weeks of kindergarten Schools collect additional ongoing progress data on kindergartners, but the use and frequency of these tools are locally determined. There is no requirement for a statewide assessment of progress in kindergarten.

## VIRGINIA - Virginia Preschool Initiative

1 The number of school divisions changed from 136 to 135 because Bedford City and Bedford County were merged into one school system. The program is optional for eligible entities. Funds are available to school districts where there are unserved at-risk four-year-olds not served by Head Start. School districts can choose to take the funds or not take the funds.
2 The enrollment total may include children who are also receiving special education services, but the state does not collect this information.
3 School divisions may assess a child born between October 1 and December 13 to determine his or her readiness for the kindergarten program. Kindergarten age-eligible children may enroll in pre-K at local program's discretion only, not solely at the request of parents.

4 While allocations to local school divisions are based on free-lunch eligibility, enrollment criteria are based on locally determined risk factors. At least one risk factor is required. Additional risk factors may be determined locally.

5 The Appropriation Act and set of assurances does not mandate meals, but the state offers the opportunity for all programs to provide meals. The majority of programs are full day and thus offer at least one meal.

6 For program sites where public funds are paying for nonpublic personnel, localities report the highest degree and/or credential for the teacher who is the instructional lead. The instructional lead, who must hold a BA and have appropriate specialized training, supervises the classroom teachers and is responsible for managing and overseeing the program's curriculum and instructional practices. This individual must be onsite for a substantial portion of instructional time.
7 The state report does not aggregate number of teachers by level of education attainment, only percentages are available.
8 The figure reported may not fully represent actual total VPI spending as these figures account for the state contribution plus the local required match. Localities can spend more local funds on VPI than their match requires but figures are not available. The state funding formula for the Virginia Preschool Initiative provides a per-pupil rate of $\$ 6,000$, of which the state pays a portion and requires a local match based on the composite index of local ability to pay. The local match is capped at one-half the perpupil amount.
9 Site visits by state staff or consultants are no longer conducted, due to budgetary constraints. Monitoring is limited to desk review of selected program documentation and no longer includes review of program facilities, onsite safety procedures, and program records. Program-level documentation reviews include state-established criteria for high-quality preschool curriculum, health and social services, transportation, and budgets.
10 The report completed by the Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee in 2007 was mandated by the General Assembly. The report done by the Curry School of Education at the University of Virginia in 2011 was not mandated.
11 Through the support of the state-funded Early Intervention Reading Initiative, localities may choose to use the PALS. All but one school division uses PALS.

## WASHINGTON - Washington Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP)

1 Of the three counties not served by this program, two are served by Head Start and the other is sparsely populated.
2 Slots were awarded through open competitive RFPs for the 2008-2009 and 2014-2015 school years. Additional slots were awarded to existing contractors based on county poverty levels for the 2011-2012 and 2013-2014 school years.
3 Washington has 4 levels: old part-day model at 2.5 hours minimum, new part-day model at 3 hours min., full school-day model at 5.5 to 6.5 hours a day, and extendedday at 10 or more hours. For the old part-day model, a minimum of 320 hours per year is required, and the school year must be at least 30 calendar weeks. A typical part-day program operates 3 hours a day, 3 or 4 days a week for the school year. Many children in wraparound child care at the same site are not counted in the schoolday category because ECEAP program standards are not in operation during those hours.
4 Part-day models are locally determined, full school day is 4-5 days a week, extended day is 5 days a week.
5 Part-day and full school day are based on the school year; Extended-day is full calendar year.
6 There are no exceptions for pre-K enrollment. For kindergarten, see exceptions at https://www.k12.wa.us/EarlyLearning/Parents/EnrollingKindergarten.aspx
7 Risk factors include expulsion due to behavior, in kinship care, single parent, transferring from Head Start or Early Head Start, parent deployed to combat zone in last year, parent incarcerated, mental illness, health care access, or migrant status.
8 The ECEAP adult-child ratio changed on July 1, 2014, to match state licensed child care minimum ratios.
9 Contractors offering sessions lasting less than three hours must provide a breakfast or lunch. An additional snack or meal must be offered when round-trip transportation extends the time children are away from home by an hour or more. Contractors providing sessions lasting three or more hours must provide breakfast or lunch and provide a snack.
10 Performance standards require that contractors ensure a culturally-relevant curriculum that reflects the cultures of enrolled children; supports ongoing development of each child's home language, while helping each child learn English; and includes and demonstrates respect for diverse family structures and cultures.
11 Parent-teacher conferences are required. Separate family support visits are required, but are not required to be in the home.
12 All persons serving in the role of ECEAP lead teacher must have an associate's or higher degree with the equivalent of 30 college quarter credits in Early Childhood Education. These 30 credits may be included in the degree or in addition to the degree; or a valid Washington State Teaching Certificate with an endorsement in Early Childhood Education (Pre-K-Grade 3) or Early Childhood Special Education.
13 During 2014-15, we were transitioning between data collection systems and this information is not available. We expect to have it available for the 2015-2016 yearbook.
14 Family child-care homes have always been eligible, but in 2014-2015, no FCC homes provided ECEAP. During the 2015-2016 school year, DEL is partnering with two contractors to provide ECEAP in FCC homes.

## WEST VIRGINIA - West Virginia Universal Pre-K

1 The West Virginia Department of Education (WVDE) is responsible for the West Virginia Board of Education (WVBE) Policy 2525 overseeing universal pre-K. The WVDE Office of Early Learning, however, works collaboratively with the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources (WVDHHR) and the West Virginia Head Start State Collaboration Office to implement WVBE Policy 2525.
2 In West Virginia's collaborative model, all students are considered enrolled in public settings. The total count includes 3 -year-olds in pre-K who are enrolled, but are not age-eligible, including 972 three-year-olds with IEPS and 1,366 three-year-olds enrolled in pre-K program through collaborative programs (such as Head Start or child care).

3 The home language was not captured for the 1,366 "exclusion" 3-year-olds who are included in the pre-K program total enrollment but who are enrolled through other programs, such as child care and Head Start. In addition, 29 four-year-olds speak the following home languages: Armenian (2), Burmese (1), Creole (1), Gujarati (1), Hindi (4), Japanese (2), Korean (2), Laotian (1), Malayalam (1), Nepali (2), Persian (2), Pohnpeian (1), Romanian (1), Tamil (2), Telugu (1), Thai (2), Twi (2), and Yoruba (1).

4 Child ethnicity was not captured for the 1,366 "exclusion" 3 -year-olds who are included in the pre-K program total enrollment but who are enrolled through other programs, such as child care and Head Start.
5 Programs must operate for at least 14 hours per week and at least 128 instructional days. Full funding is provided for programs that provide services for 24 hours per week. Beginning 2016-2017, all programs must operate full day, five days per week. Full day is defined as 25 or more hours per week ( 300 minutes per day). Program length is determined by the county early childhood collaborative team and approved by the WVDE and WVDHHR annually. In the 2014-2015 school year, $84 \%$ operated 4 days per week and $16 \%$ operated 5 days per week; $93 \%$ of classrooms operated a school-day program and $7 \%$ operated a part-day program. WV Universal Pre-K allows collaboration with Head Start and child care centers in order for pre-K and options for extended-day for families to be offered.
6 Enrollment by program operating schedule was not captured for those 1,366 "exclusion" 3-year-olds who are included in the pre-k program total enrollment but who are enrolled through other programs, such as child care and Head Start.
7 Three-year-olds with special needs may attend state pre-K. Some special exceptions are made for kindergarten age-eligible children with documented need. Local school districts can allow for early entrance to kindergarten based on an assessment.
8 The maximum class size is 20 children as long as a minimum of 35 square feet per child is available. The maximum staff-child ratio is $1: 10$. However, 2 adults must be present with children regardless of group size.
9 Breakfast and/or lunch are required regardless of the number of hours a program operates per day, but which one is served depends on the time of day for the program. Part-day programs must offer one, and school-day programs typically offer both. Snacks are provided, depending on the meal pattern. Any meal provided during the WV Pre-K hours must be in accordance with the nutrition guidelines set forth in the WVDE National School Lunch Program.
10 Full physical exam must examine neurological, head, eyes, nose, lungs, abdomen, back, strabismus, ears, heart, skin, reflexes, neck, throat, and pulse.
11 Beginning August 2013, all newly hired teachers in nonpublic settings must have a minimum of a BA in Early Childhood or a related field.

12 Effective July 1, 2014, all assistant teachers must have a CDA or equivalent determined by the WVBE. The Early Childhood Classroom Assistant Teacher Authorization is a license required for all pre-K and kindergarten assistant teachers who complete a Child Development Specialist (CDA) or state equivalent.
13 Children enrolled in West Virginia Pre-K generate state aid funding the same as K-12, depending on instructional hours, in accordance with WV State Code.
14 County collaborative early childhood teams submit comprehensive information on fiscal data, ECERS-R data, professional development, standards, curriculum implementation, and changes to the approved county program structure annually. Documentation of children's learning and/or child outcomes was revised during the 2010-2011 school year and, as of the 2011-2012 school year, all programs now use the Early Learning Scale, as well as health data, for children's learning and/or child outcomes.
15 Beginning in 2011, policy revisions established a new comprehensive child assessment system using the Early Learning Scale, health data, and additional formative assessment of the state's early learning standards.
16 Beginning in 2014-2015, all kindergarten programs will begin using the Early Learning Reporting System - Kindergarten, similar to pre-K, to assess children's learning and development during the kindergarten year. The Early Learning Reporting System - Kindergarten assesses growth across WV Kindergarten content standards and objectives in English Language Arts and Mathematics. State policy requires assessment updates 2 times per year.
17 State policy requires all county West Virginia Pre-K staff, including teachers and teacher assistants/aides/paraprofessionals, to participate in 15 hours of staff development annually and based on the needs determined in the county continuous quality improvement process.

## WISCONSIN - Wisconsin Four-Year-Old Kindergarten Program

1 There are 413 elementary school districts, not including high school-only districts or 2R charters. Of these districts, approximately 114 work with child care or Head Start partners to implement the program. Districts are not required to have the program, but if they do provide 4 K , it must be open to all age-eligible children.
2 Enrollment figures are based on third Friday count. The count by age may be different as it is from a different data set.
3 The enrollment total of children receiving special education services is a duplicated count.
4 The number of hours varies as districts adopt different class schedules based on collaboration with community programs, transportation, and other considerations. The state funds total number of hours per year and districts determine how many days per week. State agencies promote policies and practices for districts to partner with child care and/or Head Start to extend the day/services. Districts must provide a minimum of 437 hours of direct instruction per year, or 349.5 hours of instruction plus 87.5 hours of parent outreach. Most programs are part-day, 4-5 days per week, but an increasing number of rural districts may offer school-day programs 2-3 days per week. Milwaukee and several other districts offer full-day 4K using other funds to support the expanded hours. The 4K community approach models allow district contracts with child care, Head Start, and private schools. Additionally, most operating schedules are school year, but some districts extend the program through Head Start partnerships or local calendars. See policy documents at http://ec.dpi.wi.gov/ec_ec4yrpag
5 The state defines ages for starting the program. An IEP team may determine a child's placement as 4 K if they have considered the full range of options. Districts may or may not have policies on retention. Districts are allowed to establish policies for early entrance. The policies can allow or not allow early entrance. Districts can also establish policies for maximum ages.
6 Districts offering the 4 K program must enroll all age-eligible children whose families wish them to attend. Children living in districts without 4 K are not eligible to enroll in 4 k in a neighboring district with 4 K unless they pay tuition
7 Districts may consider the following staff-child ratios and class sizes: a $1: 10$ staff-child ratio with a maximum class size of 20; a 1:13 staff-child ratio with a maximum group size of 24 ; and early childhood special education inclusion models; or two teachers working with groups of 16 to 20 children as advised by NAEYC. Community-approach models must follow child care or Head Start requirements.
8 Programs in child care or Head Start must follow those requirements. If a school-based program operates longer than 2.5 hours per day, it must provide a meal or snack through the school nutrition program.
9 State law supports vision, hearing, and health screenings prior to enrollment. When 4K uses the community approach with Head Start, screenings are required. Students entering 4 K must have a physical exam (unless waived for religious reasons). There is a standard form but the physician has some discretion in what is done. Referrals and follow-up policies are locally determined.
10 At this time, the state allows schools to plan their 4K programs for ELLs/DLLs in a manner consistent with their other grade levels.
11 Requirements depend on how the services are defined. Parent involvement is required when districts obtain additional funds for parent outreach. Referral is required for special education as needed or for suspected abuse or neglect. Access to school nurse, school psychologist, social worker is also required.
12 Wisconsin has a 'train the trainer' model for professional development and also a parent training module. A module exists for districts to align the Wisconsin Model Early Learning Standards with the literacy standards in common core. It can be found at: http://www.livebinders.com/play/play?id=892140

13 While the 4 K programs are all under the administrative authority of the school, the school can contract with child care, Head Start, and/or private schools for 4 K . The teachers in these community programs need to follow the public school requirements for personnel.

14 Teachers also have the option of using a performance-based system that includes writing professional development plans, working with a mentor, and having a team review and approval.
15 Assistant teachers can meet one of three requirements: At least two years of higher education, an AA or higher, or meet a rigorous standard of quality through a state or local academic assessment, regardless of degree. The requirement for assistant teachers in nonpublic settings reflects child care licensing regulations. School districts that are federal Head Start grantees may require assistant teachers to have an AA and an assistant teacher license.
16 Spending figures are estimates, as 4 K is part of the overall state school funding formula and it is difficult to break out costs of only one grade level. Funding for 4 K is 0.5 of the funding for other grade levels. Districts may receive 0.6 if they provide 87.5 hours of parent outreach. When children with disabilities are enrolled in 4 K , IDEA funds support their services per the IEP. Local districts may use Title I funds to supplement 4K. When districts partner with child care or Head Start, they may use TANF, CCDF, and USDA to support the program or extend services, based on the agreements and program models. Local property taxes are part of the funding formula.
17 As part of the school funding, districts receive the funding but they can contract with Head Start and private agencies.
18 The state started literacy screening with PALS-Pre-K in 2013-2014. The state legislature has changed the requirement and in 2016-2017 districts will still be required to do literacy screening but they will select their own screening tool. The state does not require other assessment at 4 K , so districts determine their own assessment process. TS GOLD and Ages and Stages are often used. Common applications of the assessment information are to identify needs that will guide teacher training or professional development, make adjustments to curricula, and track child- and program-level outcomes over time.
19 Literacy screening began statewide for 5 -year-old kindergarten in 2012-2013 with PALS as the statewide tool. The state legislature has changed the requirement and, in 2016-2017, districts will still be required to do literacy screening but they will select their own screening tool.

## WISCONSIN - Wisconsin Head Start State Supplement

1 Funds are available to federal Head Start grantees choosing to implement the supplement.
2 The Department of Public Information does not collect information on the ages of the children enrolled in the program. Reported enrollment figure reflects funded slots.
3 During the 2014-2015 school year, the funding structure was revised to allow programs to be more aligned with their federal cost per child. This resulted in fewer children being served.
4 The Head Start State Supplement is administered by DPI, which is the public agency for education. DPI funds a variety of Head Start grantees that could be schools, community action, or a range of other grantees. DPI does not have any administrative authority over the agencies receiving the grant (except for the grant itself). Some Head Start programs also have collaborative agreements with schools, and children may be considered in both programs.

5 Local programs determine operating schedule by the approved federal grant application model. Part-day is the most common schedule for hours per day, and summer school and full-year programs exist. Extended-days are provided in collaboration with child care and school districts. State policy encourages collaboration with school districts' 4-year-old kindergarten programs, and those models extend the day.
6 Program operating schedule depends on the approved federal grant and/or if partnership with district 4-year-old kindergarten extends the schedule.
7 Programs follow Federal Head Start eligibility requirements and local selection criteria. Children must meet the federal Head Start income guidelines. Effective as of 2007 $35 \%$ of enrollment may be children whose family incomes are between 100 and $130 \%$ FPL after priority is given to children at or below $100 \%$ FPL. Income is the primary determinant of eligibility. However, children in foster care, as well as those who are experiencing homelessness, are also eligible. With a federal waiver, children eligible for free lunch are also eligible for the program. Regulations also allow 10\% of the children to be over income and 10\% with disabilities to be enrolled. Each Head Start grantee can prioritize risk in their selection process. Children with more risk factors have greater priority for enrollment.
8 For mixed-age groups, the maximum is 16 for class size and 1:8 for staff-child ratio.
9 The federal Head Start Performance Standards require that part-day programs provide children with at least one-third of their daily nutritional needs and school-day programs provide one-half to two-thirds of daily nutritional needs, depending on the length of the program day.
10 Support services are based on federal Head Start standards. The screening tool must be sensitive to the child's cultural background. In Wisconsin, DLL approaches are more prevalent.
11 Wisconsin Model Early Learning Standards and state standards are aligned for literacy and math.
12 There is no state-determined certification in the state supplement programs but the programs are required to follow the Head Start performance standards. School districts that are federal Head Start grantees may require lead teachers to have a BA and appropriate licensure. Under the Head Start Reauthorization Act of 2007, all lead teachers were required to have at least an AA by October 2011; by September 30, 2013,50\% must have at least a BA. By September 30, 2013, all assistant teachers must have at least a CDA or be enrolled in a program to receive a CDA, AA, or BA within two years.
13 Per federal requirements, grantees must establish and implement a structured approach to staff training and development and provide academic credit whenever possible.

14 Programs receive federal funding directly from the US Administration on Children, Youth, and Families.

## APPENDIX B: HEAD START DATA

| STATE | STATE-FUNDED HEAD START |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | State funding (Fiscal Year 2015) ${ }^{1}$ | State enrollment:additional funded slots for 3- and 4-year-olds (Program Year 2014-2015) ${ }^{1}$ |
| Alabama | \$4,824,9004 | 1,8934 |
| Alaska | \$9,185,100 | 164 |
| Arizona |  |  |
| Arkansas |  |  |
| California |  |  |
| Colorado |  |  |
| Connecticut | \$5,744,093 | 267 |
| Delaware | \$5,985,300 | 843 |
| District of Columbia |  |  |
| Florida |  |  |
| Georgia |  |  |
| Hawaii |  |  |
| Idaho | \$1,500,000 ${ }^{5}$ | 115 |
| Illinois |  |  |
| Indiana |  |  |
| lowa |  |  |
| Kansas |  |  |
| Kentucky |  |  |
| Louisiana |  |  |
| Maine | \$2,512,730 | $186{ }^{5}$ |
| Maryland | \$1,800,000 | 4,234 ${ }^{5}$ |
| Massachusetts | \$9,100,000 | 185 |
| Michigan |  |  |
| Minnesota | \$10,804,944 | 1,277 |
| Mississippi |  |  |
| Missouri |  |  |
| Montana |  |  |
| Nebraska |  |  |
| Nevada |  |  |
| New Hampshire |  |  |
| New Jersey |  |  |
| New Mexico |  |  |
| New York |  |  |
| North Carolina |  |  |
| North Dakota |  |  |
| Ohio | \$384,000 ${ }^{6}$ | 96 |
| Oklahoma |  |  |
| Oregon | \$66,263,178 | 7,662 |
| Pennsylvania | \$38,721,977 | 5,437 |
| Rhode Island | \$800,000 | 130 |
| South Carolina |  |  |
| South Dakota |  |  |
| Tennessee |  |  |
| Texas |  |  |
| Utah |  |  |
| Vermont |  |  |
| Virginia |  |  |
| Washington | Not reported ${ }^{8}$ | $340{ }^{7}$ |
| West Virginia |  |  |
| Wisconsin | \$6,264,100 | 833 |
| Wyoming |  |  |
| 50 States + DC | \$163,890,322 | 23,662 |
| Data sources | a | b |

APPENDIX B: HEAD START DATA (continued)
STATE

## FEDERAL HEAD START

Funded enrollment
Federal (Program year 2014-2015) ${ }^{2}$ actual spending (Fiscal year 2015) ${ }^{2} \quad 3$-year-olds $\quad 4$-year-olds

American Indian/ Alaskan Native enrollment (Program year 2014-2015) ${ }^{2}$

3-year-olds $\quad 4$-year-olds

Migrant and seasonal enrollment (Program year 2014-2015) ${ }^{2}$ 3-year-olds $\quad 4$-year-olds

Percent of children enrolled full-day, 5 days per week, all ages (Program yr 2014-2015)

| Alabama | \$109,142,577 | 6,411 | 9,035 | - | - | 61 | 85 | 82\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | \$28,379,111 | 485 | 718 | 569 | 740 | - | - | 2\% |
| Arizona | \$141,381,607 | 4,114 | 7,609 | 1,570 | 2,050 | 222 | 292 | 2\% |
| Arkansas | \$58,523,052 | 4,258 | 3,931 | - | - | 87 | 107 | 89\% |
| California | \$855,931,247 | 39,982 | 43,108 | 277 | 326 | 1,538 | 1,624 | 20\% |
| Colorado | \$74,310,963 | 4,083 | 5,089 | 75 | 76 | 109 | 222 | 11\% |
| Connecticut | \$48,547,555 | 2,315 | 2,671 | - | - | - | - | 62\% |
| Delaware | \$11,531,271 | 759 | 889 | - | - | - | - | 12\% |
| District of Columbia | \$17,216,766 | 1,286 | 1,056 | - | - | - | - | 100\% |
| Florida | \$285,845,047 | 13,750 | 18,603 | - | - | 620 | 623 | 86\% |
| Georgia | \$169,268,945 | 11,894 | 9,253 | - | - | 98 | 71 | 99\% |
| Hawaii | \$20,024,782 | 938 | 1,686 | - | - | - | - | 22\% |
| Idaho | \$31,183,508 | 687 | 1,752 | 90 | 114 | 175 | 262 | 1\% |
| Illinois | \$264,151,487 | 16,145 | 18,126 | - | - | 82 | 137 | 34\% |
| Indiana | \$92,484,306 | 5,525 | 7,473 | - | - | - | - | 4\% |
| lowa | \$46,100,672 | 2,805 | 3,402 | - | - | - | - | 34\% |
| Kansas | \$47,593,428 | 3,062 | 3,192 | 21 | 41 | - | - | 15\% |
| Kentucky | \$106,564,745 | 6,703 | 7,813 | - | - | 43 | 70 | 20\% |
| Louisiana | \$137,135,999 | 11,660 | 7,391 | - | - | - | - | 73\% |
| Maine | \$23,472,389 | 1,023 | 1,395 | 28 | 32 | - | - | 10\% |
| Maryland | \$73,023,931 | 4,605 | 4,256 | - | - | - | - | 47\% |
| Massachusetts | \$104,689,431 | 5,339 | 5,280 | - | - | 21 | 60 | 27\% |
| Michigan | \$217,127,140 | 12,695 | 14,250 | 195 | 163 | 14 | 17 | 13\% |
| Minnesota | \$84,642,703 | 3,900 | 5,016 | 314 | 348 | 157 | 252 | 5\% |
| Mississippi | \$163,969,725 | 11,201 | 12,979 | 146 | 62 | - | - | 75\% |
| Missouri | \$110,512,605 | 6,786 | 6,947 | - | - | - | - | 24\% |
| Montana | \$32,911,370 | 1,070 | 1,565 | 650 | 815 | - | - | 5\% |
| Nebraska | \$31,253,907 | 1,797 | 2,139 | 82 | 144 | 11 | 20 | 25\% |
| Nevada | \$25,090,575 | 1,154 | 1,180 | 163 | 199 | - | - | 22\% |
| New Hampshire | \$11,848,328 | 581 | 720 | - | - | - | - | 6\% |
| New Jersey | \$123,349,719 | 6,527 | 6,683 | - | - | 25 | 23 | 80\% |
| New Mexico | \$57,846,738 | 2,946 | 3,437 | 667 | 709 | - | - | 26\% |
| New York | \$425,437,698 | 19,577 | 22,780 | 86 | 57 | 72 | 114 | 72\% |
| North Carolina | \$159,431,291 | 6,861 | 10,524 | 12 | 132 | 385 | 628 | 75\% |
| North Dakota | \$22,852,990 | 874 | 1,101 | 395 | 455 | - | - | 10\% |
| Ohio | \$246,990,917 | 14,130 | 17,548 | - | - | - | - | 16\% |
| Oklahoma | \$97,788,225 | 6,670 | 5,524 | 1,191 | 1,275 | - | - | 63\% |
| Oregon | \$89,004,465 | 1,966 | 3,152 | 134 | 170 | 601 | 1,016 | 9\% |
| Pennsylvania | \$226,606,484 | 11,392 | 15,058 | - | - | 76 | 104 | 37\% |
| Rhode Island | \$19,071,954 | 943 | 1,191 | - | - | - | - | 10\% |
| South Carolina | \$86,116,393 | 6,329 | 5,010 | 48 | 32 | 49 | 85 | 87\% |
| South Dakota | \$29,107,557 | 951 | 1,342 | 773 | 704 | - | - | 8\% |
| Tennessee | \$117,287,530 | 6,368 | 9,051 | - | - | - | - | 62\% |
| Texas | \$533,601,385 | 28,261 | 34,534 | 18 | 16 | 1,533 | 1,155 | 69\% |
| Utah | \$43,948,895 | 1,971 | 3,260 | 87 | 128 | 72 | 138 | 2\% |
| Vermont | \$10,372,184 | 479 | 591 | - | - | - | - | 28\% |
| Virginia | \$95,276,827 | 4,957 | 7,005 | - | - | 24 | 46 | 64\% |
| Washington | \$129,330,355 | 3,581 | 5,556 | 520 | 554 | 527 | 548 | 8\% |
| West Virginia | \$50,247,266 | 1,935 | 5,183 | - | - | - | - | 3\% |
| Wisconsin | \$98,865,975 | 6,933 | 4,903 | 397 | 297 | 116 | 150 | 12\% |
| Wyoming | \$12,076,768 | 535 | 812 | 104 | 95 | - | - | 1\% |
| 50 States + DC | \$6,098,470,787 | 321,199 | 372,769 | 8,612 | 9,734 | 6,717 | 7,847 | 45\% |
| Data sources | c | c | c | d | d | d | d | d |

APPENDIX B: HEAD START DATA (continued)

STATE
AVERAGE SALARIES FOR HEAD START TEACHERS AND ASSISTANT TEACHERS (PROGRAM YEAR 2014-2015)

|  | Teachers, all degree levels | Teachers with CDA credentials | Teachers with AA degrees | Teachers with BA degrees | Teachers with graduate degrees | Assistant teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | \$23,163 | \$16,217 | \$19,485 | \$25,649 | \$27,906 | \$17,773 |
| Alaska | \$31,241 | \$26,563 | \$28,959 | \$36,242 | \$43,833 | \$21,466 |
| Arizona | \$29,413 | \$26,628 | \$25,060 | \$31,294 | \$35,433 | \$18,675 |
| Arkansas | \$27,617 | \$20,155 | \$23,283 | \$30,231 | \$37,107 | \$17,194 |
| California | \$33,526 | \$26,760 | \$29,728 | \$34,352 | \$40,370 | \$23,031 |
| Colorado | \$30,749 | \$18,209 | \$23,076 | \$32,580 | \$42,487 | \$19,332 |
| Connecticut | \$35,342 | \$28,664 | \$30,349 | \$33,128 | \$48,756 | \$23,304 |
| Delaware | \$22,275 | N/A | \$21,591 | \$23,109 | \$22,360 | \$11,912 |
| District of Columbia | \$73,265 | \$40,000 | \$48,000 | \$77,766 | \$101,852 | \$28,847 |
| Florida | \$28,950 | \$15,423 | \$24,062 | \$35,275 | \$42,954 | \$20,267 |
| Georgia | \$27,496 | \$13,637 | \$22,338 | \$29,031 | \$34,393 | \$17,543 |
| Hawaii | \$38,144 | \$34,008 | \$36,846 | \$40,904 | \$35,820 | \$25,893 |
| Idaho | \$19,055 | \$12,882 | \$18,558 | \$22,409 | \$27,873 | \$13,010 |
| Illinois | \$36,799 | \$13,012 | \$23,062 | \$33,883 | \$55,199 | \$22,338 |
| Indiana | \$25,671 | \$14,515 | \$22,820 | \$26,607 | \$34,828 | \$16,161 |
| Iowa | \$30,210 | \$16,465 | \$24,073 | \$30,538 | \$45,709 | \$19,283 |
| Kansas | \$34,200 | \$31,010 | \$22,870 | \$32,973 | \$42,188 | \$16,307 |
| Kentucky | \$29,394 | \$21,797 | \$21,420 | \$29,266 | \$40,458 | \$15,566 |
| Louisiana | \$28,009 | \$20,993 | \$24,708 | \$30,389 | \$35,292 | \$16,835 |
| Maine | \$25,378 | \$27,918 | \$25,300 | \$26,278 | \$29,037 | \$17,912 |
| Maryland | \$42,398 | \$18,292 | \$25,112 | \$37,266 | \$65,355 | \$20,808 |
| Massachusetts | \$28,132 | \$23,357 | \$26,819 | \$28,237 | \$33,348 | \$21,105 |
| Michigan | \$29,719 | \$15,064 | \$26,259 | \$30,204 | \$35,517 | \$18,846 |
| Minnesota | \$31,054 | \$30,561 | \$30,138 | \$30,797 | \$39,142 | \$21,337 |
| Mississippi | \$20,226 | \$13,264 | \$16,056 | \$21,621 | \$21,787 | \$14,229 |
| Missouri | \$26,832 | \$17,589 | \$22,100 | \$27,471 | \$36,662 | \$18,328 |
| Montana | \$20,562 | \$19,269 | \$19,678 | \$23,915 | \$21,168 | \$14,287 |
| Nebraska | \$34,976 | \$20,559 | \$25,466 | \$33,841 | \$41,136 | \$15,375 |
| Nevada | \$30,098 | \$21,003 | \$30,107 | \$32,703 | \$31,688 | \$22,822 |
| New Hampshire | \$25,126 | \$29,868 | \$25,101 | \$25,416 | \$25,212 | \$17,998 |
| New Jersey | \$40,882 | \$22,621 | \$23,177 | \$43,667 | \$53,808 | \$21,755 |
| New Mexico | \$26,524 | \$22,073 | \$23,923 | \$30,574 | \$41,419 | \$16,052 |
| New York | \$35,953 | \$21,137 | \$26,669 | \$33,399 | \$39,974 | \$22,729 |
| North Carolina | \$23,215 | \$11,408 | \$18,188 | \$26,703 | \$31,760 | \$17,776 |
| North Dakota | \$30,022 | \$26,338 | \$30,046 | \$31,030 | \$41,915 | \$16,902 |
| Ohio | \$28,599 | N/A | \$23,988 | \$29,471 | \$34,496 | \$20,198 |
| Oklahoma | \$29,696 | \$22,857 | \$24,248 | \$32,773 | \$34,890 | \$19,828 |
| Oregon | \$29,587 | \$17,801 | \$23,285 | \$27,668 | \$33,608 | \$18,065 |
| Pennsylvania | \$29,433 | \$22,177 | \$23,855 | \$27,966 | \$33,112 | \$17,960 |
| Rhode Island | \$31,489 | N/A | \$27,909 | \$32,615 | \$31,843 | \$21,538 |
| South Carolina | \$22,701 | \$15,971 | \$19,185 | \$23,595 | \$26,466 | \$16,559 |
| South Dakota | \$28,048 | \$19,657 | \$23,673 | \$28,672 | \$46,908 | \$15,338 |
| Tennessee | \$28,404 | \$10,256 | \$20,664 | \$30,474 | \$43,730 | \$17,339 |
| Texas | \$32,072 | \$19,945 | \$23,308 | \$36,024 | \$41,782 | \$18,273 |
| Utah | \$22,921 | \$19,276 | \$20,996 | \$24,007 | \$32,719 | \$15,934 |
| Vermont | \$29,478 | \$25,826 | \$21,638 | \$29,779 | \$37,331 | \$21,427 |
| Virginia | \$33,944 | \$18,826 | \$25,449 | \$35,463 | \$45,389 | \$18,896 |
| Washington | \$29,648 | \$26,962 | \$29,512 | \$31,081 | \$31,833 | \$21,371 |
| West Virginia | \$32,981 | \$15,536 | \$21,497 | \$32,410 | \$44,289 | \$20,558 |
| Wisconsin | \$31,722 | \$8,132 | \$24,563 | \$32,512 | \$45,094 | \$18,962 |
| Wyoming | \$30,424 | \$26,922 | \$26,048 | \$30,860 | \$44,913 | \$15,990 |
| 50 States + DC | \$29,978 ${ }^{3}$ | \$20,606 ${ }^{3}$ | \$24,631 ${ }^{3}$ | \$31,336 ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | \$38,633 ${ }^{3}$ | \$18,641 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Data sources | d | d | d | d | d | d |

## APPENDIX B: HEAD START DATA (continued)

DATA SOURCES
a Data are from the Education Commission of the States State Pre-K Funding: 2014-15 fiscal year report.
b Head Start enrollment supported by state match from the Administration for Children and Families (ACF).
c Federal spending and enrollment data from the Administration for Children and Families (ACF).
d Data from Head Start Program Information Reports (PIR) for 2014-2015.

## NOTES

Several states' Head Start state supplements also meet the requirements for inclusion as state-funded pre-K programs in this report. The state-funded Head Start enrollment and spending numbers reflected here match those in the state pre-K section of the report for these states: Delaware, Minnesota, Oregon, Pennsylvania Head Start program, and Wisconsin Head Start.
1 Some programs in these states meet some or all of the required non-federal share requirement for $20 \%$ of the total costs of the program to come from non-federal sources through state funds to support children. This does not represent all state funds that may be used for Head Start but those funds used to meet the federally required match. ACF did not provide enrollment by single year of age; therefore, enrollment by age was estimated based on enrollment and proportions of all enrollees who were age 3 or age 4, as reported in the 2014-2015 Head Start PIR.
2 Funded enrollment was not available by single age of year. Data shown here are estimated based on the percentage of all 3 -and 4 -year-olds enrolled, as reported in the 2014-2015 Head Start PIR, and the enrollment by state as reported by ACF. These numbers do not include children funded by state match. Migrant/Seasonal and AIAN enrollment and funding are attributed to the state where the program is headquartered, although many programs serve children across state lines throughout the year. This sum for federal Head Start funding only represents the portion of funding provided to states and does not include funding for programs in US territories, but does include Native American and Migrant programs. Total federal Head Start funding, including US territories, was $\$ 6,354,595,188$.
3 National salary averages include data from programs in the US territories, including Puerto Rico, as well.
4 Alabama reported that the Alabama Department of Education funds 1,893 children through Head Start using \$4,824,900 in state dollars.
5 Updated funding information was not available for Idaho and updated enrollment information was not available for Maine and Maryland. Their spending and enrollment information (respectively) from the 2013-2014 report are used here.
6 Ohio reported that the state funds each child at \$4,000 for a total of \$384,000.
7 Washington reported that 340 children are enrolled in ECEAP through a Head Start state match. Funding for these children is included in the total funding for ECEAP.

## APPENDIX C: U.S. CENSUS POPULATION ESTIMATES

STATE
NUMBERS OF 3- AND 4-YEAR-OLDS, BY STATE 2014

|  | 3-year-olds | 4-year-olds | Total 3- and 4-year-olds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 59,449 | 60,421 | 119,870 |
| Alaska | 11,413 | 10,377 | 21,790 |
| Arizona | 85,368 | 88,735 | 174,103 |
| Arkansas | 38,481 | 38,226 | 76,707 |
| California | 510,260 | 501,784 | 1,012,044 |
| Colorado | 67,645 | 68,311 | 135,956 |
| Connecticut | 38,784 | 38,940 | 77,724 |
| Delaware | 11,523 | 11,267 | 22,790 |
| District of Columbia | 8,714 | 7,695 | 16,409 |
| Florida | 218,654 | 217,731 | 436,385 |
| Georgia | 133,811 | 136,855 | 270,666 |
| Hawaii | 18,597 | 17,451 | 36,048 |
| Idaho | 22,744 | 23,351 | 46,095 |
| Illinois | 159,663 | 160,413 | 320,076 |
| Indiana | 84,197 | 85,003 | 169,200 |
| lowa | 38,605 | 39,880 | 78,485 |
| Kansas | 39,973 | 40,575 | 80,548 |
| Kentucky | 55,082 | 55,238 | 110,320 |
| Louisiana | 61,750 | 61,838 | 123,588 |
| Maine | 12,909 | 13,238 | 26,147 |
| Maryland | 75,016 | 74,077 | 149,093 |
| Massachusetts | 74,279 | 72,423 | 146,702 |
| Michigan | 115,039 | 115,880 | 230,919 |
| Minnesota | 69,972 | 70,207 | 140,179 |
| Mississippi | 39,193 | 39,814 | 79,007 |
| Missouri | 75,090 | 75,795 | 150,885 |
| Montana | 12,234 | 12,337 | 24,571 |
| Nebraska | 25,955 | 26,301 | 52,256 |
| Nevada | 35,645 | 36,660 | 72,305 |
| New Hampshire | 13,391 | 13,125 | 26,516 |
| New Jersey | 109,009 | 107,381 | 216,390 |
| New Mexico | 27,786 | 27,984 | 55,770 |
| New York | 239,574 | 229,959 | 469,533 |
| North Carolina | 121,904 | 124,746 | 246,650 |
| North Dakota | 9,915 | 9,674 | 19,589 |
| Ohio | 138,135 | 139,551 | 277,686 |
| Oklahoma | 53,484 | 53,576 | 107,060 |
| Oregon | 46,333 | 46,603 | 92,936 |
| Pennsylvania | 144,165 | 142,894 | 287,059 |
| Rhode Island | 11,038 | 10,925 | 21,963 |
| South Carolina | 58,030 | 59,975 | 118,005 |
| South Dakota | 12,127 | 11,845 | 23,972 |
| Tennessee | 79,294 | 81,087 | 160,381 |
| Texas | 394,377 | 397,244 | 791,621 |
| Utah | 50,373 | 51,124 | 101,497 |
| Vermont | 6,165 | 6,005 | 12,170 |
| Virginia | 103,250 | 101,926 | 205,176 |
| Washington | 89,847 | 89,302 | 179,149 |
| West Virginia | 20,568 | 20,271 | 40,839 |
| Wisconsin | 68,738 | 69,627 | 138,365 |
| Wyoming | 7,642 | 7,801 | 15,443 |
| 50 states + DC | 4,005,190 | 4,003,448 | 8,008,638 |

[^106] https://www.census.gov/popest/data/state/asrh/2014/SC-EST2014-ALLDATA6.html

## APPENDIX D: PRE-K SPECIAL EDUCATION ENROLLMENT

STATE
NUMBERS AND PERCENTAGES OF 3- AND 4-YEAR-OLDS, BY STATE 2014-2015

|  | 3-year-olds |  | 4-year-olds |  | Total 3- and 4-year-olds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number Enrolled | Percent of State Population | Number Enrolled | Percent of State Population | Number Enrolled | Percent of State Population |
| Alabama | 1,396 | 2\% | 2,299 | 4\% | 3,695 | 3\% |
| Alaska | 392 | 3\% | 699 | 7\% | 1,091 | 5\% |
| Arizona | 3,344 | 4\% | 5,541 | 6\% | 8,885 | 5\% |
| Arkansas | 3,223 | 8\% | 5,091 | 13\% | 8,314 | 11\% |
| California | 18,721 | 4\% | 26,677 | 5\% | 45,398 | 4\% |
| Colorado | 3,016 | 5\% | 4,347 | 6\% | 7,363 | 5\% |
| Connecticut | 2,391 | 6\% | 2,851 | 7\% | 5,242 | 7\% |
| Delaware | 442 | 4\% | 746 | 7\% | 1,188 | 5\% |
| District of Columbia | 237 | 3\% | 511 | 7\% | 748 | 5\% |
| Florida | 7,890 | 4\% | 12,926 | 6\% | 20,816 | 5\% |
| Georgia | 3,592 | 3\% | 5,471 | 4\% | 9,063 | 3\% |
| Hawaii | 660 | 4\% | 802 | 5\% | 1,462 | 4\% |
| Idaho | 674 | 3\% | 1,134 | 5\% | 1,808 | 4\% |
| Illinois | 9,049 | 6\% | 12,923 | 8\% | 21,972 | 7\% |
| Indiana | 4,410 | 5\% | 5,977 | 7\% | 10,387 | 6\% |
| lowa | 1,370 | 4\% | 2,025 | 5\% | 3,395 | 4\% |
| Kansas | 2,741 | 7\% | 4,160 | 10\% | 6,901 | 9\% |
| Kentucky | 3,557 | 7\% | 6,003 | 11\% | 9,560 | 9\% |
| Louisiana | 1,741 | 3\% | 2,984 | 5\% | 4,725 | 4\% |
| Maine | 708 | 5\% | 1,226 | 9\% | 1,934 | 7\% |
| Maryland | 3,455 | 5\% | 4,486 | 6\% | 7,941 | 5\% |
| Massachusetts | 4,255 | 6\% | 5,880 | 8\% | 10,135 | 7\% |
| Michigan | 4,979 | 4\% | 6,454 | 6\% | 11,433 | 5\% |
| Minnesota | 3,455 | 5\% | 5,207 | 7\% | 8,662 | 6\% |
| Mississippi | 1,630 | 4\% | 2,863 | 7\% | 4,493 | 6\% |
| Missouri | 3,480 | 5\% | 6,144 | 8\% | 9,624 | 6\% |
| Montana | 278 | 2\% | 578 | 5\% | 856 | 3\% |
| Nebraska | 1,274 | 5\% | 1,911 | 7\% | 3,185 | 6\% |
| Nevada | 1,998 | 6\% | 3,052 | 8\% | 5,050 | 7\% |
| New Hampshire | 952 | 7\% | 1,120 | 9\% | 2,072 | 8\% |
| New Jersey | 5,063 | 5\% | 6,419 | 6\% | 11,482 | 5\% |
| New Mexico | 1,508 | 5\% | 2,197 | 8\% | 3,705 | 7\% |
| New York | 18,720 | 8\% | 25,371 | 11\% | 44,091 | 9\% |
| North Carolina | 4,204 | 3\% | 6,328 | 5\% | 10,532 | 4\% |
| North Dakota | 437 | 4\% | 634 | 7\% | 1,071 | 5\% |
| Ohio | 5,310 | 4\% | 8,404 | 6\% | 13,714 | 5\% |
| Oklahoma | 1,652 | 3\% | 2,676 | 5\% | 4,328 | 4\% |
| Oregon | 2,723 | 6\% | 3,600 | 8\% | 6,323 | 7\% |
| Pennsylvania | 8,798 | 6\% | 12,401 | 9\% | 21,199 | 7\% |
| Rhode Island | 729 | 7\% | 1,008 | 9\% | 1,737 | 8\% |
| South Carolina | 1,623 | 3\% | 2,892 | 5\% | 4,515 | 4\% |
| South Dakota | 549 | 5\% | 898 | 8\% | 1,447 | 6\% |
| Tennessee | 2,337 | 3\% | 3,946 | 5\% | 6,283 | 4\% |
| Texas | 8,898 | 2\% | 13,794 | 3\% | 22,692 | 3\% |
| Utah | 2,499 | 5\% | 3,461 | 7\% | 5,960 | 6\% |
| Vermont | 465 | 8\% | 643 | 11\% | 1,108 | 9\% |
| Virginia | 3,692 | 4\% | 5,643 | 6\% | 9,335 | 9\% |
| Washington | 3,466 | 4\% | 5,016 | 6\% | 8,482 | 5\% |
| West Virginia | 936 | 5\% | 1,562 | 8\% | 2,498 | 6\% |
| Wisconsin | 3,435 | 5\% | 5,292 | 8\% | 8,727 | 6\% |
| Wyoming | 716 | 9\% | 1,194 | 15\% | 1,910 | 12\% |
| 50 states + DC | 173,070 | 4\% | 255,467 | 6\% | 428,537 | 5\% |

Source: Enrollment information provided by the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Number of Children with Disabilities (IDEA) Receiving Special Education and Related Services by Age: Fall 2014. Table generated from 2014 Part B Child Count and Educational Environments (C089 and DG 613) data in the EDFacts reporting system. Retrieved on 2/29/16


[^0]:    1 For the sake of comparison, the District of Columbia will be referred to as a "state" throughout this report. Hence, there is a total of 43 states providing state-funded pre-K.

[^1]:    * At least one program in these states did not break down total enrollment figures into specific numbers of 3-and 4-year-olds served. As a result, enrollment by single year of age was estimated.
     pre-K program.
    † Data on Florida's quality standards are from the 2013-2014 school year. However, no policies changes are known that would have affected which benchmarks were met.

[^2]:    * At least one program in these states did not break down total enrollment figures into specific numbers of 3 - and 4 -year-olds served. As a result, the figures in this table are estimates.
    **Though not included in this year's state comparisons because it is a pilot program serving less than $1 \%$ of the state's 4 -year-olds, Indiana served 4154 -year-olds or roughly $.5 \%$ of 4 -year-olds in its pre-K program.
     2013-2014 using information reported in 2014-2015.

[^3]:    * These states serve special education children in their state pre-K programs but were not able to provide an unduplicated count for at least one of their programs. Estimations were used based on the average percent of special education students in state pre-K and enrollment numbers for each program.
    **Enrollment information for Indiana reflects only Pre-K Special Education and Head Start. Indiana's pilot program is not included as it serves less than $1 \%$ of the state's 4 -year-olds.
    $\dagger$ These states serve special education children in their state-funded Head Start pre-K programs but were not able to provide an unduplicated count for the Head Start program. Estimations were used based on the percent of children with IEPs as reported by the PIR.
    $\dagger \dagger$ Total can overstate public enrollment as some or all Head Start children may be serves in a state's pre-K program. Some states were able to report the number of Head Start children enrolled in state pre-K for at least one program. This information was used to estimate an unduplicated count of Head Start enrollment.
    For details about how these figures were calculated, see the Methodology section and the Roadmap to the State Profile Pages.

[^4]:    $\dagger$ Data on Florida's quality standards are from the 2013-2014 school year. However, no policies changes are known that would have changed the benchmarks met.

[^5]:    For details about how these figures were calculated, see the Methodology section and Roadmap to the State Profile Pages.

[^6]:    * These programs could not report DLL enrollment by single year of age. The number of 3-and 4-year-old DLLs was estimated based on the number of 3-and 4-year-olds in the program.

[^7]:    * The state did not provide DLL enrollment by single year of age. The number of 3 - and 4 -year-old DLLs was estimated using the percentages of 3 - and 4 -year-olds in state pre-K.
    ${ }^{+}$Not all programs in the state were able to report the number of DLLs enrolled in state pre-K.
    Note: The percent and number of 3- and 4 -year olds in each state who are DLLs was estimated from the census questions about languages spoken at home. The number and percent of DLLs in state pre-K are based on enrolled children in homes where English is not the primary language spoken. For additional details about how these figures were calculated, see the Methodology section and the Roadmap to the State Profile Pages.

[^8]:    * Hawaii serves all children in public schools

[^9]:    1 Reynolds, A., Temple, J., Robertson, D., \& Mann, E. (2002). Age 21 cost-benefit analysis of the Title I Chicago Child-Parent Centers. Education Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 24, 267303. Belfield, C., Nores, M., Barnett, S., \& Schweinhart, L. (2006). The High/Scope Perry Preschool Program: Cost-benefit analysis using data from the age-40 follow-up. Journal of Human Resources, 41(1), 162-190.

    2 Temple, J., \& Reynolds, A. (2007). Benefits and costs of investments in preschool education: Evidence from the Child-Parent Centers and related programs. Economics of Education Review, 26, 126-144. Barnett, W.S., \& Belfield, C. (2006). Early childhood and social mobility. Future of Children, 16(2), 73-98.

    3 Based on a review of the evidence, a committee of the National Research Council recommended that preschool teachers have a BA with specialization in early childhood education. Bowman, B.T., Donovan, M.S., \& Burns, M.S. (Eds.). (2001). Eager to learn: Educating our preschoolers. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. Burchinal, M.R., Cryer, D., Clifford, R.M., \& Howes, C. (2002). Caregiver training and classroom quality in child care centers. Applied Developmental Science, 6, 2-11. Barnett, W.S. (2003). Better teachers, better preschools: Student achievement linked to teacher qualifications. Preschool Policy Matters, 2. New Brunswick, NJ: National Institute for Early Education Research. Whitebook, M., Howes, C., \& Phillips, D. (1989). Who cares? Child care teachers and the quality of care in America (Final report on the National Child Care Staffing Study). Oakland, CA: Child Care Employee Project.
    4 Preschool classrooms typically are taught by a team of a teacher and an assistant. Research focusing specifically on the qualifications of assistant teachers is rare, but the available evidence points to a relationship between assistant teacher qualifications and teaching quality. There is much evidence on the educational importance of the qualifications of teaching staff generally. Bowman, Donovan, \& Burns (2001). Burchinal, Cryer, Clifford, \& Howes (2002). Barnett (2003). Whitebook, Howes, \& Phillips (1989). The CDA has been recommended to prepare assistant teachers who are beginning a career path to become teachers rather than permanent assistants. Kagan, S.L., \& Cohen, N.E. (1997). Not by chance: Creating an early care and education system for America's children [Abridged report]. New Haven, CT: Bush Center in Child Development and Social Policy, Yale University.
    5 Good teachers are actively engaged in their continuing professional development. Bowman, Donovan, \& Burns (2001). Frede, E.C. (1998). Preschool program quality in programs for children in poverty. In W.S. Barnett \& S.S. Boocock (Eds.). (1998). Early care and education for children in poverty: Promises, programs, and long-term results (pp. 77 -98). Albany, NY: SUNY Press. Whitebook, Howes, \& Phillips (1989) found that teachers receiving more than 15 hours of training were more appropriate, positive, and engaged with children in their teaching practices.
    6 The importance of class size has been demonstrated for both preschool and kindergarten. A class size of 20 children is larger than the class size shown in many programs to produce large gains for disadvantaged children. Barnett, W.S. (1998). Long-term effects on cognitive development and school success. In W.S. Barnett \& S.S. Boocock (Eds.). (1998). Early care and education for children in poverty: Promises, programs, and long-term results (pp. 11-44). Albany, NY: SUNY Press. Bowman, Donovan, \& Burns (2001). Finn, J.D. (2002). Class-size reduction in grades K-3. In A. Molnar (Ed.). (2002). School reform proposals: The research evidence (pp. 27-48). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing. Frede (1998). NICHD Early Child Care Research Network (1999). Child outcomes when child care center classes meet recommended standards for quality. American Journal of Public Health, $89,1072-1077$. National Association for the Education of Young Children (2005). NAEYC early childhood program standards and accreditation criteria. Washington, DC: Author.
    7 A large body of literature establishes linkages between staff-child ratio, program quality, and child outcomes. A ratio of 1:10 allows more children per teacher than in programs that have demonstrated large gains in disadvantaged children and is the lowest (fewest number of children per teacher) generally accepted by professional opinion. Barnett (1998) Bowman, Donovan, \& Burns (2001). Frede (1998). NICHD Early Child Care Research Network (1999). National Association for the Education of Young Children (2005).

[^10]:    8 Current practice too frequently underestimates children's capabilities to learn during the preschool years. Clear and appropriate expectations for learning and development across all domains are essential to an educationally effective preschool program. Bowman, Donovan, \& Burns (2001). Frede (1998). Kendall, J.S. (2003). Setting standards in early childhood education. Educational Leadership, 60(7), 64-68.
    9 National Education Goals Panel (1991). The Goal 1 Technical Planning Subgroup report on school readiness. Washington, DC: Author.
    ${ }^{10}$ Good nutrition contributes to healthy brain development and children's learning. Shonkoff, J.P., \& Phillips, D.A. (Eds.). (2000). From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
    ${ }^{11}$ For some children, preschool provides the first opportunity to detect vision, hearing, and health problems that may impair a child's learning and development. This opportunity should not be missed. Meisels, S.J., \& Atkins-Burnett, S. (2000). The elements of early childhood assessment. In J.P. Shonkoff \& S.J. Meisels (Eds.). (2000). Handbook of early childhood intervention (pp. 231-257). New York: Cambridge University Press.
    12 Families are the primary source of support for child development, and the most effective programs have partnered with parents. Bowman, Donovan, \& Burns (2001). Frede (1998).
    ${ }^{13}$ Monitoring of program quality and external accountability for pre-K are essential components of program standards. Bowman, Donovan, \& Burns (2001).

[^11]:    14 In an effort to ensure data is comparable from state to state, NIEER now provides guidelines for characterizing length of program day. "Part day" is defined as fewer than 4 hours per day; "school day" is at least 4 hours but fewer than eight hours per day; and "extended day" is eight or more hours per day.

[^12]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
    *** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
    Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^13]:    10,497 includes children ages zero to four years old.
     Completion in ECE or Child Development, or a CDA.
    ${ }^{3}$ This includes funding for children zero to four years old.

[^14]:    1 The lead teacher in a public school must hold a standard Arkansas teacher license with P-4 certification. The lead teacher in a nonpublic-school-based program must have a minimum of a bachelor's degree in early childhood education or child development. For all programs with multiple classrooms at a single location, the teacher of the second classroom shall hold, at a minimum, an associate degree in early childhood education or early childhood development. State policy does allow programs to hire staff under an approved staff qualifications plan, while they are completing coursework to obtain minimum credentialing.

[^15]:     snack is required. For the full-day program, one meal and two snacks or two meals and one snack are required, depending on the hours of operation.
     with agency staff, audit information, fiscal information, other concerns), which result in only 'as-needed' site visits.

[^16]:     with at least a BA in ECE or Child Development.
     nutritional needs.

[^17]:    CDCC programs are required to be Head Start approved or accredited by NAEYC and need to meet the requirement for assistant teachers for their accreditation/approval system.
    ${ }^{2}$ Site visits are conducted based on need or when staff availability permits them. This does not meet the NIEER benchmark.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sixty percent of children enrolled in each municipality must meet the income guideline of at or below 75\% SMI. All families, regardless of income, can apply for School Readiness spaces in competitive and priority municipalities.
    2 If accredited, assistant teachers must meet NAEYC requirements, and if a Head Start program, they must meet Head Start requirements.
     8 hours per day must be provided one snack and two meals or two snacks and one meal. Either the program or the parent may provide the food.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data on Florida's quality standards are from the 2013-2014 school year. However no policy changes are known that would have changed the benchmarks met.

[^20]:    
     the screening.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Educational requirements are based on requirements of the quality rating system - Paths to QUALITY ${ }^{\top M}$.
    

[^22]:    
     average of 40 hours of staff development.
     more than three hours later if children are still in attendance.

[^23]:    1 Assistant teachers should have either a CDA or lowa Paraeducator certificate
     2014-2015 year.

[^24]:    
     teacher in the Kansas Preschool Program.
     Start settings, however.

[^25]:     before entering the program, though screening is not provided through the program itself.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ The total enrollment figure does not include 377 tuition-paying students and an additional 3,249 students funded from other sources.
    ${ }^{2}$ In addition to program availability in 64 of 71 school districts, 26 charter schools and one tribal school participate in the LA4 program.

[^27]:    1 Paraprofessionals in Title I schools must have completed two years of college, hold an AA degree, or have a qualifying score of 455 on the ParaPro assessment.
    2 State policy stipulates an average of 20 students per classroom. Exceptions may occur where individual classrooms exceed this ratio, provided an overall program average of 20 is maintained. By policy, 3 -year-olds are not eligible for state pre-k, but state child care regulations require a maximum class size of 20 and a staff to child ratio of 1:10 for 3 - and 4 -year-olds.

[^28]:    
    
     than 9 hours per day must schedule two meals and two snacks. Parents or providers may provide snacks.

[^29]:    All classroom staff must complete 16 clock hours per year, of which two can be CPR and first aid training, to keep required child care licenses current. Lead teachers who are certified teachers in
    
     time.

[^30]:     100 and $130 \%$ FPL after priority is given to children at or below $100 \%$ FPL.

[^31]:    1 Part-day programs are required to provide on meal or one snack. School-day programs are required to provide one meal and two snacks or two meals and one snack.
    

[^32]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
    *** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^33]:     designated since by the legislature. All 3-and 4-year-old children within those districts are eligible to participate.

[^34]:    
    ${ }_{2}$ Breakfast, lunch, and snack are required for at-risk students in school - and extended-day programs.

[^35]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
    *** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^36]:     to complete at least 6 college credits annually towards the requirement.

[^37]:    1 Programs that are three hours or fewer provide a snack. Programs that are more than three hours provide a meal and a snack.
     schools on the Persistently Low Achieving Schools list. Periodic site visits are not assured for each program. All programs are required to submit to SED an annual application and a final report.

[^38]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
    *** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures. Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^39]:    1 The program is free for families up to $100 \%$ FPL. Families between 101 and $200 \%$ FPL may pay a fee based on the district's sliding scale. Families over 200\% FPL pay full tuition.
    2 Programs are required to provide breakfast, lunch, or snack depending on hours of attendance.

[^40]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early
    Head Start.
    *** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
    Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^41]:    Under the Head Start Reauthorization Act of 2007, all lead teachers were required to have at least an AA by October 2011; by September 30, 2013, 50\% must have earned at least a BA. Some programs have participated in local partnerships (e.g., with school districts) where BA-level degrees and childhood licensure with or without special education are required as locally determined. Teacher licensure options for public schools include Birth-3rd grade and Pre-K-K (Birth-K).
    2 Some teachers who hold at least a CDA and who are enrolled in a program leading to at least an AA may receive a temporary waiver for this requirement.
    

[^42]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early
    Head Start. Head Start.
    *** K -12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
    Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eligibility requirements are locally determined.
     the ability to meet a rigorous standard of quality and to demonstrate through formal state or local assessments knowledge of the ability to assist in instruction.

[^44]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4-year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early
    Head Start. Head Start.
    *** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
    Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^45]:    
     meets NIEER's assistant teacher benchmark.

[^46]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3-and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early
    Head Start. Head Start.
    *** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
    Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^47]:     the ability to meet a rigorous standard of quality and to demonstrate through formal state or local assessments knowledge of the ability to assist in instruction.

[^48]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4-year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early
    Head Start. Head Start.
    *** K-12 expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
    Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^49]:     the ability to meet a rigorous standard of quality and to demonstrate through formal state or local assessments knowledge of the ability to assist in instruction.

[^50]:    * Pre-K programs may receive additional funds from federal or local sources that are not included in this figure.
    ** Head Start per-child spending for the 2014-2015 year includes funding only for 3- and 4 -year-olds served. Past years' figures have unintentionally included funds for Early Head Start.
    *** $\mathrm{K}-12$ expenditures include capital spending as well as current operating expenditures.
    Data are for the '14-'15 school year, unless otherwise noted.

[^51]:    1 Lead teachers in nonpublic settings must be enrolled in, and demonstrating progress toward, the completion of a teacher education program within four years.
     standard benchmark.

[^52]:     must demonstrate progress towards the completion of a CDA or AA.

[^53]:    1 Some support services are required, but specific services are determined locally.
    2 Districts are not required to offer meals to pre-K students. However, many do serve either breakfast or lunch, and some offer both meals. All districts offering a full-day program provide lunch.

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ EEI is a competitive grant. A total of 42 grants were awarded in the 2014-2015 school year.
     assistants. Teaching assistants must have a HSD and a 30-hour course in child development.

[^55]:     are away from home by an hour or more. Contractors providing sessions lasting three or more hours must provide breakfast or lunch and provide a snack.

[^56]:    1 Assistant teachers can meet 1 of 3 requirements: At least two years of higher education, an AA or higher, or meet a rigorous standard of quality through a state or local academic assessment,
     teachers to have an AA and assistant teacher licensure.
    

[^57]:     Head Start Reauthorization Act of 2007, all lead teachers were required to have at least and AA by October 2011; by September 30, 2013, 50\% must have a BA.
     for assistant teachers.
    ${ }^{3}$ For mixed-age groups, the maximum is 16 for class size and 1:8 for staff-child ratio.
     two-thirds of daily nutritional needs, depending on the length of the program day.

[^58]:    Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' 14 -' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year
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[^103]:    Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' 14 -' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' 13 -' 14 school year.

[^104]:    Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' $14-115$ school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-14$ school year.

[^105]:    Footnotes are grouped by state at the end of Appendix A. Unless noted, all data are for the ' 14 -' 15 school year, except Florida which is for the ' $13-$ - 14 school year

[^106]:    Source: U.S. Census Population Estimates, Single Year of Age and Sex Population Estimates: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2014 - Civilian. 6 race groups. Downloaded from:

